



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA



(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
(MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS, GOVT OF INDIA)
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय: नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के पीछे, बेंगलूरु-५६० ०७३.
Regional Office : Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru-560073.
Email : robangalore@nhai.org | ronhaibangalore@gmail.com
Phone : 080-28397156, 28397171, Fax : 080-28377171

No. NHAI/RO-BNG/Tender/2026-27/ 1086

dated 13.06.2026

To,

All participated Bidders,

Sub: Construction of 2-Lane Service Road in the Balance Length of 3.23 Km on either Side of Sira Bypass (from Km 117+625 to Km 119+900 LHS and Km 120+650 to Km 121+600 RHS) in the Stretch of Tumkur–Chitradurga Section from Km 75+000 To Km 189+000 of NH-48 (Old Nh-4) in the State of Karnataka on EPC Mode – **Hoisting of Final outcome of technical Evaluation and Opening of Financial Bids – reg.**

Ref:

1. Tender ID: 2026_NHAI_272046_1
2. NIT No. 33/NHAI/RO-BNG/Tender/2025-26 dated: 18.03.2026.

The bids were received (online) for the subject work on 06.05.2026 till 1100 Hrs. Bids were opened on 07.05.2026 at Regional Office, Bengaluru. The outcome of technical evaluation of bidders is as follows;

Sl. No.	Name of the Applicant	Responsive/Non-Responsive
1.	Anil M Patil	Non-Responsive
2.	Sangeeta Constructions	Non-Responsive
3.	S M L Constructions	Non-Responsive
4.	KVR Construction Heights Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive
5.	Kollur Gurunath Construction Infra Private Limited	Responsive
6.	MD-Infra India Private Limited	Non-Responsive
7.	Mudduluru Infratech	Non-Responsive

2. In view of the above, financial bids of the 03 technically responsive bidders are proposed to be opened **on 13.06.2025 at 13:00 Hrs**, subject to feasibility.
3. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours faithfully,


(Padmaja Vutla)
Dy. General Manager (T)
Regional Office-Bengaluru 13/6/26



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

(MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS, GOVT OF INDIA)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय: नागसंद्रा मेट्रो स्टेशन के पीछे, बेंगलूरु-५६० ०७३.

Regional Office : Beside Nagasandra Metro Station, Bengaluru-560073.

Email : robangalore@nhai.org | ronhaibangalore@gmail.com

Phone : 080-28397156, 28397171, Fax : 080-28377171



No. NHAI/RO-BNG/Tender/2026-27/941

dated 03.06.2026

To,

All participated Bidders,

Sub: Construction of 2-Lane Service Road in the Balance Length of 3.23 Km on either Side of Sira Bypass (from Km 117+625 to Km 119+900 LHS and Km 120+650 to Km 121+600 RHS) in the Stretch of Tumkur–Chitradurga Section from Km 75+000 To Km 189+000 of NH-48 (Old Nh-4) in the State of Karnataka on EPC Mode – **Outcome of Technical Evaluation of Bids – reg.**

Ref:

1. Tender ID: 2026_NHAI_272046_1
2. NIT No. 33/NHAI/RO-BNG/Tender/2025-26 dated: 18.03.2026.

The bids were received (online) for the subject work on 06.05.2026 till 1100 Hrs. Bids were opened on 07.05.2026 at Regional Office, Bengaluru. The outcome of Technical evaluation is enclosed as **Annexure-I**.

2. Bidders are requested to submit their objections, if any, within 03 days of receipt of this letter i.e. on or before 06.06.2026 at 17.00 Hrs. The date of opening of financial bids will be conveyed in due course of time.

3. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours faithfully,


(Padmaja Vutla) 3/6/26

Dy. General Manager (T)
Regional Office-Bengaluru

ANNEXURE-I

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Technical Threshold Capacity- 18.75 Cr. (Rs. Cr.)	at least one similar work of 35% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 6.56 crore or two similar work of 25% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 4.69 crore shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 (Rs. Cr.)	Net Worth- 0.94 cr. (Rs. Cr.)	Average Turnover- 3.75 cr. (Rs. Cr.)	Assessed Bid Capacity- 18.75 (Rs. Cr.)	Conclusion
1.	A.M Patil	24.02	6.71	1.65	26.29	47.01	Disqualified / non-responsive (refer note-1)
2.	M-D Infra India Pvt. Ltd	75.15	22.19	12.58	27.94	8.33	Disqualified / non-responsive (refer note-2)
3.	Kollur Gurunath Construction Infra Pvt. Ltd.	188.47	58.21	48.89	175.47	206.57	Qualified for Next Stage
4.	KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd.	35.18	0.00	58.48	415.67	619.49	Disqualified / non-responsive (refer note-3)
5.	Mudduluru Infratech	6.60	0.00	11.84	25.92	39.02	Disqualified / non-responsive (refer note-4)
6.	SML Constructions	35.83	4.20 4.15	1.85	0.38	-3.27	Disqualified / non-responsive (refer note-5)
7.	Sangeeta Constructions	0.00	0.00	2.69	17.05	18.53	Disqualified / non-responsive (refer note-6)

