



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



Result of Technical Proposals

Tender ID: 2026_NHAI_261501_1

13.05.2026

To,

All the Participated Bidders
(As per table below)

Sub: Consultancy Services for Authority Engineer of (i) Construction of a 4-lane Western bypass for Jaunpur city, connecting NH-731 at existing Ch. Km. 223+200 to NH-135A (Eastern Bypass) at Ch. Km. 107+780 (total length: 12.205 km) in the state of Uttar Pradesh, on EPC mode (ii) Construction of 4-Lane Link Road from Thekma village (existing chainage Km 36.758 of NH-128A) to Gosai Ki Bazar Bypass (existing chainage Km 242.787 of NH-28) with length of 8.952 km, including a VUP on NH-28 at Km 242.787, along with widening of NH-128A from 2-Lane to 4-Lane from Smt. Lata Mangeshkar Junction to Prasad Institute for a length of 4.700 km and Strengthening of NH-128A from Prasad Institute to Mohammedpura Junction, covering a length of 40.900 km (total length: 55.902 km), in the State of Uttar Pradesh on EPC Mode. [Tender ID: 2026_NHAI_261501_1] - Evaluation of Technical Bids- Reg.

Sir,

This has reference to RFP invited for above subject consultancy work. The result of Technical Evaluation of the bids received along with reasons for their non-responsiveness are tabulated below:

S. No.	Name of Bidders	Responsive/ Non-Responsive
1.	M/s Theme Engineering Services (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (x) (f) and Clause 5.3 of Section-2 of the RFP. (Refer Note-1 Below)
2.	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Ltd. in Association with M/s Mahamarg Infra Consultants (P) Ltd.	Responsive
3.	M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India (P) Ltd.	Responsive
4.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (x) (f) and Clause 5.3 of Section-2 of the RFP. (Refer Note-2 Below)
5.	M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (x) (f) of Section-2 of the RFP. (Refer Note-3 Below)
6.	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(c) of Section-1 of the RFP. (Refer Note-4 Below)

[Signature]

1/2

S. No.	Name of Bidders	Responsive/ Non-Responsive
7.	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants in Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(a) and Clause 15(c) of Section-1 and Clause 5.3 of Section-2 of the RFP. (Refer Note-5 Below)
8.	M/s Saptagaon Asia (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Highraise Construction and Consultants (P) Ltd.	Responsive
9.	M/s Global Infra Solutions in JV with M/s Casta Engineers (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per clause 15(c) of Section-1 of the RFP. (Refer Note-6 Below)
10.	M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in JV with M/s Vaishnavi Infratech Services (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(a), Clause 15 (c) of Section-1 and Clause 5.3 of Section-2 of the RFP. (Refer Note-7 Below)
11.	M/s Armenge Engineering (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(a) and Clause 15(c) of Section-1 and Clause 3.4 (x) (f) and Clause 5.3 of Section-2 of the RFP. (Refer Note-8 Below)
12.	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(c) of Section-1 of the RFP. (Refer Note-9 Below)
13.	M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(a) and Clause 15(c) of Section-1 and Clause 5.3 of Section-2 of the RFP. (Refer Note-10 Below)
14.	M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(c) of Section-1 and Clause 3.4 (x) (f) of Section-2 of the RFP. (Refer Note-11 Below)
15.	M/s Bloom Companies LLC in Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(c) of Section-1 of the RFP. (Refer Note-12 Below)

2. As per relevant clauses of RFP, result of the technical evaluation is being made available on the website giving opportunity to the bidders to respond within 7 days (i.e., till **19.05.2026**) in case they have any objection. After which, Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.

Yours sincerely,


 (Manoj Kumar Sharma)
 General Manager (Tech.) UP (East)
[✉ manojksharma@nhai.org](mailto:manojksharma@nhai.org)

Reasons for Non-Responsiveness

Note-1: M/s Theme Engineering Services (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd.

- I. As per Clause 3.4 (x) (f) of the “Letter of Invitation” section of the RFP, “the Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer should score at least 75% marks, otherwise the entire proposal shall be considered non-responsive.”

In the present case, the CV of proposed key personnel has scored less than the 75% marks. Accordingly, the bidder M/s Theme Engineering Services (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd. has been considered **Non-Responsive**.

- II. As per Clause 5.3 of the “Letter of Invitation” section of the RFP, “Only those Applicants whose Technical proposal scores 75 marks or more out of 100 shall qualify for further consideration.”

In the present case, the Technical Proposal submitted by the bidder has been assessed to have scored less than 75 marks. Accordingly, the bid submitted by M/s Theme Engineering Services (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd. has been considered **non-responsive**.

Note-2: M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd.

- I. As per Clause 3.4 (x) (f) of the “Letter of Invitation” section of the RFP, “the Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer should score at least 75% marks, otherwise the entire proposal shall be considered non-responsive.”

In the present case, the CV of proposed key personnel has scored less than the 75% marks. Accordingly, the bidder M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd. has been considered **Non-Responsive**.

- II. As per Clause 5.3 of the “Letter of Invitation” section of the RFP, “Only those Applicants whose Technical proposal scores 75 marks or more out of 100 shall qualify for further consideration.”

In the present case, the Technical Proposal submitted by the bidder has been assessed to have scored less than 75 marks. Accordingly, the bid submitted by M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd. has been considered **non-responsive**.

Note-3: M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd.

As per Clause 3.4 (x) (f) of the "Letter of Invitation" section of the RFP, "the Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer should score at least 75% marks, otherwise the entire proposal shall be considered non-responsive."

In the present case, the CV of proposed key personnel has scored less than the 75% marks. Accordingly, the bidder M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd. has been considered **Non-Responsive**.

Note-4: M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects (P) Ltd.

As per Clause 15(C) of Section-1: "Information to Consultants" of the RFP, in order to claim the maximum number of DPR/AE/IE projects, a bidder must fulfill both of the following conditions simultaneously:

- (a) Demonstrate the Average Annual Turnover from consultancy services over the last three financial years; and
- (b) Have the required number of Key Professionals on full-time rolls (for a minimum period of one year), supported by submission of Form 26AS, in accordance with Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP.

In the present case, the bidder has submitted Form 26AS of its Key Professionals, as required under Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP. The continuity of full-time employment of the requisite number of Key Professionals in the relevant category for the required one-year period, i.e., from September 2024 to September 2025, could not be established based on the in-hand DPR/AE/IE projects claimed by the bidder and the supporting Form 26AS documents submitted. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered **Non-Responsive** for not meeting the minimum eligibility requirement with respect to Technical Capacity of the firm to undertake new projects.

