



सत्यमेव जयते

भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



No.: PUNDIV-20017/39/2025-Punjab Division (e-292418)

Date: 09.01.2026

To,

All Bidders

Sub.: Operation & Maintenance of 4 lanes with Paved Shoulders of Lambra - Shahkot - Moga section of NH-71 (New NH-703) from Km 12+120 to Km 77+200 (Length: 65.080 Km) in the state of Punjab for 5 years on Performance Based Maintenance Contract (PBMC) mode [e-Tender ID: 2025_NHAI_247399_1] - Result of Technical Evaluation - Reg.

Ref.: Your BID for the subject work (Bid Due Date: 03.11.2025)

Sir,

With reference to above pertaining to the subject matter, the result of Technical Evaluation is as under:

S.No.	Name of the Bidder (M/s)	Status
1	Eimsim Babas Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd.	Non-responsive
2	Gurbachan Singh Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
3	Oasis Projects Ltd.	Non-responsive
4	Saraswati Buildcon	Non-responsive
5	Satish Aggarwal & Co. Mukerian in JV with Satish Aggarwal & Co. Amritsar	Responsive
6	Suraj Constructions Co.	Responsive


Refer **Annexure-I** for the reasons for non-responsiveness/ non-qualification.

2. The bidders are being given opportunity to submit objections, if any, on the result of technical evaluation under Cl. 23.5 of ITB, latest by 14.01.2026 (1500 Hrs IST).

3. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours faithfully,

Encls.: As above


(Rupesh Kumar Srivastava)
GM (T) - PB&HR

Reasons of non-responsiveness of the Bidders**(i) Bid No. 1 - Eimsim Babas Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd.**

Sno.	Annex no.	Reasons of non-responsiveness
1.	Clause 4.3(i) and (ii) Annex II & Annex IV	Annex II and Annex IV has not been found in the original submission made by the bidder. No fresh documents may be considered in this regard.
2.	Part A Clause 4.1 (g)	As per the said clause, the bidder is required to provide scanned copy of information regarding any litigation or arbitration during the last five years in which the Bidder is involved, the parties concerned, the disputed amount, and the present status. In the instant case, the bidder has submitted an undertaking which do not cover the concern given in the said clause completely. It is not clear whether the given information is for last 05 years or not.
3.	4.1 (i) (1)	The bidder has submitted Affidavit however, the same is not executed on stamp paper as per the requirement of clause 4.1(i)(1) of the RFP Document.
4.	Clause 4.1 j (2)	As per clause 4.1(e) of the RFP Documents, the bidder is required to furnish the scanned copy of details of the technical personnel proposed to be employed for the Contract having the qualifications defined in Clause 4.1 j (2). However, the same has not been found in the original submission made by the bidder. No fresh documents may be considered in this regard.
5.	Clause 4.1 j (1)	The proof of ownership of 01 Dewatering Pump out of 02 requirement has not been found.

In view of the above, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of clause 4.1 (g), clause 4.1 (i) (1), clause 4.1 (j) (1) & (2), clause 4.3 (i) & (ii) of Section-2 of the RFP.

(ii) Bid No. 3 - Oasis Projects Ltd.

Sno.	Annex no.	Reasons of non-responsiveness
1.	Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of Section 2 to Appendix to ITB	Small Roller: Invoices submitted w.r.t. Small Roller and is unsigned. The documentary evidences of 01 Dewatering Pump and 01 Tanker has not been found.
2.	Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section 2 to Appendix to ITB	The bidder has submitted 03 CVs for Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager whereas as per RFP, 01 CVs for Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager is required to be proposed. All the three proposed Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager, 1.Mr. Himanshu Dubey's Graduation degree has not been found in the submission made by the bidder and the experience of 3 years as an Incident Manager, Road Property Manager, or Route Operation Manager also has not been found. 2.Mr. Shyamal Kundu's Graduation degree has not been found in the submission made by the bidder and the experience of 3 years as an Incident Manager, Road Property Manager, or Route Operation Manager also has not been found. 3.Mr. Sunil Kumar Bhatt do not demonstrate the required experience. Hence, no Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager is meeting the minimum eligibility criteria.



In view of the above, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of clause 4.1 (j) (1) & (2) of Section-2 of the RFP.

(iii) Bid No. 3 - Saraswati Buildcon

Sno.	Annex no.	Reasons of non-responsiveness
1.	Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section 2 to Appendix to ITB	Project Manager (Parth Patel): As per the requirement of RFP, the said position holder should have 08 years as Project Manager of experience in highway projects. However, the said position holder does not have 08 years Experience in highway projects as Project Manager of experience in highway projects Site Engineer-cum- Surveyor Engineer cum Material Engineer (Fazil S Alwani): As per the requirement of RFP, the said position holder should have 04 years of experience in highway projects. However, the said position holder does not have 04 years of experience on Highway/ Bridge construction/ Maintenance. Site Engineer-cum- Surveyor Engineer cum Material Engineer (Utsav D Prajapati): As per the requirement of RFP, the said position holder should have 04 years of experience in highway projects. However, the said position holder does not have 04 years of experience on Highway/ Bridge construction/ Maintenance. Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager (Yash A Gandhi): As per the requirement of RFP, the said position holder should have 03 years of experience in Highway Property Management and Maintenance and the said position holder does not have 03 years of experience in Highway Property Management and Maintenance. Road Safety Auditor (Hiren Dholakia): Road Safety Audit Certificate from appropriate approved Govt. agency has not been found in case of the abovementioned proposed Road Safety Auditor. The submitted certificate is for attending Training Programme instead of completion of certification course on Road Safety Audit. Hence, rejected.

In view of the above, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP.

Robert Saran