



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Govt. of India)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय : एफ-120, जनपथ, श्याम नगर, जयपुर-302019

Regional Office : F-120, Janpath, Shyam Nagar, Jaipur-302019

Tel. (O.)/फोन: (ऑ.) 0141-2292056, 2292049

E- mail/ई-मेल: rojaipur@nhai.org Web./ वेब: www.nhai.gov.in



NHAI/RO-JPR/PIU-JPR/GKJ /NH-48/Baba Balnath/ 461

Dated 22.05.2026

All applicant Bidders
(as per table below)

Sub: - Construction of Six laning flyover at Km. 178+250 (Baba Bal Nath Samadhi Sthal) on Gurgaon - Kotputli - Jaipur section of NH-48 in the state of Rajasthan on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) Mode- [E-tender ID- 2026_NHAI_268765_1]: Result of Technical Evaluation reg.

Sir,

Please refer to RFP invitation for the subject project. RFP applications of the respective bidders have been evaluated as per eligibility and qualifications requirements as per the RFP document. The result of technical evaluation, as approved by the Competent Authority, is as under:-

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Result
1	M/s Kisan Construction Co.	Responsive
2	M/s Ramesh Kumar Bansal	Responsive
3	M/s Balabharti Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Non- Responsive*
4.	M/s Garg Builders	Non- Responsive*
5.	M/s Suya Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
6.	M/s Jeet Asia Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
7.	M/s Himalayan Infracorp Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
8.	M/s Girraji Build Tech Pvt. Ltd.	Non- Responsive*

***The reasons for Technical Non-Responsiveness of the bidders are enclosed as Annex-A.**

Common Note for all eligible bidders: -

(i) Bids of responsive bidders are eligible for opening of financial bids only subject to checking of updated bid capacity as per the requirement of clause 2.2.2.1.

(ii) Information required for updated Bid Capacity

- Whether there is any change in already submitted bid capacity Yes/No
- If answer to 'a' is Yes, then, please provide the following information: -

- Details of New projects allotted like Name of Project, Date of LOA/start/Financial Bid Opening. Value and Period of Contract, Anticipated Date of Completion etc.
- Details of change in already submitted projects like change in cost (Revised Cost), change in completed value etc. Such change should be supported by authenticated supporting document and the completed value should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC projects or Concessionaire/Authorized Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor.

2. Four (04) Eligible Bidders as per table above shall submit their updated bid capacity status (as on 27.05.2026), on 28.05.2026 upto 1100 Hrs at email ID rojaipur@nhai.org, as per requirement of RFP clause 2.2.2.1 and as per above note.

3. The Financial Bids of Responsive & Eligible Bidders, after ascertaining their updated bid capacity status, will be opened on 28.05.2026 at 1600 Hrs at RO-Jaipur.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

प्रतिमा

(Pratima Gupta)
General Manager (T)

Encl: As above

Annex-A**Reasons for Technical Non-Responsiveness of the bidders:****1. M/s Balabharti Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.**

The bid submitted by the bidder has been evaluated and found Non-Responsive, as the bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria specified under Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of the RFP regarding Similar Completed Project. The bidder has claimed following three projects:

Project 1 - 4 Lane Road Over Bridge

As per the client certificate submitted with the bid, the revised project value is Rs. 12.74 Crore, which is lower than the minimum required value of Rs. 14.81 Crore stipulated in the RFP. It has also been observed that the bidder has included the amount of PVC bills in the claimed project cost, which is not admissible as per the RFP provisions.

Project 2 - BOT Project from IRCON

As per the RFP provisions, the bidder is required to submit a certificate from the Principal Client in support of Similar Completed Project experience. However, the certificate from the Principal Client, i.e., NHAI, duly certifying the structure details including span and length executed by the bidder, has not been submitted with the bid or replies.

Project 3 BOT Project from IRCON

As per the RFP, the bidder is required to furnish a certificate from the Principal Client in support of the claimed Similar Completed Project. However, the certificate from the Principal Client, i.e., NHAI, duly certifying the structure details including span and length executed by the bidder itself, has not been submitted. Further, since the project was executed through a Joint Venture, no documentary evidence has been furnished establishing the scope executed by the bidder. Additionally, the subject project has not been claimed in Annexure IV.

Further, the bidder has not submitted Annexure IX in the prescribed format containing complete project execution details as required under the RFP.

Accordingly, the bidder does not satisfy the eligibility criteria pertaining to Similar Completed Project experience under Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of the RFP and is therefore considered Non-Responsive.

2. M/s Garg Builders

The bid submitted by the bidder has been evaluated and found Non-Responsive, as the bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria specified under Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of the RFP pertaining to Similar Completed Project experience.

