

**NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA
MADURAI REGION**

Minutes of Meeting of Tender Evaluation Committee (Technical Evaluation) dated 06.12.2025 for “Consultancy Services for Supervision Consultants (SC) of Operation & Maintenance of Two Lane with Paved Shoulder of Thanjavur to Perambalur section from Km 6+000 to Km 74+912 of NH-136 (Old No. NH-226E) in the State of Tamil Nadu”.

1. The Tender Evaluation Committee, constituted upon the approval of Regional Officer, Madurai has opened the bids on 13.08.2025 and found that all the bids are responsive based on pre-technical scrutiny. Later, the detailed technical evaluation of bids was taken up and finalized on 29.11.2025, the initial scores assigned to the bidders are tabulated as under;

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Bid ID	Initial score as per NHAI Score
1	M/s Artefact Projects Ltd in JV with Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with Renaissance IOT LLP.	881948	71.40
2	M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates in JV with M/s ARMENGE Engineering Pvt Ltd and in association with Atharva Sarathi Enterprises & imaGIS Engineering Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	881959	90.00
3	M/s L.N.Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd in association with Innovative Cadd Centre, Bhopal	881985	93.79
4	M/s Bloom Companies LLC in Association with Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics Pvt. Ltd.	881989	88.05
5	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with Stella Infra Consultant Pvt. Ltd.	882015	88.79
6	M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with Maark Civil Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd.	885173	92.44
7	M/s MSV India Private Limited in association with (as a Sub Consultant) MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon	885218	94.50
8	M/s Saptagon Asia Private Limited in Association with M/s CivilMantra Infracon Limited	885552	91.55
9	M/s Satra Services and Solutions Private Limited	885564	86.22
10	M/s Geo Designs and Research Pvt. Ltd.	885577	92.28

2. The evaluation sheets with the initial scores were circulated in NHAI INFRACON Portal & e-tender Portal to receive objections from the bidders, if any, within a period of 07 days as per Para 5.3 (ii) of RFP. As the approved Minutes of Meeting along with annexure of Detailed Technical Evaluation sheets were uploaded in NHAI INFRACON Portal & e-tender Portal on 29.11.2025 for objections from the bidders for a period of 7 days i.e. upto 05.12.2025. In this regard, 6 nos. of bidders have submitted their objections/remarks towards the scores given against their technical bid.

S.F. —   

3. As such, the Tender Evaluation Committee has examined the objections raised by the bidders and accordingly, the scores assigned were reviewed and following are brought out;

A) M/s Artefact Projects Ltd in JV with Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with Renaissance IOT LLP., Nagpur vide dated 05.12.2025 has raised certain objections towards the initial evaluation done by NHAI w.r.t Firm Evaluation as well as Key Personnel evaluation. The details are as under:

(i) Regarding Average Annual Turnover (last 5 years or in each of the preceding two years) from consultancy business (Min. 5 Crore) @ S.No.1b of Annexure-A-1, the bidder has represented to consider their cumulative Average Annual Turnover of Lead Partner and JV Partner. The Committee opined that, there is no clause in the RFP allowing to take Cumulative Average Annual Turnover of JV, hence, the committee has considered the Avg. Annual Turnover of Lead Partner and JV Partner as per their JV Share. Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged i.e. 1.50 marks out of 2 marks @ S.No.1b of Annexure-A-1.

(ii) Regarding Quality of Approach & Methodology and Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan @ S.No.2a, b & c of Annexure-A-2, the Bidder has represented to consider their submission to award full marks i.e. 5 out of 5 under this category in Annexure-A-2. Upon reviewing the same by the tender evaluation committee, it is observed that, the bidder has not visited the site physically (Key Personnel inspection Photos not provided) and has not explained about "Quality of Approach & Methodology", "Quality Audit Methodology" in line with Activities & schedules, in their submitted bid. Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged, i.e., cumulative marks scored 2.75 marks.


(iii) Regarding Evaluation of Key Personnel, the firm has requested to consider the newly submitted candidate's signed CVs of (i) **Team Leader** (Sh. Madhusudhan J R), (ii) **Road Safety Expert** (Major Rajashekhar Patil) & (iii) **Bridge/ Structural Engineer** (Sh. Arun Kumar Agrawal) and their consent undertakings. Upon perusing the same, the Candidate signed CVs are not submitted in their online Bid, accordingly, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee as per clauses 3.4(a) & 3.4(e) of section 2. of RFP. Hence, the initial scores of aforesaid Key Personnel remains unchanged.

(iv) Considering the above, the Committee has confirmed the Final Scores of the firm, M/s Artefact Projects Ltd in JV with Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with Renaissance IOT LLP., Nagpur. remains unchanged and the same as under:

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHAI	Final Evaluation by NHAI
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	35	34.5	34.5
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	5	2.75	2.75
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20	20	20
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	36.90	14.15	14.15
	Total	100	96.90	71.40	71.40

S.F. - 

✓



B) M/s L.N.Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd in association with Innovative Cadd Centre, Bhopal vide dated 05.12.2025 has raised certain objections towards the initial evaluation done by NHAI w.r.t Firm Evaluation as well as Key Personnel evaluation. The details are as under:

(i) Regarding Quality of Approach & Methodology and Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan @ S.No.2b & 2c of Annexure-A-2, the Bidder has represented to consider their submission to award full marks under this category in Annexure-A-2. Upon reviewing the same by the tender evaluation committee, it is observed that, the bidder has not visited the site physically (Key Personnel inspection Photos not provided) and has not explained about "Quality of Approach & Methodology", "Quality Audit Methodology" in line with Activities & schedules, in their submitted bid. Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged, i.e., cumulative marks scored 2 marks.

(iii) Considering the above, the Committee has confirmed the Final Scores of the firm, M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd in association with Innovative Cadd Centre, Bhopal, remains unchanged and the same as under:

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHAI	Final Evaluation by NHAI
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	35	35	35
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	5	2	2
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20	20	20
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	37.45	36.79	36.79
	Total	100	97.45	93.79	93.79

C) M/s Bloom Companies LLC in Association with Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics Pvt. Ltd. vide Letter dated 02.12.2025 has raised certain objections towards the initial evaluation done by NHAI w.r.t Firm Evaluation. The details are as under:

(i) Regarding Evaluation of Key Personnel w.r.t. Team Leader cum Highway Engineer @ S.No. 2 (iii) of Annexure-A-4-1 "Experience in similar capacity* in Highway Development Projects", the bidder has represented to consider Infracon Projects @ Sl. No. 14, 15, 16. Upon scrutinizing the same by the Tender Committee, it is observed that, all those 3 projects are PPP projects and the project are under O&M stage which are not Highway Development projects. Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged i.e. 91 marks out of 100 marks.

(ii) Also, regarding Evaluation of Key Personnel w.r.t. Bridge/Structural Engineer @ S.No. 2 (ii) of Annexure-A-4-4 "Experience in similar capacity in Construction/ Construction Supervision of Bridge/ Interchange/ any other structures including rehabilitation", the bidder has represented to consider the project experience @ Sl.no.4 and requested to increase the score by 1 mark. Upon examining the

S.F. --   

same by the Tender Committee, the bidder's requested project satisfied the evaluation criteria. Hence, the tender committee considered the request of the bidder, accordingly, the initial score @ S.No. 2 (ii) of Anneuxre-A-4-4 has been revised from 19 marks to 20 marks.

