

ANNEXURE-I

Name of Work: Slope Protection Work in Ghat Section from Km 219+280 To 226+750 of Four Laning of Hassan - Marnahalli Section Of NH-48 (New NH-75) From Km. 189.700 to Km.237.000 in the State of Karnataka.

Ref:

1. Tender ID: 2025_NHAL_232092_1
2. NIT No.01/NHAL/RO-BNG/Safety works/Tender/2025-26 dated 04.04.2025.

Sl. No.	Name of the Applicant	Technical Capacity in Category 1,2,3 & 4* (Rs. In crore) Clause 2.2.2.2(i)	One similar work completed in Category 1 and/ or 3 in last 05 years Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) (Rs. In crore)	Financial Capacity (Rs. In crore)- Clause 2.2.2.3(i)	Average Annual Turnover (Rs. In crore) Clause 2.2.2.3(ii)	Assessed Available Bid Capacity (Rs. In crore)** Clause 2.2.2.1
	RFP Requirement	9.75	2.60	0.65	1.95	13.00
	Evergreen Enterprises	Bidder stands non responsive as per clause 3.1.6.1 (g) of RFP.				
	Singa Engineering and Trading	Yes (15.84)	Bidder stands non responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.			
	Anil M Patil	Yes (11.26)	Bidder stands non responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP.			
	Chaudhary Construction Company Private Limited	Yes (38.02)	Yes (21.65)	Yes (29.27)	Yes (86.06)	Yes (111.15)
	Rhoda Cognax Private Limited	Yes (16.86)	Bidder stands non responsive as per clause 3.1.6.1 (g) of RFP.			
	MD- Infra India Pvt. Ltd.	Yes (27.88)	Yes (22.19)	Yes (5.10)	Yes (15.82)	Yes (50.40)
	Hindustan Construction	Bidder stands non responsive as per clause 3.1.6.1 (g) of RFP.				

V. Reddy
Dem (check)
RO- Bangalore.

During evaluation some of the data/information provided by bidders are not adhering to Clauses given in the RFP.

Following points are to be noted for the bidders:

1. Evergreen Enterprises:

Not meeting the criteria under Clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP. Therefore, Bidder is considered as Non-Responsive.

Remarks:

Bid Security:

Bidder has submitted "Term Deposit Advice" with the maturity date 30.09.2025, which is less than the required 180 days from bid due date i.e. 21.10.2025.

Appendix- III (Power of Attorney):

Notarization date is not mentioned in Appendix- III (Power of Attorney).

Annexure-I (Details of Bidder):

Para 5 not submitted.

Para 6 mentioned in place of Para 5.

Statutory Auditor's Certificate:

Bidder has submitted Statutory Auditor's certificates for Annexure- III, Annexure- IV, Annexure- VI & Certificate from the Statutory Auditor for local content from S. Mohamed Hassan, Chartered Accountants in place of Mahesh Saravanan & Associates, Chartered Accountants who have audited the bidder's financials for the FY 2023-24. As per footnote of clause 2.2.2.9 (i) of RFP "In case duly certified audited annual financial statements containing explicitly the requisite details are provided, a separate certification by statutory auditors would not be necessary in respect of Clause 2.2.2.9 (i). In jurisdictions that do not have statutory auditors, the firm of auditors which audits the annual accounts of the Applicant may provide the certificates required under this RFP".

Annexure- III (Financial Capacity of Bidder):

Bankers name & address not given.

Annexure- V (Statement of Legal Capacity):

Bidder has mentioned "We have agreed that (insert individual's name) will act as our representative/ will act as the representative of the Joint Venture on its behalf* and has been duly authorized to submit the RFP. Further, the authorised signatory is vested with requisite powers to furnish such letter and authenticate the same" in place of "We have agreed that (insert individual's name) will act as our representative/ will act as the representative of the Joint Venture on its behalf* and has been duly authorized to submit the RFP. Further, the authorised signatory is vested with requisite powers to furnish such letter and authenticate the same".

V. Reddy

Annexure-VI (Value of 'B'):

Para above the table of Value of B is missing.

In the first and last line of Para under table of Value of B, words i.e. ".... works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid" is missing.

UDIN is not mentioned on the certificate certified by Chartered Accountant for Value of A & B both.

Singa Engineering and Trading:

Not meeting the criteria under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. Therefore, Bidder is Technically Non-Responsive.

Other Observations:

Statutory Auditor's Certificate:

Bidder has submitted Statutory Auditor's certificates for Annexure- III, Annexure- IV & Annexure- VI from Vairav & Associates, Chartered Accountants in place of Sripada Associates, Chartered Accountants who have audited the bidder's financials for the FY 2023-24. As per footnote of clause 2.2.2.9 (i) of RFP "*In case duly certified audited annual financial statements containing explicitly the requisite details are provided, a separate certification by statutory auditors would not be necessary in respect of Clause 2.2.2.9 (i). In jurisdictions that do not have statutory auditors, the firm of auditors which audits the annual accounts of the Applicant may provide the certificates required under this RFP*".

Certificate from the Statutory Auditor for local content:

Certificate from the Statutory Auditor for local content is missing.

Financials:

Complete Annual Accounts for the FY 2021-22 not submitted.

3. Anil M Patil

Not meeting the criteria under Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. Therefore, Bidder is Technically Non-Responsive.

Other Observations:

Annex-I (Details of Bidders):

Bidder has omitted to fill the information for the table under the para no. 7 of Annex-I.

Annexure-IV, VI and Balance sheet:

UDIN No. on SA certificate in support of Annexure-IV, Balance sheets of last 5 FY and for Value of A&C and Value of B are missing.

V. Reddy

Annexure- III (Financial Capacity of Bidder):

Bankers name & address not given.

Annexure-IV

Bidder has not mentioned Year wise receipts for the claimed Projects.

4. Rhoda Cognax Private Limited:

Non-Responsive as per clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP for the following reasons:

Annexure-VI (Value of 'B'):

In the first and last line of Para under table of Value of B, words i.e. " works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid " is missing.

Appendix -II (Bank Guarantee for Bid security):

In para no. 14, Bidder has mentioned Branch at "Navi Mumbai" in place of "Karnataka".
Para 15 of Appendix- II is missing i.e. "The guarantor/bank hereby confirms that it is on the SFMS (Structural Finance Messaging System) platform & shall invariably send an advice of this Bank Guarantee to the designated bank of NHAI, details of which is as under:

S. No.	Particulars	Details
1	Name of Beneficiary	National Highways Authority of India, Regional Office Bengaluru
2	Name of Bank	Canara Bank
3	Account No.	04551010008173
4	IFSC Code	CNRB0010455

5. Hindustan Construction:

Non-Responsive as per clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP for the following reasons

Appendix -II (Bank Guarantee for Bid security):

The bidder has submitted in para 14 of Bid Security in the form of bank guarantee the operatable branch at "38, Darbari Lal Sharma Marg, Hazratganj, Lucknow-226001" in place of mentioning the Address of opratable Branch at "Karnataka".

Annexure-VI (Value of 'B'):

Statement above the table of value of B i.e., "A table containing value of all the existing commitments and on-going works to be completed during the next 1 years is as+ follows:" is missing

N. Redund