

The following bidders have been qualified techno-commercially in the GePNIC Tender vide Tender ID: 2025_ONGC_228035_1 (Tender Reference Number: ZW4SC25001) for Supply, installation, testing and commissioning for roof top solar power plant at URAN plant ONGC and O and M services for 10 years are:

Sl. No.	Name of bidder
1	M/s Current Infraprojects Pvt limited, Jaipur
2	M/s Enviro Infra Engineers Limited, New Delhi
3	M/s Kalpa Power Private Limited, Pune
4	M/s. RS Power Systems Pvt Ltd, Gurgaon
5	M/s. Solluz Energy Pvt Ltd, Gurgaon
6	M/s Ravi Steel And Renewable Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad

List of bidders whose offers are techno-commercially rejected are:

Sl. No.	Name of bidder	Reason for rejection
1	M/s Enerture Technologies Pvt Ltd, New Delhi	Your offer against the Tender No. ZW4SC25001 for Design, Supply, installation and commissioning of Roof Top Solar Power Plant of 2 MW(AC) Capacity at Uran Plant along with O & M Services for 10 years has been rejected due to the following reason(s): <u>Technical:</u> 1. M/s Enerture has submitted NOA and completion documents for a 547.725 kWp DC solar power plant project placed by Victoria_Faridabad. M/s Enerture has submitted the Division of Scope of Work (Appendix-B6) (Ref: Documents_Enerture Technologies, Folder_Attach 1, Exp Victoria-Faridabad, Page 3 of 50). However, the submission is not supported with proper cross-verification documents like documentary proof for design/ engineering, procurement and construction activities. SLD, POs for solar modules, Inverter etc and Key construction-related documents such as foundation drawings, GA drawings etc. have not been provided in compliance with BEC Clause B.2.3. Further, as per submitted Appendix B-6, only various OEM names for modules, inverter, cables and transformers etc are given, however, bidder has not given the agency name for procurement activities, in line with BEC clause B.2.3. As per BEC clause B.2.3: For the purpose of assessment of experience and capability of executing the work, the experience and capability of the executing agencies i.e. Main bidder, sub-Contractors, back-up Consultants, if any, in executing the activities listed under Appendix B6 (Division of scope of work) for the respective Scope of Work, shall be evaluated. Note: The experience of executing agency of activities shall be assessed based on the documents of experience executed for last 10 years. However, you have not submitted the proper cross-verification documents like documentary proof for design/ engineering, procurement and construction activities. SLD, POs for solar modules, Inverter etc and Key construction-related documents such as foundation drawings, GA drawings etc. for evaluation of the activities listed under Appendix B6 (Division of scope of work) for the respective Scope of Work in compliance with BEC Clause B.2.3.

