



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



Result of Technical Proposals

Tender ID: 2026_NHAI_265526_1

Date: 09.06.2026

To,

All the Participated Bidders
(As per table below)

Sub: Independent Engineer services for supervision of 4 Lane highway from Mustfabad Design chainage Km 43+030 to Biswariya Design chainage Km 101+515 (Existing Chainage Km 43.700 to Chainage Km 98.475) on the section of NH-927 in Uttar Pradesh on HAM Mode under NH(O) Scheme (Package-II).— Finalization of Technical Bids - Reg.

Sir,

This has reference to RFP invited for above subject consultancy work. The result of Technical Evaluation is as tabulated below:

Sr. No.	Name of Firm	Total	Rank	Remarks
1	M/s Almondz Global Infra-Consultant Limited in Joint Venture with M/s EKAM Venture in Association with M/s Kaius Consulting Private Limited			Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the Project Supervision and technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (A), 15(B) & 15(C) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.
2	M/s Artefact Projects Ltd. in Joint Venture with M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with Renaissance IOT LLP.			Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.
3	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Ltd in Joint Venture with M/s Infinite Civil Solutions Pvt Ltd and in Association with M/s Mahamarg Infra Consultants Private Limited			Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.
4	M/s Frischmann Prabhu India Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India Private Limited			Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity

(Signature)

		Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.		
5	M/s Geo Designs And Research Private Limited in Association with M/s RR Metricmind Consultants Private Limited	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Additionally, the bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria as per clause 3.4 (X),(e) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.		
6	M/s K & J Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s SEG Engineering Services Private Limited	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.		
7	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with M/s Innovative CADD Centre	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.		
8	M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.	96.06	1	Responsive
9	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants Private Limited in Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.		
10	M/s Marc Technocrats Limited in Joint Venture with M/s Global Infra Solutions	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant" of RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.		
11	M/s MSV International Inc in Association with M/s MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., "Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one		

A

		consultant” of the RFP. Additionally, the bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria as per clause 3.4 (X),(e) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.		
12	M/s Saptagon Asia Private Limited in Association with M/s Highraise Construction and Consultant Private Limited	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., “Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant” of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.		
13	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants P Ltd in Joint Venture with M/s Yongma Engineering Co Ltd in Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants	Non-Responsive The Lead partner and Other Partner of the bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria w.r.t the technical capacity as per Section-1, Clause 15 (C) i.e., “Requirements with respect to Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant” of RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.		
14	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in Association with M/s UA Infratech Legato Private Limited	Non-Responsive The bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria w.r.t the Turnover as per clause 15 (B) of RFP. Additionally, the bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria as per clause 3.4 (X),(e) of the RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as Non-Responsive.		
15	M/s Theme Engineering Services Private Limited in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions Private Limited	90.78	2	Responsive

2. As per relevant clauses of RFP, result of the technical evaluation is being made available on the website giving opportunity to the bidders to respond within 7 days (i.e., till 15.06.2026) in case they have any objection. After which, Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.



(Sunil Kumar)
General Manager (Tech.)-UP (W)