



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय - गांधीनगर (गुजरात)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India)

Regional Office - Gandhinagar (Gujarat)

दूरभाष / Phone : 079-29650607, 29650608 ई-मेल / e-mail : rogujarat@nhai.org

पहली मंजील, बी.एस.एन.एल. टेलीफोन एक्सचेंज बिल्डिंग, "च" रोड, सेक्टर-11, गांधीनगर-382011 (गुजरात)

1st Floor, BSNL Telephone Exchange Building, "CH" Road, Sector-11, Gandhinagar-382011(Gujarat)

NHAI/ROGNR/NH-8E/Kag-Ver/IE/OM/2025/D-4122

Date:09.10.2025



To

All Bidders (As per list enclosed)

Sub: Independent Engineer Services during Operation & Maintenance Period for Four Lane Kagvadar-Una-Kodinar-Veraval Section of NH-8E from km 139.915 to km 222.400 & km 230.000 to km 263.000 in the State of Gujarat on HAM mode and Kodinar bypass km 222.400 to km 230.000 in the State of Gujarat on Item Rate mode [Pkg-IV, V, VI-A, and VI-B] [Tender ID - 2025_NHAI_236757_1] - **Final Technical Results and Replies to representations of bidders - Reg.**

Ref: (i) NHAI letter No. 3813 dt 11.09.2025 regarding evaluation of Technical Bids

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer NHAI letter dated 11.09.2025 vide which result of technical evaluation was intimated to the bidders and bidders were given opportunity to respond within seven days, in case of any objection.

2. The representations were received from below-mentioned bidders:

- M/s Artefact Projects Ltd in association with Manglam Infra and Engineering Ltd
- M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. in association with Translink Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd
- M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with Bloom Companies LLC
- M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt Ltd in association with Innovative CADD Centre
- M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd. in association with Creation Engineers

3. The representations as received have been examined and replies to the representation as approved by Competent Authority is enclosed at **Annexure- I**.

3.1 The bid of **M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd. in association with Creation Engineers** was declared as Non-Responsive, as the bidder has been debarred by NHAI vide order No. E-File241967/74 dated 09.06.2025 from participating in the bids in NHAI/NHIDCL/NH in all divisions/units of MoRT&H up to 09.06.2026. In this regard, the bidder has requested to consider their bid and re-consider the decision of declaring their bid as "Non-Responsive" stating that their petition is sub-judice and is pending final consideration.

However, since the case is still pending as on date, and no relief has been given by Hon'ble Delhi High Court on the said suspension as on date, the bid of **M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd. in association with Creation Engineers** continues to be considered as **Non-Responsive**.

3.2 It was found that the lead member of bidder **M/s MSV International Inc** in association with MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd. has been debarred by NHAI vide order No. NHAI/PIU-GZB/UER-DDE/E-267083/613 dated 15.09.2025 from participation in any future bid with NHAI, MoRTH and their executing agencies, for a period of 2 (two) years.

Accordingly, the bid of **M/s MSV International Inc** in association with **MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd.** has been considered **Non-Responsive**.

[Signature] 09/10/25

1 of 2

4. It has been found that after reviewing the bids based on representations of the bidders, there are some changes in the technical evaluation of the bids. A summary of final marks is as below:

Applicant No.	Name of Applicant	Original Technical Score	Final Technical Score	Technical Rank
1/7	M/s Artefact Projects Ltd in association with Manglam Infra and Engineering Ltd.	87.77	90.27	4
2/7	M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. in association with Translink Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd	90.37	90.37	3
3/7	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with Bloom Companies LLC	95.66	95.66	1
4/7	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt Ltd in association with Innovative Cadd Centre	95.62	95.62	2
5/7	M/s MSV International Inc in association with MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd.	95.79	Non-Responsive	-
6/7	M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd. in association with Creation Engineers	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	-
7/7	M/s LSR Engineering Consultancy Services	Non-Responsive	Non-Responsive	-

5. As per clause 5.3 and 5.4 of Section 2 of RFP, the financial proposals of the above mentioned 4 (four) technically responsive bidders will be opened on **10.10.2025 at 1700 Hrs** at RO Gandhinagar, NHAI. Accordingly, the bidders may depute their representatives with authorization letter for attending the opening of financial bids.

यह सक्षम प्राधिकारी के अनुमोदन से प्रस्तुत है।

भवदीय


(Amrit Meena)

Dy. General Manager (Tech)
for Regional Officer, Gandhinagar

ANNEXURE-I

Sub: Independent Engineer Services during Operation & Maintenance Period for Four Lane Kagvadar-Una-Kodinar-Veraval Section of NH-8E from km 139.915 to km 222.400 & km 230.000 to km 263.000 in the State of Gujarat on HAM mode and Kodinar bypass km 222.400 to km 230.000 in the State of Gujarat on Item Rate mode [Pkg-IV, V, VI-A, and VI-B] [Tender ID - 2025_NHAI_236757_1]

Replies to Bidders' Representations

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Reply																		
A.	M/s Artefact Projects Ltd in association with	Manglam Infra and Engineering Ltd.																		
A (i)	Relevant Experience of Firm for the Assignment In Hand DPRs for National Highway Projects For DPR project length > the length of project for which RFP invited - 5 Marks For DPR project length > two times the length of project for which RFP invited - 7.5 Marks For project length > three times the length of project for which RFP invited - 10 Marks The said clause prescribes that to score full marks, the applicant firm should have In hand DPRs for NH Projects having aggregate length > three times the length of project (122.71 kms*3 = 368.13 kms) for which RFP is invited. From our above submissions, it is evident that the aggregate lengths of In-Hand DPR projects of Artefact Projects Ltd. is 657.30 kms which comfortably surpasses the required aggregate length of 368.13 kms for In Hand DPRs of NH projects thus, making our submissions eligible to score full 10 marks as against 5 marks given by your good office	Based on the representation, the submitted projects under this criteria "In Hand DPRs for National Highway Projects" has been reviewed, and ongoing DPRs of NH of more than 2 times, but less than 3 times of project length have been found. Accordingly, the marks for given criterion have been revised from 5 to 7.5. In view of above, the total technical score of the bidder is hereby revised as follows: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th><th>Earlier</th><th>Revised</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Firm</td><td>27.50</td><td>30.00</td></tr> <tr> <td>Adequacy of the Proposed Approach and Methodology</td><td>5.00</td><td>5.00</td></tr> <tr> <td>Technology for road inspection</td><td>20.00</td><td>20.00</td></tr> <tr> <td>Key Personnel</td><td>35.27</td><td>35.27</td></tr> <tr> <td>Total</td><td>87.77</td><td>90.27</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		Earlier	Revised	Firm	27.50	30.00	Adequacy of the Proposed Approach and Methodology	5.00	5.00	Technology for road inspection	20.00	20.00	Key Personnel	35.27	35.27	Total	87.77	90.27
	Earlier	Revised																		
Firm	27.50	30.00																		
Adequacy of the Proposed Approach and Methodology	5.00	5.00																		
Technology for road inspection	20.00	20.00																		
Key Personnel	35.27	35.27																		
Total	87.77	90.27																		
A(ii)	Relevant Experience of Firm for the Assignment Experience as Independent Engineer/Supervision Consultants for O&M project under DBFOT/ OMT/ O&M 1 project - 6 marks	Based on the representation of the bidder, eligible projects for Experience as Independent Engineer/Supervision Consultants one O&M project under DBFOT/ OMT/O&M of four/six laning** of 50% of project length in last 7 years has been reviewed, and only 3 eligible experience for 50% of project length																		

