



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



PROJ-29016/2/2024-Bihar Division/E-269817

Date: 03.12.2025

To,

All Bidders

Sub.: Strengthening & Major Maintenance of Muzaffarpur-Darbhanga-Purnea section (BR-04, BR-03, BR-02 & BR-01) of NH-27 from Km. 156.456 to KM. 285.007 in the State of Bihar on Single percentage rate contract mode (2nd Call) [Tender ID: 2025_NHAI_241577_1]: Result of Technical Evaluation and Notice for Financial Bid Opening - Reg.

Ref.: Bids submitted for the above-mentioned work.

This is with reference to the bids submitted for the subject proposal. The result of technical evaluation is as under:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1.	M/s Siddharth Construction	Non-responsive*
2.	M/s SRSC Infra Private Limited	Qualified for Financial Bid Opening
3.	M/s KCP Infra Limited	Qualified for Financial Bid Opening
4.	M/s Agrawal Infrabuild Private Limited	Qualified for Financial Bid Opening
5.	M/s Kaluwala Construction Private Limited	Qualified for Financial Bid Opening

2. As per note to clause 1.11 of Section IX of RFP, all responsive bidders are requested to submit their updated bid capacity status latest by 03.12.2025 (03:00 PM) positively and attend the financial bid opening meeting.

3. As per clause 26.6 read with clause 27.1 of RFP, it is hereby informed that the financial bids of responsive bidders will be opened on 03.12.2025 at 05:00 PM at E-tender Room, NHAI HQ, in the presence of the authorised representatives of the bidders who may choose to attend.

Regards,

Bhaskar Mishra
03-12-2025

(Bhaskar Mishra)
General Manager (T)-BH

***Reasons for Non-responsiveness of M/s. Siddharth Construction**

The Bidder M/s Siddharth Construction has been declared Non-Responsive as the said Bidders fails to meet the following minimum eligibility criteria specified in the RFP and therefore, declared ineligible for next stage of Bidding Process:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Experience		Turnover (Required Rs. 69.11 Cr) (Rs. in Cr)	Financial Capabilities (Required= Rs. 23.04 Crore)	Assessed Available Bid Capacity (Required = Rs. 230.38 Cr.) (Rs. in Cr)	Remarks
		General Construction Experience required as per Clause 1.3.1 (a) of Section IX of RFP	Particular Construction Experience as per Clause 1.3.1 (b) of Section IX of RFP				
1.	M/s Siddharth Construction	Yes	No (Refer Note beneath this table)	149.80	-169.01 (Refer Note beneath this table)	367.19	Refer Note beneath this table

Note: The Bidder has not submitted the response to clarifications sought, therefore, the bid of the said Bidder has been evaluated on the basis of available documents based on which the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in the RFP and therefore, declared ineligible for next stage of Bidding Process:

On the basis of available documents, the Bidder fails to meet the Particular Construction Experience specified in Clause 1.3.1 (b) of RFP. Bidder has claimed various projects for Construction Experience and in support of the same, the Bidder has submitted Client Certificates, however, due to various infirmities the Bidder fails to meet the Particular Construction Experience specified in Clause 1.3.1 (b) of RFP:

1. Project: NH-29E Jungle Kaudia to Mohaddipur: The value of work executed as restricted to the Bidder's JV share (30% as per Joint Bidding Agreement submitted in the Technical Bid), falls below the threshold of 92.15 Cr. as stipulated in the RFP and reiterated in the table above. Accordingly, the said project may not be considered under evaluation.

2. Project: Dehlpur-Raniganj-Patti-Kohdaour under Central Road Fund: The date of completion as mentioned in the Client's Certificate 30.06.2019, which falls outside the permissible time limit ending on 01.04.2020 (i.e. FY 2020-21) as specified in the RFP. Hence, the said project may not be considered under evaluation.

3. Project: “Babhnan to Gaur Tinich under RIDF-24: The certified value of work executed is Rs. 45.77 Cr., which is below the minimum requirement of Estimated Cost specified in the RFP and reiterated in the table above, i.e. it is less than Rs. 92.15 Cr. Therefore, the said project may not be considered under evaluation.

4. Project: “Four-laning of GT Road Prayagraj-Varanasi (SH-106) from Ch. 219.500 to 242.600: As per Client’s Certificate dated 19.02.2025, the certified value of DBM/BC and PQC/DLC works are Rs. 63.42 Cr. and Rs. 6.89 Cr. respectively by virtue of which, the said project may be considered under point no.: (ii) of the table under Clause 1.3.1 (b) of RFP.

5. Project: SH-113 Naraine Kalanjer: The Client Certificate provides details of executed quantities for DBM/BC; however, the corresponding monetary values for DBM/BC or PQC/DLC have not been certified, which is required as per RFP. Bidder is required to furnish the Client’s Certificate duly certifying the Value of DBM/BC or PQC/DLC.

Further, the value of the said Project as restricted to the Bidder’s JV share (70% as per Joint Bidding Agreement submitted in the Technical Bid) comes out to be Rs. 97.31 Cr. i.e. the said project qualifies under point no.: (iii) of the table under Clause 1.3.1 (b) of RFP.

In view of the above, out of all the Projects claimed in the Technical Bid only 2 projects were falling under the eligibility criteria specified in the table under Clause 1.3.1 (b) of RFP i.e.

1. Four-laning of GT Road Prayagraj-Varanasi (SH-106) from Ch. 219.500 to 242.600; and
2. Project: SH-113 Naraine Kalanjer.

