



Government of Maharashtra

Office of the Executive Engineer National Highway Division, Nashik

Phone No: 0253-2573270

E-mail : eenhnashik@gmail.com

nhnashik.ee@mahapwd

Second Floor Green Building,

P.W.D. Compound Untwadi

Road, Nashik - 422 002.

No. NHNsk/AB-3/ 595/2026

Date: 20.02.2026

To

All Bidders,

Subject:- Submission of Evaluation Report of Technical Bids

Name of Work : Upgradation to four lane with paved shoulder configuration of the Nashik to Peth Section of NH-848 from km 11/600 to 53/600 excluding 4 lane sections (Length 36.371 km) in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode.
Tender Id :- 2025_MoRTH_879360_1.

- Reference:-**
1. Chief Engineer, Government of India, Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (Regional office, Maharashtra and Goa), Mumbai, office letter no. RW / MUM/ FINANCILA CONSULTANCY/2025-26/1615 Dt. 25.11.2025.
 2. Opening of Technical bids on 14.01.2026.
 3. Minutes of meeting of State level evaluation Committee held on 28.01.2026.
 4. 2nd Minutes of meeting of State level evaluation Committee held on 09.02.2026.
 5. 3rd Minutes of meeting of State level evaluation Committee held on 20.02.2026.

With reference to the above subject work the following 18 (Eighteen) Contractors have submitted their document and in Financial Evaluation of 14 (Eighteen) Contractors is found Responsive and 4 (four) Contractors is non responsive


In this regard a 3rd meeting was held with the Chief Engineer, N.H. (P.W.), Konkan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai, on 20.02.2026 and it was decided to seek clarifications from all the bidders.

The list of the bidders from whom clarifications are to be sought is as follows:

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Result of Evaluation
1.	M/s. Sant Satramdas Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
2.	M/s. Saket Infraprojects Private Limited	Responsive
3.	M/s. All Grace Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
4.	M/s. I-9 Freeways Pvt. Ltd.-New India Contractors and Developers Private Limited (JV)	Responsive

5.	M/s. Aakar Constructions- Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Non – Responsive
6.	M/s. Forcon Infra Pvt. Ltd.	Non – Responsive
7.	M/s. R B Velhal Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
8.	M/s. B R Goyal Infrastructure Limited	Responsive
9.	M/s. New India Structures (P) Ltd.	Non – Responsive
10.	M/s. Nikhil Constructiongroup Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
11.	M/s. Relcon Infraprojects Limited- Gyan Construction Co. (JV)	Responsive
12.	M/s. SRC Infra Developers Private Limited	Responsive
13.	M/s. Dream Construction	Responsive
14.	M/s. HRY Kundu Buildtech Private Limited	Responsive
15.	M/s. NSC Projects Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
16.	M/s. Kedareshwar Infrastructure Developers Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
17.	M/s. M.T. Patil Builders and Contractors Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
18.	M/s. Scons Infrastruture Pvt. Ltd.	Non – Responsive

The Financial bid of the above work will be opened on 26.02.2026 At 12.00 Hrs. in the Office of the Chief Engineer (PW), National Highway Department, Konkan Bhavan Navi Mumbai, if possible. Bidders are requested to attend the financial bid Opening.


Executive Engineer,
National Highway Division,
Nashik.

Copy submitted to The Chief Engineer & Regional officer, MoRTH, Konkan Bhavan Navi Mumbai for information please.

Copy submitted to The Chief Engineer, N.H. (P.W.), Konkan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai for information please.

Copy submitted to The Superintending Engineer, NH Circle, Pune for information please.



Dated: 16th February 2026

To,
**Executive Engineer,
National Highway Division-Nashik**

Name of the Project: *upgradation to 4 lane with paves shoulder configuration of Nashik to Peth section of NH-848 from km. 11.600 to 53.600 excluding 4 lane section (Length-36.371 km.) in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode*

Subject: *Reply to Representation submitted by bidders*

Reference:

1. *Technical bid Opening on 14th January 2026*
2. *discussion held through VC dated 28th January 2026*
3. *Preliminary RFP Evaluation Report dated 28th January 2026*
3. *Reply Received through your email dated 30.01.2026 to 09.02 2026*
4. *discussion held through VC dated 9th February 2026*
5. *Final RFP Evaluation Report dated 10th February 2026*
6. *Representation Received through your email dated 14th February 2026 & 16th February 2026*

Dear Sir,

This is in reference to your above referred letter, wherein the 2 (tow) bidders representation have been forwarded to us for review.

