



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



NHAI/NE/PBMC/Tender/Guwahati/Baihata-Patharkuchi / 02

Date: 02.07.2026

To,

All Participating Bidders;

Sub: Strengthening and Major Maintenance of Four Lane divided carriageway Road with paved shoulders configuration from Sonpur (Km. 184+700) to Nagaon (Km. 278+685) of NH-37 in the state of Assam on PBMC Mode. -Result of Technical Evaluation after considering representations of the bidders-reg.

(Tender ID: 2026_NHAI_266459_1)

Ref: RFP dated 10.02.2026

Sir,

This has reference to your bid submission for RFP dated 04.07.2024 in subject project.

2. In this regard, it is to inform that based on the bids and subsequent representations received, the Technical Evaluation has been completed. In compliance to clause 23.5 of RFP, the result is as below:

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Status of responsiveness
1/ 10	Shree Gautam Construction Company Limited (SGCCL) in JV with Brahmaputra Infrastructure Limited (BIL)	Technically Non-Responsive
2/10	Simplex Infrastructures Limited	Technically Responsive
3/10	Gawar Construction Limited	Technically Responsive
4/10	J Infratech Limited (JIL) in JV with GNI Infrastructure Limited (GNI)	Technically Non-Responsive
5/10	Suraj Construction Company in JV with Shri Balaji Construction	Technically Responsive
6/10	Inckah Infrastructure Technologies Pvt Ltd. (Inckah) in JV with Kalthia Engineering and Construction Ltd. (KECL)	Technically Responsive
7/10	Heera Ram Godara	Technically Non-Responsive
8/10	Roadlink Infratech Pvt Ltd	Technically Non- Responsive

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Status of responsiveness
9/10	Shiva Buildtech Pvt Ltd	Technically Non- Responsive
10/10	T and T Infra Limited	Technically Non-Responsive

*Detailed Reasons of Non-responsiveness are attached at Annexure-1.

3. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.


Yours sincerely,



(Col. Sharad Chand Singh)
General Manager (Tech.)-NE

Annexure- 1

S. No.	Reasons of non-responsiveness published vide Result dated 15.06.2026	Representation submitted by the Bidder	Remarks
<u>M/s Heera Ram Godara</u>			
1.	Bidder has submitted a Insurance Surety Bond towards Bid Security issued by Bajaj General Insurance Ltd., SB No. 12-6621-0009398515-00 dated 23.03.2026, for an amount of Rs. 1,87,30,000/- whereas as per Section-4 of Form of Surety Bond for Bid Security of the RFP, the bidder is required to submit a Bid Security of Rs. 199.78 Lakh. As per Clause 16.3 Section 2 of ITB of the RFP, a Technical Bid shall be considered responsive only if it is accompanied by the Bid Security as specified in the RFP. Since the bidder has submitted Bid Security of an amount lower than the required amount, the requirement of the RFP has not been complied with and the Bidder has been declared as Technically non-Responsive.	Bidder has submitted his representation vide letter dated 22.06.2026. "With reference to our bid submission, we respectfully submit that the Insurance Surety Bond was duly enhanced and processed by the insurer on the bid submission date itself. However, due to a clerical/system-related issue, the amended bond could not be reflected/extracted on the NHAI portal in time. The bidder had completed all required formalities well before the deadline and there was no intention to withhold or delay compliance. Supporting documents from the insurer confirming the enhancement and validity of the bond are enclosed for your kind consideration. We humbly request that the enclosed documents be considered and our bid be evaluated on its merits, as the bid security requirement stood fully complied with in substance and within the prescribed timeline."	Bidder along with his representation letter submitted the Amendment letter of Surety Bond for Bid Security of Rs. 199.78 Lakh. The Amended letter was issued by Bajaj General Insurance Ltd. on 27.03.2026 which falls after the bid due date i.e., 24.03.2026. Hence, the same has not been acceptable. As per Clause 16.3 Section 2 of ITB of the RFP, "a Technical Bid shall be considered responsive only if it is accompanied by the Bid Security as specified in the RFP". Since the bidder has submitted Bid Security of an amount lower than the required amount as on bid due date, the requirement of the RFP has not been complied. Accordingly, the bid is considered Technically Non-Responsive as per clause 16.3 of RFP and will not be considered for further evaluation as per clause 23.4 of RFP.



M/s Roadlink Infratech Pvt Ltd

2.	Bidder has submitted a Insurance Surety Bond towards Bid Security issued by The New India Assurance Co. Ltd., SB No. 31060056259100000313 dated 23.03.2026, for an amount of Rs. 187.30 Lakh, whereas as per Section-4 of Form of Surety Bond for Bid Security of the RFP, the bidder is required to submit a Bid Security of Rs. 199.78 Lakh. As per Clause 16.3 Section 2 of ITB of the RFP, a Technical Bid shall be considered responsive only if it is accompanied by the Bid Security as specified in the RFP. Since the bidder has submitted Bid Security of an amount lower than the required amount, the requirement of the RFP has not been complied with and the Bidder has been declared as Technically non-Responsive.	Bidder has submitted his representation vide mail dated 22.06.2026. "With reference to the above-mentioned tender, we would like to submit that our bid was uploaded/submitted prior to the issuance of the corrigendum revising the Bid Security amount. Revised bid Security bond attached for your kind consideration."	Bidder along with his representation letter submitted the Amendment Surety Bond for Bid Security of Rs. 199.78 Lakh. The Amended Surety Bond was issued by The New India Assurance Co. Ltd. on 22.06.2026 which falls after the bid due date i.e. 24.03.2026. Hence, the same has not been acceptable. As per Clause 16.3 Section 2 of ITB of the RFP, "a Technical Bid shall be considered responsive only if it is accompanied by the Bid Security as specified in the RFP". Since the bidder has submitted Bid Security of an amount lower than the required amount as on bid due date, the requirement of the RFP has not been complied. Accordingly, the bid is considered Technically Non-Responsive as per clause 16.3 of RFP and will not be considered for further evaluation as per clause 23.4 of RFP.
----	---	---	--

- **Note-** For the remaining technically non-responsive bidders, the Detailed Reasons of Non-responsiveness were published vide technical result dated 15.06.2026. Since, these bidders have not submitted any representations, therefore, these bidders remain technically non-responsive.