Out of which, the cost of Project SH-113 Naraine Kalanjer was Rs. 97.31 Cr. i.e. the said project falls under the criteria mentioned in point (iii) of the Table. By virtue of this, the Bidder should have atleast 03 (three) projects having Estimated Cost of Rs. 92.15 Cr. and the Value of DBM/BC or PQC/DLC in the said projects must be Rs. 46.08 cr. or above. However, only 02 (two) projects are found to be eligible to be considered instead of 03 (three) Projects. Hence, on the basis of available documents submitted in the Technical Bid. The Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of Particular Construction Experience specified in Clause 1.3.1 (b) of RFP.

Financial Capabilities as required under Clause 1.6 of RFP claimed by the Bidder in Form 7A i.e. Source of Funding after deducting Financial Commitment for ongoing Civil work is coming out to be negative, therefore, the Bidder fails to meet minimum eligibility criteria as per Clause 1.6 of Section-IX of RFP.

In addition to above, following infirmities/ discrepancies were observed, however the Bidder did not submit any response, which were specifically asked for. The same are iterated below:

S. No.	Clause/ Reference	Description of clarification sought
1.	Undertaking required as per Clause 3.2 (c) of Section-1	Bidder has not submitted the Undertaking that Bidder is not affiliated with a firm or entity: (i). which has provided consulting services during the preparatory stages of the works or of the project of which the Works form a part (ii). which has been hired (or is proposed to be hired) as Engineer for the Contract, which is required as per Clause 3.2 (c) of Section 1 of RFP. Please Clarify.
2.	Undertaking required as per Clause 3.2 (d) of RFP	Bidder has not submitted the Undertaking that the Bidder or its constituents shall not be under a declaration of ineligibility for corrupt, fraudulent, collusive or coercive practices or debarment issued by the NHAI in accordance with Sub Clause 39, which is required as per Clause 3.2 (d) of Section 1 of RFP. Please Clarify.
3.	Undertaking w.r.t. Clause 3.3 of Section-1	Bidder has not submitted the Undertaking that Bidder shall not have a conflict of interest as prescribed in Clause 6, which is required as per Clause 3.3 of Section 1 of RFP. Please Clarify.
4.	Appendix to Bid (Clause 13.1 (iv) of Section-1 of RFP)	Bidder has not submitted the Appendix to Bid forming part of Form of Bid, which is required as per Clause 13.1 (iv) of Section-1 of RFP. Please clarify.
5.	Form of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security	1. In para 14, the address of Lucknow Branch is mentioned instead of New Delhi Branch, which is required as per format of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security prescribed in RFP. Kindly correct the same and mention the address of Delhi Branch. 2. Further, in point no.: (ii) of Non-Obstante clause also, the address of Lucknow Branch is mentioned which is contradictory to Para-14 as prescribed in the RFP. Kindly delete the same or correct it by mentioning the address of Delhi Branch. The Bidder is required to submit an amendment to the Bank Guarantee duly rectifying the aforesaid discrepancies.
6.	Form-12 (POA for signing of Bid)	POA submitted by Bidder is not executed by all the partners of the firm including Mr. Vinay Jain i.e. the same has only been signed by the Attorney himself. Please clarify.
7.	Certificate w.r.t. Local Content	1. Certificate w.r.t. local content supplier is issued by R.K. Chari & Co., Chartered Accountants, however, provisional financial statements of FY 2024-25 have been certified by the Chartered accountant namely, Brijesh Singh & Associates, Chartered Accountants. Therefore, it is not verifiable who is the present Auditor

S. No.	Clause/ Reference	Description of clarification sought
		of the Bidder. Therefore, the Bidder is required to clarify how R. K. Chari & Co., Chartered Accountants can issue the said certificate in the capacity of the auditor auditing the annual books of accounts. 2. Further, the Local Content Certificate issued by R.K. Chari & Co., Chartered Accountants is issued on 09.11.2024 i.e. prior to issuance of RFP, instead of submitting the same between the date falling within the Date of Notice Inviting Bid and upto Bid Due Date. Please Clarify by furnishing the Chartered Accountant's certificate auditing the annual books of accounts.
8.	Section-VIII (Schedule-C) (Details of Key Personnel)	The Bidder has submitted the list of proposed Key Personnel along with supporting documents pertaining to their educational qualifications and CVs However, it is observed that in the Curriculum Vitae (CVs) of the Key Personnel the details of experience of Key Personnel such as name of projects, duration of the projects, position at which Key Personnel have executed the projects is not verifiable. Accordingly, the Bidder is requested to kindly furnish the duly certified CVs of all proposed Key Personnel, clearly reflecting their detail of experience of Key Personnel such as name of projects, duration of the projects, position at which Key Personnel have executed the work, etc.
9.	Audited Annual Reports	Bidder has not submitted the Audited Annual Reports for FY 2024-25, which is required as per Clause 1.8 of Section-IX of RFP. Further, the Bidder has submitted an Undertaking dated 15.07.2025 stating that the audit for FY 2024-25 was not completed, however, the Bid Due Date of the Project was 03.09.2025. Therefore, please clarify through Chartered Accountant's Certificate auditing the annual books of accounts duly bearing UDIN. Further, the said Undertaking has been issued by R.K. Chari & Co., Chartered Accountants however, provisional financial statements of FY 2024-25 have been certified by the Chartered accountant namely, Brijesh Singh & Associates, Chartered Accountants. Therefore, it is not verifiable who is the present Auditor of the Bidder. Accordingly, the Bidder is required to clarify how R. K. Chari & Co., Chartered Accountants can issue the said certificate in the capacity of the auditor auditing the annual books of accounts.
10.	Section-VIII (Schedule-G) Integrity Pact	In Article-8 Para-1, the Bidder has not mentioned the Contact Details of the IEMs, which were required as per point no.: 9 of Corrigendum-I dated 25.08.2025 issued along with the RFP. Please clarify.