



भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण

(सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200



No.: PUNDIV-19/9/2025-Haryana Division (e-272444)

Date: 10.06.2025

To

All Bidders

Sub: Strengthening and Major Maintenance of the Rohtak-Hisar Section of NH-09 (From Km. 93.140-182.500 and Link road 09.450 Km, total length 98.810 Km) in the state of Haryana on PBMC mode:- [Tender ID: 2025_NHAI_224591_1] - Result of Technical Evaluation & Opening of Financial Bids - Reg.

Ref.: (i) Your BID for the subject work (Bid Due Date: 07.03.2025)
(ii) Ltr. no. PUNDIV-19/9/2025-Haryana Division (e-272444) dated 24.05.2025
(iii) Representation received from Bidders

Sir,

With reference to above pertaining to the subject matter, the result of Technical Evaluation after considering representation of bidders is as under:

Bid No.	Bidder (M/s)	Status	Remarks
1	Suraj Construction Company	Qualified	-
2	Winner Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Not-Qualified	Bidder remains Non-Responsive under Cl. 4.1 (j) (1)/(2) of RFP
3	Mahavir Prasad Gupta & Sons	Qualified	-
4	Ganeshgarhia Construction Private Limited	Qualified	-
5	RAC Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive	No representation received. No change in result of technical evaluation published vide letter dated 24.05.2025.
6	Balaji Construction Ltd.	Non-Responsive	No representation received. No change in result of technical evaluation published vide letter dated 24.05.2025.
7	KCC Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Qualified	-
8	Murari Lal Aggarwal	Not-Qualified	Bidder remains Non-Responsive under Cl. 4.1 (j) (2) of RFP
9	Bendha Construction Company	Not-Qualified	No representation received. No change in result of technical evaluation published vide letter dated 24.05.2025.
10	Hardayal Constructions and Projects LLP	Qualified	The Bidder is now considered as "Qualified" based on the representation of the Bidder on the result of technical evaluation published vide letter dated 24.05.2025
11	Mukesh Trading Corporation - Khatkar Builders (JV)	Qualified	-

[Signature]

Contd 21-


Bid No.	Bidder (M/s)	Status	Remarks
12	Inckah Infrastructure Technologies Pvt. Ltd.	Qualified	-
13	Dhariwal Buildcon Ltd.	Not-Qualified	No representation received. No change in result of technical evaluation published vide letter dated 24.05.2025.
14	Satish Aggarwal & Co., Mukerian - Satish Aggarwal & Co., Amritsar (JV)	Qualified	-
15	SRSC Infra Pvt. Ltd. - SR Construction (JV)	Qualified	-

Refer Annexure-I for the reasons for non-responsiveness/ non-qualification.

2. All the Responsive bidders are requested to submit updated bid capacity as per Annexure-VI of Appendix-IA of RFP as on 10.06.2025, latest by 10.06.2025 (4:00 PM). The bidders shall ensure that the updated Bid Capacity shall also cover all the works for which the bidder has emerged as winner of the bid as on the day before opening of financial bids from the date till which the bid capacity was given in its Technical bids along with the certification from their Statutory Auditor (as per note 4 of clause 4.2 of RFP).

3. The financial bids of the Responsive bidders shall be opened on 10.06.2025 at 6:00 PM at Training Room, Ground Floor, NHAI HQ subject to submission of updated bid capacity, failing which appropriate action may be taken as per RFP. The bidders may also depute their representatives with proper authorization letter for attending the same, if interested.

Yours faithfully,



(P. N. Gawasane)
General Manager (Tech.) - PB & HR

Reasons of non-responsiveness/ non-qualification of the Bidders

Bid No. 2 - M/s Winner Constructions Pvt. Ltd.

A. Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of Section-2 of the RFP

Bidder has not submitted fitness certificates for vehicle no. DL1M 3326 proposed as MMU and vehicle no. HR63C0984 proposed as Water Tanker. Therefore, these vehicles have not been considered.

B. Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP

(i) Site Engineer-cum- Surveyor Engineer cum Material Engineer, Mr. Amit Kumar - As per the RFP, the experience is required specifically in Highway Construction/Maintenance works and as per projects mentioned in the CV, experience on Highway Construction/Maintenance works is less than 4 years. Therefore, CV of the candidature has not been considered.

(ii) Incident-cum-Road Property Manager-cum-Route Operation Manager, Mr. Khemanand Bhanotia - The bidder had submitted the CV of Mr. Khemanand Bhanotia in its bid for the position of Incident-cum-Road Property Manager-cum-Route Operation Manager. However, Mr. Bhanotia's degree has been declared invalid by IASE University; therefore, his CV has not been evaluated. The bidder has submitted another CV for the proposed position which tantamount to additional documents leading to material change in the proposal of the bidder. Hence, the same cannot be considered.

In view of the above, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of clause 4.1 (j) (1) & (2) of Section-2 of the RFP.

Bid No. 5 - M/s RAC Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Bidder has submitted password protected bid. The same is to be treated as conditional bid and declared as Non-Responsive Bid.

Bid No. 6 - M/s Balaji Construction Ltd.

The Bidder has submitted password protected bid. The same is to be treated as conditional bid and declared as Non-Responsive Bid.

Bid No. 8 - M/s Murari Lal Aggarwal

A. Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP

(i) Site Engineer-cum Surveyor Engineer cum Material Engineer:

(a) Mr. Naresh Kumar Chaturvedi - Bidder has neither degree nor mark sheets of all semester have submitted of Mr. Naresh Kumar Chaturvedi. Therefore, CV of the key personnel has not been evaluated. Accordingly, Mr. Naresh Kumar Chaturvedi does not meet the eligibility criteria of educational qualification. Hence, the CV has not been considered.

(b) Mr. Mohit Sharma - Bidder has neither degree nor mark sheets of all semester have submitted of Mr. Mohit Sharma. Therefore, CV of the key personnel has not been evaluated. Accordingly, Mr. Mohit Sharma does not meet the eligibility criteria of educational qualification. Hence, the CV has not been considered.



In view of the above, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP.

Bid No. 9 - M/s Bendha Construction Company

A. Clause 4.3 (ii) of Section-2 of the RFP

In documents submitted by bidder for similar work, lane of the highway has not been mentioned. Accordingly, the claimed projects do not meet the eligibility criteria one similar work under clause 4.3 (ii) of Section-2 of the RFP. Therefore, the same has not been considered.

B. Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of Section-2 of the RFP

Bidder has not submitted the proof ownership of complete list of equipment as per requirement of the RFP.

C. Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP

Site Engineer cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer, Mr. Ravi Sharma - As per the CV submitted by the bidder, experience of the key personnel on Highway Construction/Maintenance works is zero. Accordingly, the key personnel does not meet the eligibility criteria of minimum 4 years of experience on Highway Construction/Maintenance works. Hence, the CV has not been considered.

In view of the above, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of clause 4.1 (j) (1) & (2) and 4.3 (ii) of Section-2 of the RFP.

Bid No. 13 - M/s Dhariwal Buildcon Ltd.

A. Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of Section-2 of the RFP

- (i) Bidder has not submitted fitness certificates of Water Tankers and Tippers.
- (ii) Bidder had neither claimed Mobile Maintenance Unit (MMU) nor proof of ownership of the same submitted in the bid.
- (iii) Mini hot mix plant (6/10 T/Hr capacity) with indirect heating arrangement is not available with the bidder.

B. Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP

(i) Project Manager, Mr. Simanta Sharma - As per CV submitted by the bidder, experience of the key personnel as Project Manager/ Team Leader of Consultancy Contract on Highway, Bridge construction/ Maintenance works is 3 years and 8 months. Accordingly, the key personnel does not meet the eligibility criteria of minimum 8 years of experience. Hence, the CV has not been considered.

(ii) Incident cum Road Property Manager-cum-Route Operation Manager, Dharendra Kumar Mourya - The key personnel is not meeting the eligibility criteria of educational qualification i.e., Graduation from recognized. Hence, the CV has not been considered.

In view of the above, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP.

