



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



UPDIV-20018/25/2025-PIU Jhansi (E-286526)

Date: 18.03.2026

To,

All Participant Bidders,

Sub: Construction of Flyover for Long-Term Rectification of 4 MoRTH-Identified Blackspots at Jolhupur junction at Km. 1534.215, Chaura Bakra Mandi at Km 1544.300, Patel Chowk junction at Km 1556.285 & Premium Factory Kota junction at Km 1576.140 on Orai-Barah Section of NH-27 in State of Uttar Pradesh on EPC Mode- **Result of Evaluation of Technical Bids reg.**

Tender ID - 2026_NHAI_266610_1

Sir,

This is in reference to your Bid submitted for the subject project. RFP applications of the respective bidders have been evaluated as per eligibility and qualification requirements of the RFP document. The list of Technical Responsive/ Non Responsive Bidders is as under:

S. No.	Name of Bidders	Responsive/Non-Responsive	Remarks (Please refer Annexure-I)
1.	M/s. Mehta Construction Co.	Technically Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2(iii)
2.	M/s. TRG Industries Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive	Nil
3.	M/s. KCC Buildcon Private. Limited. in JV with M/s. Sheeraj Projects Private. Limited.	Technically Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive as per 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.4(i)
4.	M/s. Jayshree International in JV with M/s. AS Traders	Technically Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2(iii), 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.4(i)

2. The bidders may submit representation, if any, within 7 days from publication of this result as per clause 2.19 of RFP.

Encl: As above

Yours faithfully,

Sunil Kumar
18/03/2026

(Sunil Kumar)

General Manager (T)-UP (West) Div.

OBSERVATIONS IN RESPECT OF THE BIDS SUBMITTED

SN	Name of the Bidder	Observations	Remarks
1	M/s. Mehta Construction Co.	The bidder has been considered as Non-Responsive for the following reason listed below: a) <u>Technical Capacity Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) COMPLETED PROJECT Annexure-IX</u> As per extracts of the referred clause, “For the avoidance of doubt, it is clarified that “Similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project(s)’ shall mean a completed work comprising all major components similar to the work for which bid has been invited. Execution of work, which does not include all major components, shall not be considered as completion of similar work. Furthermore, in order to claim experience for similar completed work, the bidder must submit the Experience Certificate attached at Annexure-IX of appendix-1A, in addition to the certificate form the Statutory auditor/client as specified in Annex-IV of Appendix-1A. For the projects executed by NHAI, the Experience certificate shall be issued by the concerned GM (T) at NHAI HQ based on the recommendation of the Project Director.” No such experience certificate as per referred extracts in the format as mentioned in Annexure-IX of Appendix-1A has been provided. Therefore, the eligibility requirement of Completed Project as per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP is not complied. The bidder is required to provide Experience Certificate as per format of Annexure-IX to Appendix-1A of RFP. Kindly note that only the Eligible projects in Category 1 and/or 3 specified in clause 2.2.2.5 of RFP along with Eligible Projects defined in clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) from (a) to (g) will be considered. The claimed Similar Completed work should clearly establish the cost of Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover along with span. The bidder may also refer attached Policy Circular No. 11.74/2025 dated 04.09.2025 for compliance regarding Experience Certificates of Similar Completed works. It is also clarified that in case of claimed experience work of Other Department than NHAI is dated before 08.08.2025 then, in addition to self-	Non- Responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2(iii) of RFP.

		declaration in Annexure-IX Format, a self-certification is also required stating that" information furnished in the format is true & correct. Any incorrect in formation/misrepresentation by the bidder shall be construed as fraud and corrupt practices and action shall be taken by the Authority as per provisions of clause 4.1 and 4.2 of RFP." Further, the bidder has submitted the certificate in Annexure-IX format for Project code-H, however it is not clear from the certificate that bridge work has been executed by the bidder in this JV project. Therefore, bidder is also required to establish execution of minimum 24 m span work and minimum 60 m of ROB/Major Bridge/ Flyover length. The following are the observation in the bid submitted by the bidder has been listed below: b) <u>Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP</u> Certificate of work done for ongoing projects claimed in Sr no. 5 and 7 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B are not considered for the reason that Supporting document for the same has not been found for projects claimed in Sr. No. 5 & 7. <i>Bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 5 & 7 as mentioned in Annex-VI for Arriving at Value of B.</i> In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear or the supporting certificate is in language other English or not translated in English language, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.	
--	--	---	--

