

EVALUATION OF TECHNICAL BIDS
T.N.No.25/HDO/NH/2025-26/Dt.12.03.2026
DATE OF MEETING 19.05.2026

Minutes of the State Level Tender Evaluation Committee (SLEC) meeting for the Evaluation of Technical Bid for the work of “Short Term Maintenance Contract from km 0/0 – 56/0 (Length – 56.00 Km) of NH-75 (Old No NH-234) (Mangalore - Villupuram Road) in the state of Tamil Nadu” – (Job No. NH-75-TN-2025-26-STMC-043)

Estimate Amount: Rs.660.89Lakhs

Value Put to Tender: Rs.537.31Lakhs

Members Present

- 1. Thiru.S.Palanivel, Chief Engineer, National Highways (A/c), Chennai – Chairman**
- 2. Thirumathi.K.Vathsala Vidyanandhi, Superintending Engineer (NH) (A/c), National Highways, Chennai –Member**
- 3. Thiru.M.S.Rajesh, Joint Secretary to Government, Highways and Minor Ports Department, Secretariat, Chennai–Member**
- 4. Thiru.Satish, Assistant Executive Engineer, MoRT&H, Chennai – Member**
- 5. Thiru.P.Ma.Aathavan, Divisional Engineer (NH) A/c, Vellore - Member-Secretary**

.....

1. The Chairman welcomed the Committee members and requested Member Secretary to deliberate on the Technical Bids for consideration/decision of the Committee. Accordingly, the Member Secretary explained the Technical points in respect of Tender Documents for the Bid which has been minuted in the following points.

2. The bids for the subject work was invited through e-procurement of NIC portal under Two Cover System by the Superintending Engineer (NH) Chennai on 12.03.2026 with the last date for submission of the bid up to 15.00 hrs 06.04.2026 and the date of opening as 07.04.2026 at 15.00 hrs. Subsequently the date of opening was postponed to 11.05.2026 due to the presence of MCC. Necessary amendment in this regard was issued online in the e-procurement portal.

3. The Technical bids received through online were opened by the Evaluation Committee on 11.05.2026 through video conference in CPP portal

as per MORTH circular No.RW/NH-24035/4/2008-P& M / PIC Vol.II dated.23.02.2018.

4. The Committee verified the CPP portal. The following four bidders have uploaded documents through online

(1) Thiru.R.Natarajan,

(2)Thiru.R.Santhakumar,

(3)M/s.Suganya Constructions and

(4) M/s.KB Builders.

5. The Superintending Engineer (NH), Chennai has informed that **(1) Thiru.R.Natarajan, (2) Thiru.R.Santhakumar and (3) M/s.Suganya Constructions** have submitted the documents physically within the stipulated date and time. However, the bidder **M/s.KB Builders** has not submitted the documents physically. As per Cl.12.2 and 20 of section 2 of ITB of Tender Documents, the original documents in physical form shall be submitted in a sealed envelope on or before the bid due date and time mentioned in NIT. Hence, the committee has decided not to consider the bid submitted by **M/s.KB Builders.**

6. The bidders **(1) Thiru.R.Natarajan, (2) Thiru.R.Santhakumar** and **(3) M/s.Suganya Constructions** have uploaded the Term Deposit Receipts for Rs.10.75 lakhs as per Cl.16 of ITB and NIT.

7. The Cost of tender document (Rs.15,000/-) paid through the website bharatkosh.gov.in by the three bidders **(1) Thiru.R.Natarajan, (2) Thiru.R.Santhakumar** and **(3) M/s.Suganya Constructions.**

8. Based on the available details as per submitted bids, the committee evaluated the bids of the followings bidders and the details are as under:

Name of Bidder	Bid Capacity	Average Annual Turn Over during last 3 years	Works of Similar Nature during last 5 years	Key Equipment	Technical Person	Remarks
Minimum Requirement	More than Rs.537.31 Lakhs	Rs.537.31 Lakhs	1.Single Work of value Rs.268.66 lakhs (or) 2. Two similar works of value Rs.188.06 lakhs (or) 3. Three similar works of value Rs.134.33 Lakhs	As per Appendix to ITB Clause 4.3 B (b) (i)	As per Appendix to ITB Clause 4.3 B (b) (ii)	
Thiru. R.Natarajan	B Value not furnished in format	Audited financial statement not enclosed as per Cl.4.1 & 4.3 A (a)	One similar work Rs.437.43 Lakhs	Not meeting the requirements as per Appendix to ITB Clause 4.3 B (b) (i)	Not meeting the requirements as per Appendix to ITB Clause 4.3 B (b) (ii)	Non-Responsive
Thiru. R.Santhakumar	B Value not furnished in format	Rs.2948.03 Lakhs	One similar work Rs.662.83 Lakhs	Not meeting the requirements as per Appendix to ITB Clause 4.3 B (b) (i)	Not meeting the requirements as per Appendix to ITB Clause 4.3 B (b) (ii)	Non-Responsive
M/s.Suganya Constructions	Existing commitments and on-going works (B) Value not certified by Engineer-in-Charge as per Cl.4 (b) in section 3	Rs.17460.55 Lakhs	One similar work Rs.10588.93 Lakhs	Not meeting the requirements as per Appendix to ITB Clause 4.3 B (b) (i)	Not meeting the requirements as per Appendix to ITB Clause 4.3 B (b) (ii)	Non-Responsive

9. The reasons for declaring the bids as Non-Responsive are as under:

In respect of the bid submitted by

(1) Thiru.R.Natarajan

- **As required in Cl.4.3 A (a) the Average Annual Turnover of the last 3 years signed by Auditor. However, UDIN is not mentioned in certificate.**
- **Audited financial statements not enclosed as per Cl.4.1 & 4.3 A (a) duly certified by Statutory Auditor.**
- **In the demonstration of bid capacity by the bidder, Bidder has not furnished “B Value” as per the format Cl.1.4 (b) of Section 3.**
- **The Technical Personnel for the post of Project Manager, Site Engineer-cum Surveyor Engineer cum Material Engineer, Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager and Road Safety Auditor with relevant experience and signed CVs not furnished as per the Appendix to ITB Cl.4.3 B (b) (ii).**
- **The Key equipment of Mechanical Broom (1 No), Air Compressor (2 Nos) and Mini hot mix plant (6/10 T/Hr capacity) with indirect heating arrangements (1 No) have not been furnished as per Appendix to ITB Cl.4.3 B (b) (i). The minimum requirement for Water Tankers is 2 Nos. However, the bidder has furnished only 1 No. which is not meeting the requirements as per the Appendix to ITB Cl.4.3 B (b) (i).**
- **The Information on litigation history in which the bidder is involved not furnished as per clause 1.7 in section 3.**

(2) Thiru.R.Santhakumar

- In the demonstration of bid capacity by the bidder, Bidder has not furnished “B Value” as per the format Cl.1.4 (b) of Section 3.
- The Key equipment of Static Roller (8/10T) is supported only by sale deed documents. The bidder has not furnished valid proof of ownership as per the Appendix to ITB Cl.4.3 B (b) (i).
- The Key equipments of Mechanical Broom (1250 sqm per hour) (1 No), Air Compressor (2 Nos), water tanker (2 nos), Dewatering pumps (2 nos) and Mini hot mix plant (6/10 T/Hr capacity) with indirect heating arrangements (1 no) have not been furnished as per Appendix to ITB Cl.4.3 B (b) (i).
- The Technical Personnel for the post of Project Manager, Site Engineer-cum Surveyor Engineer cum Material Engineer, Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager and Road Safety Auditor with relevant experience and signed CVs not furnished as per the Appendix to ITB Cl.4.3 B (b) (ii).
- The Form of letter of Application not furnished as per section 4.
- The Undertakings are not furnished as per clause 1.7 (2) in section 3.

(3) M/s.Suganya Constructions

- In the demonstration of bid capacity the “B Value” not certified by Engineer- in charge as per Cl.1.4 of section 3.
- The Key equipment of Mini hot mix plant (6/10 T/Hr capacity) with indirect heating arrangements (1 No) is not furnished as per Appendix to ITB Cl.4.3 B (b) (i).

- **The bidder has furnished a certificate in support of the qualification for Technical Personnel for the post of Road Safety Auditor. However, the signed CV showing his experience in road safety has not been furnished as per the Appendix to ITB Cl.4.3 B (b) (ii).**

10. After detailed deliberations, and in view of the positions stated in the para-8 & 9 above, the Evaluation Committee declared that the three technical bids of **(1)Thiru.R.Natarajan, (2) Thiru.R.Santhakumar and (3) M/s.Suganya Constructions** as **“Non Responsive”**.

11. Since, all of the bids are Technically non-Responsive therefore, the Evaluation Committee directed the Superintending Engineer (NH) Chennai to cancel the instant bidding process and invite fresh bids in accordance with the Ministry's extant guidelines.

The meeting ended with the vote of Thanks to the Chair.