

To

All Bidders

Sub: Tender for Dense Avenue Plantation (Advance field preparation, planting and maintenance) works along 4L of Palma to Gumla from km. 26.000 to km.40.000 of NH-23 under PIU - Gumla, Regional Office Ranchi in the State of Jharkhand: Provisional Technical Evaluation Result-reg.

Ref : This office NIT No. NHAI/RO/RNC/JH/2025-2026/25 Dated 11.03.2026

Provisional Technical Evaluation Result

Sl. No .	Name of Bidder	Document fee	Affidavit	Undertaking	Technical Score	Result of Technical Bid Evaluation	Reason for Non -Responsive
1	M/s Aadyant Buildcon LLP	Yes	Yes	Yes	94.5	Responsive	
2	M/s Active Plantation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Evaluated	Non-Responsive	(i) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP - The project folder documents of all the responsive bids (documents submitted as per requirements mentioned in Clause 2.2.1) will be evaluated by Tender Evaluation Committee. (a) Clause 2.2.1 (x): Annexure-VII - not submitted. (b) Clause 3.d & Clause 31 of RFP
3.	M/s Anand Nursery	Yes	Yes	Yes	45	Non-Responsive	(i) Clause 3.e - Technical persons are not in the roll of bidder. (ii) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP not securing qualifying score of 60 marks. (iii) Clause 31 of RFP.
4	M/s Bharath Engineers And Trading	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Evaluated	Non Responsive	(i) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP - The project folder documents of all the responsive bids (documents submitted as per requirements mentioned in Clause 2.2.1) will be evaluated by Tender Evaluation Committee. (a) Clause 2.2.1 (viii): Annexure VI not submitted. (b) Clause 3.e.(1) (2) - Degree Certificate not submitted . (c) Clause 3.e.(2)(2) - Degree

Sl. No .	Name of Bidder	Document fee	Affidavit	Undertaking	Technical Score	Result of Technical Bid Evaluation	Reason for Non -Responsive
							Certificate not submitted . (d) Clause 3.d & Clause 31 of RFP.
5	M/s DECOROUS	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Evaluated	Non Responsive	(i) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP - The project folder documents of all the responsive bids (documents submitted as per requirements mentioned in Clause 2.2.1) will be evaluated by Tender Evaluation Committee. (a) Clause 2.2.1 (ii) : RFP, not signed. (b) Clause 2.2.1 (ix) : Annexure VII - Not submitted (c) Clause 3. d & Clause 31 of RFP.
6	M/s Greenway Creation Pvt Ltd	Yes	Yes	Yes	85	Responsive	
7	M/s VEDANT CONSTRUCTION	Yes	Yes	Yes	50	Non Responsive	(i) Clause 3.e - technical persons are not in the roll of bidder. (Ms. Ritika Singh) (ii) Clause 3. e. (1), (1)- Documentary Evidence - not submitted. (iii) Clause 4 C - less than 5% (iv) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP RFP not securing qualifying score of 60 marks.
8	M/s NIRMAN	Yes	Yes	Yes	49	Non Responsive	(i) Clause 3. e. (2), (1)- Minimum 2 years Experience required. (ii) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP not securing qualifying score of 60 marks. (iii) Clause 31 of RFP.
9	M/s North East Organised Floritech.com	Yes	Yes	Yes	88	Responsive	
10	M/s Prakash Asphaltings and Toll Highways India Ltd	Yes	Yes	Yes	55	Non Responsive	(i) Clause 3.e - technical persons are not in the roll (ii) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP.- not securing qualifying score of 60 marks. (iii) Clause 31 of RFP.
11	M/s Prince Electronics TV and Computer Institute(PETCI)	Yes	Yes	Yes	91	Responsive	