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Reply
	Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 2 marks. The qualifying projects of Independent Engineer/ Supervision Consultant under DBFOT/OMT/O&M of 4/6 lanes are attached from page no. 419 to 452 of the technical proposal. As per the demarcation, in order to score full marks, the applicant firm should have total 4 projects executed under DBFOT/ OMT/ O&M having length greater than 50% of the indicative project length i.e. greater than 61.35 kms (122.71 * 50%). The eligible projects with their satisfactory completion certificates, handing over documents & conclusion letter by the Authority are being annexed herewith for your necessary consideration In view thereof, it is requested to your good office to kindly review the same and 7.5 marks be awarded.	have been found which correspond to 7 marks. Accordingly, the marks for this criterion shall remain unchanged.
A(iii)	Team Leader cum Highway Engineer - Mr. Banjarudar Hamsa Reddy Experience in Similar Capacity* in highway Development Projects taken up under PPP 1 project - 4 marks add 1 mark extra for additional projects subject to maximum 1 (one) marks It has been observed that only one project is considered as eligible in similar capacity in Highway Development Projects taken up under PPP. In this regard it is to be submitted that the said candidate has worked on total 2 projects i.e P.No. 26 & P.No. 53 as Superintending Engineer which are Highway Development Projects undertaken under PPP which is also clearly mentioned in his Infracon project details. It is therefore requested to kindly review the same and full 5 marks be awarded as our submissions are eligible to score full 5 marks as against 4 marks.	Based on the bidder's representation, the INFRACON CV of the candidate has been reviewed. It is stated that as per INFRACON CV the said Key Personnel is found to have only 1 no. of project experience in mentioned criterion, which corresponds to 4 marks in the criterion. Accordingly, marks awarded to the said CV shall remain unchanged.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Reply
A(iv)	Bridge/Structural Engineer: - Mr. Rahul Dilip Sapkale Post Graduation in structural Engineer – 04 marks. The said candidate has completed Post-Graduation in Structural Engineering from Nagpur University in 2016. It is to be mentioned that Nagpur University is a State Government University & is approved by AICTE, alongside other regulatory bodies like UGC. Thus, the said post-graduation qualifies to score full 4 marks in the stipulated criteria. It is also to be mentioned that the candidate has uploaded his Post-Graduation Degree along with relevant marksheets on his Infracon Profile under 'Qualification Details' at S.No. 2 & 3. It is therefore requested to kindly review the same and full 4 marks be awarded as against 0 marks.	Based on the bidder's representation, the CV of the candidate has been reviewed. As per INFRACON CV of the candidate has pursued Post graduation which completed in 2016; However, the candidate was working on Nandurbar-Ranala Road section during the same time period. Accordingly, marks for Post-Graduation have not been considered. Therefore, the marks awarded for this criterion shall remain unchanged.
A(v)	Road Safety Expert: - Mr. Sumit Jain Experience in similar capacity* in road safety works of major Highways project (4/6 laning project) < 3years -0 3years -17 Add one mark extra for each additional year of experience subject to maximum 3 (three) marks. It has been observed that no project experiences of the proposed candidate are considered as eligible in similar capacity in road safety works of major Highways project. In this regard it is to be submitted that the said candidate has worked on total 6 years i.e. P.No. 2 to 8 as Road Safety Expert in road safety works of major Highways project (4/6 Lane). It is therefore requested to kindly review the same and full 20 marks be awarded as our submissions are eligible to score full 20 marks as against 0 marks.	Based on the bidder's representation, the INFRACON CV of the candidate has been reviewed. It is stated that as per INFRACON CV the said Key Personnel is found to have less than 3 years of experience in Road Safety Works on 4/6 Laning Major Highway Projects in similar capacity, as experience in 4/6 laning highways only has been considered, which corresponds to zero marks in the criterion. Accordingly, marks awarded to the said CV shall remain unchanged.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Reply
	Experience in similar capacity in Road Safety Audit of 4/6 Lane highway/Expressway projects. 1 no. -12 Add 1 (one) mark extra for each additional project subject to maximum 3(three) marks It has been observed that only 1 project experience of the proposed candidate are considered as eligible in similar capacity in road safety audit of 4/6 Lane highway/Expressway projects. In this regard it is to be submitted that the said candidate has worked on total 6 years i.e. P.No. 2 to 8 as Road Safety Expert in Road Safety Audit of 4/6 Lane highway/Expressway projects. It is therefore requested to kindly review the same and full 15 marks be awarded as our submissions are eligible to score full 15 marks as against 14 marks	Based on the bidder's representation, the INFRACON CV of the candidate has been reviewed. It is stated that as per INFRACON CV the said Key Personnel is found to have only 3 no. of project experience in Road Safety Audit on 4/6 Laning Major Highway Projects in similar capacity, as experience in 4/6 laning highways only has been considered, which corresponds to 14 marks in the criterion. Accordingly, marks awarded to the said CV shall remain unchanged.
B.	M/s FP India Project Management Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. in association with Translink Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd	
B (i)	Relevant Experience of Firm for the Assignment Experience in DPR/Feasibility cum PPR preparation for two projects of 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or one project t of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years". 2 projects 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each – 4 marks Or 1 project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length – 4 marks Add 0.25 (Zero point two five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 mark. Marks given by Authority – 4 out of 5 Marks self-assessed by the bidder – 5 out of 5	Based on the representation, the submitted projects under this criteria "Experience in DPR/Feasibility cum PPR preparation for two projects of 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or one project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years" has been reviewed, and only 2 eligible project experience have been found which correspond to 4.25 marks. Accordingly, the marks for this criterion shall remain unchanged.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Reply
	The evaluation committee had considered only 1 no. of the project under in DPR/ Feasibility cum PPR preparation for 2 projects of 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or 1 project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years. The same is also enclosed on page no. 57-91 of Technical Proposal. In view of the above, we request you to kindly consider the same.	
B (ii)	In Hand DPRs for National Highway Projects For DPR project length > the length of project for which RFP invited - 5 Marks For DPR project length > two times the length of project for which RFP invited - 7.5 Marks For project length > three times the length of project for which RFP invited - 10 Marks Marks given by Authority - 7.5 out of 10 Marks self-assessed by the bidder - 10 out of 10 The evaluation committee had considered only 2 times the length of In Hand DPRs. in (In hand DPRs of NHAI Projects (presently under progress)) in last 7 years. The same is also enclosed on page no. 131-168 of Technical Proposal. However, we had experience of 8 nos. of projects under the said criteria. The same is mentioned as below and we also enclosing the current correspondence for your kind consideration as "Annexure-B" In view of the above, we request you to kindly consider the same.	Based on the representation, the submitted projects under this criteria "In Hand DPRs for National Highway Projects" has been reviewed, and ongoing DPRs of NH of less than 3 times of project length have been found. Accordingly, the marks for given criterion shall remain unchanged.
B (iii)	Experience as Independent Engineer/ Supervision Consultants one O&M project under DBFOT/ OMT/O&M of four/six laning** of 50% of project length in last 7 years 1 project - 6 marks Add 0.5 (Zero point five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 2 marks. Marks given by Authority - 7.5 out of 8	It Based on the representation, the eligible projects for Experience as Independent Engineer/ Supervision Consultants one O&M project under DBFOT/ OMT/O&M of four/six laning** of 50% of project length in last 7 years has been re-evaluated. Accordingly, only 4 eligible experience for 50% of project length have been found which correspond to 7.5 marks.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Reply
	Marks self-assessed by the bidder – 8 out of 8 The evaluation committee had considered only 1 no. of the project under in Experience as Independent Engineer/ Supervision Consultants one O&M project under DBFOT/ OMT/ O&M of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years. The same is also enclosed on page no. 169 - 201 of Technical Proposal. In view of the above, we request you to kindly consider the same.	Accordingly, the marks for this criterion shall remain unchanged.
B (iv)	Bridge/ Structural Engineer: Sh. Abhimanyu Singh Dongra Sl. No. 2 (a) III. Experience in similar capacity in Construction/ Construction Supervision of Bridge/ Interchange/ any other structures including rehabilitation of 4/6 lane highway/ Expressway or similar projects." Section of Bridge/Structural Engineer given 18 Marks out of 20 Marks Marks given by Authority – 18 out of 20 Marks self-assessed by the bidder – 20 out of 20 As per our self-evaluation, we considered below mentioned 5 projects. INFRACON Project Sl. No. 2, 5, 7, and 8. So, we kindly request to the authority that re-evaluate the section and provide 20 Marks out of 20 Marks.	Based on the bidder's representation, the INFRACON CV of the candidate has been reviewed. It is stated that as per INFRACON CV the said Key Personnel is found to have only 3 no. of eligible project experience in the mentioned criterion, which corresponds to 18 marks in the criterion. Accordingly, marks awarded to the said CV shall remain unchanged
C.	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in JV with Bloom Companies LLC	
C (i)	Experience in DPR/Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report preparation for two projects of 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or one project of 4/6 laning of 50% of projects length in last 7 years. 2 project 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each - 4 marks Or 1 project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length – 4 marks	Based on the representation, the eligible projects under this criteria "Experience in DPR/Feasibility cum PPR preparation for two projects of 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or one project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years" has been re-evaluated. Accordingly, only 4 eligible project experience have been found which correspond to 4.75 marks.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Reply
	Add 0.25 (Zero point two five) marks extra for each additional project subject to maximum 1 marks. 4 projects (3 projects more than 50% required length and 1 project more than 30%) attached in Technical Proposal as a completion experience of TASPL: Page no. 354 (114.844 Km, 4 lane) ,370 (38.250, 4 lane) 372 (117.15 Km, 4 lane), 373 (117.00 Km, 4 lane) M/s Bloom Companies LLC: More than 8 projects attached in Technical Proposal. Therefore, our technical marks in this head should be 5 against 4.75 provided by your good office.	Accordingly, the marks for this criterion shall remain unchanged.
C (ii)	Experience as Independent Engineer/ Authority Engineer/ Supervision Consultant two construction projects of four/ six laning of 30% of project length each or one projects of four /six laning of 50% project length in last 7 years. 2 construction projects 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each - 4 marks Or 1 construction project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length - 4 marks Add 1(one) mark extra for completed assignment of Independent Engineer and add 0.5 marks extra for completed assignment of supervision consultancy subject to maximum 2 (two) marks. 1 project (1 project more than 30%) attached in Technical Proposal as a completion experience of TASPL: Page no. 255-256 (71.600 Km with 70% share, 4 lane) M/s Bloom Companies LLC: 3 projects (2 nos. of Independent Engineer and 1 no of Authority Engineer) attached in Technical Proposal Therefore, our technical marks in this head should be 6 against 4 provided by your good office.	Based on the representation, the eligible projects under this criteria "Experience Independent Engineer/ Authority Engineer/ Supervision Consultant for two projects of 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or one project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years" has been re-evaluated. Accordingly, only 2 eligible experience for 30% of project length have been found which correspond to 4 marks. Accordingly, the marks for this criterion shall remain unchanged.

