



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA
(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)



श्रेत्रीय कार्यालय - 315/1, प्रथम तल, छन्नी हिम्मत, जम्मू-180015 जम्मू एवं कश्मीर
Regional Office - 315/1, 1st Floor, Channi Himmat, Jammu-180015, Jammu & Kashmir
टेलीफैक्स (Telefax): 0191-2467505 | ई-मेल (E-mail): rojammu@nhai.org | वेबसाइट (Website): www.nhai.org

NHAI/RO-Jammu/2025/11023/ 639

27.01.2026

To,

All the Bidders,

Sub: Request for Pre-Qualification (RFQ) - 2025 for the maintenance works to be taken up on Risk and Cost of the Concessionaire/Contractor. (Tender_ID-2025_NHAI_253124_1): - **Preliminary result of Technical Evaluation:**

Sir,

Please refer to your respective bids submitted on e-tender portal for the subject cited work. The preliminary result of technical evaluation is as under:

S No:-	Name of the Applicant	Status of Qualification	Remarks
1	M/s. A.S. Patel	Qualified for Class-C	Evaluation sheet attached as Annexure-1
2	Allone Infra Private Limited	Qualified for Class-B	
3	Choudhary Power Projects Private Limited	Not eligible	
4	Jai Buildcon Private Limited	Qualified for Class-D	
5	K.G. Gupta Infrastructure Private Limited	Qualified for Class-B	
6	Kaluwala Construction Private Limited	Qualified for Class-B	
7	MD-Infra India Private Limited	Not eligible	
8	DMR Builders Private Limited	Not eligible	
9	M/s. Sujan Singh Contractors	Not eligible	
10	Rakesh Kumar Choudhary Private Limited	Not eligible	
11	M/s. Satish Aggarwal and Co.	Qualified for Class-D	
12	M/s. Siddharth Construction	Not eligible	
13	M/s. The Open Survey World	Qualified for Class-D	
14	M/s. The Shivam Enterprises	Not eligible	
15	TRG Industries Private Limited	Qualified for Class-C	

2. In this regard, bidders may represent to the Technical Evaluation of their respective bids/proposal, if any, within 07 days from the Date of issuance of this letter i.e upto 03.02.2026 till 1800 hrs on rojammu@nhai.org.

Encls: As above

R. S Yadav

Regional Officer,
Jammu & Kashmir

Annexure-1

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Experience in Similar Work*	Net Worth Class A: Rs. 5.00 Cr. Class B: Rs. 3.75 Cr. Class C: Rs. 2.50 Cr. Class D: Rs. 1.25 Cr. (In Rs. Cr.)	Average Annual Turnover Class A: Rs. 30.00 Cr. Class B: Rs. 22.50 Cr. Class C: Rs. 15.00 Cr. Class D: Rs. 7.50 Cr. (In Rs. Cr.)	Class Claimed by the Bidder	Class for which the Bidder is qualified	Remarks
1.	M/s. A.S. Patel	Yes, Qualified for Class-C	14.94	64.17	Class-C	Class-C	Qualified for Class-C on the basis of Experience in Similar Work
2.	Allone Infra Private Limited	Yes, Qualified for Class-B	171.68	241.56	Class-A	Class-B (Refer Note No.: 1 beneath this table)	Qualified for Class-B on the basis of Experience in Similar Work
3.	Choudhary Power Projects Private Limited	Not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria (Refer Note No.: 3 beneath this table)	401.28	243.49	Class-A	Not Eligible	Not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria (Refer Note No.: 3 beneath this table)
4.	Jai Buildcon Private Limited	Yes, Qualified for Class-D	30.31	15.77	Class-D	Class-D	Qualified for Class-D on the basis of Experience in Similar Work
5.	K.G. Gupta Infrastructure Private Limited	Yes, Qualified for Class-B	67.48	149.04	Class-B	Class-B	Qualified for Class-B on the basis of Experience in Similar Work
6.	Kaluwala Construction Private Limited	Yes, Qualified for Class-A, however restricted to Class-B as claimed by the Bidder	333.04	779.85	Class-B	Class-B	Qualified for Class-B as claimed by the Bidder itself.

