



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



UPDIV-21/13/2025-UP Division/E-297626/

03.02.2026

To,

All the Participated Bidders
(As per table below)

Sub : Construction of 03 nos. of VUPs at Ayodhya Bypass from km 135+120 to km 137+060 (Design Length- 1.940 km) of NH-27 (old NH28) for Ayodhya Awas Vikas Parishad on EPC Mode [Deposit work basis] in the state of Uttar Pradesh [2025_NHAI_253789_1] - **Result of Technical Bids - reg.**

Sir,

This has reference to RFP submitted for the subject project. The result of Technical Evaluation of the bids received along with reasons for their non-responsiveness are tabulated below:

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Status
1/5	M/s Kisan Construction Co.	Non-Responsive <i>The bidder is considered technically non-responsive as it does not meet the eligibility criteria stipulated under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP, which requires that at least one of the following conditions be fulfilled:</i> <i>i) Two similar completed works, each costing not less than 25% of the Estimated Project Cost, i.e. Rs. 17.68 Crore (Rupees Seventeen Crore Sixty-Eight Lakh only); or</i> <i>ii) One similar completed work costing not less than 35% of the Estimated Project Cost, i.e. Rs. 24.76 Crore (Rupees Twenty-Four Crore Seventy-Six Lakh only).</i> <i>The bidder has claimed the project titled "Construction of approaches to ROB at Bhiwani-Hansi Road to Tosham Bypass at Level Crossing No. 54-A in Bhiwani District" as a similar completed project.</i> <i>However, as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP, only the following categories of works are eligible for consideration as similar projects:</i> <i>'NH/SH/Expressway works, MDRs with loan assistance, municipal road bypasses, standalone bridges/ROBs/tunnels, airport runways, viaducts, and container yards'.</i> <i>The claimed project pertains only to the construction of approaches to a Road Over Bridge (ROB) and does not fall under any of the eligible categories specified in the RFP.</i> <i>Further, the bidder has not submitted complete project details in the prescribed format, i.e. Annexure-IX of Appendix-IA of the RFP, which is mandatory for claiming experience of similar completed works.</i>

[Signature]

-1/3-

		<i>In view of the above non-compliances, the bidder does not satisfy the stipulated experience criteria under the RFP and is therefore declared technically non-responsive.</i>
2/5	M/s PP Pandey Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
3/5	M/s KMR Buildcon Private Limited	Non-Responsive <i>Bidder is considered as non-responsive due to following reasons</i> <i>1. Threshold Technical Capacity of the Bidder Cannot Be Assessed (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))</i> <i>i. Subcontract Works: All projects claimed by the bidder pertain to subcontract works, for which approval from the competent/main authority has not been provided. Therefore, these projects may not be considered for evaluation purpose.</i> <i>ii. Similar Projects: For the projects claimed under Project code A & B and Project code D & E, the bidder has stated that these are sub-parts of the same major projects. However, the bidder has not furnished any documentary evidence to substantiate this claim i.e. detailed scope of work for each project code, duly certified from client.</i> <i>In the absence of the necessary approvals and supporting documentation, the bidder's claims cannot be considered for evaluation purposes.</i> <i>2. Non-Compliance with Experience Criteria under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP</i> <i>As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP, the bidder is required to satisfy at least one of the following experience criteria:</i> <i>i) Two similar completed works, each costing not less than 25% of the Estimated Project Cost, i.e. Rs. 17.68 Crore (Rupees Seventeen Crore Sixty-Eight Lakh only); or</i> <i>ii) One similar completed work costing not less than 35% of the Estimated Project Cost, i.e. Rs. 24.76 Crore (Rupees Twenty-Four Crore Seventy-Six Lakh only).</i> <i>Firstly, the project claimed by the bidder is a sub contract work. Further, A material discrepancy has been observed in the executed value of the claimed project. As per Annexure-IV, the bidder has claimed execution of Rs. 35.20 Crore during FY 2023-24. However, the client certificate submitted indicates that the subcontractor (i.e. the bidder) has executed only Rs. 26.54 Crore during FY 2024-25.</i> <i>Due to this inconsistency between the bidder's declaration and the client-certified data, the claimed project cannot be relied upon for evaluation of experience.</i> <i>Furthermore, the bidder has not submitted the project details in the prescribed format, i.e. Annexure-IX of Appendix-IA of the RFP, which is mandatory for claiming experience of similar completed works.</i> <i>3. Non-Compliance with Bid Capacity Requirements (Value of B - Clause 2.2.2.1)</i> <i>i. Annex VI (Details of Value of B) submitted by the bidder is not in the prescribed format as per the RFP. Despite seeking clarification, the bidder has not provided the corrected document.</i>

		ii. As per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP, with respect to details of ongoing projects submitted by the bidder (value of B), bidder is required to provide supporting documents/Certificates duly countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire/Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects for amount of work completed. The bidder has not submitted the requisite supporting certificates. In the absence of these documents, the full contract value of ongoing projects has been considered as balance work for the purpose of calculation of value of B. Accordingly, the Bid Capacity of the bidder has been calculated. Based on this, the bidder does not meet the bid capacity criteria. In view of the above non-compliances relating to threshold technical capacity, similar project experience criteria, and bid capacity requirements, the bidder does not meet the eligibility conditions prescribed in the RFP and is therefore declared technically non-responsive.
4/5	M/s Chandrawati Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
5/5	M/s Singla Construction Limited	Responsive

2. Financial Bid of the above mentioned technically responsive/ eligible bidders shall be opened after display of result of Technical Evaluation on the web portal for 07 days (i.e., till 10.02.2026). After which, Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.



Manoj Kumar Sharma
General Manager (Tech.) UP (East)

✉ manojksharma@nhai.org

☎ 011-25074100/4200 Extn. # 1321