



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



WBDIV-19/54/2024-WBDivision/E-269659

Dated: 25.03.2025

RESULT OF TECHNICAL EVALUATION

To

All bidders

Sub: Construction of Descoped Portion of Binnaguri Bypass in Binnaguri Mouza of NH-27 (Old NH-31D) from Chainage 17+500 to 23+000 of old NH-31D in the District of Jalpaiguri, West Bengal on EPC Mode under NH(O) -(TENDER ID :2025_NHAI_224982_1 -) - Reg.

Ref.: Your proposal dated 17.03.2025.

This has reference to RFP submitted for above subject project. The result of Technical Evaluation of the bids received along with reasons for their non-responsiveness are tabulated below:

S.No.	Bidders Name	Status of Qualification	Remarks
1.	M/s. RSM Infra Projects - ARKS Universal Private Limited -JV	Non-Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
2.	M/s. Sri Ram Enterprises	Non-Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
3.	M/s. Mohan Lal Jain	Responsive	
4.	M/s. Millenium Road Construction Private Limited - M/s. Roads (India) International - JV	Non-Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
5.	S.S. Civil Construction Private Limited	Responsive	
6.	M/s. Ram Chandra Paul	Non-Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
7.	Simplex Infrastructures Limited	Non Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
8.	M/s. Vinod Kumar Jain	Non Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
9.	Allone Infra Private Limited	Non Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
10.	Mukherjee Associates Infra Private Limited	Non Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
11.	Topline Infra-Projects Private Limited	Non Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
12.	M/s. Sourabh Kumar Roy	Non Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
13.	M/s. Vasu Enterprises	Non Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
14.	Ashoka Buildcon Limited	Responsive	
15.	M/s. Dooars Construction Company	Non Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
16.	Dhatarwal Construction Company Private Limited	Responsive	
17.	Balaji Construction Limited	Non Responsive	<u>Bids are password protected</u>
18.	Bharat Vanijya Eastern Private Limited	Non Responsive	<u>Annexure -1</u>
19.	Ramalingam Construction Company Private Limited	Non Responsive	<u>Bids are password protected</u>

De

2. Financial Bid of the above-mentioned technically responsive/eligible bidders shall be opened after display of result of Technical Evaluation on the web portal for 2 days (i.e., till 26.03.2025). After which, Authority shall not entertain any correspondence with any Bidder in relation to acceptance or rejection of any BID.


(Lt Col Rajeev Malhotra)
General Manager (T) WB

Annexure -1

REASON FOR NON-RESPONSIVE

1/17	Name of the Bidder	M/s. RSM Infra Projects - ARKS Universal Private Limited (JV)		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
1	Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	Lead Member	<u>M/s. RSM Infra Projects</u> The Bidder has submitted audited financial statements for the preceding 5 (five) financial years. However, name of the Bidder is mentioned as "RSM Infra Projects JV" in the financial statements for F.Y 2019-20 to F.Y 2022-23 and "RSM Infra Projects" in the financial statements for F.Y 2023-24.
2	Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	Other Member	<u>ARKS Universal Private Limited</u> The Audited Annual financial statements submitted by the Bidder for 5(Five) years preceding the Bid Due Date are not complete. Further, notes to the financial statements are not provided, which is required as instruction mentioned above and clause 2.11.1 (m) of RFP.
Based on the above facts, the Bidder may be treated as Non-responsive pursuant to clause 2.2.2.3, 2.11.1 (m) & 3.1.6.1 of RFP.				



2/17	Name of the Bidder	M/s. Sri Ram Enterprises		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
	Appendix - X (Surety Bond for Bid Security)	NA	NA	In point no.1 of the format of Surety Bond submitted by the Bidder, reference of clause 2.1.6 and 2.1.7 of RFP has been mentioned instead of clause 1.2.4 and 2.20. Therefore, the Bidder may be treated as Conditionally Responsive and shall furnish suitable amendment to the Surety Bond for Bid Security.
	Clause 2.1.14 of RFP	NA	NA	The Bidder has submitted Information on litigation history in which the Bidder is involved. In sl. No. 5 & 6 (Employer - Department of Water resources, Govt. of Bihar), cause of dispute is mentioned " <i>Tender Disqualification in Tender Evaluation</i> ". Supporting document and reasons for tender disqualification are not submitted.
Based on the above facts, the Bidder may be treated as Non-responsive pursuant to clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP				



