



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



No.: PUNDIV-20014/41/2025-Punjab Division (e-292627)

Date: 23.01.2026

To,

All Bidders

Sub.: Operation & Maintenance of Four lane with Paved Shoulders of Bathinda-Tapa-Sangrur section of NH-07 from Km. 123.542 to Km. 220.265 in the State of Punjab in the state of Punjab on Performance Based Maintenance Contract (PBMC) mode [e-Tender ID: 2025_NHAI_251425_1] - Result of Technical Evaluation - Reg.

Ref.: Your BID for the subject work (Bid Due Date: 25.11.2025)

Sir,

With reference to above pertaining to the subject matter, the result of Technical Evaluation is as under:

Bid No.	Bidder (M/s)	Status
1	Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Non-Responsive [Cl. 4.1(j)(1), Cl. 4.1(j)(2) & Cl. 4.3(ii) of RFP]
2	Gurbachan Singh Constructions Pvt Ltd. - Gawar Constructions Ltd (JV)	Non-Responsive [Cl. 4.1(j)(1) of RFP]
3	Shivam Construction Company – Ramesh Kumar Bansal (JV)	Responsive

Refer **Annexure-I** for the reasons for non-responsiveness/ non-qualification.

2. The bidders are being given opportunity to submit objections, if any, on the result of technical evaluation under Cl. 23.5 of ITB, latest by 30.01.2026 (1500 Hrs IST).

3. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours faithfully,

Encls.: As above

Rupesh Kumar Srivastava
(Rupesh Kumar Srivastava)
23.01.2026
GM (T) - PB&HR

Reasons of non-responsiveness of the Bidders**(i) Bid No. 1 - Ashoka Buildcon Limited**

SN.	Clauses	Reasons of non-responsiveness
1.	Clause 4.1(j)(1) Equipments	1. As per Clause 4.1(j)(1) of the RFP, the Bidder is required to provide details of equipment along with their respective capacities. During evaluation it was observed that while the Bidder submitted a list of equipment, the required capacity details for the Mechanical Broom (1250 sqm per hour) were not provided in the bid. 2. As per the Corrigendum No. PUNDIV-20014/41/2025-Punjab Division (e-292627) dated 18.11.2025 of the RFP, the Bidder is required to submit equipment details along with specifications as prescribed in the NHA Maintenance Manual for the following equipment: • Mechanised Road Sweeping Machine • Automatic Pothole Filling, Compacting & Patching Machine During evaluation, it was observed that although the Bidder submitted a list of equipment, no supporting documents, such as manufacturer's certificates, were provided to establish compliance with the prescribed specifications. Accordingly, a clarification was sought from the Bidder. However, in its reply, the Bidder only referred to documents already submitted with the original bid and did not provide any additional supporting documents or proof to demonstrate compliance with the RFP requirements.
1.	Clause 4.1.j(2) Technical Personnel	As per clause 4.1.j(2) of RFP, the Bidder is required to provide details of the prescribed Technical Personnel. During evaluation of the original bid submission, the following observations were made: • Site Engineer- cum-Surveyor Engineer cum Material Engineer:- Srinivas Mallick Vadali- The proposed Key personnel doesn't have experience as per the criteria mentioned in the RFP i.e 4 years on Highway Construction/ Maintenance works. • Site Engineer- cum-Surveyor Engineer cum Material Engineer:- Ajunkya Rajendra Ghorpade The proposed Key personnel doesn't have experience as per the criteria mentioned in the RFP i.e 4 years on Highway Construction/ Maintenance works. • Road Safety Auditor:- Anil Shimpi- 15-days Road Safety Audit Completion Certification Course for the proposed Key personnel is not found in the submitted bid, which is required as per RFP. Further, the proposed Key personnel doesn't have experience as per the criteria mentioned in the RFP i.e 5 year's experience in road safety works. Accordingly, a clarification was sought from the Bidder regarding the non-fulfilment of the experience requirement. However, in its reply, the Bidder stated that the personnel possess the required experience and referred only to documents already submitted with the original bid. No additional supporting documents or evidence were provided to substantiate compliance with the stipulated qualifications and experience.

		Therefore, the Bidder does not meet the requirements under Clause 4.1(j)(2) of the RFP.
2.	Clause 4.3 (ii) Technical	As per clause 4.3 (ii) of the RFP, 'The Bidder shall have completed PQC pavement works during the last 7 years preceding the Bid Due Date in at least 15 Km (4 lane) length in any single eligible project from Category 1 or 3 involving either new construction or concrete overlay of minimum 100 mm thickness.' During evaluation of the bid, no such qualifying project was found in the submitted documents. Accordingly, a clarification was sought from the Bidder. Bidder, in its reply, has stated the following <i>"We have submitted below project of Category 3 for said Qualification compliances.</i> <i>Project - Eight-laning of Vadodara Kim Expressway from Km 279.00 to Km 292.00 (Ankleshwar to Manubar section of Vadodara Mumbai Expressway) in the State of Gujarat under NHDP Phase-VI on Hybrid Annuity mode.</i> <i>The total completed length of the said project is 11.25KM with 8 Lane configurations. Which is similar to 22.50 KM considering 4 Lane Road. And the same is fully new construction (Greenfield Expressway) and having Rigid pavement (PQC) in entire length of project. The Client certificate is already submitted on Pg. 86-98 of Bathinda TechPart01"</i> However, upon evaluation of the Bidder's clarification, it was observed that the actual completed length of the project is 11.25 km only. Since this length is less than the stipulated minimum requirement of 15 km, the project does not meet the eligibility criteria specified under Clause 4.3(ii) of the RFP.

(ii) **Bid No. 2 - Gurbachan Singh Constructions Pvt Ltd. - Gawar Constructions Ltd (JV)**

SN.	Clauses	Reasons of non-responsiveness
1.	Clause 4.1(j)(1) Equipments	As per the Corrigendum No. PUNDIV-20014/41/2025-Punjab Division (e-292627) dated 18.11.2025 of the RFP, the Bidder is required to submit equipment details along with specifications as prescribed in the NHA Maintenance Manual for the following equipment: • Mechanised Road Sweeping Machine • Automatic Pothole Filling, Compacting & Patching Machine During evaluation, it was observed that although the Bidder submitted a list of equipment, no supporting documents, such as manufacturer's certificates, were provided to establish compliance with the prescribed specifications. Accordingly, a clarification was sought from the Bidder. However, in its reply, the Bidder has not provided any additional supporting documents or proof to demonstrate compliance with the RFP requirements. Hence, the reply submitted by the Bidder is not satisfactory and bidder does not fulfil the requirements of the RFP.