2	M/s. TRG Industries Pvt. Ltd.	The following are the observation in the bid submitted by the bidder has been listed below: a) <u>Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP</u> Certificate of work done for ongoing projects claimed in Sr no. 4 and 5 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B are not considered for the reason that Supporting document for the same has not been found for projects claimed in Sr. No. 4 & 5. <i>Bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 4 & 5 as mentioned in Annex-VI for Arriving at Value of B.</i> In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear or the supporting certificate is in language other English or not translated in English language, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered.	Responsive and Clarification Required
3	M/s. KCC Buildcon Private. Limited. in JV with M/s. Sheeraj Projects Private. Limited.	The bidder has been considered as Non-Responsive as per the following reasons listed below: a) <u>Bid Capacity (M/s Sheeraj Projects Pvt. Ltd.) Annexure-VI To Calculate Value of "A" and "C"</u> The Other Member (Sheeraj) is required to provide Annexure-VI to Appendix-1A related to Calculation of Value of "A" and "C" for information required to calculate Bid Capacity duly certified by the Statutory Auditor. However, the same has not been found in the submitted bid. b) <u>Bid Capacity (Sheeraj Projects Pvt. Ltd) Annexure-VI Table for Value of B</u> The bidder has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table,	Non-Responsive as per 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.4(i)

		however the same has not been countersign by the Authorized Signatory of bidder. Bidder to clarify. Based on the above facts, the other member has not submitted the required documents listed above, the bidder has been considered as Non-Responsive as per clause 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.4(i) of RFP. Further, following are the observation in the bid submitted by the bidder has been listed below: a) <u>Agree & Abide Undertaking (KCC Buildcon Pvt. Ltd.)</u> In case of Lead Member The following has not been found in the submitted bid which is required as per the referred clauses: An Undertaking from the Authorized Person related to Agree and Abide by the Bid documents uploaded by NHA and amendments uploaded, if any as per clause 2.11.1 (j) of RFP. b) <u>Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP</u> In case of Lead Member Certificate of work done for ongoing projects claimed in Sr no. 3, 4, 6, 10, 14 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B are not considered for the reason that ➤ Supporting document not found for projects claimed in Sr. No. 3 ➤ Supporting document not signed for projects claimed in Sr. No. 4,6,10,14 ➤ LOA not found for projects claimed in Sr. no. 21,24,25,27 to 30 <i>Bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 3,4,6,10,14,21,24,25,27 to 30 as mentioned in Annex-VI for Arriving at Value of B.</i> In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear or the supporting certificate is in language other English or not translated in English language, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated	
--	--	--	--

		based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered. c) <u>Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP</u> In case of Other Member The LoA for Sr. No. 3 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B has not been found. Bidder is required to provide the LoA for the above mentioned Sr. No. 3. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered. d) <u>Details of Eligible Projects) Annex-IV Clause 2.2.2.2.(i)</u> The Other Member (Sheeraj) has claimed Project code 'a' in eligible work namely, "Construction of elevated road with service road from delhi agra road NH-19 to DND Faridabad Ballabhgarh Bpass KMP link (SPUR to Delhi-Mumbai Expressway)". Bidder has executed the work in Joint venture. However, bidder has not restricted the payment received in its share. As per RFP "in case of projects executed by applicant under category 3 and 4 as a member of Joint Venture, the project cost should be restricted to the share of the applicant in the joint venture for determining eligibility. Please clarify. e) <u>Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects) Clause 2.2.2.2.(i)</u> The Other Member i.e., Sheeraj Projects Private Limited, has claimed Project Code 'B' in eligible works for the project namely "Remodeling of Augmentation Canal from Km 0 to 75.250 i.e., from Hamida Head to Picholia Head (Merging Point with WJC Main Branch)".The Bidder has executed the work as a subcontractor. As per the letter dated 06.04.2025 issued by Indri Water Service Division, Karnal, the sublet work amount is mentioned as Rs. 71 Crore. However, as per the CA	
--	--	--	--