Note-5: M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants in Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants

- I. As per Clause 15(A) of Section-1: "Information to Consultants" of the RFP, "The firm should have minimum experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6 laning/Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited (i.e. at least 136.21 Km)."
Based upon the evaluation carried out, the total eligible aggregate length under this category has been assessed as less than the minimum required aggregate length of 136.21 Km.

Accordingly, the bidder has been considered Non-Responsive for not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria as stipulated under Clause 15(A) of Section-1 of the RFP.

II. As per Clause 15(C) of Section-1: "Information to Consultants" of the RFP, in order to claim the maximum number of DPR/AE/IE projects, a bidder must fulfill both of the following conditions simultaneously:

- (a) Demonstrate the Average Annual Turnover from consultancy services over the last three financial years; and
- (b) Have the required number of Key Professionals on full-time rolls (for a minimum period of one year), supported by submission of Form 26AS, in accordance with Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP.

In the present case, the bidder has submitted Form 26AS of its Key Professionals, as required under Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP. The continuity of full-time employment of the requisite number of Key Professionals in the relevant category for the required one-year period, i.e., from September 2024 to September 2025, could not be established based on the in-hand DPR/AE/IE projects claimed by the bidder and the supporting Form 26AS documents submitted. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered **Non-Responsive** for not meeting the minimum eligibility requirement with respect to Technical Capacity of the firm to undertake new projects.

III. As per Clause 5.3 of the "Letter of Invitation" section of the RFP, "Only those Applicants whose Technical proposal scores 75 marks or more out of 100 shall qualify for further consideration."

In the present case, the Technical Proposal submitted by the bidder has been assessed to have scored less than 75 marks. Accordingly, the bid submitted by M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants in Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants has been considered **non-responsive**.

Note-6: M/s Global Infra Solutions in JV with M/s Casta Engineers (P) Ltd.

As per Clause 15(C) of Section-1: "Information to Consultants" of the RFP, in order to claim the maximum number of DPR/AE/IE projects, a bidder must fulfill both of the following conditions simultaneously:

- (a) Demonstrate the Average Annual Turnover from consultancy services over the last three financial years; and
- (b) Have the required number of Key Professionals on full-time rolls (for a minimum period of one year), supported by submission of Form 26AS, in accordance with Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP.

In the present case, the Lead Partner of the Consortium has submitted Form 26AS of its Key Professionals, as required under Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP. The continuity of full-time employment of the requisite number of Key Professionals in the relevant category for the

required one-year period, i.e., from September 2024 to September 2025, could not be established based on the in-hand DPR/AE/IE projects claimed by the Lead Partner and the supporting Form 26AS documents submitted. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered **Non-Responsive** for not meeting the minimum eligibility requirement with respect to Technical Capacity of the firm to undertake new projects.

Note-7: M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in JV with M/s Vaishnavi Infratech Services (P) Ltd.

- I. As per Clause 15(A) of Section-1: "Information to Consultants" of the RFP, "the Annual Turnover of the firm from consultancy business should be equal to or more than 2% of the Estimated Project Cost. In this regard, the Annual Turnover duly certified by a Chartered Accountant shall be accepted. In case of non-availability of such documents, no weightage towards turnover shall be considered."

In the present case, the Other Partner of the Consortium has neither submitted a certificate from the Statutory Auditor nor furnished audited annual accounts for the purpose of assessing the Average Annual Turnover for the last three financial years, as stipulated under Clause 15(A) of Section-1 of the RFP. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered Non-Responsive for not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria as stipulated under Clause 15(A) of Section-1 of the RFP.

- II. As per Clause 15(C) of Section-1: "Information to Consultants" of the RFP, in order to claim the maximum number of DPR/AE/IE projects, a bidder must fulfill both of the following conditions simultaneously:
- (a) Demonstrate the Average Annual Turnover from consultancy services over the last three financial years; and
 - (b) Have the required number of Key Professionals on full-time rolls (for a minimum period of one year), supported by submission of Form 26AS, in accordance with Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP.

In the present case, the Bidder (including both JV Partners) have submitted Form 26AS of their Key Professionals, as required under Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP. The continuity of full-time employment of the requisite number of Key Professionals in the relevant category for the required one-year period, i.e., from September 2024 to September 2025, could not be established based on the in-hand DPR/AE/IE projects claimed by the bidder and the supporting Form 26AS documents submitted. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered **Non-Responsive** for not meeting the minimum eligibility requirement with respect to Technical Capacity of the firm to undertake new projects.

- III. As per Clause 5.3 of the "Letter of Invitation" section of the RFP, "Only those Applicants whose Technical proposal scores 75 marks or more out of 100 shall qualify for further consideration."

In the present case, the Technical Proposal submitted by the bidder has been assessed to have scored less than 75 marks. Accordingly, the bid submitted by M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. in JV with M/s Vaishnavi Infratech Services (P) Ltd. has been considered non-responsive.

Note-8: M/s Armenge Engineering (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects

- I. As per Clause 15(A) of Section-1: "Information to Consultants" of the RFP, "The firm should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/ Independent Engineer/ Consultant of 2/4/6 laning/ Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited (i.e. at least 204.32 Km)."

Based upon the evaluation carried out, the total eligible aggregate length under this category has been assessed as less than the minimum required aggregate length of 204.32 Km.

Accordingly, the bidder has been considered **Non-Responsive** for not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria as stipulated under Clause 15(A) of Section-1 of the RFP.

- II. As per Clause 15(C) of Section-1: "Information to Consultants" of the RFP, in order to claim the maximum number of DPR/AE/IE projects, a bidder must fulfill both of the following conditions simultaneously:
- (a) Demonstrate the Average Annual Turnover from consultancy services over the last three financial years; and
 - (b) Have the required number of Key Professionals on full-time rolls (for a minimum period of one year), supported by submission of Form 26AS, in accordance with Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP.

In the present case, the Bidder (including both JV Partners) have submitted Form 26AS of their Key Professionals, as required under Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP. The continuity of full-time employment of the requisite number of Key Professionals in the relevant category for the required one-year period, i.e., from September 2024 to September 2025, could not be established based on the in-hand DPR/AE/IE projects claimed by the bidder and the supporting Form 26AS documents submitted. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered **Non-Responsive** for not meeting the minimum eligibility requirement with respect to Technical Capacity of the firm to undertake new projects.

- III. As per Clause 3.4 (x) (f) of the "Letter of Invitation" section of the RFP, "the Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer should score at least 75% marks, otherwise the entire proposal shall be considered non-responsive."

