



सत्यमेव जयते

भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200

Result of Technical Proposals



Tender ID: 2026_NHAI_265526_1

Date: 30.06.2026

To,

All the Participated Bidders
(As per table below)

Sub: Independent Engineer services for supervision of 4 Lane highway from Mustfabad Design chainage Km 43+030 to Biswariya Design chainage Km 101+515 (Existing Chainage Km 43.700 to Chainage Km 98.475) on the section of NH-927 in Uttar Pradesh on HAM Mode under NH(O) Scheme (Package-II). - **Evaluation of Technical Proposals - Reg.**

Sir,

This has reference to RFP invited for above subject consultancy work. The result of Technical Evaluation of the bids received along with reasons for their non-responsiveness are tabulated below:

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder (M/s)	Rank of the Firm	Technical score	
			Earlier Score	Revised Score
1	M/s Almondz Global Infra-Consultant Limited in Joint Venture with M/s EKAM Venture in Association with M/s Kaius Consulting Private Limited	NA	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the Project Supervision and technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (A), 15(B) & 15(C) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the Project Supervision and technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15(B) & 15(C) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.
2	M/s Artefact Projects Ltd. in Joint Venture with M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with Renaissance IOT LLP.	6	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	Responsive 95.70
3	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Ltd in Joint Venture with M/s Infinite Civil	3	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15	Responsive 96.40

(Signature)

	Solutions Pvt Ltd and in Association with M/s Mahamarg Infra Consultants Private Limited		(C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	
4	M/s Frischmann Prabhu India Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India Private Limited	1	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	Responsive 96.81
5	M/s Geo Designs And Research Private Limited in Association with M/s RR Metricmind Consultants Private Limited	NA	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Additionally, the bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria as per clause 3.4 (X),(e) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	Non-Responsive The proposed Team Leader, Mr. Sanjay has been debarred by NHAI letter No. NHAI/Tech/AP/NH-544D/Anant-Much-Bugga/IE/2025/E-262255/42 Dated:12. 02.2025 for a period of 02 years. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.
6	M/s K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s SEG Engineering Services Private Limited	5	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	Responsive 95.78
7	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Innovative CADD Centre	8	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP.	Responsive 95.20

A

			Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	
8	M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	4	Responsive 96.06	Responsive 96.06
9	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants Private Limited in Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.	7	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	Responsive 95.45
10	M/s Marc Technocrats Limited in Joint Venture with M/s Global Infra Solutions	2	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	Responsive 96.44
11	M/s MSV International Inc in Association with M/s MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd.	NA	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Additionally, the bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria as per clause 3.4 (X),(e) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	Non-Responsive The proposed Team Leader, Mr. Neerava Kumar has been debarred by NHAI for a period of 3 months vide letter no. UK DIV-20013/10/2024-O/o GM (Tech) 2202 Date: 05.02.2026. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.
12	M/s Saptagon Asia Private Limited in Association with M/s Highraise Construction and Consultant Private Limited	NA	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15(A) 1(a) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.

1

13	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants P Ltd in Joint Venture with M/s Yongma Engineering Co Ltd in Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants	9	Non-Responsive The Lead partner and Other Partner of the bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	Responsive 94.66
14	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in Association with M/s UA Infratech Legato Private Limited	NA	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria w.r.t the Turnover as per clause 15 (B) of RFP. Additionally, the bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria as per clause 3.4 (X),(e) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15(A) 1(a) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive
15	M/s Theme Engineering Services Private Limited in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Private Limited	10	Responsive 90.78	Responsive 91.08

2. As per the relevant clauses of RFP, Financial Bid of the above-mentioned top five technically responsive bidders shall be opened on 30.06.2026 at 05:30 PM.



Sunil Kumar
General Manager (T)-UP (W)