

BY ROTATION

Minutes of Meeting of Technical Bid Evaluation held on 3rd December, 2025 under the
Chairmanship of Chief Engineer (Highway Zone), PWD Arunachal Pradesh

Name of Work:	Performance Based Maintenance Contract work on Gobuk-Yingkiong Road section of NH-513 from Km 91.94 to Km 117.22 during the 2025-26 under PBMC in the State of Arunachal Pradesh. [Job No. PBMC/513/Ar.P/2025-26/102 J]"
Administrative Approval & Technical Sanction	RW/NH/PBMC/2024-25/GY/RO-Ita dated 8.10.2025 Sanctioned cost: -Rs.29.39 Crore
Amount put to tender:	Rs. 23.47 Cr (Excl. GST)
Time and Date of Opening of Technical Bids:	At 11:00 Hrs. on 25.10.2025
List of Firms who participated in the online tender:	1) Bharat Vanijya Eastern Pvt. Ltd 2) Aakshya Infra Projctcs Pvt Ltd 3) M/s K.I Global Services 4) M/s GRRB Associates 5) M/s D&L Enterprises 6) Kaba Infratech Pvt. Ltd 7) Mehlo Enterprises 8) M/s Sher Singh and Company

1. The Administrative & Financial approval and Technical Sanction for the instant work has been accorded by Ministry for an amount of Rs.29.39 Crore vide letter dated 8.10.2025.

2. The work was bid out in two cover bid system through e-procurement on 01.10.2025 and the technical bids were opened on 25.10.2025.

3. The evaluation committee examined the documents placed before the committee. Evaluation sheet is enclosed at Annex-I. Based on the evaluation; the committee observed the following:

3.1. **Bharat Vanijya Eastern Pvt. Ltd:** The committee observed the following: a) The Bidder has not furnished documentary evidence in support of equipment as required as per Section 2 of Appendix to ITB required as per RFP.

b) Bidder has not furnished Project Manager with 8 yrs experience (as PM/TL) as required as per Clause 4.1.j (2) of Section-2 of RFP.

c) The committee has observed that Road Safety Auditor certificate is without any signature of authority hence cannot be considered valid as per Clause 4.1j(2) of Section of

RFP

Hence, the committee found the bidder as **Technically Non-Responsive** as per Clause 4.1 j(1) and 4.1 j(2) of Section-2 Appendix to ITB of RFP

3.2. Aakshya Infra Project Pvt Ltd: Bidder has not furnished Statement of legal capacity as required as per Clause 4(i)(2) Qualification of Bidder and Annex-V of Appendix-1A of the RFP.

Hence, the Committee found the bidder as **Technically Non-Responsive** as per Clause 4(i)(2) of RFP.

3.3. M/s K.I. Global Services: The committee observed the following: a) The Bidder has not furnished Project wise certificate from statutory auditor/Client in support of the Technical Capacity as required as per Clause 4.8 (iii) and Annex-IV of Appendix-1A.

b) Bidder has not furnished MMU (Mobile Maintenance Unit) ownership documentary evidence required as per Section-2 of Appendix to ITB of the RFP.

c) The committee found that the Bidder has not furnished CVs of the technical personnels required as per Clause 4.1 j (2) of Section-2 Appendix to ITB of the RFP.

Hence, the Committee found the bidder as **Technically Non-Responsive** as per Clause 4.8 (iii), 4.1 j (2) and Section-2 of Appendix to ITB of the RFP.

3.4. M/s GRRB Associates: The committee found the bidder as **Technically Responsive**.

3.5. M/s D&L Enterprises: The committee observed the following: a) The bidder has not furnished documents required to submit physically within the bid due date/time as per Clause 12.2. Accordingly, the bid is summarily rejected as per Clause 2.20.2 of the RFP. b) Bid has failed the "Test of Responsiveness" as per clause 26 of Submission of Bids of the RFP, so further technical evaluation of Bid is not done.

3.5. Kaba Infratech Pvt. Ltd: The committee observed the following: a) Bidder has not furnished Project Manager with 8 yrs experience (as PM/TL) as required as per Clause 4.1.j (2) of Section-2 of RFP.

b) The Bidder has not furnished Mobile Maintenance Unit (MMU) documentary evidence in support of equipment as required as per Section-2 of Appendix to ITB of the RFP.

Hence, the committee found the bidder as **Technically Non-Responsive** as per Clause 4.1 j (2) and Section-2 Appendix to ITB of the RFP.

3.6. Mehlo Enterprises: The committee observed the following: a) The bidder has not executed "one similar work" from Eligible projects in Category 1 and 3 as required as per Clause 4.3(ii) of the RFP.

b) The bidder has not furnished MMU (Mobile Maintenance Unit) documentary evidence in support of equipment as required as per Clause 4.1j (1) of the Section-2 : Appendix to ITB of the RFP.

c) The committee found that the Bidder has not furnished CVs of the technical personnels required as per Clause 4.1 j (2) of Section-2 Appendix to ITB of the RFP.

Hence, the Committee found bidder as Technically Non-Responsive as per Clause 4.3(ii) and Clause 4.1j(1) and (2) of Section 2: Appendix to ITB of the RFP.


3.8. M/s Sher Singh and Company: The committee observed the following: a) The bidder has not furnished documents required to submit physically as per Clause 12.2. Accordingly, the bid is summarily rejected as per Clause 2.20.2 of the RFP. b) Bid has failed the "Test of Responsiveness" as per clause 26 of Submission of Bids of the RFP, so further technical evaluation of Bid is not done.

4. In view of the above, the committee found one (01) bidder to be technically responsive and recommended for opening their financial proposals for the Performance Based Maintenance Contract work on Gobuk-Yingkiong Road section of NH-513 from Km 91.94 to Km 117.22 during the 2025-26 under PBMC in the State of Arunachal Pradesh. "[Job No. PBMC/513/Ar.P/2025-26/102]".

i. M/s GRRB Associates



Executive Engineer
Pasighat Highway Division,
PWD, Arunachal Pradesh



Representative of
Regional Officer, Itanagar
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways



Superintending Engineer,
Jairampur Highway Circle,
PWD, Arunachal Pradesh



Sr. Finance & Accounts Officer
Highway Zone,
PWD, Arunachal Pradesh



Chief Engineer
Highway Zone,
PWD, Arunachal Pradesh