Sl. No .	Name of Bidder	Docume nt fee	Affidavi t	Unde r takin g	Tech nical Scor e	Result of Technical Bid Evaluatio n	Reason for Non -Responsive
12	M/s S P Traders & Builders	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Evalu ated	Non Responsive	(i) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP - The project folder documents of all the responsive bids (documents submitted as per requirements mentioned in Clause 2.2.1) will be evaluated by Tender Evaluation Committee. (a) Clause : 2.2.1 (x) Annexure VII - Not submitted (b) Clause 3. d & Clause 31 of RFP.
13	M/s Saturn Facility Services	Yes	Yes	Yes	60	Responsive	
14	M/s Shrinath Shiksha Avam Samaj Kalyan Prachar Prasar Samiti	Yes	Yes	Yes	94	Responsive	
15	M/s Social Action for Rural Development	Yes	Yes	Yes	60	Responsive	
16	M/s VISHNU NURSERY	Yes	Yes	Yes	36	Non Responsive	(i) Clause 3.e - Team leader & Team Member not in the Roll with Bidder. (ii) Clause 3.e (1) (1) - Work experience related Documentary evidence not submitted. (iii) Clause 3.e (2) (1) - Work experience related Documentary evidence not submitted. (iv) Clause 31 of RFP. (v) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP RFP not securing qualifying score of 60 marks
17	M/s LAKSHMI KANTA GHOSH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Evalu ated	Non Responsive	(i) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP - The project folder documents of all the responsive bids (documents submitted as per requirements mentioned in Clause 2.2.1) will be evaluated by Tender Evaluation Committee. (a) Clause 2.2.1 v (b) - Not submitted.

Sl. No .	Name of Bidder	Docume nt fee	Affidavi t	Unde r takin g	Tech nical Scor e	Result of Technical Bid Evaluatio n	Reason for Non -Responsive
							(b) Clause 2.2.1 (x): Annexure-VII- incomplete (c) Clause 3. d & Clause 31 of RFP.
18	M/s Agri Ennovation OPC Pvt. LTd.	Yes	Yes	Yes	73	Responsive	
19	M/s ALEMAAN INFRA EDUCARE PRIVATE LIMITED	Yes	Yes	Yes	37	Non Responsive	(i) Clause 4. B - Less than 15% (ii) 2.2.1 (viii) - No completion certificate and work experience not relevant (iii) Clause 31 of RFP. (iv) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP. not securing qualifying score of 60 marks.
20	M/s RR Associate	Yes	Yes	Yes	85	Responsive	
21	M/s Star Express Consultant Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	No	No	Not Evalu ated	Non Responsive	(i) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP - The project folder documents of all the responsive bids (documents submitted as per requirements mentioned in Clause 2.2.1) will be evaluated by Tender Evaluation Committee. (a) No documents as per Clause 2.2.1 (ii)/ (iii)/ (iv) (a), (b), (c),/ Clause 2.2.1 (vi). (b) Clause 3. d & Clause 31 of RFP.
22	M/s Adarsh Saraswati Mahila Shiksha Evam Gramin Samiti	Yes	Yes	Yes	88	Responsive	
23	M/s PCNS Nursery	Yes	Yes	Yes	92	Responsive	
24	M/s Krishna Enterprises.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not Evalu ated	Non Responsive	(i) Clause 4.2 of ITB of RFP - The project folder documents of all the responsive bids (documents submitted as per requirements mentioned in Clause 2.2.1) will be evaluated by Tender Evaluation Committee. (a) Clause 2.2.1 (x): Annexure VII - Not submitted (b) Clause 3. d & Clause 31 of

Sl. No .	Name of Bidder	Document fee	Affidavit	Undertaking	Technical Score	Result of Technical Bid Evaluation	Reason for Non -Responsive
							RFP.
25	M/s Green India	Yes	Yes	Yes	79	Responsive	

Any representation must be received within 7 days i.e. by 21.07.2026.

Regional Office, Ranchi
National Highways Authority of India,
Ashok Nagar, Ranchi - 834002
Ph : 0651-2244030
E-Mail : roranchi@nhai.org