In the present case, the CV of proposed key personnel has scored less than the 75% marks. Accordingly, the bidder M/s Armenge Engineering (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects has been considered **Non-Responsive**.

- IV. As per Clause 5.3 of the "Letter of Invitation" section of the RFP, "Only those Applicants whose Technical proposal scores 75 marks or more out of 100 shall qualify for further consideration."

In the present case, the Technical Proposal submitted by the bidder has been assessed to have scored less than 75 marks. Accordingly, the bid submitted by M/s Armenge Engineering (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects has been considered **non-responsive**.

Note-9: M/s Lion Engineering Consultants (P) Ltd. in Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy (P) Ltd.

As per Clause 15(C) of Section-1: "Information to Consultants" of the RFP, in order to claim the maximum number of DPR/AE/IE projects, a bidder must fulfill both of the following conditions simultaneously:

- (a) Demonstrate the Average Annual Turnover from consultancy services over the last three financial years; and
- (b) Have the required number of Key Professionals on full-time rolls (for a minimum period of one year), supported by submission of Form 26AS, in accordance with Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP.

In the present case, the Bidder (including both JV Partners) have submitted Form 26AS of their Key Professionals, as required under Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP. The continuity of full-time employment of the requisite number of Key Professionals in the relevant category for the required one-year period, i.e., from September 2024 to September 2025, could not be established based on the in-hand DPR/AE/IE projects claimed by the bidder and the supporting Form 26AS documents submitted. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered **Non-Responsive** for not meeting the minimum eligibility requirement with respect to Technical Capacity of the firm to undertake new projects.

Note-10: M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates

- I. As per Clause 15(A) of Section-1: "Information to Consultants" of the RFP, "The firm should have minimum experience of Project Supervision/ Independent Engineer/ Consultant of 2/4/6 laning/ Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited (i.e., at least 204.32 Km)."

Based upon the evaluation carried out, the total eligible aggregate length under this category has been assessed as less than the minimum required aggregate length of 204.32 Km.

Accordingly, the bidder has been considered **Non-Responsive** for not meeting the minimum eligibility criteria as stipulated under Clause 15(A) of Section-1 of the RFP.

- II. As per Clause 15(C) of Section-1: "Information to Consultants" of the RFP, in order to claim the maximum number of DPR/AE/IE projects, a bidder must fulfill both of the following conditions simultaneously:

- (a) Demonstrate the Average Annual Turnover from consultancy services over the last three financial years; and
- (b) Have the required number of Key Professionals on full-time rolls (for a minimum period of one year), supported by submission of Form 26AS, in accordance with Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP.

In the present case, the Bidder (including both JV Partners) have submitted Form 26AS of their Key Professionals, as required under Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP. The continuity of full-time employment of the requisite number of Key Professionals in the relevant category for the required one-year period, i.e., from September 2024 to September 2025, could not be established based on the in-hand DPR/AE/IE projects claimed by the bidder and the supporting Form 26AS documents submitted. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered **Non-Responsive** for not meeting the minimum eligibility requirement with respect to Technical Capacity of the firm to undertake new projects.

- III. As per Clause 5.3 of the “Letter of Invitation” section of the RFP, “Only those Applicants whose Technical proposal scores 75 marks or more out of 100 shall qualify for further consideration.”

In the present case, the Technical Proposal submitted by the bidder has been assessed to have scored less than 75 marks. Accordingly, the bid submitted by M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates has been considered **non-responsive**.

Note-11: M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.

- I. As per Clause 15(C) of Section-1: “Information to Consultants” of the RFP, in order to claim the maximum number of DPR/AE/IE projects, a bidder must fulfill both of the following conditions simultaneously:
- (a) Demonstrate the Average Annual Turnover from consultancy services over the last three financial years; and
 - (b) Have the required number of Key Professionals on full-time rolls (for a minimum period of one year), supported by submission of Form 26AS, in accordance with Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP.

In the present case, the Bidder (including both JV Partners) have submitted Form 26AS of their Key Professionals, as required under Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP. The continuity of full-time employment of the requisite number of Key Professionals in the relevant category for the required one-year period, i.e., from September 2024 to September 2025, could not be established based on the in-hand DPR/AE/IE projects claimed by the bidder and the supporting Form 26AS documents submitted. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered **Non-Responsive** for not meeting the minimum eligibility requirement with respect to Technical Capacity of the firm to undertake new projects.

II. As per Clause 3.4 (x) (f) of the "Letter of Invitation" section of the RFP, "the Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer should score at least 75% marks, otherwise the entire proposal shall be considered non-responsive."

In the present case, the CV of proposed key personnel has scored less than the 75% marks. Accordingly, the bidder M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd. has been considered **Non-Responsive**.

Note-12: M/s Bloom Companies LLC in Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) (P) Ltd.

As per Clause 15(C) of Section-1: "Information to Consultants" of the RFP, in order to claim the maximum number of DPR/AE/IE projects, a bidder must fulfill both of the following conditions simultaneously:

- (a) Demonstrate the Average Annual Turnover from consultancy services over the last three financial years; and
- (b) Have the required number of Key Professionals on full-time rolls (for a minimum period of one year), supported by submission of Form 26AS, in accordance with Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP.

In the present case, the Bidder (including both JV Partners) have submitted Form 26AS of their Key Professionals, as required under Note (vi) of Clause 15(C) of the RFP. The continuity of full-time employment of the requisite number of Key Professionals in the relevant category for the required one-year period, i.e., from September 2024 to September 2025, could not be established based on the in-hand DPR/AE/IE projects claimed by the bidder and the supporting Form 26AS documents submitted. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered **Non-Responsive** for not meeting the minimum eligibility requirement with respect to Technical Capacity of the firm to undertake new projects.