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Experience in Similar Work*	Net Worth Class A: Rs. 5.00 Cr. Class B: Rs. 3.75 Cr. Class C: Rs. 2.50 Cr. Class D: Rs. 1.25 Cr. (In Rs. Cr.)	Average Annual Turnover Class A: Rs. 30.00 Cr. Class B: Rs. 22.50 Cr. Class C: Rs. 15.00 Cr. Class D: Rs. 7.50 Cr. (In Rs. Cr.)	Class Claimed by the Bidder	Class for which the Bidder is qualified	Remarks
7.	MD-Infra India Private Limited	Not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria (Refer Note No.: 4 beneath this table)	12.38	28.01	Class-D	Not Eligible	Not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria (Refer Note No.: 4 beneath this table)
8.	DMR Builders Private Limited	Not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria (Refer Note No.: 5 beneath this table)	85.49	251.01	Class-A	Not Eligible	Not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria (Refer Note No.: 5 beneath this table)
9.	M/s. Sujan Singh Contractors	Not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria (Refer Note No.: 6 beneath this table)	3.66	18.11	Class-D	Not Eligible	Not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria (Refer Note No.: 6 beneath this table)
10.	Rakesh Kumar Choudhary Private Limited	Not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria (Refer Note No.: 7 beneath this table)	20.45	16.92	Class-D	Not Eligible	Not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria (Refer Note No.: 7 beneath this table)
11.	M/s. Satish Aggarwal and Co.	Yes, Qualified for Class-D	44.69	206.64	Class-A	Class-D (Refer Note No.: 2 beneath this table)	Qualified for Class-D on the basis of Experience in Similar Work

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Experience in Similar Work*	Net Worth Class A: Rs. 5.00 Cr. Class B: Rs. 3.75 Cr. Class C: Rs. 2.50 Cr. Class D: Rs. 1.25 Cr. (In Rs. Cr.)	Average Annual Turnover Class A: Rs. 30.00 Cr. Class B: Rs. 22.50 Cr. Class C: Rs. 15.00 Cr. Class D: Rs. 7.50 Cr. (In Rs. Cr.)	Class Claimed by the Bidder	Class for which the Bidder is qualified	Remarks
12.	M/s. Siddharth Construction	Since, the Bidder has not submitted any response to clarification sought, the Bidder may be declared non-responsive. (Refer Note No.: 8 beneath this table.)					
13.	M/s. The Open Survey World	Yes, Qualified for Class-D	4.25	9.20	Class-D	Class-D	Qualified for Class-D on the basis of Experience in Similar Work
14.	M/s. The Shivam Enterprises	Not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria (Refer Note No.: 9 beneath this table)	15.02	67.69	Class-C	Not Eligible	Not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria (Refer Note No.: 9 beneath this table)
15.	TRG Industries Private Limited	Yes, Qualified for Class-C	27.18	90.58	Class-C	Class-C	Qualified for Class-C on the basis of Experience in Similar Work

Note No. 1: Allone Infra Private Limited: Bidder has claimed various projects to qualify under Class-A, however, as per available documents submitted in the Technical Bid and along with the replies, the Bidder is having 2 projects only with Value of DBM/BC of Rs. 37.50 Cr. or more. However, to qualify under Class-A, the Bidder either must have 1 work of Rs. 80.00 Cr.; or 2 work of Rs. 50.00 Cr. each; or 3 work of Rs. 40.00 Cr. each. However, the Bidder is not having the requisite experience for Class-A, since:

- In case of Project namely, Hazaribagh-Barkagaon-Tandwa-Khelari-Bijupara, the value of DBM/BC comes out to be Rs. 27.12 Cr. only.
- In case of Project namely, Dimapur Bypass, the Bidder has not executed DBM/BC in the said work; and
- In case of Project namely, Upgradation of NH-81 in Malda District. The Value of DBM/BC is not reflected in the said certificate.

Accordingly, on the basis of available documents, the Bidder is qualified for Class-B by virtue of having 2 projects with value of DBM/BC of Rs. 37.50 Cr. or more.

Note No. 2: M/s. Satish Aggarwal and Co.: Bidder has claimed various projects to qualify under Class-A, however, as per available documents submitted in the Technical Bid and along with the replies, the Bidder is having 1 project only with Value of DBM/BC of Rs. 33.00 Cr. However, to qualify under Class-A, the Bidder either must have 1 work of Rs. 80.00 Cr.; or 2 work of Rs. 50.00 Cr. each; or 3 work of Rs. 40.00 Cr. each. However, the Bidder is not having the requisite experience for Class-A, since:

- Project 2, 3, 6, 7 and 8: The said projects are not 2/4/6 Laned National Highways/Expressways. Hence, the said projects may not be considered as per Clause 3.3. A (b) of RFP.
- Project 4 and 5: The said projects are completed prior to last 7 years i.e. beyond the period of 01.10.2018 to 30.09.2025. Hence, the said projects may not be considered under evaluation.

Accordingly, on the basis of available documents, the Bidder is qualified for Class-D by virtue of having only 1 project with value of DBM/BC as Rs. 33.00 Cr.

Note No. 3: Choudhary Power Projects Private Limited: Bidder is declared ineligible, as the Bidder is not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria as specified in Clause 3.3 (A) of RFQ:

- Bidder has submitted various documents in support of project namely, Ramban-Banihal section of NH-44 from km 151.00 to km. 187.00 on EPC Mode, however, the Cost/Value of Bituminous work (DBM/BC) executed by the Bidder in the Project as reflected in the said supporting documents is found to be Rs. 3.71 Cr. only.

As a result of which, the Bidder fails to meet the meet the Eligibility Criteria of Similar Work as specified in in Clause 3.3 (A) of RFQ.

Note No. 4: MD-Infra India Private Limited: Bidder is declared ineligible, as the Bidder is not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria as specified in Clause 3.3 (A) of RFQ:

- Bidder has submitted the various certificates in the Technical Bid and the same certificates were submitted in its replies in support of projects claimed under similar work eligibility criteria. However, in the said certificates only the Quantity of DBM/BC have been certified, however, the Cost/ Value of DBM/BC has not been certified which was specifically asked for from the Bidder.

Further, the Bidder has submitted various letters issued by various ROs of NHAI, wherein it has been qualified as Class-D Contractor. However, since, in the said certificates as submitted by the Bidder in the Technical Bid and replies, only the Quantity of DBM/BC have been certified, however, the Cost/ Value of DBM/BC has not been certified which was specifically asked for from the Bidder.

Therefore, in the absence of the Certificate issued by the Competent Authority duly certifying the Cost/ Value of DBM/BC, the Bidder fails to meet the Eligibility Criteria of Similar Work as specified in in Clause 3.3 (A) of RFQ.

Note No. 5: DMR Builders Private Limited: Bidder is declared ineligible, as the Bidder is not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria as specified in Clause 3.3 (A) of RFQ:

- Bidder in support of Technical Eligibility criteria has claimed 2 projects and has submitted various supporting documents in support of the same. However, as per available documents the Value of DBM/BC has not been certified by the Competent Authority in the certificates submitted in support of Eligibility of Similar Work. In this regard, a clarification was sought from the said Bidder, in response to which Bidder along with its replies has submitted the Schedule H w.r.t. the Project namely, Ranikor-Baghmara of NHIDCL only and has highlighted the components wherein Wearing Coat has been executed by the Bidder. However, it has been observed few of the Stage Payments contains other components also such as expansion joints, hand rail, crash barriers, etc. i.e. it does not constitutes Wearing Coat only. It is to be noted that even if all the percentages as highlighted by the Bidder are considered then also the aggregate percentage of Wearing Coat comes out to be 7.25% only. After multiplying the total value of Work done with the said percentage. The amount of Wearing Coat (i.e. DBM/BC) comes out to be Rs. 14.14 Cr. only.

In view of the above and in the absence of the Certificate issued by the Competent Authority duly certifying the Cost/ Value of DBM/BC, the Bidder fails to meet the Eligibility Criteria of Similar Work as specified in in Clause 3.3 (A) of RFQ.

Note No. 6: M/s. Sujan Singh Contractors: Bidder is declared ineligible, as the Bidder is not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria as specified in Clause 3.3 (A) of RFQ:

- Bidder has claimed various projects in the Technical Bid, however, the Project cost of all the projects as claimed by the Bidder except for the Project namely, Pathankot-Gurdaspur Road (NH-54) is less than Rs. 10.00 Cr. Accordingly, the same projects may not be considered. Further, w.r.t. Project namely, Pathankot-Gurdaspur Road (NH-54), the Bidder along with its replies has again submitted the same certificates which were submitted in the Technical Bid. However, as already stated the Bidder has not submitted the Client's Certificate signed by Executive Engineer or equivalent or higher duly certifying the **Cost/Value of Bituminous work (DBM/BC) executed by the Bidder** in the Projects claimed in the Technical Bid, which was specifically asked for from the said Bidder.

In view of the above and in the absence of the Certificate issued by the Competent Authority duly certifying the Cost/ Value of DBM/BC, the Bidder fails to meet the Eligibility Criteria of Similar Work as specified in Clause 3.4 (A) of RFQ.