		Certificate, the payment received by the Bidder is shown as Rs. 117.27 Crore. Therefore, the Bidder is requested to clarify the discrepancy between the sublet work amount and the payment amount mentioned in the CA Certificate. f) <u>Annex-IV (Details of Eligible Projects) Clause 2.2.2.2.(i)</u> Project Code 'a' & 'b': The other member of the bidder has claimed the project code-"A & B" annex-IV for technical Capacity. As per Statutory auditor certificate provided in support of Annex-IV , it is not clear that amount of payment received in last 5 financial year is including GST or Excluding GST for both Project Code A & B. Bidder is required to submit the amount of payment received in last 5 financial year excluding GST, duly certified by Statutory auditor for both Project Code A & B.	
4	M/s. Jayshree International in JV with M/s. AS Traders	The bidder has been considered as Non-Responsive as per the following reasons listed below: a) <u>Technical Capacity Clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) COMPLETED PROJECT Annexure-IX</u> As per extracts of the referred clause, <i>"For the avoidance of doubt, it is clarified that "Similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project(s)' shall mean a completed work comprising all major components similar to the work for which bid has been invited. Execution of work, which does not include all major components, shall not be considered as completion of similar work. Furthermore, in order to claim experience for similar completed work, the bidder must submit the Experience Certificate attached at Annexure-IX of appendix-1A, in addition to the certificate form the Statutory auditor/client as specified in Annex-IV of Appendix-1A. For the projects executed by NHAI, the Experience certificate shall be issued by the concerned GM (T) at NHAI HQ based on the recommendation of the Project Director."</i> No such experience certificate as per referred extracts in the format as mentioned in Annexure-IX of Appendix-1A has been provided. Therefore, the eligibility requirement of Completed Project as per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP is not complied.	Non- Responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2(iii), 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.4(i) of RFP.

		The bidder is required to provide Experience Certificate as per format of Annexure-IX to Appendix-1A of RFP. Kindly note that only the Eligible projects in Category 1 and/or 3 specified in clause 2.2.2.5 of RFP along with Eligible Projects defined in clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) from (a) to (g) will be considered. The claimed Similar Completed work should clearly establish that bridge work length and span length required as per the referred clause has been executed by bidder in case the claimed project is done in JV. The bidder may also refer attached Policy Circular No. 11.74/2025 dated 04.09.2025 for compliance regarding Experience Certificates of Similar Completed works. It is also clarified that in case of claimed experience work of Other Department than NHAI is dated before 08.08.2025 then, in addition to self-declaration in Annexure-IX Format, a self-certification is also required stating that" information furnished in the format is true & correct. Any incorrect in formation/misrepresentation by the bidder shall be construed as fraud and corrupt practices and action shall be taken by the Authority as per provisions of clause 4.1 and 4.2 of RFP." b) Bid Capacity (AS Traders) Annexure-VI Table for Value of B The Other Member (i.e., AS Trader) has submitted the statutory auditor certificate for ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B table; however, no date & UDIN mentioned in the certificate, further the same has not been signed. Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded up to bid due date. Based on the above facts, the bidder has been considered as Non-Responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2(iii) and other Member has not submit the required documents listed at b) above, therefore considered as Non-Responsive as clause 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.4(i) Further, following are the observation in the bid submitted by the bidder has been listed below:	
--	--	---	--