Annexure-I

Consultancy Services for Authority Engineer of (i) Construction of a 4-lane Western bypass for Jaunpur city, connecting NH-731 at existing Ch. Km. 223+200 to NH-135A (Eastern Bypass) at Ch. Km. 107+780 (total length: 12.205 km) in the state of Uttar Pradesh, on EPC mode (ii) Construction of 4-Lane Link Road from Thekma village (existing chainage Km 36.758 of NH-128A) to Gosai Ki Bazar Bypass (existing chainage Km 242.787 of NH 28) with length of 8.952 km, including a VUP on NH-28 at Km 242.787 , along with widening of NH-128A from 2-Lane to 4-Lane from Smt. Lata Mangeshkar Junction to Prasad Institute for a length of 4.700 km and Strengthening of NH-128A from Prasad Institute to Mohammedpura Junction, covering a length of 40.900 km (total length: 55.902 km), in the State of Uttar Pradesh on EPC Mode.									
MINIMUM ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA									
S.No.	Name of the Applicants	Technical Capacity	Technical Responsive (TL Score)	Technical Proposal Score	Preparation of DPR (NH/SH/Equivalent)	Project Supervision/IC (NH/SH/Equivalent)		Annual Turnover	whether the Applicant is Technical "Responsive" or "Non-Responsive".
		Technical Capacity Provisions for maximum works to be permitted with one consultant:	CV of proposed Team Leader should score at least 75% marks	Technical Proposal should score at least 75% Marks	The firm should have Minimum experience of Preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6**- laning/Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category for which RFP is invited.	The firm should have minimum Experience of Project Supervision/Independent Engineer/Consultant of 2/4/6** laning/Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 3 times or more of similar category** for which RFP is invited.	Firm should also have experience of Project Supervision/ Independent Engineer/ Authority’ Engineer of at least one project of similar category of four /six** laning/bridge work of length equal to 40% of project length	Annual turnover (updated average of last 3 years) of the firm from consultancy business should be equal to or more than 2% of Estimated Project Cost.	
1	M/s Theme Engineering Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd.	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Non- Responsive
2	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Ltd. In Association with M/s Mahamarg Infra Consultants (P) Ltd.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Responsive
3	M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India (P) Ltd.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Responsive
4	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd.	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Non- Responsive
5	M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Non- Responsive
6	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects (P) Ltd.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Non- Responsive
7	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants In Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Non- Responsive
8	M/s Saptagaon Asia (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Highraise Construction and Consultants (P) Ltd.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Responsive
9	M/s Global Infra Solutions In JV with M/s Casta Engineers (P) Ltd.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Non- Responsive
10	M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. In JV with M/s Vaishnavi Infotech Services (P) Ltd.	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	LM-Yes OM-No	Non- Responsive
11	M/s Armenge Engineering (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Non- Responsive
12	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy (P) Ltd.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Non- Responsive
13	M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Non- Responsive
14	M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Non- Responsive
15	M/s Bloom Companies LLC In Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) (P) Ltd.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Non- Responsive

FIRMS RELEVANT EXPERIENCE FOR THE ASSIGNMENT																																	
S. No	Applicant No.	Max Marks	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15		
	Description		M/s Theme Engineering Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd.		M/s Chaitanya Projects In Consultancy Ltd. In Association with M/s Mahamarg Consultants (P) Ltd.		M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India (P) Ltd.		M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd.		M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd.		M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects (P) Ltd.		M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants In Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants		M/s Saptagaon Asia (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Highraise Construction and Consultants (P) Ltd.		M/s Global Infra Solutions In JV with M/s Casta Engineers (P) Ltd.		M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. In JV with M/s Vaishnavi Infratech Services (P) Ltd.		M/s Armenge Engineering (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects		M/s Lion Engineering Consultants (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Yatinidhi Associates		M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates		M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.		M/s Bloom Companies LLC In Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) (P) Ltd.		
			Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	Assesed	Claimed	
1	Average Annual Turnover (last three years) from consultancy business	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1.75	2	2	2	2	2	1.75	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1.5	2	2	2	1.5	2	2	2	2	2	2
1.1	< 2% of TPC	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	1.75	2	2	2	2	1.75	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1.5	2	2	2	1.5	2	2	2	2	2	2	
1.2	2% of TPC	1.5																															
1.3	Add additional 0.25 (zero point two five) mark for every 1% of TPC above 2% of TPC subject to maximum marks 0.5 marks.																																
2	Nos. of Highway Professionals with the firm	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	8	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	
2.1	<10	0	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	8	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	
2.2	10 to 20	8																															
2.3	>20 but < or equal to 30	9																															
2.4	>30	10																															
3	Experience as Independent Engineer/ Authority Engineer/ Construction Supervision in Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6-laning** of length (40% of project length or more in last 7 years.	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	8	10	8.5	10	9	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	
3.1	1 project: 8 marks	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	8	10	8.5	10	9	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	
3.2	Add 1 (one) mark extra for completed assignment of Authority Engineer /Independent Engineer and add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for completed assignment of supervision consultancy subject to maximum 2 (Two) marks.	2																															
4	Experience in DPR/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report preparation for Number of Highway Projects of 2/4/6-laning** of length (40% of project Length or more in last 7 years.	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.5	5	4.5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.5	5	5	5	5	5	
4.1	1 project: 4 marks	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.5	5	4.5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.5	5	5	5	5	5	
4.2	0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 mark.	1																															
5	In hand DPRs of Highway/Bridge/Tunnel Projects (presently under progress)	10	0	10	10	10	5	10	0	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	10	10	5	10	10	10	10	5	10
5.1	<2	0	0	10	10	10	5	10	0	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	10	10	5	10	10	10	5	10	
5.2	2-5	5																															
5.3	>5	10																															
6	Experience in Construction Supervision /DPR/Design Review of Major structures having length of more than 500 meter in last 7 years.	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
6.1	1 project - 2.0 marks	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
6.2	Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 marks	1																															
		40	30	40	40	40	35	40	29.75	40	39	40	40	40	38.25	40	29.5	40	28	40	27.5	40	26.5	40	40	40	31	40	40	40	35	40	
			1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15		

Evaluation Criteria for assessment of experience in use of technology for road inspection

[illegible]

