



Government of Maharashtra

Office of the Executive Engineer National Highway Division, Nashik

Phone No: 0253-2573270
E-mail :eenhnashik@gmail.com
nhnashik.ee@mahapwd

Second Floor Green Building,
P.W.D. Compound Untwadi
Road, Nashik - 422 002.

No. NHNsk/AB-3/ 392/2026

Date: 04.02.2026

To

All Bidders,

Subject :- Submission of Evaluation Report of Technical Bids

Name of Work : Construction of service road in the intermittent stretches from km 180/470 to km 205/780 on the Sinnar to Nashik section of NH-60 in the state of Maharashtra on EPC mode (Total length – 12.780 including both sides).
Tender Id :- 2025_MoRTH_877566_1
Job No. NH-60-MAH-2025-26-89 dated 15.09.2025.

- Reference:-**
1. Chief Engineer, Government of India, Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (Regional office, Maharashtra and Goa), Mumbai, office letter no. RW / MUM/ FINANCILA CONSULTANCY/2025-26/1615 Dt. 25.11.2025.
 2. Opening of Technical bids on 17.11.2025.
 3. Minutes of meeting of State level evaluation Committee held on 05.01.2026.
 4. 2nd Minutes of meeting of State level evaluation Committee held on 29.01.2026.

With reference to the above subject work the following 19 (Nineteen) Contractors have submitted their document and in Financial Evaluation of 9 (Nine) Contractors is Responsive & 10 (Ten) Contractors is non-Responsive.

In this regard a 2nd meeting was held with the Chief Engineer, N.H.(P.W.),Konkan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai, on 29.01.26 and it was decided to seek clarifications from all the bidders.

The list of the bidders from whom clarifications are to be sought is as follows:

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Result of Evaluation
1.	M/s. Aakar Constructions.	Responsive
2.	M/s. Anand Construwel Pvt. Ltd	Responsive
3.	M/s. B. T. Kadlag Construction Pvt Ltd	Responsive
4.	M/s. Deokar Earthmovers	Non – Responsive (Refer Note-1)
5.	M/s. Dream Construction	Responsive

6.	M/s. Forcon Infra Pvt Ltd.	Non – Responsive (Refer Note-2)
7.	M/s. Kumar Infratech	Responsive
8.	M/s. Mahalaxmi Construction	Non – Responsive (Refer Note-3)
9.	M/s. N. K. Gade	Non – Responsive (Refer Note-4)
10.	M/s. Om Construction	Non – Responsive (Refer Note-5)
11.	M/s. P. D. Infraproject Pvt Ltd.	Responsive
12.	M/s. Saket Infraproject Pvt Ltd.	Responsive
13.	M/s. SCONS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.	Responsive
14.	M/s. Sant Satramdas Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.	Non – Responsive (Refer Note-6)
15.	M/s. Seerat Construction Pvt. Ltd.	Responsive
16.	M/s. Sundar Madhav Construction	Non – Responsive (Refer Note-7)
17.	M/s. Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt Ltd.	Non – Responsive (Refer Note-8)
18.	M/s. Varun Chandra Development and Construction LLP	Non – Responsive (Refer Note-9)
19.	M/s. Yewale Constructions Co.	Non – Responsive (Refer Note-10)

All Bidders kindly note that clarification raised for above results should be sent on cenhmumbai.ce@mahapwd.gov.in, cenhmaharashtra@gmail.com & nhpune.se@mahapwd.com, nhpune.se@gmail.com, nhnashik.ee@mahapwd, eenhnashik@gmail.com and the same will be clarified /answered within 7 days. The Financial bid of the above work will be opened on 10.02.2026 At 16.00 Hrs. in the Office of the Chief Engineer (PW), National Highway Department, Konkan Bhavan Navi Mumbai, if possible. Bidders are requested to attend the financial bid Opening.


**Executive Engineer,
National Highway Division,
Nashik.**

Copy submitted to The Chief Engineer & Regional officer, MoRTH, Konkan Bhavan Navi Mumbai for information please.

Copy submitted to The Chief Engineer, N.H. (P.W.), Konkan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai for information please.

Copy submitted to The Superintending Engineer, NH Circle, Pune for information please.



CREDIBLE

Management & Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

An ISO 9001 : 2015 (QMS)

Ref.: CMC/NHPW/2026/5373

04th February 2026

To,

**The Chief Engineer
National Highway P.W.
Kokan Bhavan Navi Mumbai**

Subject: Construction Of Service Road In The Intermittent Stretches From Km 180/470 To Km 205/780 On The Sinnar To Nashik Section Of NH-60 In The State Of Maharashtra On EPC Mode (Total Length – 12.780 Including Both Sides), Technical Evaluation Report reg;

Dear Sir,

Please find attached the Technical Evaluation Report for the subject project.

This is for your information and further necessary action. In case of any clarification, please feel free to revert.

We always look forward to work with you and also ensure you of our best services at all times.

Yours' Sincerely,

For Credible Management & Consultants Private Limited



(Authorised Signatory)

Enclosure: a.a

**Chief Engineer, National Highway Department
Government of Maharashtra**

Construction of Service Road in the Intermittent Stretches From Km 180/470 To Km 205/780 on the Sinnar to Nashik Section of NH-60 in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode (Total Length – 12.780 Including Both Sides)

Technical Bid Evaluation Report
February, 2026

SUBMITTED BY:
Credible Management & Consultants Pvt. Ltd.
HRS 11, First Floor,
VIP Floors, Sector – 81,
Faridabad – 121 004 Haryana
Tel: 129 – 2982227, 129 - 2982225
E-mail: delhicmc@cmcindia.net / info@cmcindia.net
Website: www.cmcindia.net

1. Prologue

The Ministry of Road Transport & Highways through Public Works Department (NH) Government of Maharashtra is engaged in the development of National Highways and as part of this endeavor, the Authority had resolved to **Construction of Service Road in the Intermittent Stretches From Km 180/470 To Km 205/780 on the Sinnar to Nashik Section of NH-60 in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode (Total Length – 12.780 Including Both Sides)** and has decided to carry out the bidding process for selection of the bidder to whom the Project may be awarded.

The Authority has adopted a single stage two envelop system (referred to as the "Bidding Process") for selection of the Bidder for award of the Project. The bid has been invited under single stage two envelop process. Eligibility and qualification of the Bidder will be first examined based on the details submitted under first envelop (Technical Bid) with respect to eligibility and qualifications criteria prescribed in this RFP. (The "Bidder", which expression shall, unless repugnant to the context, include the members of the Consortium). The Financial Bid under the second envelop shall be opened of only those Bidders whose Technical Bids are responsive to eligibility and qualifications requirements as per this RFP.

Credible Management & Consultants Pvt. Ltd. has been appointed as the Financial Consultant to analyze the applications received and assists the Authority in evaluation of the technical scores/ experience scores of the Bidders and short-listing the eligible bidders along with the evaluation of the Bids. This document recites the procedures carried over for the analysis of the applications received to arrive at the short listed bidders.

1.1. Project Summary

S. No.	Particulars	Detail
1.	Name of the Project	Construction of Service Road in the Intermittent Stretches From Km 180/470 To Km 205/780 on the Sinnar to Nashik Section of NH-60 in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode (Total Length – 12.780 Including Both Sides)
2.	Cost of RFP Document	Rs 10,000 +GST 18%
3.	No. of Bidders	19

The Request for Proposal (RFP) was floated by the Authority and received following 19 bids through online mode at its portal as on the Bid Due Date i.e., **14.11.2025**:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Type of Bidder (Sole / JV)	Country of Incorporation
1.	Aakar Constructions	Sole	India


Report on Technical Bid Evaluation

2.	Anand Construwell Pvt Ltd.	Sole	India
3.	B. T. Kadlag Construction Pvt Ltd.	Sole	India
4.	Deokar Earthmovers	Sole	India
5.	Dream Construction	Sole	India
6.	Forcon Infra Pvt Ltd.	Sole	India
7.	Ms. Kumar Infratech	Sole	India
8.	Mahalaxmi Construction	Sole	India
9.	N. K. Gade	Sole	India
10.	Om Construction	Sole	India
11.	P. D. Infraproject Pvt Ltd.	Sole	India
12.	Saket Infraprojects Pvt Ltd.	Sole	India
13.	SCONS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.	Sole	India
14.	Sant Satramdas Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.	Sole	India
15.	Seerat Construction Pvt Ltd.	Sole	India
16.	Sundar Madhav Construction	Sole	India
17.	Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt Ltd.	Sole	India
18.	Varunchandra Development and Construction	Sole	India
19.	Yewale Constructions Co.	Sole	India

2. Qualification Requirements of Bidders

Sno.	Particulars	Amount (Rs. In Cr.)
1	Estimated Project Cost	52.175

Construction of Service Road in the Intermittent Stretches From Km 180/470 To Km 205/780 on the Sinnar to Nashik Section of NH-60 in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Mode (Total Length – 12.780 Including Both Sides)



2	BID Capacity	52.175
3	Minimum Technical Capacity required (for category 1,2,3 and 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	39.13
4	Minimum Technical Capacity required from the Eligible Projects in category 1 and/or 3 as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	10.44
5	Additional experience in construction of Major Bridge/ROBs/Flyovers one similar Major Bridge/ROB/Flyover having span equal to or greater than (i.e. 50% of the longest span of the structure proposed in this project) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	NA
6	Minimum Financial Capacity for Net Worth required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (i)	2.61
7	Minimum Financial Capacity for Average Annual Turnover as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	7.83
9	For a project to qualify as an Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (c))	2.61
10	For a project to qualify as an Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the entity claiming experience should have held, in the company owning the Eligible Project, a minimum of 26% (twenty six per cent) equity during the entire year for which Eligible Experience is being claimed (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i)(b))	26%
11	For a project to qualify as an Eligible Project under Category 3&4, the Receipts/ payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	2.61

3. Evaluation Process:

- Bids received online were opened on 15.11.2025 (at 1100 hours IST).
- The Authority may verify that **online payment of Rs.10,000/- +GST** towards the cost of RFP document have been duly submitted by all the bidders.
- **DSC detail has not been provided. Authority may confirm Bid is digitally signed by the same person who is getting power through Power of Attorney.**
- The evaluation of proposals have been carried out in the following manner:
 - Tests of Responsiveness (Clause 3.1.6.1 of RFP)
 - Computation of Bid capacity (Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP)
 - Computation of Threshold Technical Capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 of RFP)
 - Computation of Financial Capability (Clause 2.2.2.3 of RFP)
- The RFP evaluation has been broadly guided by the RFP document issued for this project. Given below is the summary of Broad principals adopted for the evaluation which have been discussed and agreed upon in the meeting with Authority.

Broad principal considered after having discussion with the Authority:

- If the submission has not been stamped or signed by the bidder the same has been considered as the process is on e-tendering mode and the documents uploaded on the e-portal are duly supported by the digital signatures of the Authorised Signatories.
- The date of digital signatures has been considered as the date of signing of the bid documents. Hence, no queries have been raised if any document has been physically signed before the date of receiving the requisite powers through power of attorney (appendix III).
- If there is a variation in between the words and figures in the Statutory Auditor certificates submitted in respect of Annex-IV, the amount mentioned in the words has been considered.
- All the applications considered for evaluation are received by the Authority on or before the due date;
- Any additional document means the one which will enhance the claimed score of the Bidder.
- In case of Annex IV, if there is a mismatch in the category given in Annex II & Annex IV, the Category assessed as per the provisions of the RFP has been considered for evaluation. No query has been raised in this regard.
- If there is any difference between the details given in Annex IV and Statutory Auditors' certificate, the details certified by Statutory Auditors' has been considered. Further, if there is any variation in the information given in Statutory Auditors' certificate and client certificate then the information given in Client Certificate has been considered and no further query has been raised.
- In case the audited balance sheet is of more than 12 months, then the Net Worth of the said bidder has been calculated on the basis of audited balance sheet of the increased period.
- In case of Annex VI "Information required to evaluate the Bid Capacity", the escalation factors as given in the RFP document has been considered even if the Bidder has considered different escalation factors.
- In case the payments have been received in foreign currency and still the Statutory Auditor certifies the payments/Project cost in INR then payments/Project Cost given in INR has been considered no further query has been raised in this regard.
- In case the Bidder has accepted in Annex I that there is no litigation or blacklisting has been done against him then no further query has been raised in terms of clause 2.1.19 of the RFP document.
- In case the Statutory Auditor certified the Annex-III as submitted by the Bidder, the same has been considered and no clarification has been raised for the specific Statutory Auditor certificate.
- Construction works undertaken w.r.t. EPC projects, have been considered as Civil Engineering works for the purpose of calculation of 'A' for Bid Capacity.
- The Issues with respect to eligible project whether falling within the municipal limits or not has been discussed with the authority and accordingly eligibility for Category 3 and 4 has been decided.
- In case the references of clauses above the Appendices/annexures is submitted as per old RFP issued for the project, then no clarification has been raised
- In case the bidder has provided Address of Corporate/Head office in place of Registered office no clarification has been raised.



- No query has been raised if the bidder has not submitted Annex IV (details of Eligible Projects in the table) as RFP does not provide the format for the same.
- Only Information has been used from the Annex-III, Annex-IV & Annex-VI, if the same not provided as per RFP Format, however information has been provided.
- If the score claimed (Technical, Financial & Bid capacity) by the bidder is less than the assessed score. Then the assessed score has been restricted up to the least one.
- The complete evaluation has been done on the basis of the documents submitted on CPP Portal.
- If the Annual Reports contain the Auditors' Report, Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss along with Notes to Accounts then no query has been raised for rest of the content (like Directors' Report, Cash flow Statements etc.) of the Annual Reports.
- It has been confirmed that the Receipt of cost of Bid document in Soft Bid has been uploaded by the Bidder. Further it has been advised to the Authority that the same has confirmed whether the same has been received in Account or not required as per RFP.
- If the membership number of the Authorised signatory of the Statutory Auditors has not been mentioned in the experience certificates or any other certificate and if the same is given in the annual reports then no query has been raised in this regard.
- The Appendix-IB Letter Comprising the financial Bid will be checked at the time of financial bid opening.
- In case, bidder has carried out slight additions, deletions or modifications with the language of the standard format of RFP and it does not impart any material impact to the intent, no clarification has been sought.
- In case the format of Certificate of Statutory Auditors is different from the format prescribed in the RFP Document. Since all the required information has been found from the submitted certificates, no further query has been raised in this regard.
- The evaluation has been done on the basis of uploading on CPPP Portal. Uploading on BIMS portal has not been verified as discussed.
- Being Digitally Signed and Online submission no query has been raised for the undated documents submitted by the bidder.
- Annex II is given in separate sheet, however provided the required information and hence considered
- In case of Annex IV, if the work done includes both Construction and Periodic Renewal, then the same has been considered in Category 3 as discussed with Authority.
- The detail calculation for Class-I local supplier has not been checked in case the Statutory Auditor has confirmed the class of Local Supplier of the Bidder.
- In case of Partnership firm, the bidder has not given the Methodology for the calculation of Net Worth as prescribed in the RFP, no query has been raised.
- **Appendix II & III etc.:** Power of Attorney for signing of Bid, need to be executed on Stamp Paper(s). In case the back side of the stamp paper is not available in the scanned documents, the date of issue of stamp paper and in whose name the stamp paper has been issued has not been verified.
- In case the Class III Digital Signature Certificate ID Number has not been mentioned by the bidder, no query has been raised in this regard, being online submission.
- The value of civil construction works has been taken as certified by Statutory Auditors.
- Appendix-IA, IB, IX or Annex-V has not been submitted on the letter head of the bidder, the same has been considered being signed by the Authorized signatory.



- If the name of the bidder has not specifically been mentioned above the table of point 5 and 7 of Annex I, no query has been raised being the name mentioned in point 1 of Annex I.
- If the bank details are not available in Annex III but are available in latest annual reports, no query has been raised in this regard.
- If the details of bonus is missing in Annex VI (Value of A) then it is assumed that the bidder(s) has not received any bonus during the stipulated period.
- **Annexure-VIII (Detail of Ongoing Works) (Ref Clause 10.3 (iv) of Draft EPC Agreement):**
If the Bidder has provided the detail of ongoing works along with their likely date of completion, no further clarification has been raised in this regard as discussed with the Authority. Further, if the bidder has mentioned not Applicable in the Table, then the same presumed to be Nil as discussed with the Authority.
- For the updation of Project Cost, the factor for Financial year in which the project has been started is considered.
- If the NIT Date & project name mentioned by the bidder(s) in their bid(s) is different from RFP in terms of chainage, no query has been raised in this regard.
- If the Power of Attorney is executed on stamp paper issued for Affidavit as the stamp duty has been duly paid.
- The date of notarization has been considered as date of execution, in case date of execution is not mentioned in the Power of Attorney and/or affidavit.
- In case of foreign bidder(s) the Net worth and Turnover has been considered from Statutory Auditors' Certificate.
- In case of Foreign Bidder(s) the Memorandum of Association/ Establishment of the Company has not been checked.
- In case the Bidder itself has confirmed the status of ongoing existing commitments upto bid due in replies received the same has been considered.
- No query has been raised if the unaudited Financial Statements for the latest Year Undertaking has been provided by the bidder confirming the status prior to one day of bid due and no UDIN no. has been checked on the same being no figures has been certified by the Statutory Auditor.
- For the requirement of Single completed project status of completion of the project as on 31st March 2024 has been considered.
- In case the bidder has not submitted the Independent Audit report, considering it as minor issue the query of the same has be condoned.
- All the issues related to the Bank Guarantee has been condoned except the amount and their validity as discussed with the Authority.
- In case the bidder has confirmed in its replies that the annual accounts for the latest financial year were not audited till the bid due date, the same has been considered.
- Minor Deviation from the Format prescribed in the RFP has been considered.
- Projects of Improvement on HAM basis & Strengthening work has been considered under similar work category.
- **Bid Capacity:**
 - If the format for Statutory Auditors' Certificate for the Value of A is different from the format provided in the RFP but providing the required information, then no query has been raised w.r.t deviation from the format.



- If bidder has claimed Bonus but does not submitted its supporting certificates, then bonus amount has been deducted from the turnover but the same amount has not been added in the calculation of Bid Capacity.
 - The Certificates issued by Independent Engineer or Lender's Independent Engineer certifying/Authority Engineer the details of ongoing works have been considered for the calculation of the Bid Capacity of the bidder(s).
- **Annex-VIII- Detail of ongoing work: In case bidder has not mentioned the last para** written after the table in the Annex-VIII, no query has been raised in regard as it seems to be only informative lines and not an undertaking, the para states as follows.