Note No. 7: Rakesh Kumar Choudhary Private Limited: Bidder is declared ineligible, as the Bidder is not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria as specified in Clause 3.3 (A) of RFQ:

- Bidder has submitted various documents in support of project namely, Ramban-Banihal section of NH-44 from km 151.00 to km. 187.00 on EPC Mode, however, the Cost/Value of Bituminous work (DBM/BC) executed by the Bidder in the Project as reflected in the said supporting documents is found to be Rs. 1.39 Cr. only.

As a result of which, the Bidder fails to meet the Eligibility Criteria of Similar Work as specified in in Clause 3.3 (A) of RFQ.

Note No. 8: M/s. Siddharth Construction: Bidder has not submitted any response to the clarification sought. Therefore, the Bid submitted by the Bidder is liable to be rejected as per Clause 18.3 of RFQ and the Bidder is declared non-responsive.

Further, in the absence, of replies to clarification sought, the Bidder is meeting the eligibility criteria of similar work and is falling under Class-B, since:

- In case of Project namely, State Highway No.: 106 for Four laning of G.T. Road Prayagraj Varanasi Road (SH-106), the value of DBM/BC as certified by Client is coming out to be Rs. 64.78 Cr. by virtue of which the Bidder must have 02 (two) projects having value/ cost of DBM/BC of Rs. 50.00 Cr.
- In case of 2nd project namely, NH-29E Jungle Kudiya to Mohaddipur, the Cost/ Value of Bituminous work (DBM/BC) has not been certified by the Competent Authority.
- Further, the project namely, Delupur Raniganj under CRF, Babhna to Gaur Tinich and Bijnor Sisedi are not highway/ expressway rather the same are MDR. Hence, the said project may not be considered.

Accordingly, on the basis of available documents the Bidder is falling under Class-B instead of Class-A, as claimed by the Bidder.

However, since, the Bidder has not submitted any response to clarification sought, the Bidder may be declared non-responsive, the clarifications sought from the said bidder other than w.r.t. eligibility criteria of similar work as mentioned above are reiterated herein below:

S. No.	Appendix/ Annex No.	Description of clarification sought
1.	Certificate Regarding Compliance	Bidder has not submitted Certificate regarding Compliance which is required as per Clause 15.6 of RFQ. Please Clarify.
2.	Undertaking as per Clause 3.1 (h) of RFQ	Bidder has not submitted the Undertaking that the <i>"Applicant is not affiliated to the firm or entity that has been hired or employed by the Authority for preparation of application or to supervise the contract"</i> , which is required as per Clause 3.1 (h) of RFQ. Please clarify.
3.	Annexure-C (Power of Attorney of the Applicant to commit the Application/Bid)	POA submitted by the Bidder is not properly executed i.e. it has only been signed by the Authorized Signatory instead of being executed by all the partners of the Bidder. Please Clarify.

Note No. 9: M/s. The Shivam Enterprises: Bidder is declared ineligible, as the Bidder is not meeting the Similar Work Eligibility Criteria as specified in Clause 3.3 (A) of RFQ:

- Bidder in support of similar work eligibility criteria has claimed 3 projects namely, 1. Agra-Etawah, 2. Etawah-Chakeri and 3. Panipat Jalandhar and has submitted various supporting documents in support of the same. However, from the said certificates, the value of DBM/BC was not reflected. Accordingly, a clarification was sought from the said Bidder. In response to which, the Bidder has submitted its replies, which are found to be not justified. In this regard, please refer replies to pre-bid queries, wherein it is specifically mentioned that in case of Construction Works, only the cost/value of DBM/BC is to be considered. Since, the Bidder was asked to furnish the Client's Certificate w.r.t. Project namely, Agra-Etawah Bypass duly certifying the Cost/Value of the DBM/BC, since, only the quantity of DBM/BC was certified and the corresponding value was not reflected. Further, the contention that maintenance works to be taken up on Risk and Cost of the Concessionaire/ Contractor, as defined under the RFQ, are not limited only to DBM/BC works, but also include other road safety and allied maintenance works such as crash barriers, road sign boards, road markings, cat eyes, road studs and other allied road safety works is not tenable. Hence, the same may not be considered.

Therefore, on the basis of available documents, the Bidder fails to meet the Eligibility Criteria of Similar Work as specified in in Clause 3.3 (A) of RFQ.