



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सडक परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

श्रेणीय कार्यालय : 41-29-45A, सर्वे नं: 373/2A, कोदंडरामालयम्, चलासानी नगर,

रानीगारीतोटा, कृष्णालंका, विजयावाडा - 520 013, आन्ध्र प्रदेश

Regional Office : D.No. 41-29-45A, RS.No. : 373/2A, Kodandaramalayam, Chalasani Nagar,

Ranigari Thota, Krishna Lanka, Vijayawada - 520 013, Andhra Pradesh.

फोन / Tel : 0866-2483910, ई-मेल/e-mail: rovijayawada@nhai.org, nhairovja@gmail.com

वेब/ web: www.nhai.gov.in



Result of Technical Evaluation

[Tender ID No. 2025_NHAI_241117_1]

Ref: NHAI/RO-VJA/PIU-VSP/Trumpet Interchange/2025-26/ 3947

Dt: 10.10.2025

Name of the work: Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram international airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode - Reg.

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Result of Technical Evaluation	Reasons for non- responsiveness
(i)	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	Technically Responsive	-
(ii)	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	Technically Responsive	-
(iii)	M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions	Technically Non Responsive	The Bid Security of the bidder is valid only for 172 days as against 180 days required in terms of Clause 2.20.1 under Section-2. Instructions to Bidders of RFP document. Therefore, the technical bid of this bidder is non-responsive in terms of Clause 2.20.4 of Section-2. Instructions to Bidders of RFP document.
(iv)	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	Technically Responsive	-
(v)	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	Technically Responsive	-
(vi)	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd	Technically Responsive	-

2. As per clause 2.19 of RFP, the Authority is displaying the result of technical evaluation on the web portal for 7 days including reasons for non- responsiveness. The non-responsive bidder may submit its representation within 7 days of publishing of this result of technical evaluation, i.e. by 17.10.2025. In case, no response is received by the due date, it would be presumed that there is nothing to say in the matter and the financial bids of responsive bidders will be opened thereafter.

Yours faithfully,


(R.K. Singh, IES)

CGM (Tech.) & Regional Officer

Minutes of Meeting of Tender Evaluation Committee (Technical Evaluation) dated 08.10.2025 for "Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram international airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode" (Tender Ref. No. 2025_NHAI_241117_1).

Based on the deliberations made, the Evaluation Committee recommended to obtain clarifications from all the bidders vide MOM dated 17.09.2025.

Sl. No	Name of the bidder
(i)	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd
(ii)	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited
(iii)	M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions
(iv)	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited
(v)	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO
(vi)	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd

2. Accordingly, Regional Officer, Vijayawada vide letter no.3720, 3721, 3722, 3723, 3724 & 3725 dated 19.09.2025 has sought clarification from the above bidders with a request to submit clarification within 7 days with supporting documents for which clarifications have been received from all the bidders as detailed below.

(i) M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd:

Sl. No	Clarification Sought	Clarification furnished	Comments of Committee
(i)	As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of Technical Capacity under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders and Corrigendum - 1 issued vide RO - Vijayawada letter no.3427 dated 23.08.2025, "..... For the avoidance of doubt, it is clarified that 'similar completed work' shall mean a completed work comprising all major components similar to the work for which bid has been invited. Execution of work, which does not include all major components, shall not be considered as completion of similar work. Furthermore, in order to claim experience for similar completed work, the bidder must submit the Experience Certificate attached at Annexure-IX of Appendix-IA, in addition to the certificate from the Statutory Auditor / Client as specified in Annex-IV of Appendix-IA.	The Experience Certificate in the NHAI format specified in the letter no.3722 dated 19.09.2025 has been reviewed by Executive Engineer (R&B), NH, Visakhapatnam and accordingly issued Experience Certificate in the prescribed format vide letter dated 24.09.2025. The details of all major components of works executed and payments received during the project duration are included in the Experience Certificate.	The clarification submitted by the bidder has been examined by the Evaluation Committee and found to be in order.

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

Sl. No	Clarification Sought	Clarification furnished	Comments of Committee
	For the projects executed by NHAI, the Experience Certificate shall be issued by the concerned GM(T) at NHAI HQ based on the recommendation of the Project Director*. However, on verification of the similar works submitted under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP by the bidder it is observed that the bidder has not submitted the Experience Certificates of similar works in the format as specified in Corrigendum-1. The same needs to be clarified.		

(ii) **M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited:**

Sl. No	Clarification Sought	Clarification furnished	Comments of Committee
(i)	As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of Technical Capacity under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders and Corrigendum - 1 issued vide RO - Vijayawada letter no.3427 dated 23.08.2025, "..... For the avoidance of doubt, it is clarified that 'similar completed work' shall mean a completed work comprising all major components similar to the work for which bid has been invited. Execution of work, which does not include all major components, shall not be considered as completion of similar work. Furthermore, in order to claim experience for similar completed work, the bidder must submit the Experience Certificate attached at Annexure-IX of Appendix-IA, in addition to the certificate from the Statutory Auditor / Client as specified in Annex-IV of Appendix-IA. For the projects executed by NHAI, the Experience Certificate shall be issued by the concerned GM(T) at NHAI HQ based on	Experience Certificate as per Corrigendum - 1 is attached	The clarification submitted by the bidder has been examined by the Evaluation Committee and found to be in order.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

Sl. No	Clarification Sought	Clarification furnished	Comments of Committee
	the recommendation of the Project Director". However, on verification of the similar works submitted under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP by the bidder it is observed that the bidder has not submitted the Experience Certificates of similar works in the format as specified in Corrigendum-1. The same needs to be clarified.		
(ii)	As per Appendix-IA, Annexure-VI. Information required to evaluate the BID Capacity under Clause 2.2.2.1, ".....The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the bidder has emerged as the winner of the bid and anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the client or its Engineer-in-charges not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorized Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects.....". However, on verification it is observed that the bidder has not submitted experience certificates in respect of ongoing works. The same needs to be clarified.	Bid Capacity along with LOA copies and Bill Copies certified by the Engineer – in – Charge is attached	While submitting the bid online the bidder has submitted the details / summary of works in hand & existing commitments. Now, the bidder has submitted proof of existing commitments which are examined by the Evaluation Committee and found that the bid capacity arrived is in order.

(iii) M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions:

Sl. No	Clarification Sought	Clarification furnished	Comments of Committee
(i)	As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of Technical Capacity under Section – 2. Instructions to Bidders and Corrigendum – 1	It is respectfully submitted that, along with our Bid, we had enclosed the Experience Certificates as were issued	The bidder has submitted Experience Certificate while uploading the bid. However, could not submit