Team leader cum Senior Highway Engineer																																
S. No.	Applicant No.	Max Marks	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15	
	Name of the Applicant		M/s Theme Engineering Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Info Solutions (P) Ltd.	M/s Chaitanya Projects Ltd. In Association with M/s Mahamarg Consultants (P) Ltd.	M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India (P) Ltd.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd.	M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd.	M/s LN Malviya Infra Projects (P) Ltd.	M/s Sterling Indo Association Projects with and	M/s Saptagaon Asia (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Highraise Construction and Consultants (P) Ltd.	M/s Global Infra Solutions In JV with M/s Casta Engineers (P) Ltd.	M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. In JV with M/s Vaishnavi Infratech Services (P) Ltd.	M/s Armenge Engineering (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects	M/s Lion Consultants (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy (P) Ltd.	M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates	M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	M/s Bloom Companies LLC In Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) (P) Ltd.															
	Description		Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed		
1	General Qualification	25	25	25	21	21	21	21	21	21	25	21	21	21	21	25	25	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	25	25	21	25			
i)	Graduate in Civil Engineering	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21		
ii)	Post Graduation in Management/Construction /Transportation /Highway Engineering /Structural Engineering or equivalent specialised stream of civil engineering.	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	0	4		
2	Adequacy for the Project	70	67	69	70	70	67	67	50	68	69	69	70	70	68	70	66	70	69	70	55	70	69	70	69	69	68	70	66	70	61	70
a)	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway Projects	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
i)	< 12 years -0	0																														
	12 years - 8 marks	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		
	Add 1 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to a maximum of 2 (two) marks.	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		
ii)	Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in Highway Development projects of (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	
	<5 years – 0 marks	0																														
	5 years – 11 marks	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
iii)	Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity in Highway Development projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above) on EPC	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	1 project -4 marks	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
	1 mark extra for additional projects subject to maximum1 (one) mark	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
b)	Experience as Team Leader or similar capacity of project preparation including design of major highway project (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above).	15	13	15	15	15	13	13	0	13	15	15	15	15	13	15	11	15	15	15	0	15	15	15	15	15	13	15	13	15	11	15
	< 2 projects -0	0																														
	2 Projects -11 marks	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	0	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	0	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	
	Add 2 marks for each additional project, subject to maximum 4 marks.	4	2	4	4	4	2	2	0	2	4	4	4	4	2	4	0	4	4	4	0	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	4	0	4
c)	Experience in position of Team Leader/Project Manager or similar capacity in construction supervision/IC length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	18	20	20	20	
	< 2 projects -0	0																														
	2 Projects -16 marks	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
	Add 2 mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 4 (four) mark	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	
d)	Experience as Team Leader or similar Capacity in Operation & Maintenance of major Highway (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	0	5	
	1 project – 4 marks	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	0	4	
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (one) marks.	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	
3	Employment with the Firm	5	3.5	3.5	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.5	5	
	< 1 year -0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1 year -3		3	3			3	3											3	3										3	3	
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks		0.5	0.5			2	2																						0.5	2	
	Total	100	0	97.5	91	91	93	93	71	89	0	94	91	91	0	91	91	95	93	94	76	91	0	91	90	90	89	95	0	95	85.5	100
	Weightage @ 12	12	0	11.7	10.92	10.92	11.16	11.16	8.52	10.68	0	11.28	10.92	10.92	0	10.92	10.92	11.4	11.16	11.28	9.12	10.92	0	10.92	10.8	10.8	10.68	11.4	0	11.4	10.26	12
			Engaged		2		3		4		Engaged		6		Engaged		8		9		10		Engaged		12		13		Engaged		15	

Resident cum Highway Engineer-1																																
S. No.	Applicant No.	Max Marks	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15	
	Name of the Applicant		M/s Theme Engineering Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd.	M/s Chaitanya Projects Ltd. In Association with M/s Mahamarg Consultants (P) Ltd.	M/s FP India Project Management Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India (P) Ltd.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd.	M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd.	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects (P) Ltd.	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants In Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants	M/s Saptagaon Asia (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Highraise Construction Consultants (P) Ltd.	M/s Global Infra Solutions In JV with M/s Casta Engineers (P) Ltd.	M/s Engineering Co. Ltd. In JV with M/s Vaishnavi Infratech Services (P) Ltd.	M/s Engineering (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy (P) Ltd.	M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates	M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	M/s Bloom Companies LLC In Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) (P) Ltd.															
	Description		Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed
1	General Qualification	25	21	21	21	21	21	21	25	21	21	21	21	21	25	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	25	25	21	21	21	21	21	25	21	21
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
	II) Post Graduation in Transportation/Highway Engineering/Structural Engineering/Geotechnical Engineering	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
2	Adequacy for the Project	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	67	70	24	70	69	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
	Professional Experience																															
	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway projects	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	0	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	< 12 years -0																															
	i) 12 years -18		18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	0	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
	Add one marks extra for each additional completed year of experience subject to maximum 2 (two) marks.		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	Experience as Resident Engineer/Project Director/Project Manager/Superintending Engineer or equivalent/Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/Independent Engineer Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning*) and above)	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	ii) < 5 years -0																															
	5 years -15		15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
	Add 1 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	Experience in similar capacity in handling major 2/4/6-laning** projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	22	25	0	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
	iii) < 2 nos -0																															
	2 nos -19		19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	0	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
	Add three marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 6 (Six) marks.		6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	3	6	0	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
	Experience in similar capacity of Highway Project of Construction/Construction Supervision/IC on EPC Mode (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	1 Project- 4 Marks		4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	Add 1 (One) Marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (One) Marks		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Employment with the Firm	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	< 1 year -0		0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1 year -3						3	3											3			3	3									
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks						2	2																								
	Total	100	0	91	91	91	0	96	91	95	91	91	91	91	95	91	91	0	94	45	91	97	98	0	91	0	91	91	95	91	91	
	Weightage @ 3	3	0	2.73	2.73	2.73	0	2.88	2.73	2.85	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.85	2.73	2.73	0	2.82	1.35	2.73	2.91	2.94	0	2.73	0	2.73	2.73	2.85	2.73	2.73	
			Engaged		2		Engaged		4		5		6		7		8		Engaged		10		11		Engaged		Engaged		14		15	

Resident cum Highway Engineer-2																																
S. No.	Applicant No.	Max Marks	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15	
	Name of the Applicant		M/s Theme Engineering Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd.	M/s Chaitanya Projects Ltd. In Association with M/s Mahamarg Consultants (P) Ltd.	M/s FP India Project Management Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India (P) Ltd.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd.	M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd.	M/s L. N. Malviya Infra Projects (P) Ltd.	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants In Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants	M/s Saptagaon Asia (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Highraise Construction Consultants (P) Ltd.	M/s Global Infra Solutions In JV with M/s Casta Engineers (P) Ltd.	M/s Engineering Co. Ltd. In Association with M/s Vaishnavi Infratech Services (P) Ltd.	M/s Armerge (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy (P) Ltd.	M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates	M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	M/s Bloom Companies LLC In Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) (P) Ltd.															
	Description		Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed		
1	General Qualification	25	21	25	21	21	21	21	25	25	21	21	21	25	21	25	25	25	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	25	
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
	II) Post Graduation in Transportation/Highway Engineering/Structural Engineering/Geotechnical Engineering	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	4	0	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
2	Adequacy for the Project	70	69	70	70	70	70	70	67	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	68	70	70	70	70	70	
	Professional Experience																															
	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway projects	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	18	20	20	20	20	20	
i)	< 12 years -0																															
	12 years -18		18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	
	Add one marks extra for each additional completed year of experience subject to maximum 2 (two) marks.		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2
ii)	Experience as Resident Engineer/Project Director/Project Manager/Superintending Engineer or equivalent/Executive Engineer or equivalent on construction works/Independent Engineer Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning*) and above)	20	19	20	20	20	20	20	17	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
	< 5 years -0																															
	5 years -15		15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	
	Add 1 marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.		4	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
iii)	Experience in similar capacity in handling major 2/4/6-laning** projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
	< 2 nos -0																															
	2 nos -19		19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
	Add three marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 6 (Six) marks.		6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
iv)	Experience in similar capacity of Highway Project of Construction/Construction Supervision/IC on EPC Mode (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	1 Project- 4 Marks		4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
	Add 1 (One) Marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 (One) Marks		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
3	Employment with the Firm	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	< 1 year -0		0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1 year -3																															
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks																															
	Total	100	90	95	91	91	91	91	92	95	91	91	91	95	0	95	95	95	91	91	91	91	91	91	0	91	0	91	0	91	95	
	Weightage @ 3	3	2.7	2.85	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.76	2.85	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.85	0	2.85	2.85	2.85	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.73	2.73	0	2.73	0	2.73	0	2.73	2.85	
			1		2		3		4		5		6		Engaged		8		9		10		11		Engaged		Engaged		Engaged		15	