V. Reddy

Note-1 A.M Patil : The bidder has not submitted any reply to the clarifications sought by the Authority. Accordingly, based on the documents available in the Bid, the bidder may be considered Non-Responsive for following reasons:

- (i) Undertaking for agree and abide bid documents is not found in technical bid
- (ii) Appendix-VI(Integrity Pact) is not found in technical bid
- (iii) The bidder has not provided the Statutory auditor certificate in support of projects claimed by the bidder for Technical Threshold Capacity in Annex-IV.
- (iv) The bidder has not mentioned the point no. 5(d) and point no. 6 in Annex-1 (Details of bidders) submitted in the technical bid
- (v) Annex-VIII (Details of ongoing works (Ref Clause 10.3 (iv) of Draft EPC Agreement) of the lead member is not found in submitted technical bid.
- (vi) Bidder has not submitted Annexure-IX of Appendix-IA, in addition to the certificate from the Statutory Auditor/Client as specified in Annex-IV of Appendix-IA in the technical bid.
- (vii) Appendix-III (Power of Attorney) is not found in technical bid. However, as per RFP clause 2.11.1 (b) the same is required to submit by the bidder in its technical bid.
- (viii) Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (xi) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs) as per format given in Appendix-IX is not found in technical bid.
- (ix) the Bidder has submitted only the Profit & Loss Account and Balance Sheet pages instead of complete balance sheets.

Note-2: M-D Infra India Pvt. Ltd: The turnover of the bidder, as per the audited Balance Sheet for FY 2024-25, is Rs. 66.47 crore. Accordingly, the bidder does not meet the minimum required Bid Capacity stipulated under Clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP. Therefore, the bidder is considered Non-Responsive/Disqualified in terms of Clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP.

Note-3 KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd.: The bidder has not provided the Annexure-IX of Appendix-IA, in addition to the certificate from the Statutory Auditor/Client as specified in Annex-IV of Appendix-IA. However, claimed project does not fall under similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) and clause 2.2.2.5. Accordingly, the bidder does not meet the requirement of similar completed work. Hence, the bidder considered as Non-Responsive/Disqualified as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) and clause 2.2.2.5.

Note-4: Mudduluru Infratech:

- (i) The bidder does not meet the minimum required Technical Threshold Criteria as stipulated under Clause 2.2.2.2(i) of the RFP and is accordingly considered Non-Responsive/Disqualified.
- (ii) The bidder has claimed the following projects under similar completed works:**Project Code-A:** The project pertains to Periodical Renewal works; accordingly, the same is not considered for evaluation. **Project Code-B:** The project pertains to Improvement in Riding Quality work and does not relate to National Highway/State Highway works. Accordingly, the project is not considered under similar completed works. In terms of Clause 2.2.2.5(vi) of the RFP, "*The works such as Improvement in Riding Quality work (IRQP/IRQ) shall be considered for Technical Capacity under Clause 2.2.2.2(i) but not for similar completed works under Clauses 2.2.2.2(ii) and 2.2.2.2(iii). However, such works shall be considered for similar completed works under Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) in case of maintenance works undertaken on EPC mode.*" **Project Code-C:** The project also pertains to Improvement in Riding Quality work and does not relate to NH/SH works.

V. Acharya

Accordingly, the project does not qualify as similar completed work in the Highway Sector. Further, in terms of Clause 2.2.2.5(vi) of the RFP, such works are not eligible to be considered for similar completed works under Clause 2.2.2(ii), except in case of maintenance works undertaken on EPC mode. Accordingly, the bidder does not meet the requirement of similar completed works as stipulated under Clause 2.2.2(ii) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered Non-Responsive.

