



सत्यमेव जयते

भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण (सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार) National Highways Authority of India

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India)

जी-5 एवं 6, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 075 • G-5 & 6, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075

दूरभाष/Phone : 91-11-25074100 / 25074200

No.:PUNDIV-19/9/2025-Haryana Division/efile-272444

Date: 24.05.2025



To,

All Bidders

Sub.: Strengthening and Major Maintenance of the Rohtak-Hisar Section of NH-09 (From Km. 93.140-182.500 and Link road 09.450 Km, total length 98.810 Km) in the state of Haryana on PBMC mode [Tender ID: 2025_NHAI_224591_1] - Result of Technical Evaluation - Reg.

Ref.: Your BID for the subject work (Bid Due Date: 07.03.2025).

Sir,

With reference to above pertaining to the subject matter, the result of Technical Evaluation is as under:

Bid No.	Bidder (M/s)	Status
1	Suraj Construction Company	Qualified
2	Winner Constructions Pvt. Ltd.	Not-Qualified
3	Mahavir Prasad Gupta & Sons	Qualified
4	Ganeshgarhia Construction Private Limited	Qualified
5	RAC Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Non-Responsive
6	Balaji Construction Ltd.	Non-Responsive
7	KCC Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Qualified
8	Murari Lal Aggarwal	Not-Qualified
9	Bendha Construction Company	Not-Qualified
10	Hardayal Constructions and Projects LLP	Not-Qualified
11	Mukesh Trading Corporation - Khatkar Builders (JV)	Qualified
12	Inckah Infrastructure Technologies Pvt. Ltd.	Qualified
13	Dhariwal Buildcon Ltd.	Not-Qualified
14	Satish Aggarwal & Co., Mukerian - Satish Aggarwal & Co., Amritsar (JV)	Qualified
15	SRSC Infra Pvt. Ltd. - SR Construction (JV)	Qualified

Refer **Annexure-I** for the reasons for non-responsiveness/ non-qualification.

- The bidders are being given opportunity to submit objections, if any, on the result of technical evaluation under Cl. 23.5 of ITB, latest by 31.05.2025 (1500 Hrs IST).
- This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.

Yours faithfully,

(P.N. Gawasane)

General Manager (Tech.) - PB & HR

Encls.: As above.

Reasons of non-responsiveness of the Bidders

Bid No. 2 - M/s Winner Constructions Pvt. Ltd.

A. Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of Section-2 of the RFP

Bidder has not submitted fitness certificates for vehicle no. DL1M 3326 proposed as MMU and vehicle no. HR63C0984 proposed as Water Tanker. Therefore, these vehicles have not been considered.

B. Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP

- i. **Project Manager, Mr. Imran Asif Ansari** - As per the CV submitted by the bidder, experience of the key personnel as Project Manager/ Team Leader of Consultancy Contract on Highway, Bridge construction/ Maintenance works is 3 years and 5 months. Accordingly, the key personnel does not meet the eligibility criteria of minimum 8 years of experience. Hence, the CV has not been considered.
- ii. **Site Engineer-cum- Surveyor Engineer cum Material Engineer, Mr. Amit Kumar** - As per the CV submitted by the bidder, experience of the key personnel on Highway Construction/Maintenance works is 3 years and 1 month. Accordingly, the key personnel does not meet the eligibility criteria of minimum 4 years of experience. Hence, the CV has not been considered.
- iii. **Incident-cum-Road Property Manager-cum-Route Operation Manager, Mr. Khemanand Bhanotia** - Degree of the key personnel has been declared invalid by the IASE University. Accordingly, the key personnel does not meet the eligibility criteria of education qualification. Hence, the CV has not been considered.

In view of the above, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of clause 4.1 (j) (1) & (2) of Section-2 of the RFP. Hence, bidder may be treated as non-qualifying.

Bid No. 5 - M/s RAC Developers Pvt. Ltd.

The Bidder has submitted password protected bid. The same is to be treated as conditional bid and declared as Non-Responsive Bid.

Bid No. 6 - M/s Balaji Construction Ltd.

The Bidder has submitted password protected bid. The same is to be treated as conditional bid and declared as Non-Responsive Bid.