During evaluation, it was observed that none of the projects claimed by the bidder satisfy the stipulated requirements with respect to span, structure length, and project cost under the aforesaid clause. Accordingly, clarification was sought from the bidder.

In response, the bidder submitted that, with reference to Project Code "A" claimed in the bid, the completion certificate issued by the client indicates a span of 27.2 meters. The

bidder further stated that the structure length has been considered as $(3.5 + 27.2 + 3.5) = 34.2$ meters per bridge, and by considering two bridges, the total length was calculated as 68.4 meters, including 3.5 meters approach slab on either side of each bridge.

The reply submitted by the bidder is not satisfactory. As per the RFP, the bidder should have completed similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project(s) having the prescribed span, structure length, and project cost criteria. The project cited by the bidder comprises two separate bridges, each having a span of 26.4 meters. In its submission/reply, the bidder has combined the lengths of both bridges in order to satisfy the minimum structure length requirement, which is not acceptable. Further, the bidder has also included the length of approach slabs while calculating the bridge length, which is not admissible.

Further, the bidder has not submitted Annexure IX in the prescribed format containing complete project execution details as required under the RFP.

Accordingly, the bidder does not satisfy the eligibility criteria pertaining to Similar Completed Project experience under Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of the RFP and is therefore considered Non-Responsive.

3. M/s Girrajji Build tech Pvt Ltd.

The bidder is considered Non-Responsive due to the following reasons:

a. Bid Capacity

The bidder is considered non-responsive as it does not meet the Bid Capacity criteria pursuant to Clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP, which states:

"Bidders who inter alia meet the minimum qualification criteria will be qualified only if their available Bid Capacity is more than the total Bid value (value as per Clause 1.1.1)."

As per the provisions of the RFP, the bidder is required to furnish supporting documents/certificates for ongoing commitments (value of 'B') duly countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-Charge (not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent) for EPC projects, or by the Concessionaire/Authorised Signatory of SPV for BOT projects, indicating the amount of work completed or the balance value of work.

Since the bidder had not submitted the requisite supporting documents with the bid, clarification was sought. In response, the bidder stated that

Please note that the value of work done for the projects claimed under Value of "B" has been considered strictly as per the Payment received from the respective authorities. Therefore, we had submitted the updated List of Work in Progress duly certified by our Statutory Auditor for reference and verification.

The reply submitted by the bidder is not satisfactory. The bidder has not furnished the prescribed supporting documents substantiating the value of work completed in the ongoing projects. Accordingly, in the absence of documentary evidence, the entire contract value of the ongoing projects has been considered as balance work for calculation of value "B" under Bid Capacity assessment.

Based on the available information and documents, the available Bid Capacity of the bidder has been assessed as Rs. (-) 820.75 Crore, which is lower than the required Bid Capacity of Rs. 42.32 Crore.

In view of the above, the bidder does not meet the Bid Capacity criteria stipulated under Clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP and is considered Non-Responsive.

b. Similar Completed Project (Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii))

The bid submitted by the bidder has been evaluated and found Non-Responsive, as the bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria specified under Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) (a) of the RFP regarding Similar Completed Project.

The bidder has claimed the following projects:

Project code F: "Construction of Rail Over Bridge (Br. No. 7D) over NH-91 Etah Bypass for Jawaharpur Thermal Power Project"

As per the client certificate submitted by the bidder in the bid, the awarded scope of work was "Completion of balance quantity of earthwork behind Abutment A1 of Br. No. 7D - Construction of Rail Over Bridge...". Also, the certificate does not clearly establish whether the bidder executed the complete ROB work or only the earthwork portion.

Further, the payment made till date, as indicated in the certificate, is Rs. 13.45 Crore which is below the minimum required project cost of Rs. 14.81 Crore. It has also been observed that the bidder has claimed the project cost as Rs. 15.69 Crore including GST, whereas the eligibility criteria require project cost excluding GST.

In addition, the client certificate does not indicate the actual date of completion of the project.

Project code A & E

Both the projects claimed by the bidder were executed through a Joint Venture. However, it is not clear whether the bidder itself executed the structure work. In the absence of a client certificate specifically certifying the structure work executed by the bidder, the bidder's claim towards these projects under Similar Completed Project experience cannot be substantiated.

Furthermore, the bidder has not submitted Annexure IX in the prescribed format containing complete project execution details as required under the RFP.

Accordingly, the bidder does not satisfy the eligibility criteria pertaining to Similar Completed Project experience under Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of the RFP and is therefore considered Non-Responsive.