



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



Result of Technical Bids

Tender ID: 2025_NHAI_250647_1

Dated: 13.02.2026

To,

All the Participated Bidders
(As per table below)

Sub.: Construction of 4-lane South-East Bypass for Azamgarh City connecting NH-28 at existing Ch. Km 218+800 to Purvanchal Expressway at existing Ch. Km 247+850 (total length: 14.905 Km) in the state of UP on EPC Mode [Tender ID: 2025_NHAI_250647_1]

Sir,

This has reference to RFP submitted for above subject project. The result of Technical Evaluation of the bids received along with reasons for their non-responsiveness are tabulated below:

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Remarks
1.	M/s Oasis Projects Limited - M/s Neeraj Cement Structurals Ltd.	Non-Responsive Bidder has claimed a project executed by its other member for similar work which does not fall under Category 1 or 3 as per Clause 2.2.2.5 (i) of the RFP. As per documents submitted by the bidder, cost of the project is Rs. 210.98 Cr. which is inclusive of GST. Further payment received Rs. 253.10 is inclusive of escalation and GST. Therefore, capital cost of the project without escalation and GST could not be ascertained. Therefore, the claimed project neither falls under category 1 or 3 nor capital cost of the said project could be ascertained equal to one similar work i.e., 35% of estimated project cost Rs. 196.97 Cr. Hence, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of similar work required under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP.
2.	M/s VRC Constructions (India) Limited	Non-Responsive As per clause 2.2.2.8 (i) of the RFP, bidder should have been submitted Audited Annual Reports for the last 5 (five) financial years. Bidder has not submitted Independent Auditor Report, Audited Balance Sheet and Statement of Profit & Loss for the Financial Year 2024-25 in its bid nor in clarification. Therefore,

— 1 —

[Signature]

		in the absence of said Audited Balance Sheet as on 31.03.2025, net worth of the bidder could not be ascertained. Accordingly, bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria of net worth under clause 2.2.2.3 (i) and clause 2.2.2.8 (i) of the RFP.
3.	M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd. - M/s PRL Projects & Infrastructure Limited (JV)	Non-Responsive In its original bid, the bidder has submitted project code “d” of the lead member and project code “D” of the other member in the format of Annex-IX which is required for similar work. Contract amount of project code “D” is Rs. 41.38 Cr. which is less than amount required for similar work. Therefore, project code “D” has not been considered for similar work. Further, the Capital Cost of the project code “d” is Rs. 161.38 Cr. which qualify for one similar work of 25% Estimated Cost i.e., Rs. 140.69 Cr. Since, the bidder does not have two similar completed works costing not less than less than amount equal to 25% each of Estimated Cost or one similar completed work costing not less than amount equal to 35% of Estimated Cost, it does not meet the eligibility criteria of similar work under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP.
4.	M/s R.G. Buildwell Engineers Ltd.	Non-Responsive As per eligibility criteria of Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP, inter alia, the bidder is required to have experience in the construction of a Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover during the last seven (7) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date (or up to the Bid Due Date), involving a structure with a longest span of at least 80% (i.e., 51.27 m) of the longest span proposed in the present project, and a total length of at least 40% (i.e., 48 m) of the Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover proposed in the project. However, in the claimed project, length of structure and length of span could not be ascertained. Accordingly, bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP.
5.	M/s Kram Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive As per clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of the RFP, bidder should have been “Threshold Technical Capacity” more than Rs 562.77 Crore over the past 5 (five) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date. As per documents submitted by the bidder the total receipts for Project Code “b” are Rs. 50.59 Cr., which is less than the amounting to Rs. 56.28 Cr., i.e., 10% of the estimated project cost of Rs. 562.77 Cr. and project code “f” does not fall under any category for evaluation of Technical Capacity. Therefore, both projects have not been considered for calculation of Threshold Technical Capacity. Since, the projects bearing code

dan

		"b" & "f" do not qualify for calculation of Threshold Technical Capacity; bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria of "Threshold Technical Capacity" under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of the RFP.
6.	M/s Ravi Infrabuild Projects Limited - M/s ALTIS Holding Corporation (JV)	Responsive
7.	M/s PNC Infratech Ltd.	Responsive
8.	M/s Dhariwal Buildtech Ltd.	Non-Responsive As per the documents submitted by the bidder in its bid and clarification, the value of work done is 88.48%. However, as clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than the value required this clause in the last 5 (five) financial years preceding the Bid Due Date or till the Bid Due Date. In view of the above, bidder does not meet the eligibility criteria of similar work under clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP.
9.	M/s Kaluwala Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
10.	M/s Vijai Constructions (India) Private Ltd.	Non-Responsive As per clause 1.2.4, 2.11.1 (f), 2.11.2 (g), 2.20.1 of the RFP and Corrigendum uploaded by the Authority, bidder should submit the bid security of Rs. 5.63 Cr. However, bidder has submitted the bid security of Rs. 5.48 Cr. which is less than the required amount of bid security. Hence, as per "Tests of Responsiveness" under clause 3.1.6.1(c) read along with clause 2.20.2 of the RFP, the Authority may reject the bid submitted by the bidder and may consider its bid as non-responsive.
11.	M/s Jayshree International - M/s Ajay Prakash Associates (JV)	Responsive
12.	M/s CDR Infracon Limited - M/s S&P Infrastructure	Responsive



	Developers Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	
13.	M/s HRY Kundu Buildtech Pvt. Ltd. - M/s KCC Buildcon Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Responsive

2. Financial Bid of the above-mentioned technically responsive/eligible bidders shall be opened after display of result of Technical Evaluation on the web portal for 7 days (i.e., till 19.02.2026) as per Clause 2.19 of RFP. After which, Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.

Yours sincerely



(Manoj Kumar Sharma)
General Manager (Tech.) UP (East)
[✉ manojksharma@nhai.org](mailto:manojksharma@nhai.org)
[☎ 011-25074100/4200](tel:011-25074100/4200) Extn. # 1321

← 4 →