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

Sl. No	Clarification Sought	Clarification furnished	Comments of Committee
	issued vide RO – Vijayawada letter no.3427 dated 23.08.2025, "..... For the avoidance of doubt, it is clarified that 'similar completed work' shall mean a completed work comprising all major components similar to the work for which bid has been invited. Execution of work, which does not include all major components, shall not be considered as completion of similar work. Furthermore, in order to claim experience for similar completed work, the bidder must submit the Experience Certificate attached at Annexure-IX of Appendix-IA, in addition to the certificate from the Statutory Auditor / Client as specified in Annex-IV of Appendix-IA. For the projects executed by NHAI, the Experience Certificate shall be issued by the concerned GM(T) at NHAI HQ based on the recommendation of the Project Director". However, on verification of the similar works submitted under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP by the bidder it is observed that the bidder has not submitted the Experience Certificates of similar works in the format as specified in Corrigendum-1. The same needs to be clarified.	earlier by the Competent Authority of NHAI at the relevant time. We could not obtain the same in the revised prescribed format introduced subsequently. It is humbly submitted that the non-submission in the revised format is not on account of any deficiency in the underlying credentials but solely relates to the format of presentation. The Experience Certificates furnished by us are authentic documents, duly issued by the Competent Authority and pertain to works which stand duly completed and certified. In view of the foregoing, we most respectfully request that the Authority may kindly verify the validity and correctness of the said certificates directly from the concerned project directors who are the appropriate authorities to confirm the same. We assure our fullest cooperation to facilitate such verification and to provide any additional particulars that may be required.	in the revised format & requested to consider the old format. Accordingly, the old format submitted in the bid has been examined and observed that details of all the major components required as per new format are also available in the old format. Hence, the Committee opined that the experience certificate is found to be order.
(ii)	Further, as per Clause 2.20.1 & 2.20.2 of Bid Security under Section – 2. Instructions to Bidders & Corrigendum – 1 issued vide RO – Vijayawada letter no.3427 dated 23.08.2025, "The Bidder shall furnish as part of its BID, a BID Security referred to in Clause 1.2.4 herein above in the form of Insurance Surety Bond (issued by Insurance Company	It is respectfully submitted that our bid was filed prior to the extension of the bid submission date. Consequent upon such extension, we endeavoured to arrange for an extended surety bond in line with the revised timelines. However, despite over efforts the extension could not be secured within the extended period. Nevertheless, the	The bidder failed to provide Bid Security with validity up to 07.03.2026. As per RFP Clause 2.20.4, "..... No relaxation of any kind on BID Security shall be given to any bidder". Hence, the Evaluation Committee has

Handwritten signature/initials

Handwritten signature/initials

Handwritten signature/initials

Handwritten signature/initials

Sl. No	Clarification Sought	Clarification furnished	Comments of Committee
	authorized by Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India in the format at Appendix-X), Account Payee Demand Draft, Fixed Deposit Receipt, Banker's Cheque or Bank Guarantee (including e-Bank Guarantee) issued by nationalised bank, or a Scheduled Bank in India having a net worth of at least Rs.1,000 crore (Rs. one thousand crore), in favour of the Authority in the format at Appendix-II (the "Bank Guarantee") and having a validity period of not less than 180 (one hundred eighty) days from the BID Due Date, inclusive of a claim period of 60 (sixty) days, and may be extended as may be mutually agreed between the Authority and the Bidder from time to time..... Any bid not accompanied by the Bid Security shall be summarily rejected by the Authority as non-responsive.....". The actual Bid Due Date of the tender is 08.09.2025 and the validity period i.e., 180th day from the Bid Due Date shall be 07.03.2026. However, on verification of the Bid Security it is observed that the bidder has submitted bid security in form of Insurance Surety Bond valid up to 27.02.2026 only i.e., for a shorter validity period of 8 days. The same needs to be clarified.	surety bond as furnished by us continues to remain valid as on date. Without prejudice, we hereby undertake that the surety bond already furnished shall be duly extended / renewed before its expiry in the event the bidding process is not concluded prior thereto. This assurance is made with a view to ensure uninterrupted validity of our Bid Security, thereby safeguarding the interest of the Authority.	recommended to consider the bidder as Non - Responsive.

(iv) **M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited:**

Sl. No	Clarification Sought	Clarification furnished	Comments of Committee
(i)	As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (II) of Technical Capacity under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders and Corrigendum - 1	Experience certificate as per attached Annexure IX of Appendix 1A & CA Certificate from the statutory Auditor Attached	The bidder has submitted the Experience Certificate in the revised format duly signed by the Client M/s. Haryana State Roads &

15/2/26

gk

92 bhu

Sl. No	Clarification Sought	Clarification furnished	Comments of Committee
	issued vide RO – Vijayawada letter no.3427 dated 23.08.2025, "..... For the avoidance of doubt, it is clarified that 'similar completed work' shall mean a completed work comprising all major components similar to the work for which bid has been invited. Execution of work, which does not include all major components, shall not be considered as completion of similar work. Furthermore, in order to claim experience for similar completed work, the bidder must submit the Experience Certificate attached at Annexure-IX of Appendix-IA, in addition to the certificate from the Statutory Auditor / Client as specified in Annex-IV of Appendix-IA. For the projects executed by NHAI, the Experience Certificate shall be issued by the concerned GM(T) at NHAI HQ based on the recommendation of the Project Director". However, on verification of the similar works submitted under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP by the bidder it is observed that the bidder has not submitted the Experience Certificates of similar works in the format as specified in Corrigendum-1. The same needs to be clarified.	herewith.	Bridges Development Corporation Limited which was confirmed by M/s. Haryana State Roads & Bridges Development Corporation Limited vide E-mail dated 08.10.2025. The details furnished are verified by the Evaluation Committee and found to be in order.
(ii)	Further, as per Clause 2.20.1 & 2.20.2 of Bid Security under Section – 2. Instructions to Bidders & Corrigendum – 1 issued vide RO – Vijayawada letter no.3427 dated 23.08.2025, "The Bidder shall furnish as part of its BID, a BID Security referred to in Clause 1.2.4 herein above in the form of Insurance Surety Bond (issued	Validity of bid security through Insurance Bond is up to 07.03.2026 as per attached copy of amendment in page no 16 out of 337 pages in file naming "TECHANICAL_5SHIVAvijayawadv up"	The amendment copy confirming the validity of bid security through Insurance Bond up to 07.03.2026 submitted in page no 16 in file naming "TECHANICAL_5SHIVAvijayawadv up" by the bidder has been examined the Committee and observed that the validity has been submitted for the required period which is found to be in order.


AB

Sh

Sh

P. Subh

Sl. No	Clarification Sought	Clarification furnished	Comments of Committee
	by Insurance Company authorized by Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India in the format at Appendix-X), Account Payee Demand Draft, Fixed Deposit Receipt, Banker's Cheque or Bank Guarantee (including e-Bank Guarantee) issued by nationalised bank, or a Scheduled Bank in India having a net worth of at least Rs.1,000 crore (Rs. one thousand crore), in favour of the Authority in the format at Appendix-II (the "Bank Guarantee") and having a validity period of not less than 180 (one hundred eighty) days from the BID Due Date, inclusive of a claim period of 60 (sixty) days, and may be extended as may be mutually agreed between the Authority and the Bidder from time to time..... Any bid not accompanied by the Bid Security shall be summarily rejected by the Authority as non-responsive..... The actual Bid Due Date of the tender is 08.09.2025 and the validity period i.e., 180th day from the Bid Due Date shall be 07.03.2026. However, on verification of the Bid Security it is observed that the bidder has submitted bid security in form of Insurance Surety Bond valid up to 28.02.2026 only i.e., for a shorter validity period of 7 days. The same needs to be clarified.		









(v) M/s. PSN Reddy & CO:

Sl. No	Clarification Sought	Clarification furnished	Comments of Committee
(i)	As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of Technical Capacity under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders and Corrigendum - 1 issued vide RO - Vijayawada letter no.3427 dated 23.08.2025, "..... For the avoidance of doubt, It is clarified that 'similar completed work' shall mean a completed work comprising all major components similar to the work for which bid has been invited. Execution of work, which does not include all major components, shall not be considered as completion of similar work. Furthermore, in order to claim experience for similar completed work, the bidder must submit the Experience Certificate attached at Annexure-IX of Appendix-IA, in addition to the certificate from the Statutory Auditor / Client as specified in Annex-IV of Appendix-IA. For the projects executed by NHAI, the Experience Certificate shall be issued by the concerned GM(T) at NHAI HQ based on the recommendation of the Project Director". However, on verification of the similar works submitted under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP by the bidder it is observed that the bidder has not submitted the Experience Certificates of similar works in the format as specified in Corrigendum-1. The same needs to be clarified.	It is to clarify that we have enclosed experience certificate issued by MoRT&H for the work "Rehabilitation and Upgradation of NH-167B from Km.99.103 (CS Puram) to Km.143.320 (Malakonda) to Two Lane with Paved Shoulders in Andhra Pradesh through EPC Contract under Annual Plan 2021-22" along with bid submitted by us on 31.08.2025. Though the Experience Certificates does not match with the NHAI format, the specifications mentioned in the certificate matches with the certificate enclosed along with the bid.	While uploading the bid the bidder has submitted Experience Certificate issued by MoRT&H which is not as per revised format. However, confirmed that the details required as per new format matches with the certificate enclosed along with the bid. The same is examined by the Evaluation Committee and found to be in order.