Bid No. 8 - M/s Murari Lal Aggarwal

Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP

- i. **Project Manager, Mr. Dinesh Kumar Nangliya** - As per the CV submitted by the bidder, experience of the key personnel as Project Manager/ Team Leader of Consultancy Contract on Highway, Bridge construction/ Maintenance works is 6 years and 6 months. Accordingly, the key personnel does not meet the eligibility criteria of minimum 8 years of experience. Hence, the CV has not been considered.



ii. Site Engineer-cum Surveyor Engineer cum Material Engineer:

- a. **Mr. Naresh Kumar Chaturvedi** - Bidder has neither degree nor mark sheets of all semester have submitted of Mr. Naresh Kumar Chaturvedi. Therefore, CV of the key personnel has not been evaluated. Accordingly, Mr. Naresh Kumar Chaturvedi does not meet the eligibility criteria of educational qualification. Hence, the CV has not been considered.
- b. **Mr. Mohit Sharma** - Bidder has neither degree nor mark sheets of all semester have submitted of Mr. Mohit Sharma. Therefore, CV of the key personnel has not been evaluated. Accordingly, Mr. Mohit Sharma does not meet the eligibility criteria of educational qualification. Hence, the CV has not been considered.

In view of the above, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP. Hence, bidder may be treated as non-qualifying.

Bid No. 9 - M/s Bendha Construction Company

A. Clause 4.3 (ii) of Section-2 of the RFP

In documents submitted by bidder for similar work, lane of the highway has not been mentioned. Accordingly, the claimed projects do not meet the eligibility criteria one similar work under clause 4.3 (ii) of Section-2 of the RFP. Therefore, the same have not been considered.

B. Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of Section-2 of the RFP

Bidder has not submitted the proof ownership of complete list of equipment as per requirement of the RFP.

C. Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP

Site Engineer cum Survey Engineer cum Material Engineer, Mr. Ravi Sharma - As per the CV submitted by the bidder, experience of the key personnel on Highway Construction/Maintenance works is **zero**. Accordingly, the key personnel does not meet the eligibility criteria of minimum 4 years of experience on Highway Construction/Maintenance works. Hence, the CV has not been considered.

In view of the above, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of clause 4.1 (j) (1) & (2) and 4.3 (ii) of Section-2 of the RFP. Hence, bidder may be treated as non-qualifying.

Bid No. 10 - M/s Hardayal Constructions and Projects LLP

Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP

Incident cum Road Property Manager -cum-Route Operation Manager, Imitiyar Khan - As per the CV submitted by the bidder, experience of the key personnel on Highway Property Management and Maintenance works is 2 years 2 months. Accordingly, the key personnel does not meet the eligibility criteria of minimum 3 years of experience on Highway Property Management and Maintenance works. Hence, CV of the same has not been considered.

In view of the above, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP. Hence, bidder may be treated as non-qualifying.



Bid No. 13 - M/s Dhariwal Buildcon Ltd.

A. Clause 4.1 (j) (1) of Section-2 of the RFP

- i. Bidder has not fitness certificates of Water Tankers and Tippers.
- ii. Bidder had neither claimed Mobile Maintenance Unit (MMU) nor proof of ownership of the same submitted in the bid.
- iii. Mini hot mix plant (6/10 T/Hr capacity) with indirect heating arrangement is not available with the bidder.

B. Clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP

- i. **Project Manager, Mr. Simanta Sharma** - As per CV submitted by the bidder, experience of the key personnel as Project Manager/ Team Leader of Consultancy Contract on Highway, Bridge construction/ Maintenance works is 3 years and 8 months. Accordingly, the key personnel does not meet the eligibility criteria of minimum 8 years of experience. Hence, the CV has not been considered.
- ii. **Incident cum Road Property Manager-cum-Route Operation Manager, Dharendra Kumar Mourya** - The key personnel is not meeting the eligibility criteria of educational qualification i.e., Graduation from recognized. Hence, the CV has not been considered.

In view of the above, bidder is not meeting the eligibility criteria of clause 4.1 (j) (2) of Section-2 of the RFP. Hence, bidder may be treated as non-qualifying.

