

I.	GeP Nic Tender ID and Tender Number	2025_ONGC_247591_1 and SC24C25001.
II.	Description of Service	Rate contract for construction of drill site, approach roads, internal hardening and other ancillary civil works of AAFB – Forward Base Silchar.
III.	Type of tender	Single Bid.
IV.	Techno-commercial Bid closing and opening date	29.09.2025 and 30.09.2025 at 1500 HRS.
V.	No. of Tender Offers Received:	Ten offers as under: 1. M/s Prabesh Barman. 2. M/s Samim Akhter Choudhury. 3. M/s Anmol Associates. 4. M/s Rajib Lohia. 5. M/s RF Construction and Consultancy. 6. M/s Ramthakur Construction. 7. M/s Fakar Uddin. 8. M/s Radhika Constructions. 9. M/s Trishit Dhar. 10. M/s Khalil Ahmed Barbuiya.
VI.	Outcome of the Draw of Lot:	Bidder 1 (B1) – M/s Prabesh Barman. Bidder 2 (B2) - M/s Samim Akhter Choudhury. Bidder 3 (B3) - M/s Anmol Associates. Bidder 4 (B4) - M/s Rajib Lohia. Bidder 5 (B5) - M/s RF Construction & Consultancy. Bidder 6 (B6) - M/s Ramthakur Construction. Bidder 7 (B7) - M/s Fakar Uddin.
VII.	Status of Offers:	1. M/s Rajib Lohia. (Technically and commercially accepted) 2. M/s Ramthakur Constructions. (Technically and commercially accepted) 3. M/s Prabesh Barman. (Technically Rejected) Reason: The documents submitted by the bidder was not notary attested true copies as required in line with note (c) of B.1.2 of BEC. 4. M/s Samim Akhter Choudhury. (Technically Rejected)

		Reason: The documents submitted by the bidder was not notary attested true copies as required in line with note (c) of B.1.2 of BEC.
		5. M/s Anmol Associates. (Technically Rejected) Reason: The documents submitted by the bidder did not meet the required experience criteria as per BEC and the bidder had not submitted copy of contracts/NoA/ LoA/ Work order which was a requirement as per note (a) of B.1.2 of BEC.
		6. M/s RF Construction & Consultancy. (Technically rejected) Reason: The documents submitted by the bidder was not notary attested true copies as required in line with note (c) of B.1.2 of BEC.
		7. M/s Fakar Uddin. (Technically rejected) Reason: Rejected due non extension of bid validity.
		8. M/s Radhika Constructions: (Technically Rejected) Reason: Rejected due non extension of bid validity.
		9. M/s Trishit Dhar. (Technically rejected) Reason: Rejected due non extension of bid validity.
		10. M/s Khalil Ahmed Barbuiya.(Rejected) Reason: Rejected due to non-consideration of your offer (due to ranking more than B1-B7) for conducting draw of lots as per para C.3 of Bid Evaluation Criteria .

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by PRAVEEN BHAT
Date: 2026.02.02 14:57:25 IST
Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs