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Reply
D.	M/s L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd. in association with Highway Engineering Consultant	
D (i)	1. Category "Relevant experience of the firm for the assignment". (a) We have awarded lesser marks have been awarded to our firm under the category "Relevant experience for the assignment" Sub-category "Experience in DPR/Feasibility cum PPR preparation for two projects of 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or one project t of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years". We awarded only 04.50 marks out of maximum 05.00 marks in the evaluation. In pursuance of above provision of the RFP document we have submitted the projects along with documents in our technical proposal for the captioned project. As per the above details we request your good self to consider the above mention projects in the technical evaluation under the sub-category "Experience in DPR/Feasibility cum PPR preparation for two projects of 2/4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or one project t of 2/4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years". Thus we are request to kindly award full 5.00 marks out of maximum 5.00 marks in place of 4.50 marks awarded to our firm under the sub category "Experience in DPR/feasibility cum PPR preparation for two projects of 2/4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or one project of 2/4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years". Thus on the basis of above details we are eligible for award of 35.00 marks out of maximum 35.00 marks in place of 34.50 marks awarded to our firm under the category "Relevant experience of the firm for the assignment" in the technical evaluation.	Based on the representation, the eligible projects under this criteria "Experience in DPR/Feasibility cum PPR preparation for two projects of 4/6 laning of 30% of project length each or one project of 4/6 laning of 50% of project length in last 7 years" has been re-evaluated. Accordingly, only 3 eligible project experience have been found which correspond to 4.50 marks. Accordingly, the marks for this criterion shall remain unchanged.
D (ii)	2. Category "Qualification and Competence of the Key Staff for the Assignment". 1. Mr. Prashant Midya for the position of Team Leader cum Highway Engineer:	Based on the bidder's representation, the CV of the candidate has been reviewed. As per INFRACON CV of the candidate has pursued Post graduation which completed in 2024;