(iii) Similarly, w.r.t. Bridge/Structural Engineer @ S.No.2(iii) of Anneuxre-A-4-4, "Experience in similar capacity in Construction/Construction Supervision of Bridge/Interchange/any other structures including rehabilitation of 2/4/6 lane highway/expressway or similar project (40% of project length or more of similar configuration (2/4/6 laning) and above)", the bidder has represented to consider the projects @ Infracon sl.no.2, 3 and 7 to award full marks i.e. 20 marks instead of 17 marks. Upon perusing the same by the tender committee, it is informed that, Sl. No 2 and 3 are already considered and project @ Sl. No. 7 couldn't be not considered as the said project is under O&M stage. Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged i.e. 17 marks. As above, the Tender Committee has revised the overall score of Bridge/Structural Engineer from 91 marks (3.64) to 92 marks (3.68 out of 4 weightage).

(iv) Regarding Site Appreciation, Quality of Approach & Methodology and Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan @ S.No.2a, b & c of Annexure-A-2, the Bidder has represented to consider their submission in all aspects to award full marks i.e. 5 out of 5 under this category in Annexure-A-2. Upon reviewing the same by the tender evaluation committee, it is observed that, the bidder has not visited the site physically (Attached Photo of Perali Toll Plaza is incorrect) and has not explained about "Quality of Approach & Methodology", "Quality Audit Methodology" in line with Activities & schedules, in their submitted bid. Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged, i.e., cumulative marks scored 3.5 marks out of 5 marks.

(iv) Considering the above, the Committee has revised the Final Scores of the firm, M/s Bloom Companies LLC in Association with Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics Pvt. Ltd. is as under:

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHAI	Final Evaluation by NHAI
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	35	29	29
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	5	3.5	3.5
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20	20	20
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	37.16	35.55	35.59
	Total	100	97.16	88.05	88.09

D) M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with Maark Civil Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd. vide Letter dated 02.12.2025 has raised certain objections towards the initial evaluation done by NHAI w.r.t Firm Evaluation. The details are as under:

(i) Regarding Experience in DPR/Feasibility cum PPR Preparation of 2/4/6 laning Highway Projects during last 7 years @ S.No.1d of Annexure-A1, the Bidder has represented to consider the projects

S.R. -  

from Page No.249 to 286 of their online bid document to award full marks i.e. 5 marks instead of 4.75 marks. After, reviewing the bid, the Committee has considered the project @ Page No.249 involves preparation of DPR as a Sole Firm for a length of 150.472 Km-2 Lane (Period of services from June, 2016-November-2020). Hence, the request of the bidder is accepted by the tender evaluation committee and the final score given as 5 marks for S.No.1d of Annexure-A1.

(ii) Regarding the Experience in Hand DPR of NHAI Projects, the bidder represented to consider the Projects from Page No.306 to 458 of their online bid having cumulative length of 722.42 length to award full marks i.e. 7.5 marks instead of 10 marks. Upon reviewing the same by the tender evaluation committee, it is to mention that, as per RFP @ Page No.59, 'Project length' refers to 'Single Project/Package length' & not cumulative of all DPR Lengths. Hence, the committee has already considered maximum length of in-hand DPR Project and awarded 7.5 marks. Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged i.e., 7.50 marks.

(iii) Regarding Quality of Approach & Methodology and Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan @ S.No.2a, b & c of Annexure-A-2, the Bidder has represented to consider their submission in all aspects to award full marks i.e. 5 out of 5 under this category in Annexure-A-2. Upon reviewing the same by the tender evaluation committee, it is observed that, the bidder has not visited the site physically (Key Personnel inspection Photos not provided) and has not explained about "Quality of Approach & Methodology", "Quality Audit Methodology" in line with Activities & schedules, in their submitted bid. Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged, i.e., cumulative marks scored 2.5 marks out of 5 marks.