		a) <u>Financial Statements Clause 2.11.1(m)</u> <u>In case of Other Member</u> The Profit & Loss A/c for Financial Year 21-22 & 22-23 has not been found in the submitted tender documents. Kindly Clarify & provide the complete audited annual accounts. Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP b) <u>Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP</u> <u>In case of Lead Member</u> Certificate of work done for ongoing projects claimed in Sr no. 2 to 4, 6 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B are not considered for the reason that ➤ Supporting document not found for projects claimed in Sr. No. 2 to 4, 6 ➤ LOA not found for projects claimed in Sr. no. 8 <i>Bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 2 to 4, 6 & 8 as mentioned in Annex-VI for Arriving at Value of B.</i> In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear or the supporting certificate is in language other English or not translated in English language, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered. c) <u>Bid Capacity (Jayshree International) Annexure-VI Table for Value of B</u> The Lead Member has submitted the ongoing projects as per the required format in Value of B	
--	--	--	--

		table; however, the same has been signed 03.03.2026. Therefore, the status of ongoing projects awarded from 03.03.2026 up to Bid due date is not clear. Bidder to clarify and needs to submit the ongoing projects awarded up to bid due date. d) Bid Capacity Value of B Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP and Annex - VI of RFP In case of Other Member Certificate of work done for ongoing projects claimed in Sr no. 1 & 2 as mentioned in Annex VI for Arriving at Value of B are not considered for the reason that ➤ Supporting document not found for projects claimed in Sr. No. 1 & 2. ➤ LOA not found for projects claimed in Sr. no. 3 <i>Bidder is required to provide the details related to completed Value claimed in the submitted table with the corresponding supporting certificates highlighting the completed value as claimed in the table for ongoing project claimed in Sr no. 1,2 & 3 as mentioned in Annex-VI for Arriving at Value of B.</i> In case the supporting document is not signed or the designation of signing person is not clear or the supporting certificate is in language other English or not translated in English language, the completed value of such ongoing project will not be considered and value of B will be calculated based on full value of such ongoing project. Further, in case of non-availability of supporting certificates as mentioned above, the Value of ongoing projects will be considered at full value with corresponding factor (without considering completed work) and Bid Capacity will be calculated accordingly. The LOA, any unsigned document or any document signed below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects will not be considered. e) Information required to evaluate the BID Capacity under clause 2.2.2.1 (AS Traders) Annexure-VI The Other Member (i.e., AS Trader) has not provided the statement at the end of “Table of Value of B” as per format given at Annexure VI of RFP which is as follows:	
--	--	--	--

		“The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid and anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in- charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works/ works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s.....individually / and other member M/s And M/s, as on bid due date of this RFP.” Kindly Clarify. f) <u>Bank Guarantee for BID Security Appendix – II</u> In the submitted Bank Guarantee at Point No.4- 2nd line mentioned that “BID Due Date inclusive of a claim period of 30 (Thirty) days” Instead of “BID Due Date inclusive of a claim period of 60 (sixty) days” as per RFP. Kindly clarify & provide an amendment to Bank Guarantee. g) <u>Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Joint Venture Appendix-IV</u> In the submitted Appendix-IV, the Date of Execution has not been mentioned. Further, the last para i.e., “IN WITNESS WHEREOF WE THE PRINCIPALS ABOVE NAMED HAVE EXECUTED THIS POWER OF ATTORNEY ON THIS DAY OF 2.....” is also deleted which is required as per RFP format.	
--	--	---	--

List of Eligible / Not Eligible Bidders
--

Out of 4 bids received as on Bid Due date, 1 bidders were considered as eligible. The details are as follows:

S. No.	Name of Bidders	Responsive/Non-Responsive	Remarks
1.	M/s. Mehta Construction Co.	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2(iii)
2.	M/s. TRG Industries Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive	Nil
3.	M/s. KCC Buildcon Private. Limited. in JV with M/s. Sheeraj Projects Private. Limited.	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive as per 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.4(i)
4.	M/s. Jayshree International in JV with M/s. AS Traders	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2(iii), 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.4(i)