(In the event that the Bidder had failed to achieve the Completion of any project within a period of 90 (ninety) days from the Schedule Completion Date of the project, unless such failure had occurred due to Force Majeure or for reasons solely attributable to the Authority, the Bidder shall be deemed to be ineligible for bidding this project (under bidding), both as the sole party or as one of the parties of Joint Venture/ Consortium, if any, during the period from Scheduled Completion Date to issuance of Completion Certificate for that project. This restriction is applicable if the contract value of the delayed project was not less than Rs. 300 Crore.)

#To be supported with valid certificate issued from Independent Engineer / Authority's Engineer / Supervision Consultant / Engineer-in-charge"

Further, no query has been raised if the bidder has not provided the supportive certificates to the table as it does not affect the qualification/eligibility of the bidder(s) & if the bidder(s) has mentioned not applicable in the Table, then the same is presumed to be Nil.

4. Test of Responsiveness

As per the RFP Document Clause No. 3.1.6.1, a Technical BID shall be considered responsive only if:

- a. Technical BID is received online as per the format at Appendix-IA including Annexure I, IV, V and VI (Bid Capacity format);
- b. Scanned copies of Documents listed at clause 2.11.2 are received on BIMS or CPPP as mentioned;
- c. Technical Bid is accompanied by the BID Security as specified in Clause 1.2.4 and 2.20;
- d. The Power of Attorney is uploaded on e-procurement portal and BIMS portals specified in Clauses 2.1.5;
- e. Technical Bid is accompanied by Power of Attorney for Lead Member of Joint Venture and the Joint Bidding Agreement as specified in Clause 2.1.6, if so required;
- f. Technical Bid contains all the information (complete in all respects);
- g. Technical Bid does not contain any condition or qualification; and
- h. Copy of online receipt/ original documents towards submission of Rs.40,000/-/- [Rs. Seventy Thousand Only] towards the cost of tender.

5. RFP Evaluation (Technical, Financial & Bid Capacity Evaluation)

The Technical, Financial and Bid Capacity Scores is annexed as Annexure to this report.

6. Summary of Evaluation

This is in continuation to our report submitted via letter No. CMC/MoRTH/2026/5326 dated 30.12.2025, in which we sought clarifications from the bidders to complete the evaluation process. In response to those queries, the replies from the Bidders have been received and duly reviewed by us after having discussion with the authority.

The Technical, Financial and Bid Capacities have been attached as Annexures to this report.

In view of the forgoing, the status of all the bidders are as follows:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status of Qualification
1.	Aakar Constructions	Responsive
2.	Anand Construwell Pvt Ltd.	Responsive
3.	B. T. Kadlag Construction Pvt Ltd.	Responsive
4.	Deokar Earthmovers	Non-Responsive (Refer Note 1)
5.	Dream Construction*	Responsive*
6.	Forcon Infra Pvt Ltd.	Non-Responsive (Refer Note 2)
7.	Ms. Kumar Infratech	Responsive
8.	Mahalaxmi Construction	Non-Responsive (Refer Note 3)
9.	N. K. Gade	Non-Responsive (Refer Note 4)
10.	Om Construction	Non-Responsive (Refer Note 5)
11.	P. D. Infraproject Pvt Ltd.	Responsive
12.	Saket Infraprojects Pvt Ltd.	Responsive
13.	SCONS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.	Responsive
14.	Sant Satramdas Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.	Non-Responsive (Refer Note 6)
15.	Seerat Construction Pvt Ltd.	Responsive

16.	Sundar Madhav Construction	Non-Responsive (Refer Note 7)
17.	Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt Ltd.	Non-Responsive (Refer Note 8)
18.	Varunchandra Development and Construction	Non-Responsive (Refer Note 9)
19.	Yewale Constructions Co.	Non-Responsive (Refer Note 10)

*** Dream Construction**

The bidder has still not mentioned the para after the value of B of the Statutory Auditors Certificate for value of "B". and still submitted the dated 08.11.2025. The same may not be considered. However, as discussed with the Authority, the Authority may ask the bidder to submit the same mentioning last Para while submitting updated bid capacity prior to opening of financial bid

Reasons of non-responsiveness:

Note 1. Deokar Earthmovers:

The following are the reasons of non-responsiveness:

1. In the point 14 of the bank guarantee submitted w.r.t the bid security, the branch has been mentioned of Hyderabad instead of Mumbai.
2. Statutory Auditors' certificate w.r.t local content has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder required as per clause Cl. 1.2.11 (Local Content)

Further, in the Annex-VI, Bid Capacity the bidder has not mentioned the no. of years to be mentioned in the first line of the Statutory Auditors Certificate for value of "B", the Authority may ask the bidder to submit the same mentioning no. of years while submitting updated bid capacity prior to opening of financial bid.

Note 2. Forcon Infra Pvt Ltd.

The bidder now has submitted the Joint Bidding Agreement wherein the Bidder JV share has been mentioned as 95%, however in the Work Done Certificate share mentioned as 33.34%. In the absence of clear-cut shareholding in the SPV in the Concessionaire or share in the Joint Venture, hence, the said project cannot be considered and accordingly criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP document cannot be assessed and may be treated as Non responsive.

Note 3. Mahalaxmi Construction-

The bidder has not replied to the clarification sought; hence the bidder may be treated as non-responsive because of the following discrepancies:

1. Financial Statements for the FY 20-21 has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder.
2. Annex-VI: Bid Capacity, value of B has not been Found in the bid submitted by the bidder.
3. Undertaking required as per clause 2.2.1(d) of the RFP Document has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder.

4. In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and therefore the Bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give an undertaking to this effect and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. In the instant case, the said undertaking duly certified by Statutory Auditor confirming the status has not been found.
5. Self -Undertaking as well as Statutory Auditor certificate w.r.t local content has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder.
6. No confirmation of Partnership Deed submitted in the bid is the latest deed and no other partnership deed has been executed after this date.

Note 4. N. K. Gade

The Bank Guarantee submitted by the bidder is not as per the format prescribed in the RFP. Hence, the same may not be considered and the bidder may be treated as Non responsive. Further, the value of B now certified by the Statutory Auditor do not have the last para as per the format prescribed in the RFP. The same may not be considered. However, as discussed with the Authority, the Authority may ask the bidder to submit the same mentioning last Para while submitting updated bid capacity prior to opening of financial bid.

Note 5. Om Construction: The following are the reasons of Non- Responsiveness:

1. The table mentioned below the point 7 (b) has not been replied by the bidder, the same has been left blank (-----) instead of mentioning Nil or any detail, which is not in the format. Hence, rejected.
2. The bidder has not submitted the Statutory Auditors' certificates along with Annex-IV and Annex IV now submitted still do not have a mention of date of commencement and date of completion of the project. Accordingly, the Technical Capacity of the bidder cannot be assessed. Hence, the bidder may be treated as Non-responsive as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of the RFP Document.
3. The bidder has not submitted any updated client certificate which may confirm the work done so as to meet the minimum bid capacity criteria as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP Document. Hence, the bidder may be treated as Non-responsive as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP Document.

Note 6. Sant Satramdas Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.:

The bidder has submitted the reply confirming that there is no any qualification effects on Net worth on the date of submission i.e. on 12-11-2025. The same is required to be certified by Statutory Auditors. Hence, the Net Worth of the bidder required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (i) of the RFP document cannot be assessed. Hence, the bidder may be treated as Non-responsive.

Note 7. Sundar Madhav Construction:

Annex-VI, Bid Capacity: The bidder has submitted the copy of Running Account bill of project mentioned at S.No.1 however, the same is not signed by the Client and considering the full value of work, the bidder is not meeting the minimum bid capacity criteria as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP Document and may be treated as non responsive.

In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and therefore the Bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give an undertaking to this effect and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. In the instant case, the said undertaking duly certified



by Statutory Auditor confirming the status has not been found, hence as per Clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) the bidder may be treated as non responsive.

Further, in the Annex-VI, Bid Capacity the bidder has not mentioned the no. of years to be mentioned in the first line of the Statutory Auditors Certificate for value of "B", the Authority may ask the bidder to submit the same mentioning no. of years while submitting updated bid capacity prior to opening of financial bid.

Note 8. Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt Ltd.

Net Worth: The bidder has submitted the Complete Annual Reports for FY 2023-24, however, there is a qualified opinion in the submitted Independent Audit report which seems to impact the turnover & Net Worth of the bidder for that respective year. Hence, the turnover for that specific year has not been considered. The bidder is still meeting the minimum Turnover criteria. But the Net Worth cannot be considered without the confirmation undertaking of the Statutory Auditor, that the qualified opinion in the submitted Independent Audit report does not impact the turnover & Net Worth of the bidder for that respective year.

Further, the Financial Statements for the FY 24-25 has not been found. Hence, Turnover & Net Worth of the bidder cannot be assessed.

Hence, the bidder may be treated as Non responsive as per clause 2.2.2.3 (i) of the RFP document.

Annex-VI: Since, the balance Sheet and Profit and loss Account is not available for the FY 24-25 and the turnover for the 23-24 may not be considered due to qualified opinion & in the replies to clarification sought the bidder has not submitted the certificates signed by the client, hence the same may not be considered. Accordingly, with the available data, the bidder is not meeting the minimum Bid Capacity criteria. Hence, the bidder may be treated as Non responsive as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP document.

Note 9. Varun Chandra Development and Construction LLP-

The bidder has not replied to the clarification sought; hence the bidder may be treated as non-responsive because of the following discrepancies:

1. Point no. 6 of the table after point 7(b) is missing in the table.
2. The bidder has claimed the project code "a" under similar category and submitted the client certificate wherein the Date of completion and the amount of work done as on date of completion by the Sub Contractor is not clear.
3. The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 29.08.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025.
4. Rs. 10,000 submitted by the bidder not under bhartkosh instead submitted via challan, receipt of the same submitted.
5. In the point 14 the branch has been mentioned of Chandigarh instead of Mumbai.