(iv) Considering the above, the Committee has revised the Final Scores of the firm, M/s. Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with Maark Civil Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd., is as under:

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHAI	Final Evaluation by NHAI
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	35	32.25	32.50
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	5	2.5	2.5
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20	20	20
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	37.89	37.69	37.69
	Total	100	97.89	92.44	92.69

E) M/s Satra Services and Solutions Private limited, Telegana vide Letter dated 05.12.2025 has raised certain objections towards the initial evaluation done by NHAI w.r.t Firm Evaluation. The details are as under:

(i) Regarding Experience in DPR/Feasibility cum PPR Preparation of 4/6 laning Highway Projects during last 7 years @ S.No.1d of Annexure-A1, the Bidder has represented to consider Sl no. 2, 3 & 4 of Projects of their online bid document to award full marks i.e.5 marks instead of 4.75 marks and

S.F. --- 42 12 12/12/2025

submitted the Chartered Accountant Certificate for JV Share of the work experiences. Upon reviewing the same by the tender evaluation committee, it is observed that, the bidder has not submitted the CA Certificate and Certificate from the client showing JV Shares in their online bid. Also, upon examining the submitted CA Certificate (UDIN No.20201726AAAACR2706) in their letter dated 05.12.2025, the tender committee has observed that, the said CA Certificate was issued for different project work. Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged, i.e., cumulative marks scored 4.50 marks.

(ii) Regarding the Experience in Hand DPR of NHAI Projects, the bidder represented to consider the Project of “Consultancy Services for the Preparation of DPR for 4-Laning of Selected Section in the Stretch of Allahabad Jaunpur - Azamgarh - Dohrighat - Gorakhpur under COS” and submitted the Client Certificate showing project length of 200 Km/4-Lane. Upon reviewing the same by the tender evaluation committee, it is observed that, the said project at Page no.159-161 was involved Preparation of DPR of Two lane project having length of 200 Km, which is more than three times the length of project (198.60 Km) for which RFP invited. Hence, the request of the bidder is accepted by the tender evaluation committee and the final score given as 10 marks.

(iii) Considering the above, the Committee has revised the Final Scores of the firm, M/s Satra Services and Solutions Private limited, Telegana is as under:

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHAI	Final Evaluation by NHAI
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	35	24.5	34.5
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	5.0	4	4
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20	20	20
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	37.72	37.72	37.72
	Total	100	97.72	86.22	96.22

F) M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd., Gujarat vide Letter dated 05.12.2025, has raised certain objections towards the initial evaluation done by NHAI w.r.t Firm Evaluation. The details are as under:

(i) Regarding Site Appreciation, Quality of Approach & Methodology and Quality Audit Methodology and Quality Assurance Plan @ S.No.2a, b & c of Annexure-A-2, the Bidder has represented to consider their submission as “Very Good” Category in all aspects to award full marks i.e. 5 out of 5 under this category in Annexure-A-2. Upon reviewing the same by the tender evaluation committee, it is observed that, the bidder has not visited the site physically (Key Personnel inspection Photos not provided) and has not explained about “Quality of Approach & Methodology”, “Quality Audit Methodology” in line with Activities & schedules, in their submitted bid. Hence, the request of the bidder is disallowed by the tender evaluation committee and the initial score remains unchanged, i.e., cumulative marks scored 2.75 marks.

S.F. ---   

(iii) Considering the above, the Committee has revised the Final Scores of the firm, M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd., Gujarat is as under:

S. No	Description	Max Marks	Self - Evaluation	Initial Evaluation by NHAI	Final Evaluation by NHAI
1	Relevant experience for the assignment	35	35	32.5	32.5
2	Quality of approach and methodology	5	5	2.75	2.75
3	Experience in use of technology for road inspection	20	20	20	20
4	Qualifications and competence of the key staff for the assignment	40	38.05	37.03	37.03
	Total	100	98.05	92.28	92.28

4. The remaining four bidders, (i) M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates in JV with M/s ARMENGE Engineering Pvt. Ltd. and in association with Atharva Sarathi Enterprises & imaGIS Engineering Solutions Pvt. Ltd., (ii) M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with Stella Infra Consultant Pvt. Ltd., (iii) M/s MSV India Private Limited in association with (as a Sub Consultant) MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon & (iv) M/s Saptagon Asia Private Limited in Association with M/s CivilMantra Infracon Limited have not raised any objections w.r.t Initial evaluation by NHAI. Therefore, the same shall be kept as final score.

