



# भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

**National Highways Authority of India**

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Govt. of India)

क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय : एफ-120, जनपथ, श्याम नगर, जयपुर-302019

Regional Office : F-120, Janpath, Shyam Nagar, Jaipur-302019

Tel. (O.)/फोन: (ऑ.) 0141-2292056, 2292049

E-mail/ई-मेल: rojaipur@nhai.org Web./ वेब: www.nhai.gov.in



No. NHAI/ROJ/NH-21/2BS/B-M-J-/2025/ **3567**

Date: 25.03.2026

All applicant Bidders  
(as per table below)

Sub: Construction of VUPs for rectification of MoRTH identified Blackspots at Sikandra and Chhokarwada on Jaipur- Mahua- Bharatpur section of NH-21 in the state of Rajasthan on EPC mode [Tender ID 2025\_NHAI\_259966\_1]: **Result of Technical Evaluation reg.**

Ref: This office letter no. NHAI/ROJ/NH-21/2BS/B-M-J-/2025/3505 dated 19.03.2026

Sir,

Please refer to RFP invitation for the subject project. RFP applications of the respective bidders have been evaluated as per eligibility and qualifications requirements as per the RFP document. Subsequent to evaluation of representation submitted by the respective bidders, the revised result of technical evaluation, as approved by the Competent Authority, is as under:-

| S. No. | Name of Applicant                          | Result                   |
|--------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1      | M/s KCC Buildcon Private Limited           | Technically Responsive   |
| 2      | M/s Gmco Industries and Constructions Ltd. | Non - Responsive         |
| 3      | M/s AV Construction                        | Technically Responsive   |
| 4      | M/s RSGV Infra Pvt. Ltd.                   | Non - Responsive         |
| 5      | M/s Kisan Construction Co.                 | Technically Responsive   |
| 6      | M/s Jagdish Saran                          | Technically - Responsive |
| 7      | M/s NH Constructions Pvt. Ltd.             | Non - Responsive         |
| 8      | M/s Preeti Buildcon Pvt. Ltd.              | Technically Responsive   |

\*The reasons for Technical Non-Responsiveness of the bidders are enclosed as Annex-A.

Common Note for all eligible bidders: -

(i) Bids of responsive bidders are eligible for opening of financial bids only subject to checking of updated bid capacity as per the requirement of clause 2.2.2.1.

(ii) Information required for updated Bid Capacity

- Whether there is any change in already submitted bid capacity Yes/No
- If answer to 'a' is Yes, then, please provide the following information: -
  - Details of New projects allotted like Name of Project, Date of LOA/start/Financial Bid Opening. Value and Period of Contract, Anticipated Date of Completion etc.
  - Details of change in already submitted projects like change in cost (Revised Cost), change in completed value etc. Such change should be supported by authenticated supporting document and the completed value should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC projects or Concessionaire/Authorized Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor.

2. Four (04) Eligible Bidders as per table above shall submit their updated bid capacity status, on 25.03.2026 at email ID [rojaipur@nhai.org](mailto:rojaipur@nhai.org), as per requirement of RFP clause 2.2.2.1 and as per above note.

3. The Financial Bids of Responsive & Eligible Bidders, after ascertaining their updated bid capacity status, will be opened on 26.03.2026 at 1430 Hrs at RO-Jaipur.

This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Encl: As above

(Dr. Anil Narwal)  
Dy. General Manager (T)

**Reasons for Technical Non-Responsiveness of the bidders:**

**1. M/s Grnaco Industries and Constructions Ltd**

Bidder has not submitted any response to the clarification sought. Accordingly, in view of non-submission of replies, the Bid submitted by the Bidder may be declared as non-responsive in accordance with Clause 3.1.5 of RFP, the relevant extract of which are furnished below-

"If a Bidder does not provide clarifications sought under Clause 3.1.4 above within the prescribed time, its Bid may be liable to be rejected. In case the Bid is not rejected, the Authority may proceed to evaluate the Bid by construing the particulars requiring clarification to the best of its understanding, and the Bidder shall be barred from subsequently questioning such interpretation of the Authority."

In the absence of available documents, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.1, 2.11.1 and 2.20.1 of RFP.

**2. M/s RSGV Infra Private Limited**

Bidder has not submitted any response to the clarification sought. Accordingly, in view of non-submission of replies, the Bid submitted by the Bidder may be declared as non-responsive in accordance with Clause 3.1.5 of RFP, the relevant extract of which are furnished below-

"If a Bidder does not provide clarifications sought under Clause 3.1.4 above within the prescribed time, its Bid may be liable to be rejected. In case the Bid is not rejected, the Authority may proceed to evaluate the Bid by construing the particulars requiring clarification to the best of its understanding, and the Bidder shall be barred from subsequently questioning such interpretation of the Authority."

In the absence of available documents, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.1, 2.2.2.2(iii), 2.11.1 and 2.20.1 of RFP.

Therefore, in view of the above, the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria as specified in Clause 3.1.6.1, 2.2.2.1, 2.2.2.2(iii), 2.11.1 and 2.20.1 of RFP and further, as per Clause 3.1.5 of RFP, the said Bidder is declared as ineligible for next stage of Bidding.

**3. M/s N H Constructions Pvt Ltd**

Bidder has claimed a project "Rehabilitation and up-gradation to 2 lane with paved shoulder from existing chainage km 64.535 to km 85.385 (design chainage km 55.800 to km 74.350) under 13 TF on Akhnoor-Poonch road (NH-144A) under Project Sampark (Package-III) in UT of J&K." and submitted certificate issued by the Authority Engineer, that may not be considered as the certificate is not issued by concern client.

Furthermore, cost of the Similar structure is less than required cost mentioned in clause 2.2.2.2(iii) of RFP. Hence, the same may not be considered.

