No.RW/NH-12014/58/2025/PU/South-II
Government of India
MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS
Regional Office, Chennai.
C-1-A, Rajaji Bhavan, Besant Nagar, Chennai- 600090.
Email: cerochennaimorth@gmail.com, Telefax Off: 24912115, 24919341

Date : 29.07.2026

Result of Technical Evaluation

To,

All Bidders

Sub.: Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for Supervision of Construction of elevated corridor/grade separator connecting Indira Gandhi Square (at Km 0/0) & Rajiv Gandhi Square (at Km 1.1) on NH-66 (New NH 32) in Union Territory of Puducherry of total length of 3.877 km including additional ramps on approaches through an Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Contract **(2nd call)** - **Result of Technical Evaluation – Reg.**

Tender ID: - 2026_MoRTH_908884_1

The RFP for the subject Consultancy services was invited on e-tendering portal (https://eprocure.gov.in) on 15.05.2026. The extended Bid Due Date & time was 01.07.2026 (up to 1500 Hrs). Following bidders have submitted proposals on CPP portal and INFRACON Portal by bid due date:

Sl.No.	Name of Bidder	Type	Bids Received from CPP Portal	Bids Received from Infracon Portal
1/4	M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd. in Association with Innovative Engineering Advisory LLP	Lead Member & non-substantial members.	Yes	Yes
2/4	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant in JV with L.N. Malviya Infra Project Pvt.Ltd.	Lead Member & substantial members.	Yes	Yes
3/4	M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in association with Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	Lead Member & non-substantial members.	Yes	Yes
4/4	M/s Saptagon Asia Private Limited in Association with Highraise Construction and Consultant Private Limited	Lead Member & non-substantial members.	Yes	Yes

2. In the first stage, minimum qualification criteria of all the bidders were checked in accordance with provisions of RFP and thereafter the Technical Proposals of the bidders were evaluated and scores were assigned in accordance with the evaluation criteria and sub-criteria prescribed in **Section VII: Evaluation/Scoring Criteria.**

(a) The Evaluation Committee found that 2 out of 4 bidders are **non-responsive/non-eligible.** The details are as under:

Sl.No.	Name of Bidder	Reasons for non-responsive/non-eligible
2/4	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant in JV with L.N. Malviya Infra Project Pvt.Ltd.	(i) As per clause 2)(b) of Appendix-1 to Tender Information Summary of RFP, the Consultant must Not stand declared ineligible/ blacklisted/ banned/ debarred by the MoF/MoRTH/NHAI/NHIDCL/BRO/PWD from participation in its procurement processes. However, the Lead partner of bidder i.e. M/s Highway Engineering Consultant has been debarred by NHAI vide letter No. PUNDIV-20014/8/2024-PIU Bathinda (e-252959) dated 20.07.2026 for a period of 2 Years from participation in any bid with MORTH, NHAI and their executing agencies, effective from 20.07.2026. Hence, bidder has been considered non- eligible. (ii) Moreover, as per Clause 11.3.3 of Section II (Instructions to Consultants) of RFP, the bidder required to obtain the minimum technical score of 70%. However upon detailed evaluation of the submitted bid, the bidder scored less than 70% marks. Since the bidder has not met the minimum eligibility criteria the bidder has been considered technically non-responsive.
3/4	M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in association with Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	(i) As per Clause 11.3.3 of Section II (Instructions to Consultants) of RFP, the bidder required to obtain the minimum technical score of 70%. However upon detailed evaluation of the submitted bid, the bidder scored less than 70% marks. Since the bidder has not met the minimum eligibility criteria the bidder has been considered technically non-responsive. (ii) Moreover, the bidder has submitted only Form under T-2 and has not furnished the details of the length of completed projects in the last five years and running (in-hand) projects alongwith the requisite client certificates. In the absence of these, the bidder's technical capacity cannot be assessed. Accordingly, the bid has been declared technically non-responsive due to non-fulfillment of technical capacity requirements as per Clause 4 of Appendix-EC of RFP.

(b) Technical proposals of following 2 firms were found to be responsive. The scores of the Technical Proposals according to the evaluation as per RFP are as given below:

Sl.No.	Name of Bidder	Firm's relevant experience in last 7 years (out of 25)	Rating of Firm (out of 40)	Use of Technology for road inspection (out of 10)	Score of CVs of Key Personnel (out of 25)	Total Marks (out of 100)	Responsive/ Non-Responsive
1/4	M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd. in Association with Innovative Engineering Advisory LLP	14.00	25.00	10.00	22.49	71.49	**Responsive**
2/4	M/s Highway Engineering Consultant in JV with L.N. Malviya Infra Project Pvt.Ltd.	18.75	0.00	5.50	17.60	41.85	**Non-Responsive as per clause 2) (b) of Appendix-1 to Tender Information and Clause 11.3.3 of Section II of RFP**
3/4	M/s Theme Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. in association with Ishita Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	18.00	15.00	8.50	21.68	63.18	**Non-Responsive as per Clause 4 of Appendix-EC and Clause 11.3.3 of Section II of RFP**
4/4	M/s Saptagon Asia Private Limited in Association with Highraise Construction and Consultant Private Limited	18.00	30.00	10.00	21.71	79.71	**Responsive**

3. Further, in accordance to clause 11.3.1 (4) of Section II: Instructions to Consultants (ITC), *If any Key Expert or Team Lead of the successful consultant scores less than the 75% of the maximum score, Procuring Entity shall be entitled to ask for a better replacement before the negotiations as per ITC-Clause 12.* The following Key Personnel scored less than 75% of the maximum marks:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Key Personnel Designation	Remarks
4/4	M/s Saptagon Asia Private Limited in Association with Highraise Construction and Consultant Private Limited	Planning Engineer	The Key Personnel scored 62.00% of the maximum marks

4. As per Clause 14 (1) of Section II: Instructions to Consultants (ITC) of RFP, all firms are requested to submit their response, if any to the result of the technical evaluation via email at **cerochennaimorth@gmail.com** on or before **11:00 AM on 07.08.2026**. The response if any should be signed by the authorized person who has signed the originally submitted Application. Responses received after the stipulated deadline will not be entertained.

5. Further, as per RFP, the Consultant shall re-submit the technical capacity details as per format given under Form T2 of RFP at the time of opening of Financial Bid. Hence the Technically Responsive Bidders are requested to submit updated technical capacity details (via email at **cerochennaimorth@gmail.com**) as per format given under T2 of RFP along with details of the length of completed projects in the last five years and running (in-hand) projects alongwith the requisite client certificates, by **11:00 AM on 10.08.2026.**

(Satish)
Assistant Executive Engineer
For RO, MoRT&H, Chennai

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by SHAIK KEYAAN RAZZAK
Date: 2026.07.29 13:44:47 IST
Location: eProcure-EPROC