4/17	Name of the Bidder	Millenium Road Construction Private Limited - M/s. Roads (India) International		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
	Annex - III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	Lead Member	<u>Millenium Road Construction Private Limited</u> The Bidder has not submitted the Independent Auditor's Report for F.Y. 2023-24 forming part of Audited Annual Reports in its Technical bid, which is required as per Clause 2.2.2.8 (i) of RFP.
	Clause 2.2.2.9 of RFP	NA	Other Member	<u>M/s. Roads (India) International</u> The Statutory Auditor of the Bidder, as per audited Financial statements for the latest preceding FY (2023-24) is R. K. Baid & Associates. However, the certificates w.r.t Technical Capacity, Bid Capacity and Financial Capacity of the Bidder are issued by Agarwala & Associates Chartered Accountants.
	Clause 1.2.10 of RFP	NA	Both members of JV	As per Clause 1.2.10, "Self- certification by the Bidder that its Bid meets the Local Content requirement for 'Class - I Local supplier'/ 'Class - II Local supplier', as the case may be. The Self-certification shall also have details of the location(s) at which the local value addition is made. In case, bidder do not submit the aforesaid Certification, the bidder will be summarily treated as 'Non-Local Supplier'. <i>In case of procurement for a value in excess of Rs. 10 crores, the 'Class - I Local supplier'/ 'Class - II Local supplier' shall have to provide a Certificate from the Statutory Auditor or Cost Auditor of the Company (in case of Companies) or from a practicing Cost Accountant or practicing Chartered Accountant (in respect of Suppliers other than Companies) giving the percentage of Local Content upon Construction of the Project."</i> The Certificate issued by the Statutory Auditor w.r.t. local content requirement, does not bear UDIN.
Based on the above facts, the Bidder may be treated as Non-responsive pursuant to clause 2.2.2.3 & 3.1.6.1 of RFP.				



6/17	Name of the Bidder	M/s. Ram Chandra Paul		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
	Annex - III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	NA	The Bidder has submitted a Certificate issued by 'N H Agrawal & Associates' bearing UDIN 25129179BMIWNB7398, w.r.t Net Worth of the Bidder. However, the Methodology adopted in calculation of Net Worth of the Bidder in the aforesaid certificate as per the requirement of clause 2.2.29 (ii) of RFP, is not mentioned.
	Appendix-II (Bank Guarantee for Bid Security)	NA	NA	In point no. 13 of the format of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security submitted by the Bidder, name of the Bank is mentioned instead of BG amount. Therefore, the Bidder may be treated as Conditionally Responsive and shall furnish suitable amendment to the Bank Guarantee for Bid Security.
	Appendix - IX (Certificate regarding compliance with GFRs)	NA	NA	The certificate w.r.t Appendix-IX of RFP to be given by the Bidder is not found in the Technical Bid submitted by the Bidder.
	Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	NA	Financial Statements submitted by the Bidder for the F.Y. 2019-20 to 2023-24 are not legible.
Based on the above facts, the Bidder may be treated as Non-responsive pursuant to clause 2.2.2.3 & 3.1.6.1 of RFP.				



7/17	Name of the Bidder	Simplex Infrastructures Limited		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
	Clause 2.1.14 of RFP	NA	NA	Bidder has mentioned in Annex-I at table below point no.7 as <i>“Yes terminated but not debarred in the following projects:</i> <i>1. Rehabilitation and upgradation to Four laning of NH-31D from Km. 113.200 to km 154.854 (Package IIA) Ghoshpukur- Salsalabari section in the state of West Bengal on EPC basis” project of NHA was terminated on 27/02/2023. (Under Arbitration Tribunal).</i> <i>2. Widening/Improvement to 4-lane with paved shoulder configuration of existing single lane road from Srirampur (near bhairiguri village).....” project of NHIDCL was terminated on 24/06/2024.</i> However, as per clause 2.1.14 of RFP, <i>“The Bidder, including an individual or any of its Joint Venture member, should neither be a non-performing party on the date of opening of tender nor on the date of issue of Letter of Acceptance (LoA). Upon declaration of non-performer/debarred, the Contractor will not be able to participate in any bid for National Highways projects with MoRTH or its executing agencies till such time the debarment persists or the Contractor is removed from the list of non-performers”.</i> Hence, considering the above fact, Bidder may be considered as Non-responsive.