6. Undertaking required as per clause 2.2.1(d) of the RFP Document has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder.
7. Bidder has claimed various Projects in Annex-II and has submitted the certificates in support of the same in Annex-IV, from the available documents, none of the eligible Projects from Category-1 or 3 and does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP document.
8. Self -Undertaking as well as Statutory Auditor certificate w.r.t local content has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder.

Note 10. Yewale Constructions Co.

1. Appendix-II Bank Guarantee: In point no. "8", the words "when duly received and acknowledged by the Bank at its counter." has been mentioned extra. Hence rejected

Further, the bidder has not mentioned the para after the value of B of the Statutory Auditors Certificate for value of "B". and submitted the dated 06.11.2025. The same may not be considered. However, as discussed with the Authority, the Authority may ask the bidder to submit the same mentioning last Para while submitting updated bid capacity prior to opening of financial bid,

Recommendation

As per clause 3.1.9 which states: *"The BIDs will be evaluated by the Authority. After evaluation of Technical Bids, the Authority will publish a list of Technically Responsive Bidders whose financial bids shall be opened. The Authority shall notify other bidders that they have not been technically responsive. The Authority will not entertain any query or clarification from Applicants who fail to qualify. The Authority shall publish/upload the list of technically eligible bidders on the BIMS portal / CPPP Portal and provide seven days for receipt of comments from bidders. Once the technical evaluation has been finalized, the Authority shall use the CPPP portal for opening the financial bids of the technically qualified bidders, and for determination of the L1 bidder".* The Authority shall publish/upload the list of technically eligible bidders on the portal.

Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

1. Aakar Constructions				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex-I Detail of Bidder	The bidder has submitted the Annex-I, however the same is incomplete, points after the point 4 has not been mentioned. Bidder to clarify.	Attached At a Page No. – 5-7 We would like to clarify that all points, including those after Point 4, have been duly filled and included in the submitted document.	The bidder has now submitted the balance points of the Annex I and the same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
2.	Annex-IV Detail of Eligible Projects	The bidder has claimed the following projects: Project code 'a': NSK 55A-Improvements to Nampur, Satana, Kalwan, Vani, Pimpalgaon, Niphad, Sinner road-SH-27 km 98/300 to 154/00 Tal.Satana, kalwan, Dindori, Niphad & Sinner Dist. Nashik (Pimpalgaon to Sinner) (HAM-55a) (Works includes Construction of rigid pavements, CD works, Culvert, Pipe Work, Drainage, Bridges, Asphaltting Work, Construction of retain Walls) Project code 'b': NSK 55B-Improvements to Niphad Khadak malegaon Bhoyegaon Ganur Chandwad road MDR-64km 0/00 to 35/200 tal chandwad/ Niphad	Attached At a Page No. – 8-11 The 2nd project cost of work done has been mentioned in revised client certificate. All the necessary certificates is attached with letter. The said project has been rightly submitted under Category 3, and accordingly, the Statutory Auditor's certificate has been provided as per the requirements of this category. The shareholding period from the start of construction to completion is also clearly mentioned in the client's certificate.	Going by the executed quantity and as discussed with Authority, the project code 'a' and 'b' seems to be construction project and hence considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

1. Aakar Constructions				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		Dist Nashik (HAM-55B) (Works includes Construction of rigid pavements, CD works, Culvert, Pipe Work, Drainage, Bridges, Asphaltting Work, Construction of retain Walls) Further, in the 2nd project cost of work done has also not been mentioned. The data claimed in Statutory Auditor certificates mismatches with the client certificate submitted. The Statutory Auditor certificate has been submitted as per category '3' however, client issued the certificate as per category 1, wherein the claimed share does not clarify the period of shareholding from construction to till project completion. Bidder to clarify.		
3.	Annex-VI Bid Capacity	The bidder is not meeting the minimum Bid Capacity criteria required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP Document.	Attached At a Page No. – 12-57 The value of "B" (i.e., past work experience) has been duly certified by our Chartered Accountant	The reply given by the bidder may not be considered as the submission of the certificates is required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP Document.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

1. Aakar Constructions				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		The certificates required as per clause 2.2.2.1 for the Projects claimed in the table of value of B has not been found the same are required as per note 1 of clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP document <i>"The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor."</i> Bidder to clarify.	based on actual payments received through bills from the respective departments. The certificate is supported by the auditor's signature and seal, and reflects the total executed value of 16 projects, all of which are included in the calculation of value "B". As there are multiple projects executed under various departments, it is practically not feasible to obtain individual certificates from all concerned departments within the limited tender submission period. Hence, we request you to kindly consider the Chartered Accountant's certified statement as valid proof, in line with Note 1 of Clause 2.2.2.1, where such certification is acceptable in the absence of client certificates for all projects. Work orders attached with this letter.	Work Orders do not certify the work done by the bidder till date and hence may not be considered. Further, by proportionate the amount of work of one project whose amount of work as on date is nil as per the footnote given in Annex-VI, the bidder is now meeting the criteria of Bid Capacity. Hence, considered & complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

1. Aakar Constructions				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
4.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 08.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.	Attached At a Page No. – 12-57 The certificate was issued on 08.11.2025, as we intended to avoid last-minute technical issues or errors during bid submission and hence submitted the tender prior to the bid due date (14.11.2025). We further assure you that there has been no change in the Value of “B” between the date of certificate issuance and the bid due date. The value mentioned is accurate and reflects the actual work executed and payments received up to the bid due date.	The reply given by the bidder may be considered that there has been no change in the Value of “B” between the date of certificate issuance and the bid due date. Hence, considered and complied.
5.	Annex-VIII Details of ongoing works	Annex-VIII, Details of ongoing works has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify	Attached At a Page No. – 58 We currently do not have any ongoing EPC projects, and hence, a “NIL” has been attached in place of Annex-VIII, as per the tender requirements.	The reply given by the bidder may be considered they do not have any ongoing EPC project. Hence, considered and complied.
6.	Cl. 1.2.11 (Local Content)	Self -Undertaking w.r.t Local Content has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify	Attached At a Page No. – 59 Self -Undertaking w.r.t Local Content has been Attached.	The bidder has now submitted Self -Undertaking w.r.t Local Content. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

1. Aakar Constructions				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
7.	Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	Bidder has claimed various Projects in Annex-II and has submitted the certificates in support of the same in Annex-IV, from the available documents, none of the eligible Projects from <u>Category-1 or 3</u> and does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP which states as follows: <i>“Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost [Rs. 10.44 crore (Rs. Ten Crore Forty Four Lakhs Only)] shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost.”</i>	Attached At a Page No. – 60-88 With reference to your observation regarding the minimum eligibility criteria under Clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of the RFP, we would like to respectfully submit the following clarification: We have executed multiple eligible projects under Category 3, which meet the requirement of having completed at least one similar project with a value of more than 20% of the Estimated Project Cost (i.e., Rs. 10.44 Cr). In particular, the projects mentioned as HAM Package A and HAM Package B have a work done value significantly higher than the required threshold. The physical and financial progress in both projects qualifying them under the definition of “completed” as per the RFP clause. We have also attached herewith the relevant Client Certificates clearly	The bidder has bow submitted the revised client certificate confirming the amount of work done by the bidder. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

1. Aakar Constructions				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		Please clarify, how the bidder is meeting the said eligibility criteria through some supporting documents such as Client's certificate w.r.t. projects submitted in the Technical Bid.	indicating the value of work completed and project status for your kind verification	

2. Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex-I	The bidder has mentioned the following: "Has the Bidder/ constituent of the Joint Venture been barred by the Public Works Department, Maharashtra, or its implementing agencies for the works of Expressways, State Highways, ISC and EI works, from participating in bidding" instead of "Has the Bidder/ constituent of the Joint Venture been barred by the Ministry of Road Transport & Highways or its implementing	Attached Annex I	The bidder has now submitted the corrected point 5(d) of the Annex I and the same may be considered w.r.t. the correction required. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

2. Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		agencies for the works of Expressways, National Highways, ISC and EI works, from participating in bidding. Bidder to clarify the mismatch.		
2.	Annex-III Financial Capacity	The bidder has submitted the Independent Auditor's report for FY 2024-2025 in which the Audit report have qualified opinion. Bidder to clarify whether this qualification affects the Net Worth of the bidder through Statutory Auditors' Certificate.	Attached- Annex-III Financial Capacity & Auditor Report FY 2024-2025.	The bidder has now submitted Statutory Auditors' Certificate confirming the qualifications given by them in Audit Reports for above mentioned years will have no impact on turnover of company. Further, the net worth of the company is now Rs 75.11 Cr. For FY 2024-25 after identifying the impact of qualified opinion. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
		Methodology for the calculation of Net Worth has not been found, the same is required as per instructions no. 3 of Annex-III of the RFP Document. Bidder to clarify.	Methodology Net worth Attached	The bidder has now submitted the methodology for the calculation of Net Worth duly certified by the Statutory Auditors. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

2. Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
3.	Annex-VI Bid Capacity	The bidder is not meeting the minimum Bid Capacity criteria required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP Document. The certificates required as per clause 2.2.2.1 for the projects claimed in the table of value of B has not been found the same are required as per note 1 of clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP document. <i>"The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of</i>	Attached-Annex VI Bid capacity	The bidder has now submitted the certificates from the respective clients and the same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

2. Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		<i>BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor."</i> Bidder to clarify. The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 10.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.		The bidder has now submitted the certificate from its Statutory Auditors as on bid due date. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
4.	Cl. 1.2.11 (Local Content)	UDIN has not been mentioned in the Statutory Auditors' certificate for w.r.t Local Content. The same is required as per the guidelines of ICAI. Bidder to clarify.	Attached- Local Content Certificate Statutory Auditors Clarification Letter	The Statutory Auditors has now clarified that UDIN is not allowed as per the guidelines issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI) whenever the certification is in respect of any information which are based on future predictions. The same has been considered. Hence, considered and complied.
5.	Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	Bidder has claimed various Projects in Annex-II and has submitted the certificates in support of the same in Annex-	Attached Annex II and Annex IV	As discussed with Authority, strengthening works may be considered as construction works. Accordingly, the



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

2. Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		IV, from the available documents, none of the eligible Projects from <u>Category-1 or 3</u> and does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP which states as follows: <i>“Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost [Rs. 10.44 crore (Rs. Ten Crore Forty Four Lakhs Only)] shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost.”</i> Please clarify, how the bidder is meeting the said eligibility criteria through some supporting documents such as Client's certificate		bidder is now meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of cl. 2.2.2.2 (i) and (ii) of the RFP documents.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

2. Anand Construwell Pvt. Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		w.r.t. projects already submitted in the Technical Bid.		
6.		The bidder has claimed the "Project Code 'c': Strengthening to Sinnar -Pandhurli - Dhamangaon - Ghoti - Trimbakeshwar Road NH-160A in Km. 0/000 to 0/500 & 23/500 to 40/500 (Section Agaskhind - Dhamangaon) In the State of Maharashtra on EPC mode" under similar projects, however the same has includes only the work of Strengthening. Bidder to clarify how the said project falls under category 3.	Attached Annex II and Annex Iv	

3. B. T. Kadlag Construction Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 05.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.	We are submitting CA certified Copy the value of B as on dated 14.11.2025 with UDIN. So, Consider it.	The bidder has now submitted the same value of B as was claimed in the bid. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

3. B. T. Kadlag Construction Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
2.	Cl. 1.2.11 (Local Content)	Self -Undertaking w.r.t local content has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	We are submitting self-undertaking of local content So, Consider it.	The bidder has now submitted the Self -Undertaking w.r.t local content. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.

4. Deokar Earthmovers				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex-IV Detail of Eligible Projects	The bidder has claimed the "Project Code 'd': <i>Strengthening to road Connecting NH-61 - Belhe-Alkuti-Devibhoyre-Nighoj-Shirur and terminating at NH753F on Pune District NH 761, Belhe-Shirur Km 0/00 to 48/019 in the state of Maharashtra EPC Mode Approx 39/000 km.</i> " under similar projects, however the same has includes only the work of Strengthening. Bidder to clarify how the said project has been falls under category 3.	Project Code 'd': Strengthening of road connecting NH-61 (Belhe-Alkuti-Devibhoyre-Nighoj-Shirur) and terminating at NH-753F on Pune District NH-761, from Belhe-Shirur Km 0/000 to 48/019 in the State of Maharashtra, executed in EPC mode for approximately 39.000 km, is listed under similar projects. However, the scope of this project includes only strengthening works. Since the present work also involves treatment of the foamed road, this project falls under Category 3."	As discussed with Authority, strengthening works may be considered as construction works. Accordingly, the bidder is now meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP documents.
2.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor	The B value is mentioned on the Bid Capacity certificate	The bidder has now submitted the same value of B as was



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

4. Deokar Earthmovers				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		seems to be submitted as on 12.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.	issued by the CA and is Rs 16.58 crores. A separate certificate of B value is also issued by the CA The value of B is fixed until the bid due date.	claimed in the bid. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
		The no. of years to be mentioned in the first line of the Statutory Auditors Certificate for value of "B" is missing in the Certificate submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.		The bidder has still not mentioned the no. of years to be mentioned in the first line of the Statutory Auditors Certificate for value of "B". The same may not be considered. However, the Authority may ask the bidder to submit the same mentioning no. of years while submitting updated bid capacity prior to opening of financial bid. Hence, considered and complied at this stage.
		The bidder is not meeting the minimum Bid Capacity criteria required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP Document. The certificates required as per clause 2.2.2.1 for the projects claimed in		The bidder has submitted the certificates from the respective clients in the bid itself and the same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

4. Deokar Earthmovers				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		the table of value of B has not been found the same are required as per note 1 of clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP document. <i>"The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor."</i> Bidder to clarify.		
3.	Appendix-II Bank Guarantee	In the point 14 the branch has been mentioned of Hyderabad instead of Mumbai.	for Bank guarantee is the bank main branch is situated at Hyderabad and for any claim or confirmation we have	The bidder has still not submitted the Bank guarantee with Mumbai branch in point no. 14.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

4. Deokar Earthmovers				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		Bidder to clarify.	to send the mail e-confirmation cell but the BG is operable at Mumbai also.	Hence, rejected.
4.	Cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii)	Bidder has claimed various Projects in Annex-II and has submitted the certificates in support of the same in Annex-IV, from the available documents, none of the eligible Projects from <u>Category-1 or 3</u> and does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP which states as follows: <i>“Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost [Rs. 10.44 crore (Rs. Ten Crore Forty Four Lakhs Only)] shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the</i>	Project Code ‘d’: Strengthening of road connecting NH-61 (Belhe–Alkuti–Devibhoyre–Nighoj–Shirur) and terminating at NH-753F on Pune District NH-761, from Belhe–Shirur Km 0/000 to 48/019 in the State of Maharashtra, executed in EPC mode for approximately 39.000 km, is listed under similar projects. However, the scope of this project includes only strengthening works. Since the present work also involves treatment of the foamed road, this project falls under Category 3.” one more work Done attached herein	As discussed with Authority, strengthening works may be considered as construction works. Accordingly, the bidder is now meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of cl. 2.2.2.2 (ii) of the RFP documents.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

4. Deokar Earthmovers				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		<i>estimated project cost."</i> Please clarify, how the bidder is meeting the said eligibility criteria through some supporting documents such as Client's certificate w.r.t. projects submitted in the Technical Bid.		
5.	Cl. 2.2.2.8 (ii)	As per cl. 2.2.2.8 (ii) of the RFP Documents, <i>"In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and therefore the Bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give and upload an undertaking to this effect on BIMS Portal and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. In such a case, the Bidder shall provide the Audited Annual Reports for 5 (five) years preceding the year for which the Audited Annual Report is not being provided."</i> In the instant case, neither the Financial Statements for FY 2024-24 nor the said undertaking has been found in the	The Financial Statements for FY 2024-25 were not submitted earlier as they were under audit at that time. We are now submitting the audited Financial Statements for FY 2024-25 and kindly request you to accept the same for evaluation.	The bidder has now submitted the Audited Financial Statements for FY 2024-25 audited prior to the bid due date. The bidder is meeting the financial capacity criteria as per Financial Statements for FY 2024-25 as well as FY 2023-24. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

4. Deokar Earthmovers				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		submission made by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.		
6.	Cl. 1.2.11 (Local Content)	Statutory Auditors' certificate w.r.t local content has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	Attached	The bidder has again submitted the same self-certified local content and as such no Statutory Auditors' certificate w.r.t local content has been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Hence, rejected.

5. Dream Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 08.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date. Last para in the Certificate of value of 'B' is missing. Bidder to clarify.	The Value of B has certified on date 8.11.2025 by Chartered Account. The Value of B is always filed before Bid Due date, also attaching CA certified Value of B. We have submitted the CA certified copy of Value of B and is correct. The Value of B is Mentioned is Mentioned and certified.	The bidder has still not mentioned the para after the value of B of the Statutory Auditors Certificate for value of "B". and still submitted the dated 08.11.2025. The same may not be considered. However, a discussed with the Authority, the Authority may ask the bidder to submit the same mentioning last Para while submitting updated bid capacity prior to opening of financial bid.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

5. Dream Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
				Hence, considered and complied at this stage.
2.	Annex-VIII Details of ongoing works	Annex-VIII, Details of ongoing works has been replied as NA instead of Nil or mentioning any detail. Bidder to clarify.	The Annexure 8 has been mentioned as N.A, it clarifies as NIL.	The reply given by the bidder may be considered and NA has been considered as NIL. Hence, considered and complied.
3.	Cl. 1.2.11 (Local Content)	Statutory Auditors' certificate w.r.t local content has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	CA certified Local Content Certificate Attaching herewith.	The bidder has now submitted the CA certified Local Content. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
4.	Appendix II Insurance Surety Bond for Bid Security	The Insurance Surety Bond submitted by the bidder has not been executed on stamp paper. Bidder to clarify. In the point 14 the branch has been mentioned of New Delhi instead of Mumbai. Bidder to clarify.	The Execution of Integral Part of Surety Bond on Stamp Paper is attached herewith. The Confirmation can be done with the Issued Surety Bond Authority. b- The Issued Surety Bond Authority has its Head office at New Delhi. And The Operations are also been Handled form Delhi Branch but its issued form Pune Branch Office. Please Confirm this bond at both offices.	The bidder has now submitted the stamp paper for Insurance Surety Bond and mentioned Delhi branch in point no. 14 of the Surety Bond. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
5.	General	The bidder to confirm if Partnership Deed submitted in the bid is the latest deed and no other partnership deed has been	The Partnership deed is attached in the submitted documents and is last partnership deed and is confirmed. The	The reply given by the bidder may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

5. Dream Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		executed after this date.		

6. Forcon Infra Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 01.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.	We are enclosing herewith the certificate of the value of 'B' certified by the Statutory Auditor as of 14.11.2025 date, and request that the same be accepted.	The bidder has now submitted the same value of B as was claimed in the bid. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
2.	Annex-IV Detail of Eligible Projects	The bidder has claimed the following project: Project code 'b': HAM-NSK 55 A: Improvement to Nampur-Satana-Kalwan-Vani-Pimpalgaon-Niphad-Sinnar Road Sh-27 Km 98/300 To 154/00 Tal.Satana, Kalwan, Dindori, Niphad, Sinnar Dist. Nashik In the said project, the data claimed in Statutory Auditors' certificate mismatches with the data given in client certificate. The	You have stated that in the said project, the Statutory Auditors' certificate has been submitted under Category '3', whereas the client has issued the certificate under Category '1'. However, since the said work does not fall under a PPP project on BOT, BOLT, BOO, or BOOT basis, it is requested that the certificate be considered under Category '3'. Further, we hold a 33% shareholding in the SPV.	The bidder now has submitted the Joint Bidding Agreement wherein the Bidder JV share has been mentioned as 95%, however in the Work Done Certificate share mentioned as 33.34%. In the absence of clear-cut shareholding in the SPV in the Concessionaire or share in the Joint Venture, hence, the said project cannot be considered and accordingly criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP document cannot be assessed and may be



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

6. Forcon Infra Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		Statutory Auditors' certificate has been submitted as per category '3' however, client issued the certificate as per category 1. Further, the shareholding of the bidder in the SPV is not provided till date. Bidder to clarify the mismatch.		treated as Non responsive.
3.	Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	Bidder has claimed various Projects in Annex-II however, none of the eligible Projects from <u>Category-1 or 3</u> meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP which states as follows: <i>"Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost [Rs. 10.44 crore (Rs. Ten Crore Forty Four Lakhs Only)] shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such</i>	As mentioned in Clause 2.2.2.5, at least one similar work amounting to 20% of the estimated project cost must have been completed from the Eligible Projects under Category-1 and/or Category-3. Accordingly, we have submitted various projects as similar works in Annex-II along with the bid. The same are enclosed herewith once again, and we request that they be kindly accepted.	



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

6. Forcon Infra Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		<i>completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost."</i> Please clarify, how the bidder is meeting the said eligibility criteria through some supporting documents such as Client's certificate w.r.t. projects already submitted in the Technical Bid.		
4.	Local Content undertaking	Self -Undertaking w.r.t local content has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify	The same is enclosed herewith, and we request that it be kindly accepted.	The bidder has now submitted the Self - Undertaking w.r.t local content. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.

7. Kumar Infratech				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex-III Financial Capacity	The Complete Financial Statements for the FY24-25 has not been found in the submission made by the bidder. Only Form 3CB has been found. Bidder to clarify why the complete Financial Statements has not been submitted.	The Bidder hereby submits that the complete Financial Statements for the Financial Year 2024-25 is being furnished along with this submission. Form 3CB had already been submitted with the Technical Bid. All required financial details relating to net	The bidder has now submitted the Audited Financial Statements for FY 2024-25 audited prior to the bid due date. The bidder is meeting the financial capacity criteria as per Financial Statements for FY 2024-25 as well as FY 2023-24.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

7. Kumar Infratech				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			worth, annual turnover, cash accruals and annual construction turnover have also already been provided in the Technical Bid in accordance with the RFP provisions. It is clarified that due to an inadvertent human error at the time of compilation of documents, certain parts of the Financial Statements could not be uploaded earlier. There has been no concealment, misrepresentation or deviation of any information. The remaining documents forming part of the complete Financial Statements for FY 2024-25 are attached herewith.	Hence, considered and complied.
2.	Cl. 2.2.2.8 (ii)	In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and therefore the Bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give an undertaking to this effect and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. In the instant case, the said undertaking duly certified by Statutory	The Bidder submits that the Financial Statements for the Financial Year 2024-25 have already been audited and duly certified by the Statutory Auditor. Since the audited Financial Statements for FY 2024-25 is available and have been submitted, the requirement of	



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

7. Kumar Infratech				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		Auditor confirming the status has not been found. Bidder to provide the same as on bid due date.	furnishing an undertaking regarding non-audit of accounts does not arise in the present case. The Bidder is hereby submitting the complete audited Financial Statements for FY 2024–25, duly certified by the Statutory Auditor, for kind perusal and record. There has been no concealment, misrepresentation or deviation of any information.	
3.	Annex-IV Details of Eligible Projects	UDIN has not been mentioned in the Statutory Auditor Certificates submitted along with Annex-IV, the same is required as per ICAI guidelines. Bidder to clarify.	The Bidder submits that the Statutory Auditor Certificates pertaining to Annexure-IV have already been furnished with the UDIN duly mentioned. The same may be verified from page numbers 62 to 65 of the submitted documents. Further, all other Statutory Auditor Certificates have been submitted from page numbers 26 to 62. Any remaining certificates requiring UDIN are Being attached herewith for completeness. The submitted certificate with UDIN constitutes	The Statutory Auditor Certificates duly mentioning UDIN has been found in the referred pages of the bid. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

7. Kumar Infratech				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			the requirement of the threshold technical capacity. It is clarified that there has been no concealment, deviation or misrepresentation of any material information by the Bidder.	
4.	Annex-VI Bid Capacity	UDIN has not been mentioned in the Statutory Auditor Certificates submitted w.r.t the Value of B, Bid Capacity, the same is required as per ICAI guidelines. Bidder to clarify. The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 05.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.	The Bidder submits that Annexure-VI relating to Bid Capacity, including the value of B, has already been furnished with UDIN duly mentioned at page numbers 217 and 218 of the submitted documents. It is clarified that the Statutory Auditor Certificate certifying the value of B was issued on 05.11.2025, wherein the value of B was certified as on the said date. The Bidder hereby confirms that the value of B has remained unchanged as on the bid due date, i.e., 14.11.2025. For the sake of abundant clarity and compliance, the Bidder is attaching herewith the Statutory Auditor Certificate duly	The bidder has now submitted the Statutory Auditor Certificate certifying the value of B as on 14.11.25 duly mentioning UDIN. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

7. Kumar Infratech				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			mentioning the value of B as on the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025, along with the UDIN. It is further clarified that there has been no concealment, deviation or misrepresentation of any material information by the Bidder.	
5.	Appendix-II Bank Guarantee	In the point 14 the branch has been mentioned of Uttarakhand instead of Mumbai. Bidder to clarify. The Claim expiry of the Bank Guarantee is upto 05.05.25 which is shorter than the requirement of the RFP, i.e., 13.05.26. Bidder to clarify.	The Bidder submits that the Bank Guarantee has been furnished in complete conformity with the provisions and the prescribed format of the RFP. The submitted Bank Guarantee is duly backed by a valid SFMS confirmation, which is verifiable online. Further, all requisite corrections, including amendment of address and inclusion of the Mumbai Branch for renewal, extension and operational purposes, have already been incorporated. The claim expiry date of the Bank Guarantee presently stands as 03-08-2026, which is further extendable as per the	The bidder has now submitted the revised Appendix II wherein Mumbai branch has been mentioned in point no. 14 and validity has been extended upto 03.08.26 which is more than the requirement of the RFP. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

7. Kumar Infratech				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			directions of the Employer. It is categorically stated that there is no deviation, misrepresentation or concealment of any material fact in respect of the Bank Guarantee submitted. The interest of the Employer remains fully protected at all times. The Bank Guarantee is enclosed for kind perusal.	
6.	Appendix-III Power of Attorney	The Power of Attorney has been executed by Mr. Paras Goyal as well as accepted by Mr. Paras Goyal and along with three other partners. Bidder to clarify how the Acceptor and executor of Power of Attorney could be same.	The Bidder clarifies that Mr. Paras Goyal is one of the partners of the firm along with three other partners. The Power of Attorney has been executed jointly by all the four partners of the firm, including Mr. Paras Goyal. The acceptance of the Power of Attorney has also been given by Mr. Paras Goyal along with the other 3 partners in their capacity as partners of the firm. Accordingly, the Power of Attorney is duly executed by all the partners of the firm and has been granted in favour of	The reply given by the bidder may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

7. Kumar Infratech				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			Mr. Paras Goyal only, as clearly mentioned in the submitted deed. There is no ambiguity or inconsistency in the execution or enforcement of the said Power of Attorney. It is further clarified that no material fact has been concealed or misrepresented in this regard.	
7.	General	The bidder to confirm if Partnership Deed submitted in the bid is the latest deed and no other partnership deed has been executed after this date.	The Bidder hereby confirms that the Partnership Deed submitted with the bid is the latest and currently valid Partnership Deed of the firm. It is further confirmed that no other Partnership Deed or amendment thereto has been executed after the date of the submitted Partnership Deed.	The reply given by the bidder may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

8. Mahalaxmi Construction-No Reply Received				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex-III Financial Capacity	Financial Statements for the FY 20-21 has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.		No Reply Received
2.	Annex-VI Bid Capacity	Annex-VI: Bid Capacity, value of B has not been Found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.		No Reply Received
3.	Clause 2.2.1(d) Land Border	Undertaking required as per clause 2.2.1(d) of the RFP Document has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.		No Reply Received
4.	Clause 2.2.2.8 (ii)	In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and therefore the Bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give an undertaking to this effect and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. In the instant case, the said undertaking duly certified by Statutory Auditor confirming the status has not been found.		No Reply Received



