



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



PROJ-29016/1/2024-Bihar Division/E-269797

Date: 03.12.2025

To,

All Bidders

Sub.: Strengthening & Major Maintenance of Muzaffarpur-Darbhanga-Purnea section (BR-09, BR-08, BR-07, BR-06) of NH-27 from Km. 0.000 to 145.646 in the State of Bihar on Single percentage rate contract mode (2nd Call) [Tender ID: 2025_NHA_241574_1]: Result of Technical Evaluation and Notice for Financial Bid Opening - Reg.

Ref.: Bids submitted for the above-mentioned work.

This is with reference to the bids submitted for the subject proposal. The result of technical evaluation is as under:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status
1.	M/s KCP Infra Limited	Qualified for Financial Bid Opening
2.	M/s Siddharth Construction	Non-responsive*
3.	M/s Agrawal Infrabuild Private Limited	Qualified for Financial Bid Opening
4.	M/s Kaluwala Construction Private Limited	Qualified for Financial Bid Opening

2. As per note to clause 1.11 of Section IX of RFP, all responsive bidders are requested to submit their updated bid capacity status latest by 03.12.2025 (03:00 PM) positively and attend the financial bid opening meeting.

3. As per clause 26.6 read with clause 27.1 of RFP, it is hereby informed that the financial bids of responsive bidders will be opened on 03.12.2025 at 05:00 PM at E-tender Room, NHA HQ, in the presence of the authorised representatives of the bidders who may choose to attend.

Regards,

Bhaskar Mishra
03-12-2025

(Bhaskar Mishra)
General Manager (T)-BH

*** Reasons for Non-Responsiveness of M/s. Siddharth Construction**

The Bidder M/s Siddharth Construction has been declared Non-Responsive as the said Bidders fails to meet the following minimum eligibility criteria specified in the RFP and therefore, declared ineligible for next stage of Bidding Process:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Experience		Turnover (Required Rs. 92.16 Cr) (Rs. in Cr)	Financial Capabilities (Required= Rs. 30.72 Crore)	Assessed Available Bid Capacity (Required = Rs. 307.20 Cr.) (Rs. in Cr)	Remarks
		General Construction Experience required as per Clause 1.3.1 (a) of Section IX of RFP	Particular Construction Experience as per Clause 1.3.1 (b) of Section IX of RFP				
1.	M/s Siddharth Construction	Yes	No (Refer Note beneath this table)	149.79	-169.01 (Refer Note beneath this table)	417.65	Refer Note beneath this table

Note: The Bidder has not submitted the response to clarifications sought, therefore, the bid of the said Bidder has been evaluated on the basis of available documents, based on which the Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria requirement specified in the RFP and therefore, declared ineligible for next stage of Bidding Process:

On the basis of available documents, the Bidder fails to meet the Particular Construction Experience specified in Clause 1.3.1 (b) of RFP. Bidder has claimed various projects for Construction Experience and in support of the same, the Bidder has submitted Client Certificates, however, due to various infirmities the Bidder fails to meet the Particular Construction Experience specified in Clause 1.3.1 (b) of RFP:

1. Project: NH-29E Jungle Kaudia to Mohaddipur: The value of work executed as restricted to the Bidder's JV share (30% as per Joint Bidding Agreement submitted in the Technical Bid), falls below the threshold of Rs. 122.88 Crore as stipulated in the RFP and reiterated in the table above. Accordingly, the said project may not be considered as eligible for the purpose of qualification/ evaluation.

2. Project: Dehlpur-Raniganj-Patti-Kohdaour under Central Road Fund: The date of actual completion of the Project is mentioned as 30.06.2019 in the Client's Certificate dated 22.11.2023, submitted by the Bidder, which falls outside the permissible time limit ending on 01.04.2020 (i.e. FY 2020-21) as specified in the RFP. Hence, the said project may not be considered as eligible for the purpose of qualification/ evaluation.