Pertaining to that, these representations (by respective bidders) has been reviewed by us in light of RFP provisions and we have following to states here:

1. Forcon Infra Pvt. Ltd.			
S. No.	Reason for Disqualification	Representation Received	Our remarks
1.	1. The bidder has claimed the project code-B of namely "NSK 55A - Improvements to Nampur Satana, Kalwan, Vani, Pimpalgaon, Niphad, Sinner road - SH 27 km .98/300 to 154/00 Tai. Satana, Kalwan, Dindori, Niphad, & Sinner Dist. Nashik. (Pimpalgaon to Sinner)." As per client certificate attached in its bid for said project it is observed that bidder has	We have submitted our bid for the subject work through a competitive bidding process. As per the technical scrutiny of the said tender, we submitted all the documents along with clarifications on the issues raised by you on 30.01.2026. However, in the Evaluation Report issued by you, our bid has been declared "Non-Responsive,"	Project claimed by the bidder "Prov. & laying 300mm , 450mm, 500mm, 800mm & 1000 mm dia. R.C.NP3 class pipe sewer along Ghatkopar Mankhurd <u>Link Road</u> from Shivaji Nagar Junction to Sion Panvel Highway along with its improvement in rigid pavement & its side strips in flexible pavement in M/East W" does not fall under categogry-3. As per RFP clause 2.2.2.5 (iii) (b) "core

Fortress Infracon Ltd

CIN: U93000MH1995PLC090120

Corporate Office: 204, Lotus Park, Road No. 16, Wagle Estate, Thane (W) - 400604, Mumbai Metropolitan Region

Registered Office: Daryanagar House, 2nd Floor, 69 Maharshi Karve Road, Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 002, India.

🌐 www.fortressinfracon.co.in ✉ fortress@fortress.co.in ☎ +91 (22) 62887900 / 22007973

executed the work valued at ₹66.38 crore inclusive of GST. After deducting GST from project amount of actual work done remain as Rs. 57.66 Crore, after applying the update factor, the value of the said work comes to ₹63.42 Crore ($57.66/1.12=63.42$ crore).

2. Bidder has claimed another project code-A "Prov. & laying 300mm, 450mm, 500mm, 800mm & 1000 mm dia. R.C.NP3 class pipe sewer along Ghatkopar Mankhurd Link Road from Shivaji Nagar Junction to Sion Panvel Highway along with its improvement in rigid pavement & its side strips in flexible pavement in M/East W." The claimed project does not fall under category-3 as per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 and 2.2.2.5. hence, the said project evaluated under category-4. Hence, bidder is not meeting the minimum required similar project as per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) and considered as non-Responsive/Technically Disqualified.

and clarification has been sought within 7 days.

As per the tender conditions and the RFP Evaluation Report, it is stipulated that at least one similar completed work of not less than 35% of the Estimated Project Cost, or two similar completed works each of not less than 25% of the Estimated Project Cost, must have been completed. Such works should be from Eligible Projects under Category 1 and/or Category 3.

Accordingly, we had submitted a completion certificate for one similar project amounting to not less than 35% of the Estimated Project Cost. However, your office deducted the Government taxes, i.e., GST, from the value of the said project and considered the reduced amount. As a result, the project claimed by us does not meet the minimum 35% requirement of the Estimated Project Cost.

We had clearly stated in our bid as well as in our clarification dated 30.01.2026 that, in case our single project of 35% is not considered eligible, the two projects submitted by us—amounting to Rs. 64.57 Crore and Rs. 54.00 Crore—each satisfy the requirement of not less than 25% of the Estimated Project Cost and are in compliance with the tender conditions. Both the projects claimed by us fall under Category 3.

sector would be deemed to include civil construction cost of power sector, commercial setups (SEZs etc.), airports, industrial parks/ estates, logistic parks, pipelines, irrigation, water supply, sewerage, stadium, hospitals, hotel, smart city, warehouses/silos, oil and gas and real estate development. Core sector will also include the projects with the title of RIDF, PMGSY road, link road, city roads, rural road, sector/ municipality road, real estate projects which demonstrate road development/construction bridges or culverts. Performance-Based Maintenance Contracting work (PBMC), O&M work, Short Term Maintenance Contract (STMC), railways (construction/re-construction of railway tracks, etc.) metro rail and ports (including construction/reconstruction cost of Jetties, any other linear infrastructure including bridges etc.)".

As per RFP clause link road/ city road considered under category-4. Hence, the said claimed project does not considered under category-3. Accordingly, bidder is not meeting the minimum required similar project as per RFP clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) and status of bidder remain unchanged as non-Responsive/Technically Disqualified.