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

8. Mahalaxmi Construction-No Reply Received				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		Bidder to provide the same as on bid due date.		
5.	Local Content undertaking	Self -Undertaking as well as Statutory Auditor certificate w.r.t local content has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify		No Reply Received
6.	General	The bidder to confirm if Partnership Deed submitted in the bid is the latest deed and no other partnership deed has been executed after this date.		No Reply Received

9. N. K. Gade				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex-I Detail of Bidder	The point 5 (d) and the table mentioned below the point 7 (b) has not been replied by the bidder, the both points has been left blank instead of mentioning Nil or any detail. Bidder to clarify.	The Annex-I Detail of Bidder is enclosed In Page No. 05-07 with for your reference and kind consideration	The bidder has now replied the missing points. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
2.	Annex-IV Detail of Eligible Projects	The bidder has claimed the "Project Code 'b': Strengthening to Nandur Shingote	The scope of the said work primarily relates to strengthening; however, it also includes all activities required for	As discussed with Authority, strengthening works may be considered as construction works.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

9. N. K. Gade				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		to Kolhar from Km. 21/00 to 36/00 (Working Chainage Km. 16/00 to 32/00) of NH. 160 D (Section Talegaon to Lohare) on EPC Basis." under similar projects, however the same has includes only the work of Strengthening. Bidder to clarify how the said project falls under category 3.	new road construction or road widening, such as GSB, WMM, BM, DBM (for preparation of the existing surface), reconstruction of stretches, strengthening works including DBM and BC, and road furniture, as specifically mentioned in our final bill & Bill Copy Attached Separately in Page No 08-44 Since all activities applicable to highway works are included within the scope of work executed by us, this work has been considered under Category-3	Accordingly, the bidder is now meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of cl. 2.2.2.2 (i) and (ii) of the RFP documents.
		Statutory Auditors' Certificates for project codes 'e' to 'j' have not been found in the submission made by the bidder. Bidder to clarify why the same has not been submitted.	We are submitted the Statutory Auditors' Certificates for project codes 'a' to 'd' in Annexure-IV. Kindly accept this projects for details of eligible projects The same is enclosed in Page No:- 45- 57 with for Your reference & Kind Consideration	The reply given by the bidder may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
3.	Annex-V Legal Capacity	The bidder has submitted the blank Annex-V instead of mentioning the name of the bidder and authorized signatory in para	Annex-V Legal Capacity enclosed In Page No. 58 with for your reference and kind consideration	The bidder has now submitted the Annex-V Statement of Legal Capacity. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

9. N. K. Gade				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		2 nd and 3 rd and mentioned as Not Applicable. Bidder to clarify why the same is not applicable to it.		
4.	Annex-VI Bid Capacity	In the Annex-VI, Bid Capacity Value of B, cost of project mentioned at sr. no. 12 is Rs. 1071.02 Cr. however, work done is 10.63 Cr. and balance value is Rs. 0.08 Cr. Bidder to clarify the Cost of project.	In the Annex-VI, Bid Capacity Value of B, cost of project mentioned at sr.no. 12 is Rs. 1071.02 Cr. by Typing Mistake however, cost of project is 10.71 Cr and work done is 10.63 Cr and balance value is Rs. 0.08 Cr.	The reply given by the bidder may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
		The Annex-VI, Bid Capacity has not been certified by Statutory Auditor. Bidder to clarify	Annex-VI, Bid Capacity certified by Statutory Auditor are enclosed here with in Page No.59-62 for your reference and kind consideration	
		The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on undated whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025.	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025 are enclosed In Page No.61-62. with for your reference and kind consideration	The reply given by the bidder may be considered. However, the value of B now certified by the Statutory Auditor do not have the last para as per the format prescribed in the RFP. The same may not be considered. However,



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

9. N. K. Gade				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.		as discussed with the Authority, the Authority may ask the bidder to submit the same mentioning last Para while submitting updated bid capacity prior to opening of financial bid.
		The bidder is not meeting the minimum Bid Capacity criteria required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP Document. The certificates required as per clause 2.2.2.1 for the projects claimed in the table of value of B has not been found the same are required as per note 1 of clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP document. <i>"The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and</i>	The Bid Capacity has been calculated strictly as per Clause 2.2.2.1, and the same is duly certified by the Statutory Auditor. The Statutory Auditor's Certificate along with the detailed Bid Capacity calculation is enclosed herewith In Page No.59-62 for kind verification and consideration.	The bidder has now submitted the certificates from the respective clients and the same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

9. N. K. Gade				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		<i>ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor."</i> Bidder to clarify.		
5.	Appendix-II Bank Guarantee	The Bank Guarantee submitted by the bidder is not as per the format prescribed in the RFP. Bidder to clarify	We are requested to Bank for issue Bank Guarantee as per RFP Format but due to certain procedural and approval-related issues at the Head Office level of Bank the Branch Union Bank of India was unable to issue the Bank Guarantee exactly in the required RFP format at that time. For Reference, Bank Letter Attached in Page	The reply given by the bidder and bank may not be considered. Hence, rejected.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

9. N. K. Gade				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			No.84 We respectfully request you to kindly accept the submitted Bank Guarantee.	
6.	Appendix-VI Integrity Pact	Integrity Pact Appendix-VI of the RFP Document has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	Integrity Pact Appendix-VI is enclosed herewith In Page No.63-68 for your reference and kind consideration.	The bidder has now submitted the Integrity Pact. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
7.	Cl. 1.2.11 (Local Content)	Statutory Auditor certificate as well as self-undertaking w.r.t local content has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify	Statutory Auditor certificate as well as self-undertaking w.r.t local content enclosed herewith in Page No.69-70 for your reference and kind consideration.	The bidder has now submitted the Statutory Auditor certificate as well as self-undertaking w.r.t local content. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
8.	Clause 2.2.1(d) (Land Border)	Undertaking required as per clause 2.2.1(d) of the RFP Document has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	Undertaking enclosed herewith In Page No.71-72 for your reference and kind consideration.	The bidder has now submitted the required undertaking. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
9.	Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	Bidder has claimed various Projects in Annex-II and has submitted the certificates in support of the	1) For fulfilling this criterion, we have submitted the completed project having code (b) which is for Strengthening to Nandur Shingote to	As discussed with Authority, strengthening works may be considered as construction works. Accordingly, the bidder is now meeting the



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

9. N. K. Gade				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		same in Annex-IV, from the available documents, none of the eligible Projects from <u>Category-1 or 3</u> and does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP which states as follows: <i>"Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost [Rs. 10.44 crore (Rs. Ten Crore Forty Four Lakhs Only)] shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more</i>	Kolhar from Km. 21/00 to 36/00 (Working Chainage Km. 16/00 to 32/00) of NH. 160 D (Section Talegaon to Lohare) on EPC Basis." Which is under Category 3 having Cost of Rs. 12.55 Cr. > required Rs. 10.44 Cr The scope of above includes all activities required for new road construction and road widening, such as GSB, WMM, BM, DBM (for preparation of the existing surface), reconstruction of stretches, strengthening works including DBM and BC, and road furniture, as specifically mentioned in Work Done & Final Bill Copy Attached Separately in Page No.76-80 2) For fulfilling this criterion, we have submitted completed project having code (a) which is for "A) Improvements to Shirampur Nevasa Shevgaon Road. (S.H.50) Km. 149/00 to 158/100 (Part- Babhaleshwar to Nandur) Tal.Rahata Dist.Ahmednagar. B) S.T.B.T. to Shahapur Ghoti Rajur Akole Sangamner Loni Shirampur Road (S.H.50) New Km 149/00	minimum eligibility criteria of cl. 2.2.2.2 (i) and (ii) of the RFP documents.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

9. N. K. Gade				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		<i>than 20% of the estimated project cost."</i> Please clarify, how the bidder is meeting the said eligibility criteria through some supporting documents such as Client's certificate w.r.t. projects submitted in the Technical Bid.	to 153/00 Tal. Rahata Dist.Ahmednagar" Which is under Category 3 having Cost of Rs. 20.64 Cr. > required Rs. 10.44 Cr The scope of above includes all activities required for new road construction, road widening, such as GSB, WMM, BM, DBM (for preparation of the existing surface), reconstruction of stretches, strengthening works including BM, DBM and BC, and Road Structures like Hume Pipe Culverts, Minor & Major Bridges, as specifically mentioned in Work Done Copy of Attached Separately in Page No73-75 Since all activities applicable to highway works are included within the scope of work executed by us, this work has been considered under Category-3 and submitted for similar work. 3) For fulfilling this criterion, we have submitted completed project having code (c) which is for "A) Upgradation & 5 Year	



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

9. N. K. Gade				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			Maintenance of Talegaon Dighe To Taluka Border (Sayale) Road (KM 0/000-2/950) (MRL-05, VR-17), B) Upgradation & 5 Year Maintenance of SH-50 Kolhewadi To Jorve Road (KM 0/000-4/000) (MRL-07, VR-380), C) Upgradation & 5 Year Maintenance of Pimparne To Kolwade-Shirapur Road (KM 0/000-6/630) (MRL- 13, VR-46), D) Upgradation & 5 Year Maintenance of Rajapur To SH-50 (Chikhali) Road (KM 0/000-3/300) (MRL- 27, ODR- 33), Block-Sangamner, District-Ahmednagar" Which is under Category 3 having Cost of Rs. 10.63 Cr. > required Rs. 10.44 Cr The scope of above includes all activities required for new road construction, road widening, such as GSB, WMM, BM, DBM (for preparation of the existing surface), reconstruction of stretches, strengthening works including BM and DBM, and Road Structures like Hume Pipe Culverts, Minor & Major Bridges, road furniture etc, as	



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

9. N. K. Gade				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			specifically mentioned in Work Done Copy Attached Separately in Page No.81- 83 Since all activities applicable to highway works are included within the scope of work executed by us, this work has been considered under Category-3 and submitted for similar work.	
10.	General	The bidder to confirm if Partnership Deed submitted in the bid is the latest deed and no other partnership deed has been executed after this date.	We hereby confirm that the submitted Partnership Deed is latest and no Deed executed after this date.	The reply given by the bidder may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.