3. Project: “Babhnan to Gaur Tinich under RIDF-24: The certified value of work executed by the Bidder is Rs. 45.77 Crore, which is below the minimum requirement of similar completed works considering Estimated Cost specified in the RFP and reiterated in the table above, i.e. it is less than Rs. 122.88 Crore. Therefore, the said project may not be considered as eligible for the purpose of qualification/ evaluation.

4. Project: “Four-laning of GT Road Prayagraj-Varanasi (SH-106) from Ch. 219.500 to 242.600: As per Client’s Certificate dated 19.02.2025, the certified value of DBM/BC and PQC/DLC works are Rs. 63.42 Crore and Rs. 6.89 Crore respectively, by virtue of which, the said project may be considered under point no.: (iii) of the table under Clause 1.3.1 (b) of RFP.

5. Project: SH-113 Naraine Kalanjher: The Client’s Certificate provides details of executed quantities for DBM/BC, however, the corresponding monetary values for DBM/BC or PQC/DLC have not been mentioned/ certified, which is required as per RFP.

Further, the value of the said Project, as restricted to the Bidder’s JV share (70% as per Joint Bidding Agreement submitted in the Technical Bid) comes out to be Rs. 97.31 Crore, which falls below the threshold of Rs. 122.88 Crore as stipulated in the RFP and reiterated in the table above. Accordingly, the said project may not be considered as eligible for the purpose of qualification/ evaluation.

By virtue of the facts mentioned above, the Bidder should have at least 03 (three) projects having Estimated Cost of Rs. 122.88 Crore and the Value of DBM/BC or PQC/DLC in the said projects must be Rs. 61.44 Crore or above. However, from the above-mentioned facts, it is evident that four out of the five projects submitted by the Bidder do not meet the eligibility criteria and may not be considered as eligible for the purpose of qualification/ evaluation.

Hence, on the basis of available documents submitted in the Technical Bid. The Bidder fails to meet the minimum eligibility criteria of Particular Construction Experience specified in Clause 1.3.1 (b) of RFP.

Financial Capabilities as required under Clause 1.6 of RFP claimed by the Bidder in Form 7A i.e. Source of Funding after deducting Financial Commitment for ongoing Civil work is coming out to be negative.

Therefore, the Bidder fails to meet minimum eligibility criteria as per Clause 1.6 of Section-IX of RFP.

In addition to above, following infirmities/ discrepancies were observed, however the Bidder did not submit any response, which were specifically asked for. The same are again reiterated below:

S. No.	Clause/ Reference	Description of clarification sought
1.	Section-V (B) and clause 13.1 (iv) of Section-I <u>Appendix to Bid</u>	Appendix to Bid, forming part of Form of Bid, as per Section-V of RFP, signed by the Authorised Signatory of the Bidder, is not traceable in the Technical Bid submitted by the Bidder, which is required as per clause 13.1 (iv) of Section-I of RFP. Bidder to clarify & provide the exact location of above-mentioned document in the submitted Technical Bid.
2.	Section-VII Bank Guarantee for BID Security	1. In point no. 14 of the format of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security submitted by the Bidder, the address of the Bank's branch is mentioned as Indira Nagar Branch at Lucknow, however the address of the Bank's branch at <u>New Delhi</u> is required to be mentioned as per format of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security prescribed in RFP. 2. In point no. (ii) of Non-Obstante clause also, the address of Indira Nagar Branch at Lucknow is mentioned, which will be in contradiction to the Bank's address required as per point no. 14 as prescribed in the RFP. Bidder to clarify the reason for the same and furnish suitable amendment to the Bank Guarantee, based on above-mentioned comments/ remarks.
3.	Undertaking required as per clause 3.2 (c) of Section-I	An Undertaking, required as per clause 3.2 (c) of Section-I of RFP, that Bidder is not affiliated with a firm or entity: (i) which has provided consulting services during the preparatory stages of the works or of the project of which the Works form a part (ii) which has been hired (or is proposed to be hired) as Engineer for the Contract, is not traceable in the Technical Bid submitted by the Bidder. Bidder to clarify & provide the exact location of above-mentioned document in the submitted Technical Bid.
4.	Undertaking required as per clause 3.2 (d) of Section-I	An Undertaking, that the Bidder or its constituents shall not be under a declaration of ineligibility for corrupt, fraudulent, collusive or coercive practices or debarment issued by the NHAI in accordance with Sub Clause 39, is not traceable in the Technical Bid submitted by the Bidder. Bidder to clarify & provide the exact location of above-mentioned document in the submitted Technical Bid.

