



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
National Highways Authority of India
(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Govt. of India)
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय - दिल्ली
जी-3, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075
Regional Office - Delhi
G-3, Sector-10, Dwarka New Delhi-110075
फोन/Ph.: +91 011-25074100/200
ई-मेल/Email: rodelhi@nhai.org, वेब/Web: www.nhai.gov.in



DLDIV-20011/11/2025-NHAI- RO Delhi

Date: 22.01.2026

To,

All Bidders,

Sub: - Request for Pre-Qualification (RFQ) - 2025 for the maintenance works to be taken up on Risk and Cost of the Concessionaire/Contractor under jurisdiction of RO-Delhi: - (Tender Id: 2025_NHAI_243640_1- Technical Result regarding Pre-Qualified bidders-reg.

Sir(s),

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject work. The Evaluation Committee has evaluated the bids submitted by bidders and the result of the evaluation is as follows:-

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Qualified under the Class	Remarks
1	Raj Shyama Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Class A	-
2	SEC Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.	Class A	-
3	Shiva Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.	Class A	-
4	Sarvodya Infracon Pvt. Ltd.	Class B	-
5	Shivalik Buildtech Private Limited	Not Responsive	Refer Note-1
6	Manha Real Tech Private Limited	Not Responsive	Refer Note-2
7	Krishna Constellation Private Limited	Class A	-
8	G L Golden	Not Responsive	Refer Note-3
9	Shri Buildup Private Limited	Not Responsive	Refer Note-4
10	RCC Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Class A	-
11	RKCPL Limited	Class A	-
12	Sabz Infra Solution Private Limited	Not Responsive	Refer Note-5
13	Perfect Allied Saftey and security Service Pvt. Ltd.	Class D	-
14	KRC Infraprojects Com	Class A	-
15	Siddharth Construction	Not Responsive	Refer Note-6
16	The Shivam Enterprises	Class C	-
17	Mahavir Prasad Gupta And Sons	Class D	-
18	Winner Constructions Pvt Ltd	Class C	-
19	JSP Projects Private Limited	Class A	-
20	Raja Infraconstruction Pvt Ltd	Class C	-
21	Chaudhary Construction Company Private Limited	Class C	-
22	Landmark Engineer	Not Responsive	Refer Note-7
23	Shri Vasudevkrishna Constructions Private Limited	Class C	-
24	DMR Builders Pvt Ltd	Class A	-
25	K G Infrastructure pvt Ltd	Class A	-
26	MD-Infra India Private Limited	Class D	-
27	Elsamex Maintenance Services Limited	Not Responsive	Refer Note-8
28	Kaluwala Constructions Private Limited	Class B	-

Note-1: Shivalik Buildtech Private Limited

The bidder could not demonstrate eligible experience of similar completed works under Clause 3.3 A(b), as the submitted projects were either supported by completion certificates issued by private entities instead of competent Government Authorities, lacked valid authorization, or were supported only by LOAs without completion/client certificates, and no clarifications were submitted.

Additionally, the bidder failed to comply with Clause 3.1(a) (non-submission of MOA/AOA), Clause 3.3A(a) (financial capacity—submission of only four years' audited financials without the required undertaking for FY 2024-25), Clause 3.1(g) (litigation history), Clause 3.1(h) (non-affiliation undertaking), submission of local content undertakings, and Appendix-IX regarding GFR Rule 144(xi), the bidder does not meet the technical qualification criteria stipulated in the RFQ and is accordingly declared **non-responsive**.

Note-2: Manha Real Tech Private Limited

Under Clause 3.3 A(b), the bidder submitted two projects relating to urban development and internal roads in Greater Noida, which do not qualify as similar works involving construction or O&M of 2/4/6-lane National Highways/Expressways/State Highways executed under prescribed project modes, and no clarification was furnished despite being sought. Further, the bidder did not submit the Board Resolution supporting the Power of Attorney, litigation history under Clause 3.1(g), non-affiliation undertaking under Clause 3.1(h), self and Statutory Auditor-certified local content undertakings, or Independent Auditor Reports with notes to accounts for FY 2022-23 to 2024-25 under Clause 3.3A(a). Owing to these deficiencies and non-submission of clarifications, the bidder does not comply with the RFQ requirements and is accordingly declared **non-responsive**.

Note-3: G L Golden

Under Clause 3.3 A(b), the bidder submitted a single project involving improvement of an urban master road in Gurugram, which does not qualify as similar work related to construction or O&M of 2/4/6-lane National Highways, Expressways, or State Highways, and no clarification was provided despite being sought. Further, the bidder failed to submit the required undertaking for unaudited financials for FY 2024-25 and furnished only four years' audited financial statements under Clause 3.3A(a), did not provide litigation history under Clause 3.1(g), self and Statutory Auditor-certified local content undertakings, or Appendix-IX regarding compliance with Rule 144(xi) of the GFRs. Owing to these deficiencies and absence of clarifications, the bidder does not meet the RFQ requirements and is accordingly declared **non-responsive**.

Note-4: Shri Buildup Private Limited

Under Clause 3.3 A(b) of the RFQ for similar work experience the bidder claimed Category "D" but submitted three projects of which only two qualify as similar works related to National/State Highways, with admissible costs above ₹10.00 Cr., while the third project pertains to rural roads under PMGSY and is not eligible; hence, the bidder does not satisfy the minimum thresholds prescribed for Category "D". Accordingly, the Bidder does not possess the requisite experience as stipulated in the RFQ. Hence, bidder is considered **non-responsive**.



Note-5: Sabz Infra Solution Private Limited

Under Clause 3.3 A(b), the bidder claimed seven projects as similar work; however, four projects were below the minimum value of ₹10 crore and the remaining projects were supported only by experience certificates issued by the main contractor (M/s G R Infraprojects Ltd.) instead of valid Employer/Client certificates from NHAI or certificates verified by a competent authority, rendering them ineligible. Further, the bidder did not submit essential documents including MOA/AOA, a valid Power of Attorney with Board Resolution, required undertakings for unaudited financials and change of statutory auditor under Clause 3.3A(a), litigation history, non-affiliation undertaking, self-assessment sheet indicating category, Appendix-IX under GFR Rule 144(xi), and Statutory Auditor-certified local content undertakings. Owing to these deficiencies and non-submission of clarifications, the bidder fails to meet the RFQ requirements and is accordingly considered **non-responsive**.

Note-6: Siddharth Construction

The bidder did not furnish a duly executed Partnership Deed under Clause 3.1(a), failed to submit the required undertaking for unaudited financials for FY 2024-25 and provided only four years' audited financial statements under Clause 3.3A(a), and did not submit the non-affiliation undertaking under Clause 3.1(h). Further, the Integrity Pact was incomplete as the names of the Independent External Monitors were not mentioned as required under Clause 3.1(j), and Appendix-IX regarding compliance with Rule 144(xi) of the GFRs was also not submitted. In view of these deficiencies and absence of any clarifications, the bidder does not meet the RFQ requirements and is accordingly considered **non-responsive**.

Note-7: Landmark Engineer

The bidder failed to submit the required undertaking for unaudited financials for FY 2024-25 and provided audited financial statements for only four years under Clause 3.3A(a), without furnishing any clarification. Further, the experience certificates submitted pertain to rural road projects, which do not qualify as similar work involving construction or O&M of 2/4/6-lane National Highways, Expressways, or State Highways as mandated under Clause 3.3 A(b). Additionally, the bidder did not submit the self-certified local content undertaking. In view of these deficiencies and absence of clarifications, the bidder does not meet the RFQ requirements and is accordingly considered **non-responsive**.

Note-8: Elsamex Maintenance Services Limited

Under Clause 3.3 A(b) of the RFQ, the bidder submitted detailed clarifications and experience certificates, including one issued by NHAI for the Pune-Solapur NH-9 project, the certificate did not specify the cost of work executed by EMSL or the completion date, and the remaining projects were supported by certificates issued by concessionaires/SPVs instead of the Employer/Client, which were not accepted for evaluation. Owing to failure to meet the mandatory criteria for similar work experience and local content certification, the bidder has been considered **non-responsive**.



2. In view of above technical evaluation, if any of the bidder have objection, they may represent within 07 days. For the representations bidders has to submit the detailed proof of submission along with the reference submitted in the original bid. Representations can be submitted via mail on rodelhi@nhai.org.

This is being issued with the approval of RO- Delhi.



(Mohak Kumar)
Manager (T)

Copy to: RO-Delhi for information please.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by MOHAK KUMAR
Date: 2026.01.22 17:13:47 IST
Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs