



सत्यमेव जयते

भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



MHDIV-20016/152/2025-PIU Kolhapur/294261

Date: 18.03.2026

To,

All Bidders,

Sub: Construction of 6 Lane Elevated corridor from KM 593.766 to KM 596.620 including construction of service roads in Kagal City on NH 48 (Descoped work of Kagal Satara Package1) in the state of Maharashtra on EPC Mode under NH(O) - **Result of Technical Evaluation - Reg.**

Tender ID: (2025_NHAI_254306_1)

Sir,

Please refer to the Bids submitted online on e-tender portal for the subject till Bid due date i.e. 05.03.2026. The result of Technical Evaluation is as under:

S. No.	Name of the Applicant	Remarks
1.	ALTIS Holding Corporation-Transrail Lighting Ltd. (JV)	Technically Non - Responsive Bidder was asked to identify the page no. of submitted bid wherefrom the experience of having completed a Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project complying to all the following requirements may be verified: a. Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project having span equal to or greater than 48 mts. b. Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project having length equal to or greater than 1141.60 mts. excluding approaches And c. the cost of such project is atleast Rs. 94.31 Cr. The bidder in its response referred to the claimed project at page 77 of the bid. Upon review, it is observed that project includes 06 bridges each of which has the length less than 1141.60 meters as required by RFP. The claimed length of 2999.97 meters by the bidder is arrived at be adding the length of each of the bridge which is not as per the provisions of RFP. Therefore, the bidder has been assessed as non-responsive for not meeting all the requirements of clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP.
2.	KCC Buildcon (P) Ltd. - HRY Kundu Buildtech (P) Ltd. (JV)	Technically - Responsive
3.	Maharashtra Rail Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd - CS Construction India (P) Ltd. (JV)	Technically Non - Responsive Bidder was asked to clarify the following: 1. To identify the page no. of audited annual account of F.Y 2024-25 wherefrom the claimed Value of A as of Rs. 1558.34 crores by the Lead Member may be verified. The bidder in its response could not corroborate the claimed Value of A of Rs. 1558.34 crores from the submitted annual accounts. Therefore, the value of A as appearing the audited annual accounts was considered and the assessed bid capacity does not meet the minimum requirement as per RFP. Therefore, the bidder has been considered non-responsive for not meeting the requirements of clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP. 2. To identify the page no. of submitted bid wherefrom the experience of having completed a Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover

		project complying to all the following requirements may be verified: a. Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project having span equal to or greater than 48 mts. b. Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project having length equal to or greater than 1141.60 mts. excluding approaches And c. the cost of such project is atleast Rs. 94.31 Cr. The bidder in its response referred to 02 claimed projects in its bid. Upon review of both of the projects, it is observed that one of the projects meets the span requirement but does not meet the required structure length and project cost requirement and the other project meets the structure length and project cost requirement but does not meet the required span requirement. Therefore, the bidder has been assessed as non-responsive for not meeting all the requirements of clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP.
4.	T & T Infra Ltd. - Sonai Infrastructure (P) Ltd. (JV)	Technically - Responsive
5.	Bharat Constructions India (P) Ltd.- Dhariwal Buildtech Ltd. (JV)	Technically Non - Responsive Bidder was asked to identify the page no. of submitted bid wherefrom the experience of having completed a Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project complying to all the following requirements may be verified: a. Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project having span equal to or greater than 48 mts. b. Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project having length equal to or greater than 1141.60 mts. excluding approaches And c. the cost of such project is atleast Rs. 94.31 Cr Bidder in its response referred to the claimed project code-G at page 42 of its bid. Upon review of the project, it is observed that the claimed project is executed in JV and the submitted client certificate does not specify the JV member who has executed the structure in the project. Therefore, the project has not been considered eligible for the purpose of assessment of Similar Work. Therefore, the bidder has been assessed as non-responsive for not meeting all the requirements of clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP.
6.	ASC Infratech (P) Ltd.	Technically Non - Responsive Bidder was asked to identify the page no. of submitted bid wherefrom the experience of having completed a Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project complying to all the following requirements may be verified: a. Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project having span equal to or greater than 48 mts. b. Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project having length equal to or greater than 1141.60 mts. excluding approaches And c. the cost of such project is atleast Rs. 94.31 Cr The bidder in its response referred to 02 claimed projects. Project code I and Project Code-D. Upon review it is observed that Project Code-I meets the structure length and project cost requirement but not the span requirement and the project code D meets the span requirement but not the structure length and project cost requirement. Therefore, the bidder has been assessed as non-responsive for not meeting all the requirements of clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP.
7.	Dineshchandra R. Agrawal Infracon (P) Ltd.	Technically - Responsive
8.	Milan Road Buildtech LLP	Technically - Responsive
9.	RRSM Infra (P) Ltd.	Technically Non - Responsive

	Bidder was asked to identify the page no. of submitted bid wherefrom the experience of having completed a Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project complying to all the following requirements may be verified: - Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project having span equal to or greater than 48 mts. - Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover project having length equal to or greater than 1141.60 mts. excluding approaches And - the cost of such project is atleast Rs. 94.31 Cr. Bidder in its response referred to 04 claimed projects. Project code A, B, C & N. Upon review it is observed that client certificate submitted for Project A does not specify the length of Span and the length of structure. Therefore, project A has not been considered. As per the client certificate submitted for Project B, the span length and the structure length is less than the minimum requirement as per RFP. Therefore, Project B has not been considered. As per the client certificate of Project C, the specified structure length of 1392.56 meters is the sum total of all the structure in the project and not an individual structure. Therefore, project C has not been considered. As per the client certificate of Project N, the project meets the minimum span and structure length requirement but the cost of the project, excluding GST is Rs. 68.91 Cr. which is less than 35% of EPC i.e. Rs. 94.31 Cr. Therefore, project N has not been considered Therefore, the bidder has been assessed as non-responsive for not meeting all the requirements of clause 2.2.2.2 (iii) of RFP
--	--

2. The result of Technical Evaluation is displayed on e-tender portal for 7 days (24.03.2026) pursuant to clause 2.19 of the RFP. All the Technically Responsive bidders are requested to submit updated Bid Capacity as on 24.03.2026 (11 AM) pursuant to clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP. Financial Bids of the Technically Responsive bidders (after ascertaining the updated bid capacity as on the day before opening the financial bids) will be opened online on 24.03.2026 at 02:00 PM at Training Room, Ground Floor, NHAI G5 & 6 Sector 10 Dwarka, New Delhi.



(Pramod Kumar Singh)
GM (T) Maharashtra