(vi) M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd:

Sl. No	Clarification Sought	Clarification furnished	Comments of Committee
(i)	As per Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of Technical Capacity under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders and Corrigendum - 1 issued vide RO - Vijayawada letter no.3427 dated 23.08.2025, "..... For the avoidance of doubt, it is clarified that 'similar completed work' shall mean a completed work comprising all major components similar to the work for which bid has been invited. Execution of work, which does not include all major components, shall not be considered as completion of similar work. Furthermore, in order to claim experience for similar completed work, the bidder must submit the Experience Certificate attached at Annexure-IX of Appendix-IA, in addition to the certificate from the Statutory Auditor / Client as specified in Annex-IV of Appendix-IA. For the projects executed by NHAI, the Experience Certificate shall be issued by the concerned GM(T) at NHAI HQ based on the recommendation of the Project Director". However, on verification of the similar works submitted under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP by the bidder it is observed that the bidder has not submitted the Experience Certificates of similar works in the format as specified in Corrigendum-1. The same needs to be clarified.	We hereby with due respect submit that we have submitted the similar work from the eligible projects category 3. Work done certificate from client Chartered Accountant Certificate. We hereby confirm that we have submitted the Certificates Accordingly. To claim experience for similar completed work Client Experience certificate format in the form attached Annexure-IX of Appendix-IA It is clearly mentioned for works executed for NHAI. The works Experience certificates submitted by us are pertaining to works executed in Municipal corporation. The Experience Certificate as stated in Corrigendum are for the works executed for NHAI as the same is clearly mentioned in the format.	The bidder claimed that the new format specified in Corrigendum - 01 is for work executed for NHAI. On verification it is observed by the Evaluation Committee that in the enclosed format of Experience Certificate attached with Corrigendum - 01, name of the client has been specified as National Highways Authority of India. In view of the above, the Evaluation Committee has considered the submission of bidder and examined the experience certificate submitted along with the bid. It is observed that the details required as per new format matches with the certificate enclosed.

3.1 The Technical Bids of all the bidders have been evaluated in 4 steps based on the requirements set out in the RFP Document and deliberations are as detailed below:

- (i) Test of Responsiveness of the Technical Bids submitted by each bidder (Clause 3.1.6 of RFP document)
- (ii) Evaluation of the Technical Capacity of the Bidder (Clause 2.2.2.2 of RFP Document)

S. No.	Clause No.	Parameters as per RFP	Name of Bidders					
			M/s. SVS Moolambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd
1	Clause 2.11.1 (g) of S-II : ITB	Copy of online receipt towards payment of cost of Bid documents of Rs.10,000/-	Yes Page No.1 (1DocumentsFee_END_Bhogapuram.pdf)	Yes Page No.1 (DOCUMENT2.pdf)	Yes Page No.1-2 (Technical_Bid_1)	Yes Page No.1 (TECHNICAL_1.pdf)	Yes Page No.1 (1TECHNICAL.pdf)	Yes Page No.1 (1InsuranceBondandTF.pdf)
2	Clause 2.11.1 (f) of S-II : ITB & Appendix-II	Bid Security of Rs.0.47 Crore in the form of BG/Insurance Surety Bond/ DD/ Fixed Deposit receipt/ Banker's cheque/ e-BG	Yes Page No.2 to 7 (1DocumentsFee_END_Bhogapuram.pdf)	Yes Page No.1-5 (DOCUMENT1.pdf)	Technically Non Responsive as per Clause 2.20.4 under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders on the bidder has submitted Bid Security for a shorter validity period of 8 days	Yes Page No.1-5 (TECHNICAL_2.pdf)	Yes Page No.2-6 (1TECHNICAL.pdf)	Yes Page No.2-6 (1InsuranceBondandTF.pdf)
3	Clause 2.1.5 of S-II : ITB & Appendix-II	The Power of Attorney is uploaded on e-procurement portal as specified in Clauses 2.1.5	Yes Page No.1 to 3 (2AppendixIIIFOM_Bhogapuram.pdf)	Yes Page No.4-6 (DOCUMENT6.pdf)	Yes Page No.121 (Technical_Bid_5)	Yes Page No.1-5 (TECHNICAL_3.pdf)	Yes Page No.32-33 (2TECHNICAL.pdf)	Yes Page No.1-2 (4POAandIDocuments.pdf)
4	Clause 2.14.1.1 of S-II : ITB	DSC should be in the name of the authorized signatory as authorized in Appendix III of this RFP or person executing/delegating such Appendix III in favour of Authorized Signatory (2.14.1.1)	Mr. M. Sateenarayana Rayu	Mr. Mallipedi V Hanuman Sai Prasad	Mr. G. Srinivasulu Reddy	Mr. Ashok Kumar Jain	Mr. Karri Nareesh Kumar Reddy	Mr. K. Venkatarani Reddy
5	Clause 2.1.6 of S-II : ITB & Appendix-IV	Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Joint Venture and the Joint Bidding Agreement is uploaded on e-procurement portal as specified in Clause 2.1.6, if so required	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6	Clause 3.1.6.1 (f) of S-III : ETB & Appendix-IA	Technical Bid contains all the information (complete in all respects) Appendix-IA (Annex-I - Annex-VIII)	Yes Page No.1 to 162 (4Annexure_1_to_VIII_Bhogapuram.pdf)	Yes	Yes Page No.3-144	Yes Page No.5-337 (TECHNICAL_GSHIVAVijayawadepdf)	Yes	Yes
7	Clause 3.1.6.1 (g) of S-III : ETB	Technical Bid does not contain any condition or qualification	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8	Clause 3.1.6.1 (j) of S-III : ETB	Copy of Registration from the Competent Authority as defined in Public Procurement Order No. F.No. 678/2019 - PPD dated 23rd July 2020, if applicable (to be submitted by the Bidder from a country which shares a land border with India) is uploaded, if applicable	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
9	Annex-VIII of Appendix-IA & Clause 1.2.1 of S-I : Introduction	Undertaking as per Annex-VII of Appendix-IA regarding qualification of bidder seeking to acquire stakes in Public Sector Enterprises through the process of disinvestment as per Clause 1.2.1 of Section 1 of RFP.	Yes Page No.160-161 (4Annexure_1_to_VIII_Bhogapuram.pdf)	Yes Page No.1-2 (DOCUMENT6.pdf)	Yes Page No.107-108 (Technical_Bid_4)	Yes Page No.134-135 (TECHNICAL_GSHIVAVijayawadepdf)	Yes Page No.1-2 (5TECHNICAL.pdf)	Yes Page No.51-52 (2A4AnnexuresofAP.pdf)
10	Clause 2.1.1 of S-II : ITB	No bidder shall submit more than one bid (2.1.1 of Section 2 of RFP)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11	Clause 2.2.1 (c) of S-II : ITB	No conflict of interest as per Clause 2.2.1 of Section 2 of RFP	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided

2

14

28


28


28

S. No.	Clause No.	Parameters as per RFP	Name of Bidders					
			M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd
12	Clause 2.11.1 (i) of S.II - ITB & Appendix - VI	Integrity Pact	Yes Page No.6-11 (3Appendices_Bhogapuram.pdf)	Yes Page No 7-13 (DOCUMENT6.pdf)	Yes Page No.126-133 (Technical_Bid_6)	Yes Page No 139-146 (TECHNICAL_SSHIVAVijayawadavup.pdf)	Yes Page No 9-14 (STECHNICAL.pdf)	Yes Page No.19-25 (2A3AnnexuresofAP.pdf)
13	Clause 2.11.1 (j) of S.II - ITB	Undertaking from the person having PoA referred to in Sub. Clause-(b) above that they agree and abide by the Bid documents uploaded by MoRT&H/NHA and amendments uploaded, if any, and [2.11.1 (j)]	Yes Page No.15 (3Appendices_Bhogapuram.pdf)	Yes Page No.64 (DOCUMENT6.pdf)	Yes Page No.141 (Technical_Bid_6)	Yes Page No.152 (TECHNICAL_SSHIVAVijayawadavup.pdf)	Yes Page No 5 (STECHNICAL.pdf)	Not Provided
14	Clause 2.11.1 (q) of S.II - ITB	Self- certification by the Bidder that its Bid meets the Local Content requirement for Class - I Local supplier/ Class - II Local supplier, as the case may be. The Self-certification shall also have details of the location(s) at which the local value addition is made. In case, bidder do not submit the aforesaid Certification, the bidder will be summarily treated as 'Non-Local Supplier'	Yes Page No.14 (3Appendices_Bhogapuram.pdf)	Yes Page No.65-66 (DOCUMENT6.pdf)	Yes Page No.137-139 (Technical_Bid_6)	Yes Page No 154 (TECHNICAL_SSHIVAVijayawadavup.pdf)	Yes Page No.15-16 (STECHNICAL.pdf)	Yes Page No 54 (2A3AnnexuresofAP.pdf)
15	Clause 2.11.1 (o) of S.II - ITB & Appendix - IX	Certificate regarding Compliance with Restrictions under Rule 144 (x) of the General Financial Rules (GFRs)	Yes Page No.12 (3Appendices_Bhogapuram.pdf)	Yes Page No 7-13 (DOCUMENT6.pdf)	Yes Page No.134-136 (Technical_Bid_6)	Yes Page No.148 (TECHNICAL_SSHIVAVijayawadavup.pdf)	Yes Page No.18 (STECHNICAL.pdf)	Yes Page No 53 (2A3AnnexuresofAP.pdf)
			RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	NON - RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE	RESPONSIVE


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neeraj Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Vidhana Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


K.V.D. Ranjan
3/10/25
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

Annexure-B

Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram international airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode

Statement of Threshold Technical Capacity Assessment


Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)) = Rs. 34.87 Crore

Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant	Experience Score	Whether Assesses threshold technical capability Greater than Rs.34.87 Crore	Remarks
1	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	397.31	Yes	Page no.103-118 (4Annexure_I_to_VIII_Bhogapuram.pdf)
2	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	132.87	Yes	Page No. 1 to 118 (3TECHNICAL.pdf)
3	M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions	Technically Non - Responsive as per Clause 2.20.4 under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders		
4	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	260.58	Yes	Page No.62-102 (TECHNICAL_SSHIVAVijayawadavup.pdf)
5	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	446.04	Yes	Pg.No.1-69 (EWardonesotNH.pdf)
6	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd	290.29	Yes	Page No. 1 - 22 (DOCUMENT4.pdf)


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neeraj Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram international airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode

EXPERIENCE IN CONSTRUCTION OF ONE SIMILAR WORK [(Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii))]

Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Name of Project	Two similar completed works of Rs.11.62 Cr each or One similar completed work of Rs.16.27 Cr	Experience during Financial Years (Rs. In Crore)					Payments received for Construction of Similar Project (Rs. In Crore)	Two similar completed works of Rs.11.62 Cr each or One similar completed work of Rs.16.27 Cr	Remarks
				Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020			
				1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20			
1	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	Construction of bypass to Vizianagaram Town to NH-43 (New NH-26) from Km.537+600 to Km.552+000 (Raipur to Visakhapatnam Section) to 4 lane on EPC Basis in the State of Andhra Pradesh	One completed work of Rs.16.27 Cr	2.82	7.35	12.26	19.15	52.92	109.55	Yes	Pg No.1-9 (6Similarwork_Bhogapuram.pdf)
2	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	Widening to 4-lane of existing road from Km.184+200 to Km.191+100 of NH-214A (NH-216) in Bapatla Town in Guntur District as one time improvement proposal in the State of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode		0.00	54.19	17.73	0.00	0.00	76.40	Yes	Page No.1-4 (DOCUMENT4.pdf)
3	M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions	Technically Non - Responsive as per Clause 2.20.4 under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders									
4	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	Rehabilitation and Upgradation of road from Design Km.6+500 to Km.19+200 (Total Length: 12.800 Km) of Agartala - Khowai section of NH-108B to two lane with paved shoulder in the state of Tripura on EPC Mode	One completed work of Rs.16.27 Cr	0.00	25.12	51.90	27.00	0.00	114.52	Yes	Page No.62-66 (TECHNICAL_5SHIV Avijayawadavup.pdf)
5	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	Rehabilitation & Upgradation of NH-167B from Km.99/103 (CS Puram) to Km.143/320 (Malakonda) to two lane with paved shoulders in Andhra Pradesh through EPC Contract under Annual Plan 2021-22		18.85	43.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	64.58	Yes	Page No.1-7 (3TECHNICAL.pdf)
6	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd	(A) Improvements to Hosahalli to Holagundha road from Km.0+000 to Km.6+000 (in selected reaches) in Kunigattaluk to Tumkur District via Mantya, Chinnahalli, K Honnamanchanahalli & (B) Improvements to Anchepalya - Yellagalvadi road from Km.1+000 to Km.18+000 (in selected reaches) in Kunigattaluk of Tumkur District via Jodihosalli, Santhepate, Kempanahalli		0.00	6.15	4.20	7.83	1.67	22.09	Yes	Page No.1-5 (5WordonesofNH.pdf)

8/10/25
(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member

(Neeraj Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member

(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member

(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary

8/10/25
(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram international airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode

Average Annual Turnover (Clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)) = Rs.9.30 Crore

Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant	Calculated / Assessed															Whether Assesses Average Annual Turnover Crore Greater than Rs.9.30 Crore	Remarks	
		2020-21			2021-22			2022-23			2023-24			2024-25					Average Annual Turnover (Cr.)
		Turnover (Rs. In Cr.)	Multiplication Factor	Amount (Rs. In Cr.)	Turnover (Rs. In Cr.)	Multiplication Factor	Amount (Rs. In Cr.)	Turnover (Rs. In Cr.)	Multiplication Factor	Amount (Rs. In Cr.)	Turnover (Rs. In Cr.)	Multiplication Factor	Amount (Rs. In Cr.)	Turnover (Rs. In Cr.)	Multiplication Factor	Amount (Rs. In Cr.)			
1	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	90.03	1.20	108.04	122.06	1.15	140.39	171.83	1.10	188.79	217.75	1.05	228.64	0.00	1.00	0.00	133.17	Yes	M/s. K V A R & Associates Pg No.102 (Annexure_1 to VIII_Bhogapuram.pdf)
2	M/s. Srinivas Edifice Private Limited	152.85	1.20	183.18	157.85	1.15	181.53	222.72	1.10	244.99	640.99	1.05	558.04	0.00	1.00	0.00	235.55	Yes	M/s. Hemant Challaiguda Page No. 3 (DOCUMENT3.pdf)
3	M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions	Technically Non - Responsive as per Clause 2.23.4 under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders																	
4	M/s. Shikra Bulktech Private Limited	79.37	1.20	95.24	95.88	1.15	110.26	154.86	1.10	170.35	171.81	1.05	180.40	0.00	1.00	0.00	111.25	Yes	M/s. Hirdey & Company Page No.36 (TECHNICAL_SSRV/Vijayawada.pdf)
5	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	11.89	1.20	14.03	22.49	1.15	25.86	52.37	1.10	57.81	46.44	1.05	48.78	0.00	1.00	0.00	28.25	Yes	M/s. V V Sanyasirayana Page No.43 (2TECHNICAL.pdf)
6	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd	211.89	1.20	254.27	284.48	1.15	304.16	427.62	1.10	470.38	484.65	1.05	487.88	0.00	1.00	0.00	303.34	Yes	M/s. Raj Malaya & Associates Page No.45 (4POAandTDocuments.pdf)


(S. Balaji)
OM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Hemant Challaiguda)
GM (Tech)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Rajalakshmi)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

Annexure-F

Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram international airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode


Statement of Bid Capacity Assessment


Minimum Requirement of Bid Capacity (Clause 2.2.2.1) = Rs. 46.49 Crore


Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant	Calculated / Assessed								Whether Assesses Bid Capacity Greater than Rs.46.49 Crore	Remarks
		Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Annual Turnover	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	C (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B+C (Rs. Cr.)		
1	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	2023-24	1.05	217.75	228.84	0.67	305.10	0.00	75.98	Yes	Page No.119-161 (4Annexure_1_to_VIII_Bhogapuram.pdf)
2	M/s. Shrivasa Edifice Private Limited	2023-24	1.05	540.99	568.04	0.67	415.54	0.00	531.19	Yes	Page No 2-3 (DOCUMENT5.pdf)
3	M/s. Sri Babaji Constructions	Technically Non - Responsive as per Clause 2.2.2.4 under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders									
4	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	2023-24	1.05	171.81	180.40	0.67	231.03	0.00	69.64	Yes	Page No.111-132 (TECHNICAL_SHIVABUILDTECHPRIVATE LIMITED.pdf)
5	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	2022-23	1.10	52.37	57.61	0.67	32.63	0.00	63.38	Yes	Page No.2-8 (4TECHNICAL.pdf)
6	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd	2023-24	1.05	464.65	487.88	0.67	68.64	0.00	724.58	Yes	Page No.26-50 (2AllAnnexures04AP.pdf)


(G. Majhi)
DGM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Anand Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Kishore Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd

Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity [Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)] = Rs.34.87 Crore

Project No	Project Name	Project Code	Category	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score	Remarks
				Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in Categories 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in Categories 1 & 2		
				1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20				
1	Construction of 4 lane Bypass to Vizianagaram Town of NH-43 (New NH-26) from Km.537/600 to Km.552/000 (Raipur - Visakhapatnam Section) on EPC Mode under NH(O) 2016-2107 in the State of Andhra Pradesh	a	3	0.00	3.60	6.01	9.38	25.93	52.29	NA	52.29	
2	Widening and Strengthening to Komtalpeta - K Singpur Road (SH-45) to Double lane with paved shoulders from Km.23/270 to Km.38/635 under economic importance scheme in the State of Odisha	b	3	0.00	20.02	6.87	16.65	16.05	66.99	NA	66.99	
3	Widening and Strengthening to two lane with paved shoulders including Geometrical Improvements from Km.491.000 to Km.525.200 of Raipur - Visakhapatnam Section of NH-26 in the State of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode	c	3	5.14	12.75	76.91	56.71	0.00	168.34	NA	168.34	

Project No	Project Name	Project Code	Category	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score	Remarks
				Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in Categories 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in Categories 1 & 2		
				1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20				
4	Construction of Single Flyover on LHS at Bellur Bridge @ Ch.45+100 and provision of VUP at Narsapura Industrial Area Cross @ Ch.44+420 (KA-02-063) under Road Safety for rectification of Bangalore - Hoskote Mulbagal section of NH-75 (Old NH-4) from Km.237.700 to Km.318.000 in the State of Karnataka under EPC Mode	d	3	0.00	16.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.00	NA	17.00	Page no.103-118 (4Annexure_I_to_VIII_Bh gapuram.pdf)
5	Construction of 6 lane VUP (1x30x5.5M) @ Km.282+600 (i.e., Kadthal Bypass) along with Service Roads under MoRT&H identified Blackspot ID: TS-(02)-168 for the year 2015-18 on Nagpur - Hyderabad Section of NH-44 in the State of Telangana on EPC Mode	e	3	2.54	19.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.65	NA	22.65	
6	Rehabilitation and Upgradation of Pasarlupudi to Dindi section from Km.105+170 to Km.126+510 of NH-214 (old NH-216) to Two lane with paved shoulders in the state of Andhra Pradesh under NHDP-IV on EPC Basis	f	3	0	2.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.76	NA	2.76	

R

R

ghe

R

R

Project No	Project Name	Project Code	Category	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score	Remarks
				Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in Categories 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in Categories 1 & 2		
				1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20				
7	Major Maintenance Overlaying works in DHTPL Projects of NH-75 from Km.108+450 to Km.175.390 in the State of Karnataka	g	3	10.40	49.53	4.43	0.00	0.00	67.28	NA	67.28	
8	Construction of service buildings like station building, computerized reservation complex, crew booking lobby, C&W building, end signal Goomities etc., including development of access facilities, construction of new platforms and other miscellaneous works at Rayagada station for Remodelling of Rayagada station yard in connection with construction of 3rd Line Project from Titagarh to Vizianagaram in Waltair division of East Coast Railway	h	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00	
Sub-total (A)											397.31	
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria											Yes	


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neera) Gupta
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited

Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity [Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)] = Rs.34.87 Crore

Project No	Project Name	Project Code	Category	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score	Remarks
				Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		
				1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20				
1	Widening to 4 lane of existing road from Km.184+200 to Km.191+000 of NH-214A (NH-216) in Bapatla town in Guntur District as One Time Improvement proposal in the State of Andhra Pradesh	a	3	0.00	54.19	17.73	0.00	0.00	76.40	NA	76.40	Page No. 1 - 22 (DOCUMENT4.pdf)
2	Kazipet - Vijayawada Section: New BG third line with Electrification between Kazipet - Kondapalli stations - Earth work in formation including blanketing to GE:0014 specifications, construction / extension of minor bridges, Road Under Bridges and other connected misc. works including clearing of S&T obstructions from KM 569.01 to KM 542.00 between Kondapalli (Including) and Errupalem (Including) stations - Reach -I	b	3	0.00	5.38	8.91	4.61	5.49	27.34	NA	27.34	
3	Rehabilitation and Upgrade of NH-167AD from Mecherla to Dachapalli (via) Paluvayi Junction, Rantachintala, Gurazala from Km.0+000 to Km.43+659 to two lane with paved shoulders in the State of Andhra Pradesh on EPC basis	c	3	0.00	149.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	156.51	NA	156.51	
4	Koraput - Singapur Road Doubling Project- Execution of Earth work Information, Minor Bridges and other connected works etc at New crossing station at Dhaurha @ Km 67.75, two LHS and other balance miscellaneous works between Lakshimpur road to Tikiri block Section on Koraput - Singapur Road Doubling Line of WAT Division, East Coast Railway	d	3	0.00	3.61	3.99	10.92	7.75	30.04	NA	30.04	
Sub-total (A)											290.29	
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria											Yes	