S. No.	Clause/ Reference	Description of clarification sought
5.	Undertaking w.r.t clause 3.3 of Section-I	Bidder has not submitted the confirmation that Bidder shall not have a conflict of interest as prescribed in clause 6, which is required as per clause 3.3 of Section-I of RFP. Bidder to clarify the reason for the same.
6.	Section-IX Qualification Information Form - 12	POA submitted by the Bidder (dated 02.09.2025) is not executed by all the partners of the firm, including Mr. Vikash Jain i.e. the POA has been executed (signed) and accepted by Mr. Vinay Jain. Bidder to clarify the reason for the same.
7.	Certificate w.r.t Local Content	1. Certificate w.r.t local content supplier is issued by R.K. Chari & Co., Chartered Accountants, however, provisional financial statements of FY 2024-25 have been certified by the Chartered accountant namely, Brijesh Singh & Associates, Chartered Accountants. Therefore, the Bidder is required to clarify how R. K. Chari & Co., Chartered Accountants can issue the said certificate in the capacity of the auditor auditing the annual books of accounts. 2. Further, the Local Content Certificate issued by R.K. Chari & Co., Chartered Accountants is issued on 09.11.2024 i.e. prior to issuance of RFP, instead of submitting the same between the date falling within the Date of Notice Inviting Bid and upto Bid Due Date. Please clarify.
8.	Section-VIII (Schedule-C) Details of Key Personnel	The Bidder has submitted the list of proposed Key Personnel along with supporting documents pertaining to their educational qualifications and CVs. However, it is observed that in the Curriculum Vitae (CVs) of the Key Personnel the details of experience of Key Personnel such as name of projects, duration of the projects, position at which Key Personnel have executed the projects, is not verifiable. Accordingly, the Bidder is requested to kindly furnish the duly certified CVs of all proposed Key Personnel, clearly reflecting their details w.r.t experience of Key Personnel such as name of projects, duration of the projects, position at which Key Personnel have executed the work, etc.
9.	Section-VIII (Schedule-G) Integrity Pact	Article 8, clause no. 1 of the format of Integrity Pact submitted by the Bidder, is not aligned as per the format prescribed in the RFP/ Corrigendum issued by the Authority. Please clarify.
10.	Audited Annual Reports	Bidder has not submitted the Audited Annual Reports for FY 2024-25, which is required as per Clause 1.8 of Section-IX of RFP. Further, the Bidder has submitted an Undertaking dated 14.07.2025 stating

S. No.	Clause/ Reference	Description of clarification sought
		that the audit for FY 2024-25 was not completed, however, the Bid Due Date of the Project was 03.09.2025. Therefore, please clarify through Chartered Accountant's Certificate auditing the annual books of accounts duly bearing UDIN. Further, the said Undertaking has been issued by R.K. Chari & Co., Chartered Accountant, however, provisional financial statements of FY 2024-25 have been certified by the Chartered accountant namely, Brijesh Singh & Associates, Chartered Accountants. Therefore, it is not verifiable who is the present Auditor of the Bidder. Accordingly, the Bidder is required to clarify how R. K. Chari & Co., Chartered Accountants can issue the said certificate in the capacity of the auditor auditing the annual books of accounts.