



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय-पश्चिम उ०प्र०, लखनऊ Regional Office - West UP, Lucknow.

3/248, विशाल खण्ड, गोमती नगर, लखनऊ-226010 (उ.प्र.)

3/248, Vishal Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow-226010 (UP)

दूरभाष / Phone : 0522-4960291, टेलीफैक्स / Fax : 0522-4950680

ई-मेल / E-mail : rowestup@nhai.org, rowestup@gmail.com



19001/RO-UP West/VUP/Tender/ 496

Date: 16.06.2026

Office Order

Sub: Short term Maintenance of Jhansi-Orai Section of NH-27 from Km.1380.300 to Km. 1515.713 (Length 135.413 Km.) in the State of Uttar Pradesh on Item Rate (percentage) Basis.

This has reference to the invited bids (Tender ID:- 2026_NHAI_264268_1) of subject work.

2. Based on the recommendation of Evaluation Committee, the status of bids is as follows:-

S. No.	Name of the Bidder/Firm	Remarks
1.	M/s AB Associate	Disqualified/Non Responsive as per clause 1.3.1 (b) of Section-IX of RFP. The bidder does not meet the minimum requirement of Clause 1.3.1 (b) of Section -IX. The bidder was required to fulfill either the single-work (80%), two-work (50%), or three-work (40%) similar completed highway experience criteria. The RFP explicitly mandates "Highway work," which does not include ODRs and local road categories. Since only one project qualifies as valid highway work wherein DBM/BC value (Rs. 8.87 Cr) only meets the threshold for the three-project option (>Rs. 8.11 Cr.), the bidder fails to meet the multi-project criteria. Furthermore, Project alone does not meet the single largest work requirement of Rs. 32.43 Cr (with Rs. 24.32 Cr in DBM/BC). Consequently, the bidder does not meet the minimum technical eligibility criteria stipulated under Clause 1.3.1 (b) of Section-IX of the RFP and not recommended for next stage of Bidding.
2	M/s Brahmaputra Infrastructure Ltd	Disqualified/Non Responsive as per clause 1.3.1 (b), 1.6 of Section-IX and clause 1.11 of RFP. 1. The bidder does not meet the minimum requirement of Clause 1.3.1 (b) of Section -IX i.e. one similar completed Highway work costing not less than amount equals to 80% each i.e. Rs. 32.43 Cr. Wherein DBM/BC or PQC /DLC amount required Rs. 24.32 Cr. Or Two similar completed works costing not less than amount equals to 50% i.e. Rs. 20.27 Cr. each Wherein DBM/BC or PQC /DLC amount required Rs. 12.16 Cr. Or three similar completed work costing not less than amount equals to 40% each i.e. Rs. 16.22 Cr. Wherein DBM/BC or PQC /DLC amount required Rs. 8.11 Cr. 2. While calculating Bid capacity it has been observed that Bidder has claimed project at Sr. 05 having balance work as Rs. 122.01 with 40% share in the project, which is Rs. 48.80 Cr. however, bidder has claimed final balance value of the project as Rs. 32.21 Cr. Further, it has been noted that duration of the project is 24 months and project ward date is 22.10.2022. Hence the completion date of the project is falling before 12 months from the bid due date of the proposed project. A query was raised in this regard and Bidder has not replied to the clarification

S. No.	Name of the Bidder/Firm	Remarks
		sought. Hence, in the absence of any clarification from the bidder, it has been considered that Bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of Bid capacity as per clause 1.11 of RFP. 3. As per clause 1.6 of Section IX of Bidding documents. "The Bidder shall demonstrate that it has access to or has available liquid assets (aggregate of working capital, cash in hand and uncommitted bank guarantee), line of credit and other financial means (independent of any contractual advance payment) sufficient to meet the construction cash flow requirements, minimum estimated as Rs. 4.05 Crore net of the Bidder's commitments for other contracts." However, as per Form 7A submitted by the bidder Financial Capability of the bidder i.e. Source of Funding after deducting Financial Commitment for ongoing Civil work and Other Commitments is coming out to be negative. A query was raised in this regard and Bidder has not replied to the clarification sought. Hence, in the absence of any clarification from the bidder, it has been considered that Bidder is not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of Financial Capability as per clause 1.6 of Section IX of Bidding Documents.
3.	M/s Gaurav Singh	Responsive
4	M/s Kaiser Construction s Engineers & Contractors	Disqualified/Non Responsive as per clause 1.3.1 (b) of Section-IX of RFP. The bidder does not meet the minimum requirement of Clause 1.3.1 (b) of Section -IX. The bidder was required to fulfill either the single-work (80%), two-work (50%), or three-work (40%) similar completed highway experience criteria. The RFP explicitly mandates "Highway work," which does not include ODRs and local road categories. Since only one project qualifies as valid highway work wherein DBM/BC value (Rs. 17.94 Cr) only meets the threshold for the two-project option (>Rs. 12.16 Cr)., the bidder fails to meet the multi-project criteria. Furthermore, Project alone does not meet the single largest work requirement of Rs. 32.43 Cr (with Rs. 24.32 Cr in DBM/BC). Consequently, the bidder does not meet the minimum technical eligibility criteria stipulated under Clause 1.3.1 (b) of Section-IX of the RFP and not recommended for next stage of Bidding.
5	M/s Mahavir Sharma	Responsive
6	M/s Mehta Construction Company	Responsive
7	M/s Naseeb Construction Company	Disqualified/Non Responsive as per clause 1.11 of RFP. Format of Value of B for calculating the available Bid capacity as per Form 11 of Section IX and clause 1.11 of Bidding documents was not found in the submitted bid. A query was asked from the bidder in this regard. Bidder in reply to the clarification has now submitted Certificate for Value of certified by Statutory Auditor as on 29.11.2025 instead of submitting the certificate certified by Statutory Auditor as on bid due date. Therefore, the bidder has been considered as Disqualified/Non Responsive as per clause 1.11 of RFP and not recommended for next stage of Bidding.
8	M/s Prakash Asphaltings & Toll Highways (India) Ltd.	Responsive

S. No.	Name of the Bidder/Firm	Remarks
9	M/s Vinod Kumar Jain	Disqualified/Non Responsive as per clause 1.3.1 (b) of Section-IX of RFP. The bidder does not meet the minimum requirement of Clause 1.3.1 (b) of Section -IX. The bidder was required to fulfill either the single-work (80%), two-work (50%), or three-work (40%) similar completed highway experience criteria. The RFP explicitly mandates "Highway work," which does not include MDRs and local road categories. Since only one project qualifies as valid highway work wherein DBM/BC value (Rs. 12.20 Cr) only meets the threshold for the two-project option (>Rs. 12.16 Cr), the bidder fails to meet the multi-project criteria. Furthermore, Project alone does not meet the single largest work requirement of Rs. 32.43 Cr (with Rs. 24.32 Cr in DBM/BC). Consequently, the bidder does not meet the minimum technical eligibility criteria stipulated under Clause 1.3.1 (b) of Section-IX of the RFP and not recommended for next stage of Bidding.
10	M/s R&C Infraengineers Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive

Bidders may submit their representations against the evaluation within 07 days i.e. upto (23.06.2026), if any, failing which it will be presumed that there are no objections and evaluation will be finalized accordingly.



(Gautam Vishal)
Chief General Manager (Tech) cum
Regional Office-UP (West)

Copy to:

1. All Committee Members.
2. All the bidders.