

NAME OF WORK: - “Renovation of Barracks & Canteen (Mess), Dy. Commandant Office, Officers Hostel, Quarters and construction of boundary wall in CISF colony at Mehsana Asset.”

1.0 SUBJECT: Approval from CPA for seeking deficient documents from the bidders for the work “Renovation of Barracks & Canteen (Mess), Dy. Commandant Office, Officers Hostel, Quarters and construction of boundary wall in CISF colony at Mehsana Asset.”

2.0 BACKGROUND OF THE CASE:-

1	Subject	“Renovation of Barracks & Canteen (Mess), Dy. Commandant Office, Officers Hostel, Quarters and construction of boundary wall in CISF colony at Mehsana Asset.”
2	AA & ES	Accorded for ₹ 5,86,95,999.00 on 06/12/2024
3	PR No.	2090060964, 2090062372 & 2090061583
4	e-Tender No.	EC52C25001
5	Date of NIT	NIT – 10/01/2025
6	Date of TBO	04/02/2025
7	Bid Validity	05/05/2025
8	Tender Value	₹. 5,55,46,603.72
9	Indenter	HR
10	Type of tender	Open Tender (National Competitive Bidding)
11	Type of Bidding	Two Bid System through C-PPP mode.
12	Tender Methodology	Percentage Rate Contract
13	CPA	L- II Level as per clause 24.1 (a) of BDP

3.0 OBSERVATIONS:-

3.1 As per approval of CPA vide noting dated 27.02.2025, the status of the bidders was as following -

Bid	Name of bidder	Technical Criteria	Commercial Criteria	Final bid Status
1/7	M/s B. N. Chaudhari	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
2/7	M/s Shivam Construction Co.	Clarification	Commercially Acceptable	Clarification
3/7	M/s Vishnu Construction Co.	Clarification	Clarification	Clarification
4/7	M/s Radhika Construction	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable

Tender No. EC52C25001

~ 2 ~

5/7	M/s S. P. Chaudhari	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
6/7	M/s Gayatri Construction Co.	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
7/7	M/s A. R. Construction	Technically Acceptable	Clarification	Clarification

- 3.2** As per approval of CPA vide noting dated 27.02.2025, the clarifications were sought from 03 bidders on 28.02.2025 through e-tender (<https://etender.gov.in>) website, and requested to submit the replies latest by 05.03.2025:
- 3.3** The Post Bid Conference (PBC) was kept on 03.03.2025 in order to clear the Bidders' doubts, if any, regarding the Clarifications sought, however no bidder attended the same.
- 3.4** The Comparative statement after receipt of deficient documents was prepared and duly vetted by finance officer on 24.03.2025 and Technical Comments were also endorsed by Technical member on 25.03.2025

4.0 DELIBERATIONS:

4.1 Technical Criteria:

As per the Technical Comments dated 25.02.2025, the following bidders were already technically qualified.

Bid	Name of bidder	Technical Criteria
1/7	M/s B. N. Chaudhari	Technically Qualified
4/7	M/s Radhika Construction	Technically Qualified.
5/7	M/s S. P. Chaudhari	Technically Qualified
6/7	M/s Gayatri Construction Co.	Technically Qualified
7/7	M/s A. R. Construction	Technically Qualified

The status of other bidders after clarifications regarding **Technical evaluation** as per BEC is given below:

(2/7) M/s Shivam Construction Co.:-

Technical Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
To submit electronic copy of original documents (original scanned) of any other work which are meeting Eligibility & Experience Criteria of BEC.	Documents submitted by the bidder are as per the requirement of BEC.	The bidder is Technically Qualified based on available documents as per the Technical comments duly endorsed by the Technical member on 25.03.2025

(3/7) M/s Vishnu Construction Co.:-

Technical Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
To submit electronic copy of original documents (original scanned) of any other work which are meeting Eligibility & Experience Criteria of BEC.	Documents submitted by the bidder are as per the requirement of BEC.	The bidder is Technically Qualified based on available documents as per the Technical comments duly endorsed by the Technical member on 25.03.2025

4.2 Commercial Criteria:

As per approval of CPA vide noting dated 27.02.2025, the following bidders were already commercially qualified.

Bid	Name of bidder	Commercial Criteria
1/7	M/s B. N. Chaudhari	Commercially Acceptable
2/7	M/s Shivam Construction Co.	Commercially Acceptable
4/7	M/s Radhika Construction	Commercially Acceptable
5/7	M/s S. P. Chaudhari	Commercially Acceptable
6/7	M/s Gayatri Construction Co.	Commercially Acceptable

The status of other bidders after clarifications regarding **Commercial evaluation** as per BEC is given below:

(3/7) M/s Vishnu Construction Co.:-

Commercial Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
a) Affidavit of Proprietor Ship Firm	Requisite Document Submitted	The bidder has not submitted the requisite documents as per the requirement of BEC. The bidder has not attended the Post Bid Conference held on 03.03.2025. As per clause 13.4.13. (iii) of IMMM, if bidder choose not to seek/request for a post bid meeting, it will be noted by ONGC that such bidder(s) has well understood the query of ONGC. Further as per clause 13.4.4 of IMMM, Cases where post bid conference as per para 13.4.13 is held, normally second round of clarification shall not be required. However in exceptional situations where second round of clarifications are unavoidable, approval of concerned L-1 Executive shall be required recording proper justification. The present case is not an exceptional situation as sufficient competition is available (6 out of 7 bidders are Techno Commercially Acceptable) and sufficient opportunity was given to the said bidder for submission of deficient documents. In view of the above, the bidder is not meeting the Commercial criteria of BEC based on available documents, hence rejected.
b) Integrity Pact with Name of Bidder in the Pg. No. 1.	Requisite Document properly not Submitted. (Witness not signed, mentioned only name/stamp)	
c) Undertaking on the company's letter head and duly signed by the signatory of the bid that all the documents/ certificates/ information submitted by them against the tender are genuine.	Requisite Document Submitted on Company's Letter head	

(7/7) M/s A R Construction:-

Commercial Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
a) Bidder should confirm their acceptance that they comply with the provisions with regard to “Guidelines for eligibility of a ‘Bidder from a Country which shares a land border With India’ ” as detailed at Annexure-I “Instructions to Bidders”. b) EMD Slip (RTGS by Bank) c) Integrity Pact with signature of witness in the last Pg. d) Undertaking on the company’s letter head and duly signed by the signatory of the bid that all the documents/ certificates/ information submitted by them against the tender are genuine. e) Undertakings submitted needs to be signed. f) Mismatch in trade name in GST and affidavit.	Documents submitted by the bidder are as per the requirement of BEC.	The bidder is Commercially Qualified based on available documents.

4.3 Final Status Summary of bids

Bid	Name of bidder	Technical Criteria	Commercial Criteria	Final bid Status
1/7	M/s B. N. Chaudhari	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
2/7	M/s Shivam Construction Co.	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
3/7	M/s Vishnu Construction Co.	Technically Acceptable	Commercially NOT Acceptable	Rejected
4/7	M/s Radhika Construction	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
5/7	M/s S. P. Chaudhari	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
6/7	M/s Gayatri Construction Co.	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
7/7	M/s A. R. Construction	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable

5. 0 RECOMMENDATIONS: -

In view of above deliberations, it is recommended

- (i) To accept the bids of the following bidders techno commercially.

Tender No. EC52C25001

~ 6 ~

Bid	Name of bidder
2/7	M/s Shivam Construction Co.
7/7	M/s A R Construction

To open the Price bids of the following 06 bidders who are techno-commercially qualified.

Bid	Name of bidder
1/7	M/s B. N. Chaudhari
2/7	M/s Shivam Construction Co.
4/7	M/s Radhika Construction
5/7	M/s S. P. Chaudhari
6/7	M/s Gayatri Construction Co.
7/7	M/s A. R. Construction

(i) To reject the bid of the following bidder:

3/7	M/s Vishnu Construction Co.
-----	-----------------------------

As per clause no. 10.1.2.3 of IMMM,

Quote

For various stages between opening of Techno-commercial bid and opening of Price Bid, approval of competent authority shall be obtained through vertical note, without routing through concerned Nodal Technical Agency (for cases under CPD)/ concerned Indenting Section (for other cases) or the Finance Department.

Unquote

In this case CPA is L-II Level Executive as per clause 24.1 (a) of BDP.
Competent Purchase Authority may please approve the recommendations as proposed above.