5. In view of the re-evaluation conducted by the Tender Evaluation Committee based on the requests of 6 nos. of bidders, Final scores of the bidders are tabulated hereunder;

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Bid ID	Self-Evaluation	Initial scores evaluated by NHAI	Final scores evaluated by NHAI	Rank
1	M/s Artefact Projects Ltd in JV with Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with Renaissance IOT LLP.	881948	96.90	71.40	71.40	10
2	M/s Mspark Futuristics & Associates in JV with M/s ARMENGE Engineering Pvt Ltd and in association with Atharva Sarathi Enterprises & imaGIS Engineering Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	881959	96.35	90.00	90.00	7
3	M/s L.N.Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd in association with Innovative Cadd Centre, Bhopal	881985	97.45	93.79	93.79	3
4	M/s Bloom Companies LLC in Association with Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics Pvt. Ltd.	881989	97.16	88.05	88.09	9

S.F. ---   

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Bid ID	Self-Evaluation	Initial scores evaluated by NHAI	Final scores evaluated by NHAI	Rank
5	M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in Association with Stella Infra Consultant Pvt. Ltd.	882015	97.04	88.79	88.79	8
6	M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with Maark Civil Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd.	885173	97.89	92.44	92.69	4
7	M/s MSV India Private Limited in association with (as a Sub Consultant) MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon	885218	96.6	94.50	94.50	2
8	M/s Saptagon Asia Private Limited in Association with M/s CivilMantra Infracon Limited	885552	96.10	91.55	91.55	6
9	M/s Satra Services and Solutions Private Limited	885564	97.72	86.22	96.22	1
10	M/s Geo Designs and Research Pvt. Ltd.	885577	98.05	92.28	92.28	5

6) Further, it is to mention that, as per Clause 5.3 (i) of Section-2 ITC of RFP, the technical proposal should score at least 75 points to be considered responsive. However, the bidder, **M/s Artefact Projects Ltd in JV with Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with Renaissance IOT LLP.** has scored 71.40 marks which is less than the minimum eligibility (75 marks). Hence, the Tender Committee recommends to consider the bid submitted by the firm, M/s Artefact Projects Ltd in JV with Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. in association with Renaissance IOT LLP. as **“Non-responsive”**.

7) As per Clause 8 of Section-I ITC of RFP, top 5 ranking firms shall only be considered for further evaluation provided further evaluation provided none of them is in conflict of interest with the Contractor. Accordingly, the descending order of Top 5 rank of the firm who are qualified in Technical Evaluation is tabulated as below;

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Bid ID	Self-Evaluation	Initial scores evaluated by NHAI	Final scores evaluated by NHAI	Rank
1	M/s Satra Services and Solutions Private Limited	885564	97.72	86.22	96.22	1
2	M/s MSV India Private Limited in association with (as a Sub Consultant) MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon	885218	96.6	94.50	94.50	2

S.F. -

✓

[Signature]

S. No	Name of the Bidder	Bid ID	Self-Evaluation	Initial scores evaluated by NHAI	Final scores evaluated by NHAI	Rank
3	M/s L.N.Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd in association with Innovative Cadd Centre, Bhopal	881985	97.45	93.79	93.79	3
4	M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd in Association with Maark Civil Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd.	885173	97.89	92.44	92.69	4
5	M/s Geo Designs and Research Pvt. Ltd.	885577	98.05	92.28	92.28	5

In view of the above, the Tender Evaluation Committee further decided to meet at a convenient date & time for opening of Financial Bids of the technically qualified bidders upon receiving concurrence of the Competent Authority.



(S Syed Dawood Mian)
Member



(Vijith Vijayan)
Member



(A.N.Praveen Kumar)
Member



(B Arun Prasad)
Member

(R Murugaprakash)
Convener