		2. You have also not submitted documentary proof of achievement of the performance generation guarantee / Capacity Utilization Factor (CUF) for a minimum period of one year, certified by the Developer or the Nodal Agency of the respective state for the relevant Solar PV Power Plant in line with BEC clause 2.1 (a). Financial: To substantiate your experience, against BEC Clause B.2.6 you were asked to submit Audited consolidated financial statement with all your subsidiaries for FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24. In response, you have submitted the consolidated financial statement for FY 2022–23, audited by M/s Hem Sandeep & Co. (CA Himanshu Saxena, M. No. 546385), and the audited consolidated financial statement for FY 2023–24, audited by M/s B.N.K.S & Associates (CA Nama, M. No. 459646). As per the submitted financial statements: • Average turnover for FY 2022–23 and FY 2023–24: ₹121.57 lakhs • Net worth as on 31.03.2024: ₹2,151.13 lakhs • Working capital as on 31.03.2024: ₹1,932.00 lakhs (₹19.32 crores) • Debt-equity ratio as on 31.03.2024: 2.69 Accordingly, your offer does not meet the financial criteria of debt-equity ratio (required debt-equity ratio is 2:1) as specified in the B.2.6 clause of BEC. Additionally, the financial statements for FY 2023–24 do not bear any UDIN number, and hence, the authenticity of the submitted document cannot be verified. In absence of the said document, your offer does not meet the financial criteria mentioned in BEC B.2.6 clause.
2	M/s Prostarm Info Systems Limited, Pune	Your offer against the Tender No. ZW4SC25001 for Design, Supply, installation and commissioning of Roof Top Solar Power Plant of 2 MW(AC) Capacity at Uran Plant along with O & M Services for 10 years has been rejected due to the following reason(s): TECHNICAL: You have submitted notarized copy of Work Order No. 2605 dated 29.11.2022, covering a total capacity of 1717 kWp under three categories: Category A, Category B, and Category C, for installation of solar plants at various locations. At the Madhepura location, specifically at B.P. Mandal Engineering College, a 270 kW solar plant has been commissioned. You have submitted CUF (Capacity Utilization Factor) data for the 270 kW solar rooftop project, which is notarized and duly signed by M/s Prostarm Infosystem Ltd only. However, submitted CUF is not signed by developer which is Bihar renewable Eenergy developement agency (BREDA) for this particular solar PV power plant in line with BEC clause 2.1(a). As per BEC clause 2.1(a), Bidder shall also submit documentary proof of achievement of performance generation guarantee/CUF of minimum one year of the 200 kW or above which shall be certified from the Developer/Nodal Agency of state of that particular solar PV power plant. However, submitted CUF is not signed by developer which is Bihar renewable Energy development agency (BREDA) for this particular solar PV power plant in line with BEC clause 2.1(a).
3	M/s. Universal Mep Projects &	Your offer against the Tender No. ZW4SC25001 for Design, Supply, installation and commissioning of Roof Top Solar Power Plant of 2

	Engineering Services Limited	MW(AC) Capacity at Uran Plant along with O & M Services for 10 years has been rejected due to the following reason(s): Technical: You have submitted inspection report of Cable (1c*300sqmm,3c*185sqmm),LT,HT. Refer document Universal MEP_28.07.25_InspectionReport_PageNO.2 to 89 of 89 has been signed by a Third Party Inspection Agency (TPIA) and notarized. The past experience project scope submitted by you clearly specifies that PV Modules, Inverters, Robotic Cleaning Systems, and Vertical Posts shall be free-issued at site by Client (M/s Green Infra clean Solar Energy ltd) to M/s Universal MEP Projects & Engineering services ltd . The scope of the project is limited to the supply and installation of AC and DC systems only, and does not include the complete solar power plant as an EPC project on LSTK basis. Accordingly, you have submitted Purchase Orders (POs) related to Major AC and DC equipment. However, no Purchase Orders or supporting documents related to the supply of solar panels have been submitted. You have not submitted any documents related to inverters and solar modules. It is noted that in a typical solar project, 50% to 70% of the total project cost is attributed to the procurement of critical main equipment such as PV Modules, Inverters, and Column Posts. Since, you have not performed the Engineering and procurement activity of these essential components of solar PV power plant, hence, does not qualify for EPC experience of Grid-connected Solar Photovoltaic Projects in line with BEC clause B.2.1 (a). As per BEC clause B.2.1 (a) : "The Bidder (Single Bidder / Indian Joint Venture company incorporated) should have EPC experience of Grid-connected Solar Photovoltaic Projects on LSTK basis for work involving activities of Design & Detailed Engineering, Procurement, Supply, Fabrication / Manufacturing, Installation / Construction / Erection, Testing & Commissioning of Solar Photovoltaic (SPV) Ground Mounted or Rooftop Plant." However, in the instant project, bidder does not have EPC experience of Grid-connected Solar Photovoltaic Projects on LSTK basis in line with BEC Clause B.2.1 (a). Financial: To substantiate your experience, against BEC Clause B.2.6 Notes (i)(c), you were asked to submit a certificate from Statutory Auditor in respect of "Positive Net Worth" on the basis of audited consolidated financial statement, calculated as per definition of Networth provided in tender document. You have not submitted the said certificate from the "Statutory Auditor" of your company, instead you have submitted a certificate dated 02.01.2025 from M/s HMTS & Associates (CA Hiren Pasad, M. No. 153356) in the Original Bid and also in the first round of clarifications, who is not the statutory auditor of your company (as per your reply). In absence of the said document, your offer does not meet the financial criteria mentioned in BEC B.2.6 clause.
--	------------------------------	---