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neha Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary



(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

M/s. Sri Baijal Constructions												
Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity [Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)] = Rs.34.87 Crore												
Project No	Project Name	Project Code	Category	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score	Remarks
				Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		
				1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20				
1	Technically Non - Responsive as per Clause 2.20.4 under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders											
Sub-total (A)											0.00	
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria											No	


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neera) Gupta
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjan)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

M/s. Shiva Buldtech Private Limited

Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity [Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)] = Rs.34.87 Crore

Project No	Project Name	Project Code	Category	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score	Remarks
				Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		
				1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20				
1	Rehabilitation and Upgradation of road from Design Km.6+500 to Km.19+200 (Total Length: 12.800 Km) of Agartala - Khowai section of NH-108B to two lane with paved shoulders in the state of Tripura on EPC Mode	1A	3	0.00	25.12	51.90	27.00	0.00	114.52	NA	114.52	Page No.62-102 (TECHANICAL_5SHIVAvj ayawadavup.pdf)
2	Construction of left out works of Four laning of Gandhidham (Kandla) Mundra Port section of NH-8A (extension) between Km.0+000 to Km.71+400 in the state of Gujarat under NHDP phase - 111 on Item Rate basis	1B	3	0.00	2.68	4.06	5.48	14.34	30.79	NA	30.79	
3	Rehabilitation and Upgradation of Jhajjar - Loharu section from Km.130+770 to Km.228+530 (Design Chainage Km.0+000 to Km.7+884) of NH-334B in the State of Haryana under NH (O) Part-1 as 2 lane with paved shoulder on EPC mode	1C	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.99	39.58	NA	39.58	
4	Construction of link road from Rewari - Namaut to Rewari Jhajjar via Rewari Dadri including 3 ROB's under NCRPB Loan Scheme	1D	3	0.00	6.43	4.54	27.42	27.02	75.69	NA	75.69	
Sub-total (A)											260.58	
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria											Yes	


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neeraj Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

M/s. PSN Reddy & CO

Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity [Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)] = Rs.34.87 Crore

Project No	Project Name	Project Code	Category	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score	Remarks
				Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction In Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		
				1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20				
1	Widening and Strengthening of Vadamannadu Pedalanka Road from Km.4+200 to Km.15+897 in Krishna District	a	3	0.00	0.00	12.82	0.00	0.00	14.10	NA	14.10	Page No. 1 to 118 (3TECHNICAL.pdf)
2	Widening and Strengthening of Lukalam - Komanapalli Road (SH-114) from Km.14/0 to Km.24/2 in Srikakulam District	b	3	0.00	0.00	3.40	0.00	0.00	3.74	NA	3.74	
3	Rehabilitation & Upgradation of NH-167B from Km.99/103 (CS Puram) to Km.143/320 (Malekonda) to two lane with paved shoulders in Andhra Pradesh through EPC Contract under Annual Plan 2021-22	c	3	18.85	43.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	64.58	NA	64.58	
4	Periodical Renewals to NH-214A (new NH-216) from Km.110/550 to Km.117.550 and Km.121.428 to Km.123.115 in the town limits of Chalkepalli and Mopidevi in Krishna District in the State of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode	d	3	0.00	0.00	6.98	0.00	0.00	7.68	NA	7.68	
5	Widening and Stregthening of Tekkali to SRK puram road (SKMO-058) (via) Bannuvada from Km.0/000 to Km.4/600 in Srikakulam District	e	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.08	0.00	3.54	NA	3.54	
6	Widening & Strengthening of Jarjengi - Pindruvada road from Km.0/000 to Km.25/000 in Srikakulam District	f	3	0.00	0.00	3.35	6.65	0.00	11.33	NA	11.33	
7	Widening & Strengthening of Vijayawada - Vissannapeta Road from Km.7/600 to Km.19/310 in Krishna District	g	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.12	5.58	14.88	NA	14.88	

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

Project No	Project Name	Project Code	Category	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score	Remarks
				Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects In category 1 & 2		
				1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20				
8	Major Maintenance for the balance portion of works in the stretch of Chinnu Avutapalli (Km.1078+480)to Kanaka Ouramma Vanidhi (Km.1100.254) on Vijayawada - Gundugolu section of NH-16 (old NH-5) in the state of Andhra Pradesh	h	3	0.00	9.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.25	NA	10.25	
9	Improvement of old NH-5 of VB Road in Narasannapeta town limits from Km.119/6 to Km.122/6 in Srikakulam District		3	0.00	0.00	2.51	0.00	0.00	2.76	NA	2.76	
Sub-total (A)											132.87	
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria											Yes	


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neera) Gupta
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjan)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd

Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity [Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)] = Rs.34.87 Crore

Project No	Project Name	Project Code	Category	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score	Remarks
				Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		
				1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20				
1	Smart Street Scape and Junction Improvements of Market Road (Sangolli Rayanna Circle to Bankapur Chowk) via (Dajibenpeth road, Rani Channamma Circle to Bankapur Chowk via Moorusaaviramatha, Kamaripet police station to Tulija Bhavani Temple & Rani Channamma Circle to Glass House Junction via Basel Mission School) Smart Road Package - 03	a	3	0.00	0.00	17.98	24.75	0.00	48.24	NA	48.24	
2	Green Mobility Corridor along Unkal Nalla for 5 Km at upstream of Unkal Nalla - Phase - 02	c	3	10.38	46.92	42.05	0.00	0.00	105.90	NA	105.90	
3	Excavation in all types of soils including Dewatering, Drilling, Blasting and Transportation of the excavated stuff to the places as directed by Engineer - in - Charge by Mechanized in Bhim Link - 5 project, Village Badhalwadi, Indrapur, Pune District, Maharashtra	d	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	74.24	89.09	NA	89.09	
4	Excavation in all types of soils including Dewatering, Drilling, Blasting and Transportation of the excavated stuff to the places as directed by Engineer - in - Charge by Mechanized in Bhim Link - 5 project, Village Badhalwadi, Indrapur, Pune District, and Hedgewar Udyan (Pratiksha Nagar) to Lower Parel (wadala) - Amli, Mumbai, Maharashtra	e	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.95	24.27	73.92	NA	73.92	

28/10/2024 R

gh

Ok

B

Project No	Project Name	Project Code	Category	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score	Remarks
				Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		
				1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20				
5	Excavation in all types of soils including Dewatering, Drilling, Blasting and Transportation of the excavated stuff to the places as directed by Engineer - in - Charge by Mechanized in Hedgewar Udyan (Pratiksha Nagar) to Lower Parel (wadala) - Amti, Mumbai, Maharashtra	f	3	0.00	24.43	37.54	20.43	0.00	90.44	NA	90.44	Pg.No.1-69 (5WVordonesofNH.pdf)
6	Improves of Chowkipet Road under Davanagere Smart City Project	d	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.34	4.01	NA	4.01	
7	Improves of Chowkipet Road under Davanagere Smart City Project	e	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.73	NA	0.73	
8	Construction of Road between Shikaripura - Honnali Main Road to Bendekatte through Tank Bund, Improvement of Road between Shikaripura Honnali Main Road to Jalimaradi Thanda	f	3	0.00	2.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.50	NA	2.50	
9	Construction of Deck Slab and drain in Mahanthimata layout, Concrete road & drain beside Soraba road police quarters, interlock paver in conservancy road at Mahathimata Layout	g	3	0.00	0.00	4.90	0.00	0.00	5.39	NA	5.39	
10	Remodelling of Storm water drains in ABD arera - K.R. Road drain and razaul mustafa nagar 2nd main road (drain no.D9, D10, D11 & D12) under davangere smart city ltd projects	h	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.82	0.00	3.24	NA	3.24	
11	Remodelling of Storm water drains in ABD arera - SPS nagar drain under davangere smart city ltd projects	i	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.08	2.50	NA	2.50	