Bridge/structural engineer																																	
S. No.	Applicant No.		Max Marks	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15	
	Name of the Applicant			M/s Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd.	Engineering In M/s Chaitanya Projects In Association with M/s Mahamarg Infra Consultants (P) Ltd.	M/s Management Consultancy Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India (P) Ltd.	FP India Project Consultancy Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd.	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects (P) Ltd.	M/s Sterling Consultants In Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants	Indo Tech Association in Association with M/s Saptagaon Asia (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Global Infra Solutions In JV with M/s Casta Engineers (P) Ltd.	M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. In JV with M/s Vaishnavi Infratech Services (P) Ltd.	Engineering (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects	M/s Lion Consultants (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy (P) Ltd.	Engineering (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Mspark Associates	Futuristics & M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	M/s Bloom Companies LLC In Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) (P) Ltd.																
	Description	Assessed		Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	
1	General Qualification		25	25	25	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21		
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering		21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21		
	II) Post Graduation in Structural Engineering		4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2	Adequacy for the Project		70	50	68	70	70	70	70	70	69	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	63	70	68	70	
i)	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects		15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	13	15	15	15	
	< 10 years -0																																
	10 years -11		11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	
	Add one mark extra for each additional 2 year subject to maximum 4(four) marks.		4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	
ii)	Experience in similar capacity in Design /Construction/Construction Supervision of Bridges/ROB/Flyover/Interchanges/any other such structures (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)		20	0	18	20	20	20	20	20	19	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	15	20	18	20	
	< 5 years -0																																
	5 years -15		15	0	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	
	Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional completed year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.		5	0	3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	3	5	
iii)	Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Major Highway Bridges/ROB/Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures		20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
	< 2 Bridges -0																																
	2 Bridge -15		15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	
	Add 2.5 mark extra for each additional bridge subject to maximum 5 mark		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
iv)	Experience in similar capacity in supervision of Rehabilitation and repair of Major Bridges/ROB/Flyover/ Interchanges/ any other structures		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
	< 2 - 0																																
	2 nos - 08 marks		8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
	3 or more -10		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
v)	Experience in similar capacity of modern bridge construction technology viz., Precast Segmental, Balanced Cantilever Construction, Extradosed Bridge, Full Span Launching, Incremental Launching.		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
	Experience in 1 project - 4		4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		
	More than one project - 5 marks		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
3	Employment with the Firm		5	4.5	4.5	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	5	5	0	0	0	0		
	< 1 year -0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	1 year -3		3	4	4			3	3															3	3	3	3						
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks		2	0.5	0.5			1	1															1	1	2	2						
	Total		100	0	97.5	91	91	0	95	0	91	90	91	0	91	0	91	91	91	91	0	91	91	95	95	0	96	84	91	89	91		
	Weightage @ 6		6	0	5.85	5.46	5.46	0	5.7	0	5.46	5.4	5.46	0	5.46	0	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	0	5.46	5.46	5.7	5.7	0	5.76	5.04	5.46	5.34	5.46		
			Engaged		2		Engaged		Engaged		5		Engaged		Engaged		8		9		Engaged		11		12		Engaged		14		15		

Senior Pavement Specialist																																
S. No.	Applicant No.	Max Marks	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15	
	Name of the Applicant		M/s Theme Engineering Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd.		M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Ltd. In Association with M/s Mahamarg Infra Consultants (P) Ltd.		M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India (P) Ltd.		M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd.		M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd.		M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects (P) Ltd.		M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants In Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants		M/s Saptagaon Asia (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Highraise Construction and Consultants (P) Ltd.		M/s Global Infra Solutions In JV with M/s Casta Engineers (P) Ltd.		M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. In JV with M/s Vaishnavi Infretech Services (P) Ltd.		M/s Armenge Engineering (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects		M/s Lion Engineering Consultants (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy (P) Ltd.		M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates		M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.		M/s Bloom Companies LLC In Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) (P) Ltd.	
			Description	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed
1	General Qualification	25	21	25	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
i)	Graduate in Civil Engineering	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
	Post Graduate in Transportation/ Highway Engineering/ Pavement Engineering or equivalent	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Adequacy for the Project	70	70	70	70	70	69	69	70	70	67	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	63.5	65.5	65.5	69	70	70	70	70	60	70	70	70	65	70
i)	Total Professional Experience of Pavement Design/Construction and Maintenance of Highways/Road/ Air Field Runway	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	17	18	19	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	<10 years – 0																															
ii)	10 years – 15 marks	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	Experience in Similar capacity in Construction/ Construction Supervision of 2/4/6 laning** of major Highways Projects (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning** and above)	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	17	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	19	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	16	20
	< 5 years – 0																															
iii)	5 years – 15 marks	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
	Add 1 mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 5 (five) marks.	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	1	5
	Experience in Similar Capacity																															
	Experience as similar capacity as Pavement/Geo-technical Engineer in construction/construction supervision of Major Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	22.5	22.5	22.5	25	25	25	25	25	20	25	25	25	25	25
	< 2 nos – 0																															
	2 nos -20 marks	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 marks	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	5	5	5
	Experience in similar capacity on EPC Projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6**) and above)	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	5	4	5
3	1 Project -4 marks	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	0	4	4	4	4	4
	Add 1 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 marks	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
	Employment with the Firm	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4.5	4.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.5	3.5	0	0	0	0
	< 1 year -0								0	0	0	0			0	0							0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0
	1 year -3 marks	3															3	3	3	3							3	3				
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks	2															1	1	1.5	1.5						0.5	0.5					
	Total	100	0	95	91	91	90	90	0	91	88	91	91	91	91	91	95	95	0	91	86.5	90	91	91	91	84.5	94.5	91	91	86	91	
	Weightage @ 6	6	0	5.7	5.46	5.46	5.4	5.4	0	5.46	5.28	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.7	5.7	0	5.46	5.19	5.4	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.07	5.67	5.46	5.46	5.16	5.46	
			Engaged		2		3		Engaged		5		6		7		8		Engaged		10		11		12		13		14		15	

