



सत्यमेव जयते

भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



No.: NHAI/PIU-ASR/Asr-TarnTaran-HarikeSection/2024 (e-247768)

Date: 18.06.2025

To,

All Bidders

Sub.: Operation & Maintenance of Amritsar-Tarn Taran-Harike Section from km 112.575 to km 168.400 of NH-54 including Harike Bypass in the State of Punjab (L= 55.825 Km) on Performance Based Maintenance Contract (PBMC) Mode [Tender ID: 2025_NHAI_232001_1] - Result of Technical Evaluation - Reg.

Ref.: Your BID for the subject work (Bid Due Date: 14.05.2025)

Sir,

With reference to above pertaining to the subject matter, the result of Technical Evaluation is as under:

S. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	Remarks
1	M/s. Satish Aggarwal and Co. Mukerian JV with M/s. Satish Aggarwal and Co. Amritsar	Responsive	-
2	M/s. Shivam Construction Company	Non-Responsive	Non-Fulfilment of the RFP clause [4.1 h], [4.1 j (1)] and [4.1 j (2)] Bidder has not submitted clarification till date. - Undertaking for the affiliation to the firm or entity that has been hired or employed by the Employer. - Proof of ownership of the machinery equipment Vibratory Roller (8/10T) capacity - Proof of approval of the affiliation college from the AICTE of the proposed person Mr. Suraj Kumar. Thus, in the absence of above-mentioned documents bidder is non responsive.
3	M/s. Jagson Constructions Limited	Non-Responsive	Non-Fulfilment of the RFP clause 3.2 (c), 4.3 (1), 4.5 and [4.1 j (2)]. For calculation of the technical capacity the payment receipt of the eligible projects is to be considered for FY 2019- 20 to FY 2023-24. Whereas the bidder has claimed the payment receipt of Payment for FY 2024-25 which cannot considered.

Pg. 1 of 3

[Signature]

			- For Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer the bidder has proposed the CV of Mr. Kehar Singh Khuswaha. The proposed person has less experience after graduation i.e. 2 years, whereas as per RFP minimum 4 year of work experience is required. Also, the bidder has submitted additional new CVs in the clarification for the same designation which cannot be considered as fresh submission. - For Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation the bidder has proposed the CV of Mr. Manoj Kumar Tyagi who has Diploma in Fire Safety Management whereas as per RFP graduation from recognized university is required. Also, the bidder has submitted additional new CVs in the clarification for the same designation which cannot be considered as fresh submission.
4	M/s. Gaaneshkartikey Construction Private Limited	Non-Responsive	Non-Fulfilment of the RFP clause [4.1 j (2)] - In the submitted bid the bidder has proposed the CV of Mr. Hitender Kumar for the position of Project Manager, has obtained degree from open university whereas regular degree was required. - Also, the bidder has submitted additional two CVs for the same designation which cannot be considered.
5	M/s. Suraj Construction Company	Responsive	-
6	M/s. JBCO Infratech Private Limited JV with M/s. Dhingra Brother (India)	Non-Responsive	Non-Fulfilment of the RFP clause 3.2 (c), 4.3 (1), 4.5, [4.1 j (1)] and [4.1 j (2)]. - CV's submitted for Site Engineer-cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer and Incident cum Road Property Manager cum Route Operation Manager does not contain the information regarding the time line of the projects duration along with the employer name due to which calculation of the number of years cannot be ascertained. - The bidder refers to the Invoice from the technical bid for Vibratory Roller and Static Roller whereas as per RFP both the rollers are required for (8/10 T) capacity which are not mentioned in the Invoices. Hence not considered. - Dewatering Pumps, Tipper/dumper Truck, Mini hot mix plant (6/10 T/Hr capacity) with

			indirect heating arrangements the Invoices as referred to the pages of the technical bid the Invoices are not clear of the actual machinery which are to be required to fulfill the RFP requirement. Hence not considered. The technical capacity of the Other Member which is calculated as Rs 15.13 Cr. whereas as per RFP required Technical Capacity should be Rs. 22.44 Cr. and cumulative technical capacity is calculated as 84.15 Cr. whereas as per RFP 112.19 Cr. is required.
7	M/s. Gurbachan Singh Constructions Private Limited	Responsive	-

2. The bidders are being given opportunity to submit objections, if any, on the result of technical evaluation under Cl. 23.5 of ITB, latest by 25.06.2025 (1500 Hrs IST).

3. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours faithfully,


 (Rupesh Kumar Srivastava)
 General Manager (Tech.) - PB