8/10/2024

g.h


R

Project No	Project Name	Project Code	Category	Experience during Financial Years					Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score	Remarks
				Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2		
				1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20				
12	Construction of Samudaya Bhavana Building works under Package No.43 through work Indent No.29363 under Shikaripura, Shivamoga District	k	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00	
13	A) Improvements to Hosahalli to Holalagundha road from Km.0.000 to Km.6.000 (in selected reaches) in Kunigaltaluk of Tumkur District via Mantya, Chinnahalli, K Honnamanchanahalli	n	3	0.00	6.15	4.20	7.83	0.00	20.08	NA	20.08	
Sub-total (A)											446.04	
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria											Yes	


(G. Rajni)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Heeraj Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

Annexure-D


Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram international airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode

Statement of Assessment Financial Capacity

Minimum Net Worth ((Clause 2.2.2.3 (i)) = Rs.2.32 Crore

Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant	Experience Score	Whether Assesses Net Worth Greater than Rs.2.32 Crore	Remarks
1	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	61.49	Yes	Page No.99-101 (4Annexure_I_to_VIII_Bhogapuram.pdf)
2	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	86.40	Yes	Pg No.2-6 (DOCUMENT3.pdf)
3	M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions	Technically Non - Responsive as per Clause 2.20.4 under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders		
4	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	55.09	Yes	Page No.58-59 (TECHNICAL_5SHIVAvijayawadavup.pdf)
5	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	8.63	Yes	Page No.44-45 (2TECHNICAL.pdf)
6	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd	31.57	Yes	Page No. 17 (2AllAnnexuresofAP.pdf)


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neeraj Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjan)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd

Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram international airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode


Whether criteria of Minimum Net worth = Rs.2.32 Crore as at the close of the preceding financial year met by the Applicant?	Yes
Statutory Auditor's Name	K V A R & Associates
Whether the Name & Address of the Applicant's Bankers is given?	Yes

Applicant Type	Entity	Proposed equity shareholding in consortium	Net Cash Accruals (Rs cr.)					Net Worth Year-1 (In Rs. Crore)	Financial Score	Net Worth Certified by Statutory Auditors	Statutory Auditor Provided the Methodology for Calculation of Net Worth	Overall assessment Yes/No	Remarks
			Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020						
Single Entity	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	100%	0.00	18.85	15.14	10.15	7.75	61.49	61.49	Yes	Yes	Yes	Page No.99-101 (4Annexure_I_to_VI II_Bhogapuram.pdf)
Total			0.00	18.85	15.14	10.15	7.75	61.49	61.49				
Whether Meeting the Minimum Threshold Network Criteria :												Yes	


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neeraj Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited

Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram International airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode


Whether criteria of Minimum Net worth = Rs.2.32 Crore as at the close of the preceding financial year met by the Applicant?	Yes
Statutory Auditor's Name	Hemanth Challagulla
Whether the Name & Address of the Applicant's Bankers is given?	Yes

Applicant Type	Entity	Proposed equity shareholding in consortium	Net Cash Accruals (Rs cr.)					Net Worth (In Rs. Crore)	Financial Score	Net Worth Certified by Statutory Auditors	Statutory Auditor Provided the Methodology for Calculation of Net Worth	Overall assessment Yes/No	Remarks
			Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020						
Single Entity	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	100%	0.00	39.63	18.98	14.12	15.22	86.40	86.40	Yes,	Yes	Yes	Pg No.2-6 (DOCUMENT3.pdf)
Total			0.00	39.63	18.98	14.12	15.22	86.40	86.40				
Whether Meeting the Minimum Threshold Network Criteria :											Yes		


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neeraj Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary



(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions													
Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram international airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode													
Whether criteria of Minimum Net worth = Rs.2.32 Crore as at the close of the preceding financial year met by the Applicant?								Yes					
Statutory Auditor's Name								V.N. Reddy & Co					
Whether the Name & Address of the Applicant's Bankers is given?								Yes					
Applicant Type	Entity	Proposed equity shareholding In consortium	Net Cash Accruals (Rs cr.)					Net Worth (In Rs. Crore)	Financial Score	Net Worth Certified by Statutory Auditors	Statutory Auditor Provided the Methodology for Calculation of Net Worth	Overall assessment Yes/No	Remarks
			Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020						
Single Entity	M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions	Technically Non - Responsive as per Clause 2.20.4 under Section - 2. Instructions to Bidders											
Total			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Whether Meeting the Minimum Threshold Network Criteria :												No	


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neeraj Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited

Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram international airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode


Whether criteria of Minimum Net worth = Rs.2.32 Crore as at the close of the preceding financial year met by the Applicant?			Yes										
Statutory Auditor's Name			Hirdey & Company										
Whether the Name & Address of the Applicant's Bankers is given?			Yes										
Applicant Type	Entity	Proposed equity shareholding in consortium	Net Cash Accruals (Rs cr.)					Net Worth (In Rs. Crore)	Financial Score	Net Worth Certified by Statutory Auditors	Statutory Auditor Provided the Methodology for Calculation of Net Worth	Overall assessment Yes/No	Remarks
			Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020						
Single Entity	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	100%	0.00	11.64	13.81	6.59	6.59	55.09	55.09	Yes	Yes	Yes	Page No.58-59 (TECHANICAL_5SHI VA\j\jayawadavup.pdf)
Total			0.00	11.64	13.81	6.59	6.59	55.09	55.09				
Whether Meeting the Minimum Threshold Network Criteria :											Yes		


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neeraj Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

M/s. PSN Reddy & CO													
Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram international airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode													
Whether criteria of Minimum Net worth = Rs.2.32 Crore as at the close of the preceding financial year met by the Applicant?								Yes					
Statutory Auditor's Name								V V Satyanarayana					
Whether the Name & Address of the Applicant's Bankers is given?								Yes					
Applicant Type	Entity	Proposed equity shareholding in consortium	Net Cash Accruals (Rs cr.)					Net Worth (In Rs. Crore)	Financial Score	Net Worth Certified by Statutory Auditors	Statutory Auditor Provided the Methodology for Calculation of Net Worth	Overall assessment Yes/No	Remarks
			Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020						
Single Entity	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	100%	0.00	3.21	3.42	1.43	0.83	8.63	8.63	Yes	Yes	Yes	Page No.44-45 (2TECHNICAL.pdf)
Total			0.00	3.21	3.42	1.43	0.83	8.63	8.63				
Whether Meeting the Minimum Threshold Networth Criteria :												Yes	


(G. Madh)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neeraj Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd													
Construction of trumpet interchange at Km. 665+650 of NH-16 (excluding already constructed Vehicular Overpass) for providing connectivity to Bhogapuram international airport in state of Andhra Pradesh on EPC Mode													
Whether criteria of Minimum Net worth = Rs.2.32Crore as at the close of the preceding financial year met by the Applicant?								Yes					
Statutory Auditor's Name								Raj Malaya & Associates					
Whether the Name & Address of the Applicant's Bankers is given?								Yes					
Applicant Type	Entity	Proposed equity shareholding in consortium	Net Cash Accruals (Rs cr.)					Net Worth (In Rs. Crore)	Financial Score	Net Worth Certified by Statutory Auditors	Statutory Auditor Provided the Methodology for Calculation of Net Worth	Overall assessment Yes/No	Remarks
			Year-1 2024-25/ 2024	Year-2 2023-24/ 2023	Year-3 2022-23/ 2022	Year-4 2021-22/ 2021	Year-5 2020-21/ 2020						
Single Entity	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd	100%	31.57	48.08	36.34	28.61	22.47	31.57	31.57	Yes	Yes	Yes	Page No. 17 (2All Annexures of AP.pdf)
Total			31.57	48.08	36.34	28.61	22.47	31.57	31.57				
Whether Meeting the Minimum Threshold Network Criteria :											Yes		