Fortress Infracon Ltd

CIN: U93000MH1995PLC090120

Corporate Office: 204, Lotus Park, Road No. 16, Wagle Estate, Thane (W) - 400604, Mumbai Metropolitan Region

Registered Office: Daryanagar House, 2nd Floor, 69 Maharshi Karve Road, Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 002, India.

🌐 www.fortressinfracon.co.in ✉ fortress@fortress.co.in ☎ +91 (22) 62887900 / 22007973

		The said works have been duly certified by the Executive Engineer, and payments have been received against them. We therefore once again request you to kindly consider the two projects submitted by us, each meeting the minimum 25% requirement of the Estimated Project Cost and our bid may kindly be considered as eligible.	
2. Scons Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.			
	The bidder has not submitted the value of "B" for bid capacity in its bid. Bidder has submitted same in its reply. As per RFP clause 2.14.3.1 "The Bidder may modify, substitute or withdraw its e- BID after submission prior to the BID Due Date. No BID can be modified, substituted or withdrawn by the Bidder on or after the BID Due Date & Time. Hence, the said submission may be considered as modification in the bid as per RFP clause 2.14.3.1. Accordingly, the bidder may be considered as non-responsive as per clause 3.1.6.1 (a) & (f).	Under instructions and on behalf of our company, we hereby submit this formal representation against the Technical Evaluation Result No. NHNsk/AB-3/453/2026 dated 10.02.2026, whereby our bid has been declared non-responsive on the alleged ground of non-submission of the Value of B Sheet. At the outset, we respectfully submit that the said conclusion is factually incorrect and does not reflect the complete record. Pursuant to the clarification sought by the Authority during the evaluation process, we duly submitted the Value of B Sheet along with all requisite supporting documents on 29.01.2026 within the prescribed time. The submission was made in good faith and in strict compliance with the clarification requirements. It is submitted that once the clarification was sought and the requisite documents were furnished within the stipulated	The bidder has claimed that <i>Pursuant to the clarification sought by the Authority during the evaluation process, we duly submitted the Value of B Sheet along with all requisite supporting documents on 29.01.2026 within the prescribed time.</i> However, as per clarification asked clearly mentioned that "please provide the page no. of submitted bid where the same can be found". Clarification does not asked for new submission of value of "B". hence, bid capacity of the other member submitted in its reply does not considered for evaluation. Hence, As per RFP clause 2.14.3.1 "The Bidder may modify, substitute or withdraw its e- BID after submission prior to the BID Due Date. No BID can be modified, substituted or withdrawn by the Bidder on or after the BID Due Date & Time. Hence, the said submission may be considered as modification in the bid as per RFP clause 2.14.3.1. Accordingly, the bidder may be considered as

Fortress Infracon Ltd

CIN: U93000MH1995PLC090120

Corporate Office: 204, Lotus Park, Road No. 16, Wagle Estate, Thane (W) - 400604, Mumbai Metropolitan Region

Registered Office: Daryanagar House, 2nd Floor, 69 Maharshi Karve Road, Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 002, India.

www.fortressinfracon.co.in ✉ fortress@fortress.co.in ☎ +91 (22) 62887900 / 22007973

		timeline, the same formed part of the bid documentation and ought to have been considered during technical evaluation. Non-consideration thereof has resulted in our bid being declared non-responsive, which is prejudicial and contrary to the principles of fairness, transparency, and natural justice governing public procurement processes. We respectfully submit that our bid fully satisfies the technical eligibility and qualification criteria prescribed in the Bid Documents. The alleged deficiency stands duly rectified through the clarification submission dated 29.01.2026. Therefore, the basis for declaring our bid non-responsive no longer subsists.	non-responsive as per clause 3.1.6.1 (a) & (f). Status of the bidder remain unchanged as Non-Responsive.
--	--	---	--

This report is being submitted for your kind perusal & further necessary action as deemed appropriate.

Thanking you & assuring you best of our services all the time.

For **Fortress Infracon Limited,**




Shobha Chauhan
(Authorized Signatory)



Fortress Infracon Ltd

CIN: U93000MH1995PLC090120

Corporate Office: 204, Lotus Park, Road No. 16, Wagale Estate, Thane (W) - 400604, Mumbai Metropolitan Region

Registered Office: Daryanagar House, 2nd Floor, 69 Maharshi Karve Road, Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 002, India.

🌐 www.fortressinfracon.co.in ✉ fortress@fortress.co.in ☎ +91 (22) 62887900 / 22007973