8/17	Name of the Bidder	M/s. Vinod Kumar Jain		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
	Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	NA	The Bidder has submitted a Certificate issued by 'Agrawal R K & Co' dated 08.10.2024 bearing UDIN 24059036BKBXSV2267, w.r.t Net Worth of the Bidder. However, the Methodology adopted in calculation of Net Worth of the Bidder in the aforesaid certificate as per the requirement of clause 2.2.29 (ii) of RFP, is not mentioned.
	Appendix-II (Bank Guarantee for Bid Security)	NA	NA	In point no. 9 of the format of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security submitted by the Bidder, the name of Bank along with branch address has not been mentioned. Therefore, the Bidder may be treated as Conditionally Responsive and shall furnish suitable amendment to the Bank Guarantee for Bid Security.
	Clause 1.2.10 of RFP	NA	NA	The Certificate w.r.t local content requirement, submitted by the Bidder, has been issued by "Navin Kumar & Associates". However, the Statutory Auditor of the Bidder, as per other certificates/financial statements submitted in the Technical Bid, is "Agrawal R K & Co.". Further, the name of the subject Project is not mentioned in the certificate issued by "Navin Kumar & Associates".
	Technical Capacity of the Bidder (clause 2.2.2.2 of RFP)	NA	NA	One similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 48.01 Crore (Rupees Forty-eight Crore and One Lakh only) that shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5, is not traceable in the Technical Bid submitted by the Bidder.
Based on the above facts, the Bidder may be treated as Non-responsive pursuant to clause 2.2.2.2 (ii), 2.2.2.3 & 3.1.6.1 of RFP.				

9/17	Name of the Bidder	Allone Infra Private Limited		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
	Appendix-X (Surety Bond for Bid Security)	NA	NA	In point no. 9 of the format of Surety Bond for Bid Security submitted by the Bidder, the name of Surety Insurer along with branch address has not been mentioned. Therefore, the Bidder may be treated as Conditionally Responsive and shall furnish suitable amendment to the Surety Bond for Bid Security.
	Technical Capacity of the Bidder (clause 2.2.2.2 of RFP)	NA	NA	One similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost Rs. 48.01 Crore (Rupees Forty-eight Crore and One Lakh only) that shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5, is not traceable in the Technical Bid submitted by the Bidder.
Based on the above facts, the Bidder may be treated as Non-responsive pursuant to clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) & 3.1.6.1 of RFP.				

De

10/17	Name of the Bidder	Mukherjee Associates Infra Private Limited		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
	Annex - III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	NA	Audited Financial Statements submitted by the Bidder for the F.Y. 2022-23 does not bear UDIN.
	Annexure - VI (Bid Capacity)	NA	NA	<u>To calculate the value of "B"</u> As per clause 2.2.2.1 & Annexure-VI "The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorized Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s.....individually / and other member M/s and M/s as on bid due date of this RFP". The Bidder has submitted the statement showing the value of all existing commitments duly certified by Statutory Auditor. However, based on the documents submitted by the Bidder in support of the Value of B (i.e., value of work completed certificates), the bidder is unable to meet the Bid capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.
	Appendix-II (Bank Guarantee for Bid Security)	NA	NA	In point no. 14 of the format of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security submitted by the Bidder, the complete address of Bank's branch in New Delhi has not been mentioned. Therefore, the Bidder may be treated as Conditionally Responsive and shall furnish suitable amendment to the Bank Guarantee for Bid Security.
Based on the above facts, the Bidder may be treated as Non-responsive pursuant to clause 2.2.2.1 & 3.1.6.1 of RFP.				

11/17	Name of the Bidder	Topline Infra-Projects Private Limited		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
	Annexure - VI (Bid Capacity)	NA	NA	<u>To calculate the value of "B"</u> As per clause 2.2.2.1 & Annexure VI "The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorized Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s.....individually / and other member M/s and M/s as on bid due date of this RFP". The Bidder has submitted the statement showing the value of all existing commitments duly certified by Statutory Auditor. However, based on the documents submitted by the Bidder in support of the Value of B (i.e., value of work completed certificates), the bidder is unable to meet the Bid capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.
	Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	NA	Financial Statements submitted by the Bidder for the F.Y. 2019-20 to 2023-24 are not legible. Bidder to clarify the reason for the same.
Based on the above facts, the Bidder may be treated as Non-responsive pursuant to clause 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.3 of RFP.				