Senior Quality / Material Expert		Max Marks																														
Applicant No.	Name of the Applicant		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15	
			M/s Theme Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd.	Engineering (P) Ltd.	M/s Chaitanya Projects Ltd. In Association with M/s Mahamarg Infra Consultants (P) Ltd.	M/s FP India Project Management Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Indian Engineering India (P) Ltd.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd.	M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd.	M/s L N Malviya Projects (P) Ltd.	Infra Consultants with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants In Association with M/s Highraise Construction and Consultants (P) Ltd.	M/s Saptagaon Asia (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Highraise Construction and Consultants (P) Ltd.	M/s Global Infra Solutions In JV with M/s Casta Engineers (P) Ltd.	M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. In JV with M/s Vaishnavi Infra Tech Services (P) Ltd.	M/s Engineering (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy (P) Ltd.	M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates	M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	M/s Bloom Companies LLC In Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) (P) Ltd.													
Description			Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed
1	General Qualification	25	21	25	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
	I) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
	II) Post Graduation in Geotechnical Engineering/Foundation Engineering/Soil Mechanics/Rock Mechanics	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Adequacy for the Project	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	65.5	68	69	70	70	70	70
	Professional Experience																															
	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects < 10 years -0	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	13	13	14	15	15	15	15	
i)	10 years -11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	3	4	4	4	4	4
	Experience in similar capacity in Construction /Construction Supervision of major Highway Projects ((similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above))	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
ii)	< 5 years -0																															
	5 years -19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
	Add 2.0 (two) marks extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 6 (six) marks.	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	
	Experience in similar capacity in handling Similar Highway projects (of length 40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	27.5	30	30	30	30	30	30	
iii)	< 2 nos -0																															
	2 nos -25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 5 (Five) marks.	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2.5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	Employment with the Firm	5	4	4	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3	< 1 year - 0			0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1 year - 3	3	3	3			3	3																3	3							
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks	2	1	1			2	2																2	2							
	Total :	100	0	99	91	91	96	96	0	91	0	91	0	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	0	91	0	91	0	94	90	91	0	91	0	91
	Weightage @ 6	6	0	5.94	5.46	5.46	5.76	5.76	0	5.46	0	5.46	0	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.46	0	5.46	0	5.46	0	5.64	5.4	5.46	0	5.46	0	5.46
			Engaged		2		3		Engaged		Engaged		Engaged		7		8		9		Engaged		Engaged		Engaged		13		Engaged		Engaged	

Road Safety Expert																																
S. No.	Bidder No.	Max Marks																														
Name of the Bidder		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		13		14		15		
Description		Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	
1	General Qualification	25	21	21	21	21	25	25	21	21	21	25	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	25	25	25	25	21	21	25	25	21	21	25	25
	i) Graduate in Civil Engineering	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
	ii) Post Graduation in Traffic/Transportation/Safety Engineering or equivalent. Post-Graduation in Transportation planning will also be considered provided it is obtained after Graduation in Civil Engineering.	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	0	0	4	4	0	0	4	4
2	Adequacy for the Project	70	63	70	67	70	65	70	70	70	51	66	70	70	66	70	70	70	43	72.5	70	70	70	70	73	73	72	75	75	75	70	75
i)	Professional Experience																															
	Total Professional Experience in handling Highway/Bridge projects	15	15	15	12	15	15	15	15	15	11	11	15	15	15	15	15	15	0	14	15	15	15	15	13	13	15	15	15	15	15	
	< 10 years -0																		0		15	15	15	15								
	10 years -11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11		11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.	4	4	4	1	4	4	4	4	4	0	0	4	4	4	4	4	4		3	4	4	4	4	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4
	Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety works on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above).	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	0	15	15	15	11	15	15	15	0	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	12	15	15	15	15	15
ii)	< 5 years -0										0								0													
	5 years -11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11		11	11	11	11	11	11	11		11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	
	Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 4 (four) marks.	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4		4	4	4	4	4	4	4		4	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	4	4	4	4	4
iii)	Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety Audits of 2/4/6-laning** Highway projects at different stages including at least one at design stage	20	15	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
	< 2 nos -0																															
	2 nos -15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
	Add 2.5 marks extra for each additional projectsubject to maximum 5 marks.	5	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	Experience in similar capacity in identification and improvement of black spots on Major Highway Project (similar configuration (2/4/6 laning**) and above)	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	<2 nos. - 0 marks																															
iv)	2 nos. - 8 marks	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	Add 2 marks extra for each additional improvement of black spots subject to maximum 2 marks.	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	Experience in similar capacity of preparing Road Safety Management Plans for Inter Urban Highway	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
v)	1 project- 4 marks	4	4	4	4	4	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	2 or more project- 5 marks	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Experience in similar capacity in field of Road Safety Management Plan	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
vi)	1 project- 4 marks	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	2 or more project- 5 marks	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Employment with the Firm	5	4	4.5	0	0	5	5	0	0	5	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	3	3.5	0	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5
3	< 1 year - 0										0	0			0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	0		
	1 year - 3	3	3	3			3	3			3	3	3	3					3	3			3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		3
	Add 0.5 marks for each subsequent year subject to maximum 2 marks	2	1	1.5			2	2			2	2	2	2					0	0.5			2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		2
	Total :	100	88	95.5	88	91	95	100	91	91	77	96	0	96	87	91	91	91	64	93.5	95	95	100	100	94	94	0	100	96	96	95	100
	Weightage @ 4	4	3.52	3.82	3.52	3.64	3.8	4	3.64	3.64	3.08	3.84	0	3.84	3.48	3.64	3.64	3.64	2.56	3.74	3.8	3.8	4	4	3.76	3.76	0	4	3.84	3.84	3.8	4
			1		2		3		4		5		Engaged		7		8		9		10		11		12		Engaged		14		15	