(G. Majhi)
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


(Neeraj Gupta)
GM (Tech.)
RO - Vijayawada
Member


(B. Krishna Murthy)
Project Director
PIU - Rajamahendravaram
Member


(Prabhat Ranjan)
Project Director
PIU - Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


(K.V.D. Ranjani)
DGM (Tech.)
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

(iii) Evaluation of Financial Capacity of the Bidder (Clause 2.2.2.3 of RFP Document)

(iv) Evaluation of Bid Capacity of the Bidder (Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP document)

3.2 Test of Responsiveness of the Technical Bids submitted by the Bidders (Clause 3.1.6 of RFP document): Except the bid of the bidder M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions all the other five (5) Technical Bids were found to be responsive to the requirements of the RFP. The details of the Tests of Responsiveness are enclosed at Annexure-A.

3.3 Evaluation of the Technical Capacity of the Bidders (Clause 2.2.2.2 of RFP document): The Evaluation of technical capacity has been done in two parts:

- a. Threshold Technical Capacity (TTC)
- b. Experience in construction of one similar work

3.3.1 Parameters considered for the evaluation of TTC are tabulated as under:

S. No.	Particulars	Amount (Rs. Cr.)
(i)	Estimated Project Cost (EPC)	46.49
(ii)	Threshold Technical Capacity of the Bidder (For Category 1,2,3 & 4) (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of Section-2 of RFP)	34.87

3.3.2 Threshold Technical Capacities of all the five (5) bidders are evaluated as per RFP and the details are attached at Annexure-B and it is observed that all the five (5) Bidders fulfilled the required qualification criteria as per RFP. A summary of the evaluation is tabulated below:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Assessed TTC (Rs. Cr.)	Remarks (TTC to be greater than Rs.34.87 Cr i.e. 75% of EPC Rs.46.49 Cr)
(i)	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	397.31	All the five (5) Bidders fulfilled the required Threshold Technical Capacity
(ii)	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	290.29	
(iii)	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	260.58	
(iv)	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	132.87	
(v)	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd	446.04	

3.4 Evaluation of Experience of the Bidders In construction of one similar work: - Evaluation of Experience in construction of one similar work is carried out based on Clause no. 2.2.2.2 (ii) and other related clauses of RFP Document. In this regard, the qualification criteria considered for evaluation is tabulated below:

S.No.	Particulars	As per Clause no. 2.2.2.2 (iii)
(i)	Construction of normal Highway projects (including Major Bridges/ ROB/ Flyovers/ Tunnels)	Provided that at least either of the following: (a) One similar completed work of Rs.16.27 Cr Or (b) Two similar completed works of Rs.11.62 Cr each

3.4.1 Evaluation Details of Experience in construction of one similar work are attached at Annexure-C and it is observed that all the five (5) Bidders fulfilled the required qualification criteria as per RFP. A summary of the evaluation is tabulated below:

[Handwritten signatures and initials at the bottom of the page]

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Assessed TTC (Rs. Cr.)	Remarks (Cost of One similar completed work of Rs.16.27 Cr or Two similar completed works of Rs.11.62 Cr each)
(i)	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	109.55	All the five (5) Bidders fulfilled the experience in construction of one similar work
(ii)	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	76.40	
(iii)	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	114.52	
(iv)	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	64.58	
(v)	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd	22.09	

3.5 Evaluation of Financial Capacity of the Bidders (Clause 2.2.2.3 - Section-II of RFP document): The Bids are evaluated for their eligibility for qualification in terms of the Financial Capacity as per Clause 2.2.2.3 - Section-II of the RFP document and other related clauses of RFP Document. The parameters considered for the Evaluation of Financial Capacity are tabulated below:

S.No.	Clause No.	Particulars	Amount (Rs. Cr.)
(i)	2.2.2.3 (i) – Section-II of the RFP	Net Worth at the close of preceding financial year	2.32
(ii)	2.2.2.3 (ii) – Section-II of the RFP	Average Annual Turnover during last 5 years	9.30

3.5.1 Evaluation details of Financial Capacity of the Bidders based on the certificates given by Statutory Auditors concerned are attached at Annexure- D & E and it is observed that all the five (5) Bidders fulfilled the required qualification criteria as per RFP. Summary of the evaluation is tabulated below:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Evaluated Net Worth (Rs. Cr.) > Rs.2.32 Cr	Evaluated Average Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.) > Rs.9.30 Cr	Remarks
(i)	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	61.49	133.17	All the five (5) Bidders fulfilled the required Financial Capacity
(ii)	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	86.40	235.55	
(iii)	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	55.09	111.25	
(iv)	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	8.63	29.25	
(v)	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd	31.57	303.34	

3.6 Evaluation of Bid Capacity of the Bidders (Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP document): The Bids are evaluated for their eligibility for qualification in terms of the Bid Capacity as per Clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP document. The parameter considered for evaluation of the Bid Capacity are tabulated below:

S.No.	Particulars	Amount (Rs. Cr.)
(i)	Bid Capacity as on Bid due Date (Threshold Bid Capacity) [Equal to EPC]	46.49

3.6.1 Evaluation details of the Bid Capacity of the Bidders are attached at Annexure-F and it is observed that all the five (5) Bidders fulfilled the required qualification criteria as per RFP. Summary of the evaluation is tabulated below:

[Handwritten signatures and initials]

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Assessed Bid Capacity (Rs. Cr.) > Rs.46.49 Cr	Remarks
(i)	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	75.96	All the five (5) Bidders fulfilled the required Bid Capacity
(ii)	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	531.19	
(iii)	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	69.64	
(iv)	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	63.38	
(v)	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd	724.50	

4. After detailed deliberations, the Evaluation Committee observed that all the five (5) Bidders are fulfilling the qualification criteria as per RFP and hence are Technically Responsive.


5. As per Cl.2.19 of the RFP, "the Authority would display the result of technical evaluation on the web portal for 7 days including reasons for non-responsiveness, if any, and the financial bid will be opened thereafter."

6. In view of the above, the Committee recommends the following for approval of the Regional Officer, Vijayawada:


- (i) To declare only five (5) bids as Technically Responsive as per Cl.3.1.9 of Section-III of RFP:


S.No	Name of the Bidder	Result of Evaluation
(i)	M/s. SVS Mookambika Constructions Pvt. Ltd	Technically Responsive
(ii)	M/s. Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	Technically Responsive
(iii)	M/s. Sri Balaji Constructions	Technically Non - Responsive
(iv)	M/s. Shiva Buildtech Private Limited	Technically Responsive
(v)	M/s. PSN Reddy & CO	Technically Responsive
(vi)	M/s. KVR Construction Heights Pvt. Ltd	Technically Responsive


- (ii) To upload the result of technical evaluation on the web portal for 7 days including reasons for non-responsiveness, if any, and to open the financial bids thereafter.


G. Majhi
DM (F&A)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


B. Krishna Murthy
PD, PIU
Rajamahendravaram
Member


Neeraj Gupta
GM (Tech)
RO, Vijayawada
Member


Prabhat Ranjan
PD, PIU
Visakhapatnam
Member Secretary


K.V.D. Ranjani, 8/10/25
DGM (Tech.),
RO, Vijayawada
Convener

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by GHANTESWAR MAJHI
Date: 2025.10.13 11:30:07 IST
Location: eProcurement System for
Central PSUs