12/17	Name of the Bidder	M/s. Sourabh Kumar Roy		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
	Annexure - VI (Bid Capacity)	NA	NA	<u>To calculate the value of "B"</u> As per clause 2.2.2.1 & Annexure-VI "The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorized Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s.....individually / and other member M/s and M/s as on bid due date of this RFP". The Bidder has submitted the statement showing the value of all existing commitments duly certified by Statutory Auditor. However, based on the documents submitted by the Bidder in support of the Value of B (i.e., value of work completed certificates), the bidder is unable to meet the Bid capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.
2	Appendix-II (Bank Guarantee for Bid Security)	NA	NA	In point no. 13 of the format of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security submitted by the Bidder, name of the Bank is mentioned instead of BG amount. Therefore, the Bidder may be treated as Conditionally Responsive and shall furnish suitable amendment to the Bank Guarantee for Bid Security.
3	Clause 2.1.14	NA	NA	The Bidder has submitted an Affidavit, notarized on 06.03.2025, which states that "the undersigned also hereby certifies that our firm M/s. Sourabh Kumar Roy had been barred by MoRTH or it's implementing agencies for the works of Expressway, NHs, ISC and EI works in bidding".
Based on the above facts, the Bidder may be treated as Non-responsive pursuant to clause 2.2.2.1 & 3.1.6.1 of RFP.				



13/17	Name of the Bidder	M/s. Vasu Enterprises		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
	Appendix-II (Bank Guarantee for Bid Security)	NA	NA	- In point no.1 of the format of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security submitted by the Bidder, reference of clause 16 and 32.3 of RFP has been mentioned instead of clause 1.2.4 and 2.20. - In point no. 14 of the format of Bank Guarantee for Bid Security submitted by the Bidder, the address of Bank's branch in Kolkata has been mentioned instead of New Delhi, which is required as per RFP. Therefore, the Bidder may be treated as Conditionally Responsive and shall furnish suitable amendment to the Bank Guarantee for Bid Security.

He

15/17	Name of the Bidder	M/s. Dooars Construction Company		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
1	Annex - III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	NA	The Bidder has submitted a Certificate issued by 'Ayush Mahesh Agarwal & Co' dated 25.02.2025 bearing UDIN 25312781BMWMY5021, w.r.t Net Worth of the Bidder. However, the Methodology adopted in calculation of Net Worth of the Bidder in the aforesaid certificate as per the requirement of clause 2.2.29 (ii) of RFP, is not mentioned.
2	Clause 2.2.2.9 of RFP	NA	NA	The Statutory Auditor of the Bidder, as per audited Financial statements for the latest preceding FY (2023-24) is R. K. Baid & Associates. However, the certificates w.r.t Technical Capacity, Bid Capacity and Financial Capacity of the Bidder are issued by Ayush Mahesh Agarwal & Co. Chartered Accountants.
3	Annexure - VI (Bid Capacity)	NA	NA	<u>To calculate the value of "B"</u> As per clause 2.2.2.1 & Annexure VI "The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, anticipated value of work to be completed in the period of construction of the project for which bid is invited and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works mentioned above is verified from the certificate issued that has been countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorized Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects. No awarded / ongoing works has been left in the aforesaid statement which has been awarded to M/s.....individually / and other member M/s and M/s as on bid due date of this RFP". The Bidder has submitted the statement showing the value of all existing commitments duly certified by Statutory Auditor.

				However, based on the documents submitted by the Bidder in support of the Value of B (i.e., value of work completed certificates), the bidder is unable to meet the Bid capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP.
--	--	--	--	--

Based on the above facts, the Bidder may be treated as Non-responsive pursuant to clause 2.2.2.1 & 2.2.2.3 of RFP.

17/17	Name of the Bidder	Bharat Vanijya Eastern Private Limited		
S. No.	Clause/ Annex No.	Project Code	Name of the Member	Comments/ Remarks
	Appendix-X (Surety Bond for Bid Security)	NA	NA	In point no. 1 of the format of Surety Bond for Bid Security submitted by the Bidder, clause 2.1.6 & 2.1.7 of RFP have been mentioned instead of clause 1.2.4 & 2.20. Further, draft concession agreement has been mentioned instead of contract agreement. Therefore, the Bidder may be treated as Conditionally Responsive and shall furnish suitable amendment to the Surety Bond for Bid Security.
	Annex-III (Financial Capacity of the Bidder)	NA	NA	Financial Statements submitted by the Bidder for the F.Y. 2019-20 to 2022-23 are not legible.
Based on the above facts, the Bidder may be treated as Non-responsive pursuant to clause 2.2.2.3 & 3.1.6.1 of RFP.				