Summary Sheet of CV's																																															
S. No.	Details	Total Marks	1			2			3			4			5			6			7			8			9			10			11			12			13			14			15		
			M/s Theme Engineering Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd.			M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Ltd. In Association with M/s Mahamarg Infra Consultants (P) Ltd.			M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India (P) Ltd.			M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd.			M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd.			M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects (P) Ltd.			M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants In Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants			M/s Saptagon Asia (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Highraise Construction and Consultants (P) Ltd.			M/s Global Infra Solutions In JV with M/s Casta Engineers (P) Ltd.			M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. In JV with M/s Vaishnavi Infratech Services (P) Ltd.			M/s Armenge Engineering (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects			M/s Lion Engineering Consultants (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy (P) Ltd.			M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates			M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.			M/s Bloom Companies LLC In Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) (P) Ltd.		
			Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed	Score	Assesed	Claimed			
1	Team Leader- cum Senior Highway Engineer	12	0	0	11.7	91	10.92	10.92	93	11.16	11.16	71	8.52	10.68	0	0	11.28	91	10.92	10.92	0	0	10.92	91	10.92	11.4	93	11.16	11.28	76	9.12	10.92	0	0	10.92	90	10.8	10.8	89	10.68	11.4	0	0	11.4	85.5	10.26	12
2	Resident cum Highway Engineer-1	3	0	0	2.73	91	2.73	2.73	0	0	2.88	91	2.73	2.85	91	2.73	2.73	91	2.73	2.73	91	2.73	2.85	91	2.73	2.73	0	0	2.82	45	1.35	2.73	97	2.91	2.94	0	0	2.73	0	0	2.73	91	2.73	2.85	91	2.73	2.73
3	Resident cum Highway Engineer-2	3	90	2.7	2.85	91	2.73	2.73	91	2.73	2.73	92	2.76	2.85	91	2.73	2.73	91	2.73	2.85	0	0	2.85	95	2.85	2.85	91	2.73	2.73	91	2.73	2.73	91	2.73	2.73	0	0	2.73	0	0	2.73	0	0	2.73	91	2.73	2.85
4	Bridge / Structural Engineer	6	0	0	5.85	91	5.46	5.46	0	0	5.7	0	0.00	5.46	90	5.40	5.46	0	0	5.46	0	0	5.46	91	5.46	5.46	91	5.46	5.46	0	0	5.46	91	5.46	5.46	95	5.7	5.7	0	0	5.76	84	5.04	5.46	89	5.34	5.46
5	Senior Pavement Specialist	6	0	0	5.7	91	5.46	5.46	90	5.4	5.4	0	0	5.46	88	5.28	5.46	91	5.46	5.46	91	5.46	5.46	95	5.7	5.7	0	0	5.46	86.5	5.19	5.4	91	5.46	5.46	91	5.46	5.46	84.5	5.07	5.67	91	5.46	5.46	86	5.16	5.46
6	Senior Quality / Material Expert	6	0	0	5.94	91	5.46	5.46	96	5.76	5.76	0	0.00	5.46	0	0	5.46	0	0	5.46	91	5.46	5.46	91	5.46	5.46	91	5.46	5.46	0	0	5.46	0	0	5.46	0	0	5.64	90	5.4	5.46	0	0	5.46	0	0	5.46
7	Road Safety Expert	4	88	3.52	3.82	88	3.52	3.64	95	3.8	4	91	3.64	3.64	77	3.08	3.84	0	0	3.84	87	3.48	3.64	91	3.64	3.64	64	2.56	3.74	95	3.8	3.8	100	4	4	94	3.76	3.76	0	0	4	96	3.84	3.84	95	3.8	4
		40		6.22	38.59		36.28	36.40		28.85	37.63		17.65	36.40		19.22	36.96		21.84	36.72		17.13	36.64		36.76	37.24		27.37	36.95		22.19	36.50		28.56	36.97		25.72	36.82		21.15	37.75		17.07	37.20		30.02	37.96

Final Summary

Final Comparative Technical Evaluation Score.										Annexure-V
S. No.	Name of Bidder	Relevant Experience for the assignment*		Evaluation Criteria for assessment of experience in use of technology for road inspection		Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment		Total		whether the Technical Responsive Applicant/Bidder Eligible for opening of Financial proposal ^{l**}
		Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	Claimed	Assessed	
	Maximum marks	40	40	20	20	40	40	100	100	
1	M/s Theme Engineering Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Ishita Info Solutions (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (x) (f) and Clause 5.3 of Section-2 of the RFP.								Non-Responsive
2	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Ltd. In Association with M/s Mahamarg Infra Consultants (P) Ltd.	40.00	40.00	20.00	20.00	36.40	36.28	96.40	96.28	Responsive
3	M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India (P) Ltd.	40.00	35.00	20.00	20.00	37.63	28.85	97.63	83.85	Responsive
4	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Techhub Engineering & Advisory (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (x) (f) and Clause 5.3 of Section-2 of the RFP.								Non-Responsive
5	M/s Marc Technocrats Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 3.4 (x) (f) of Section-2 of the RFP.								Non-Responsive
6	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(c) of Section-1 of the RFP.								Non-Responsive
7	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants In Association with M/s I&R Projects and Consultants	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(a) and Clause 15(c) of Section-1 and Clause 5.3 of Section-2 of the RFP.								Non-Responsive
8	M/s Saptagaon Asia (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Highraise Construction and Consultants (P) Ltd.	40.00	29.50	20.00	20.00	37.24	36.76	97.24	86.26	Responsive
9	M/s Global Infra Solutions In JV with M/s Casta Engineers (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per clause 15(c) of Section-1 of the RFP.								Non-Responsive
10	M/s Yongma Engineering Co. Ltd. In JV with M/s Vaishnavi Infratech Services (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(a), Clause 15(c) of Section-1 and Clause 5.3 of Section-2 of the RFP.								Non-Responsive
11	M/s Armenge Engineering (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Aaryan Infra Projects	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(a) and Clause 15(c) of Section-1 and Clause 3.4 (x) (f) and Clause 5.3 of Section-2 of the RFP.								Non-Responsive
12	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants (P) Ltd. In Association with M/s Yatinidhi Consultancy (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(c) of Section-1 of the RFP.								Non-Responsive
13	M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(a) and Clause 15(c) of Section-1 and Clause 5.3 of Section-2 of the RFP.								Non-Responsive
14	M/s Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(c) of Section-1 and Clause 3.4 (x) (f) of Section-2 of the RFP.								Non-Responsive
15	M/s Bloom Companies LLC In Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) (P) Ltd.	Non-Responsive as per Clause 15(c) of Section-1 of the RFP.								Non-Responsive