Note-5: SML Constructions:

- (i) Undertaking for agree and abide bid documents is not found in technical bid. However, bidder has not provided the clarification sought for the same.
- (ii) Considering the Average Annual Turnover claimed by SML Constructions (the bidder) for the last five financial years, the bidder does not meet the minimum required Average Annual Turnover of Rs. 3.75 Crore for the period FY 2020-21 to FY 2024-25. Accordingly, the Bidder is considered non-responsive in terms of RFP Clause 2.2.2.3(ii).
- (iii) The bidder has claimed turnover for FY 2025-26 for the purpose of Bid Capacity assessment. However, as per the RFP provisions, turnover of the last five financial years only is to be considered for evaluation, i.e. FY 2020-21 to FY 2024-25. Accordingly, excluding the turnover pertaining to FY 2025-26, the bidder does not meet the minimum required Bid Capacity criteria. Therefore, the Bid is considered Non-Responsive in terms of RFP Clause 2.2.2.1.
- (iv) Bidder is not meeting the criteria of similar work as per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) " two similar completed works costing not less than amount equal to Rs. 4.69 Crore (Rupees Four Crore and Sixty Nine Lakh only) each. Or (ii) one similar completed work costing not less than amount equals to Rs. 6.56 Crore (Rupees Six Crore and Fifty Six Lakh only)".
- (v) The bidder has purchased the stamp paper for the Power of Attorney (POA) on 05th May 2026; however, the date of execution mentioned in the POA is 05th March 2026
- (vi) As per RFP Clause 2.11.1(m), the Bidder is required to submit copies of duly audited complete annual accounts for the preceding five (5) years. However, the Bidder has submitted only the Profit & Loss Account and Balance Sheet pages, and has not furnished the complete audited annual accounts. Accordingly, the Bid is considered Non-Responsive in terms of RFP Clause 2.11.1(m) and 3.1.6.1 (f).

Note:-6 Sangeeta Constructions:

- (i) Undertaking for agree and abide bid documents is not found in technical bid
- (ii) The bidder has submitted the Integrity Pact dated 18.05.2026 along with its reply; however, the same has been submitted after the Bid Due Date i.e. 06.05.2026. In this regard, RFP Clause 2.14.3.1, which provides that: "*The Bidder may modify, substitute or withdraw its e-BID after submission prior to the BID Due Date. No BID can be modified, substituted or withdrawn by the Bidder on or after the BID Due Date & Time.*" Accordingly, the Integrity Pact submitted subsequent to the Bid Due Date cannot be considered for evaluation. Therefore, the Bid is considered Non-Responsive in terms of RFP Clauses 2.11.1(i) and 3.1.6.1
- (iii) The bidder has not provided the page reference in the submitted Bid indicating where Annex-IV has been furnished. Further, Annex-IV could not be traced in the submitted Bid documents during evaluation. Accordingly, non-submission of Annex-IV renders the Bid Non-Responsive in terms of RFP Clauses 2.11.1(a) and 3.1.6.1.

V. V. V.

- (iv) The bidder has submitted Annex-V (Statement of Legal Capacity) dated 18.05.2026 along with its reply; however, the same has been submitted after the Bid Due Date i.e. 06.05.2026. In this regard, RFP Clause 2.14.3.1, which provides that: "*The Bidder may modify, substitute or withdraw its e-BID after submission prior to the BID Due Date. No BID can be modified, substituted or withdrawn by the Bidder on or after the BID Due Date & Time.*" Accordingly, the Annex-V (Statement of Legal Capacity) submitted subsequent to the Bid Due Date cannot be considered for evaluation. Therefore, the Bid is considered Non-Responsive in terms of RFP Clauses 2.11.1(a) and 3.1.6.1.
- (v) The bidder does not meet the Bid Capacity criteria stipulated under RFP Clause 2.2.2.1 and, therefore, the Bid is considered Non-Responsive.
- (vi) The bidder has submitted Annex-VIII dated 18.05.2026 along with its reply; however, the same has been submitted after the Bid Due Date i.e. 06.05.2026. In this regard, RFP Clause 2.14.3.1, which provides that: "*The Bidder may modify, substitute or withdraw its e-BID after submission prior to the BID Due Date. No BID can be modified, substituted or withdrawn by the Bidder on or after the BID Due Date & Time.*" Accordingly, Annex-VIII submitted subsequent to the Bid Due Date cannot be considered for evaluation. Therefore, the Bid is considered Non-Responsive in terms of RFP Clauses 2.11.1(a) and 3.1.6.1.
- (vii) The bidder has submitted the self-certified copy of the experience certificate in terms of Annex-IX. However, the claimed project does not fall under Category-1 or Category-3 as prescribed under the RFP. Accordingly, the bidder does not meet the requirement of Similar Completed Project in terms of RFP Clause 2.2.2.2(ii). Hence, the Bid is considered Non-Responsive.

V. Vidu

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by PADMAJA VUTLA
Date: 2026.06.13 11:59:37 IST
Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs