



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Govt. of India)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय : एफ-120, जनपथ, श्याम नगर, जयपुर-302019

Regional Office : F-120, Janpath, Shyam Nagar, Jaipur-302019

Tel. (O.)/फोन: (ऑ.) 0141-2292056, 2292049

E-mail/ई-मेल: rojaipur@nhai.org Web./ वेब: www.nhai.gov.in



No. NHAI/12022/2/Tenders-ROJ/ BS-Lakhavali/ **3379**

Date: 10.03.2026

All applicant Bidders
(as per table below)

Sub: - Construction of 1 nos of underpass at Lakhavali Junction on Swaroopganj - Pindwara - Udaipur section of new NH 27 in the State of Rajasthan on Engineering, Procurement and Construction (EPC) Mode: [Tender ID 2025_NHAI_260687_1]: **Result of Technical Evaluation** reg.

Sir,

Please refer to RFP invitation for the subject project. RFP applications of the respective bidders have been evaluated as per eligibility and qualifications requirements as per the RFP document. The result of technical evaluation, as approved by the Competent Authority, is as under:-

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Result
1.	M/s Malay Chatterjee & Co.	Non – Responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii)
2.	M/s Varun Chandra Development and Construction LLP	Non – Responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2 (iii)
3.	M/s Chetan Construction Co.	Technically Responsive
4.	M/s Seerat Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive

***The reasons for Technical Non-Responsiveness of the bidders are enclosed as Annex-A.**

Common Note for all eligible bidders: -

(i) Bids of responsive bidders are eligible for opening of financial bids only subject to checking of updated bid capacity as per the requirement of clause 2.2.2.1.

(ii) Information required for updated Bid Capacity

a. Whether there is any change in already submitted bid capacity Yes/No

b. If answer to 'a' is Yes, then, please provide the following information: -

- Details of New projects allotted like Name of Project, Date of LOA/start/Financial Bid Opening. Value and Period of Contract, Anticipated Date of Completion etc.
- Details of change in already submitted projects like change in cost (Revised Cost), change in completed value etc. Such change should be supported by authenticated supporting document and the completed value should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC projects or Concessionaire/Authorized Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor.

2. Four (04) Eligible Bidders as per table above shall submit their updated bid capacity status (as on 15.03.2026), on 16.03.2026 upto 1130 Hrs at email ID rojaipur@nhai.org, as per requirement of RFP clause 2.2.2.1 and as per above note.

3. The Financial Bids of Responsive & Eligible Bidders, after ascertaining their updated bid capacity status, will be opened on 16.03.2026 at 1630 Hrs at RO-Jaipur.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

(Signature)

(Dr. Anil Narwal)

Dy. General Manager (T)

Encl: As above

Annex-A

Reasons for Technical Non-Responsiveness of the bidders:

1. M/s Malay Chatterjee & Co.

No Reply received, hence, the bidder may be treated as Non-Responsive as per the provisions of cl. Cl. 2.2.2.2. (iii) of the RFP Documents. Accordingly, the bidder has been considered Non-Responsive.

2. M/s Varun Chandra Development & Construction LLP.

[a] The submitted certificate shows that the project is substantially completed and as such date of completion or percentage of work completed is not confirmed. Further, the project is completed by the Main contractor (Ceigall India Ltd.) along with its approved Sub Contractor (for 49% of the Contract Price), M/s Varun Chandra Development and Construction LLP (VCD). The submitted Client Certificate certifies the amount of work completed by the sub- contractor but the Structure (length and maximum span length) that has been completed by the sub- contractor is not given the said certificate.

Hence, the length of the structure and maximum span length completed by the Sub Contractor, VCD and date of actual completion of the project is not clear from the submitted certificate. Accordingly, the bidder may be treated as non-responsive for not meeting the criteria of 2.2.2.2(iii) of the RFP Document.