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Reply
	Mr. Prashanta Midya have been awarded 0.00 marks out of maximum 4.00 marks in the technical evaluation under category "Post-Graduation in Management/ Construction/ Transportation/ Highway Engineering/ Structural Engineering or equivalent specialized stream of civil engineering". In this connection it is submitted that Mr. Prashanta Midya have passed M. Tech (Highway Engineering) from Shri Venkateshwara University in year 2024. As per Post-Graduation degree in Highway Engineering, he may kindly be considered for award of 4.00 marks out of maximum 4.00 marks in place of 0.00 marks under this sub-category. Accordingly we request your goodself that the marks awarded to Mr. Prashant Midya, Team Leader cum Highway Engineer in the technical evaluation may kindly be reviewed with respect to our submissions made as aforesaid and accordingly kindly award him 94.00 marks out of maximum 100 marks and thus his weighted marks will be 17.86 weighted marks. It is also requested that the marks awarded to our firm towards "Qualification and Competence of the Key Staff for the Assignment" may kindly be reviewed and kindly award 36.86 marks out of maximum 40.00 marks in place of 36.12 marks. In light of above details it is requested that the total technical score of our firm may kindly be reviewed and enhanced to 96.86 marks in place of 95.62 marks awarded in the technical evaluation.	However, the candidate was working on Bongaon-Chakdaha Road section during the same time period. Accordingly, marks for Post-Graduation have not been considered. Therefore, the marks awarded for this criterion shall remain unchanged.
E.	M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd. in association with Creation Engineers	
E (i)	As per the letter mentioned under Ref. No. (2) above, it is stated that our above-mentioned bid has been declared "Non-Responsive" due to debarment of M/s. TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd. by NHAI vide letter no. Report regarding falling of PSC Girder on construction zone of	The representation of the bidder has been examined, and in this regard, it is intimated that since the case is still pending as on date, and no relief has been given by Hon'ble Delhi High Court on the said suspension as on date, the bid of M/s TPF Engineering

S. No.	Firm's Representation	Reply
	6lane standalone flyover at Baihata junction/E-file 241967/74 dated 09-06-2025. It is hereby submitted that we have contested this arbitrary and unjust action of National Highway Authority of India (NHAI) before the Hon'ble Delhi High Court vide Petition No. W.P.(C)-8477/2025. The said petition is challenged before the Hon'ble Delhi High Court and is pending final consideration. Therefore, basis the above and due to the sub-judice nature of the said subject work, it is requested to kindly consider our bid and reconsider your decision of declaring our bid as "Non-Responsive" failing which we will be constrained to resort to appropriate legal action in order to safeguard our interests.	Pvt. Ltd. in association with Creation Engineers continues to be considered as Non-Responsive.
F.	M/s MSV International Inc in association with MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd.	
F (i)	-	It has been found that NHAI vide Order No. NHAI/PIU-GZB/UER-DDE/E-267083/613 dated 15.09.2025 has debarred M/s SMEC (India) Private Limited in Joint Venture with MSV International Inc. from participation in any future bid with NHAI, MoRTH and their executing agencies, for a period of 2 (two) years. Accordingly, the bid of M/s MSV International Inc in association with MSV International Tech Pvt. Ltd. is hereby declared Non-Responsive.