10. Om Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex-I Detail of Bidder	The point 5 (d) and the table mentioned below the point 7 (b) has not been replied by the bidder, the both points has been left blank instead of mentioning Nil or any detail. Bidder to clarify.	Attaching the Annex-I Detail of Bidder	The table mentioned below the point 7 (b) has still not been replied by the bidder, the same has been left blank (-----) instead of mentioning Nil or any detail, which is not in the format. Hence, rejected.
2.	Annex III	The bank detail of the bidder has not been found in the	Bidders Banker Submitted with Cancel Cheque copy	The bidder has now provided the bank



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

10. Om Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
	Financial Capacity of the bidder	submission made by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.		details. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
3.	Annex-IV Detail of Eligible Projects	The Statutory Auditors' certificates certifying the project details claimed in Annex II and Annex IV has not been found in the submission made by the bidder. Only Annex-IV Stamped by Statutory Auditor has been submitted which may not be considered. Further, the data provided in Annex-IV is incomplete. Accordingly, the Technical Capacity of the bidder cannot be assessed. Bidder to clarify.	Submitted copy of bidder signature	The bidder has still not submitted the Statutory Auditors' certificates and Annex IV now submitted still do not have a mention of date of commencement and date of completion of the project. Accordingly, the Technical Capacity of the bidder cannot be assessed. Hence, rejected.
4.		In annex-II claimed category has not been mentioned not even in Annex-IV (Project code a, b, & C). Hence, the Technical Capacity cannot be assessed. Bidder to clarify	Documents submitted.	Annex IV now submitted have the mention of the claimed category of the projects claimed. Hence, considered and complied.
5.		The bidder has claimed the " <i>Project Code 'c': Name of Work: Strengthening and Improvement of Road Safety from km</i>	The Work Completion Certificate attached for Project Code C is under the EPC Projects & all Major Quantities	As discussed with Authority, strengthening works may be considered as construction works. Accordingly, the



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

10. Om Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		59/200 to km 67/200 of Sironcha-Atmakur Section of NH 353C on EPC mode -under NH(O)-TSP in the State of Telangana.” under similar projects, however the same has includes only the work of Strengthening. Bidder to clarify.	mentioned certificate in	bidder is now meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of cl. 2.2.2.2 (i) and (ii) of the RFP documents.
6.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 13.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date. The no. of years to be mentioned in the first line of the Statutory Auditors Certificate for value of “B” is missing in the Certificate submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify. The bidder is not meeting the minimum Bid Capacity criteria required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP Document. The certificates required as per clause 2.2.2.1 for the projects	Submitted Documents Concern	The bidder has now submitted the updated value of B as on 10/1/26 with the same value of B and mentioning the no. of years. Hence, considered and complied. The bidder has still not submitted any updated client certificate which may confirm the work done so as to meet the minimum bid capacity criteria as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP Document.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

10. Om Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		claimed in the table of value of B has not been found the same are required as per note 1 of clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP document. <i>"The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor."</i> Bidder to clarify.		Hence, rejected.
7.	Appendix II Bank Guarantee for Bid Security	The complete address of the Delhi Branch of the bank has not been mentioned in the Appendix II.	In the RFP, The Format provided for Bank Guarantee for Bid Security was mention Mumbai Branch and Same we had added	As discussed with the Authority reply given by the bidder may be considered.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

10. Om Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		Bidder to clarify.		
8.	Local Content	The Local Content Certificate issued by the Statutory Auditor is dated 31.05.25 whereas the NIT date of the project is 15.09.2025, i.e., prior to the date of NIT. Bidder is required to provide the certificate after NIT before Bid Due Date.	Updated Certificate by the Statutory Auditors Submitting, and Also submitting local content self-certified copy	The bidder has now submitted Local Content Certificate issued by the Statutory Auditor dated 10.01.26. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
		The Local Content (self-certified) has not been found in the submission made by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.		The bidder has now submitted Local Content (self-certified). The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
9.	Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	Bidder has claimed various Projects in Annex-II and has submitted the certificates in support of the same in Annex-IV, from the available documents, none of the eligible Projects from <u>Category-1 or 3</u> and does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP which states as follows: <i>"Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost [Rs. 10.44 crore (Rs. Ten Crore</i>	Submitted at the time of Bid Submission file Name Tender Documents > Work Completion & similar Work > 1. MoRTH Telangana PDF 26.66 Crore 2. NHAI RO Raipur Work Done Certificate Attached 13.25 Crore under EPC Project	As discussed with Authority, strengthening works may be considered as construction works. Accordingly, the bidder is now meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of cl. 2.2.2.2 (i) and (ii) of the RFP documents.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

10. Om Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		<i>Forty Four Lakhs Only)] shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost."</i> Please clarify, how the bidder is meeting the said eligibility criteria through some supporting documents such as Client's certificate w.r.t. projects submitted in the Technical Bid.		

11. P. D. Infraproject Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex-III Financial Capacity	Methodology for the calculation of Net Worth has not been found, the same is required as per instructions no. 3 of Annex-III of the RFP Document. Bidder to clarify	We have enclosed Net Worth Methodology.	The bidder has now submitted the Methodology for the calculation of Net Worth however, the same is not certified by Statutory Auditors. Since, the Net Worth has been verified from Financial



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

11. P. D. Infraproject Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
				Statements also, the same may be considered.
2.	Annex-IV	UDIN has not been mentioned in the Statutory Auditor Certificates submitted along with Annex-IV for the project codes 'a', 'c' & 'd'. the same is required as per ICAI guidelines. Bidder to clarify	We have enclosed Statutory Auditor Certificate with UDIN.	The bidder has now submitted the Statutory Auditors' Certificates for the project codes 'a', 'c' & 'd' with UDIN. Hence, considered and complied.
3.	Clause 2.2.1(d) Land Border	Undertaking required as per clause 2.2.1(d) of the RFP Document has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	We have enclosed Undertaking as per RFP.	The bidder has now submitted the Undertaking required as per clause 2.2.1(d) of the RFP Document. Hence, considered and complied.

12. Saket Infraproject Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditors seems to be submitted as on 03.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.	The Bid submission was extended from 31.10.2025 to 10.11.2025 and finally on 14.11.2025 and so we hereby affirm and state that the value of B certified by Statutory Auditors as on 03.11.2025 was the same as on bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025.	The bidder has now submitted the Statutory Auditors' Certificate certifying the value of B as on 1/1/26 and the bidder is still meeting the minimum bid capacity criteria. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

12. Saket Infraproject Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			However, we hereby resubmit the latest value of B along with updated Bid Capacity certified by our Statutory Auditor as on 01.01.2026 for your ready reference and necessary perusal.	
2.	Appendix-II Insurance Surety Bond	In the point 14 the branch has been mentioned of New Delhi instead of Mumbai. Bidder to clarify.	Kindly find attached herewith Insurance Surety Bond duly amended and executed on Stamp Paper of Rs.500/- with the branch mentioned at Mumbai as desired by you. This is for your perusal and necessary record please.	The bidder has now submitted the amendment to the Bank Guarantee amending point no. 14 and giving the details of Mumbai Branch instead of Delhi Branch. The same may be considered. The bidder has now submitted the same Bank Guarantee now executed on Stamp paper. The same may be considered.
		Insurance Surety Bond submitted by the bidder is not executed on Stamp Paper. Bidder to clarify.		Hence, considered and complied.
3.	Cost of the Document	Cost of bid document has been made by Vishal Wadhwan instead by the bidder. Bidder to clarify why the payment has not been made by the bidder itself.	The POA for this project is Mr. Vishal Wadhawan who is the Director & Owner of M/s. Saket Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. and the payment made on Bharatkosh Portal by the bidder M/s. Saket Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd.'s account i.e., amount paid online	The reply given by the Bidder may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

12. Saket Infraproject Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			from Bank of India's account statement dt. 13.11.2025 highlighted in yellow) is attached herewith for your ready reference & necessary record. During the payment of tender fees in Bharatkosh Portal we have to select Individual in Depositor's Category. After filling the necessary blank rows Depositor's Details are to be filled, where in Mr. or Ms./Mrs. is given and have to fill the Director or Owners Name (Screen Shot attached for your ready reference) There is nowhere Company's name is asked. This may kindly be noted and if any up-dation or changes are required you kindly request / suggest the same to make the necessary changes to Bharatkosh Portal.	

13. SCONS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex-VI Value of B	In the Annex-VI, Value of B, client certificate	Client Certificate submitted for the	The bidder has now submitted the work



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

13. SCONS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
	Bid Capacity	enclosed for the project claimed at S. No. 1, it is not clear the certificate has been signed by whom as the name and designation of the signatory has not been mentioned. Bidder to clarify the amount of work done for the project claimed at s. no. 1 same along with client documentary evidence.	project claimed at S No. 1 is signed by accountant of NHAI PIU Khandwa. However, we have attached countersigned copy signed by Project Director of NHAI PIU Khandwa. In NHAI Project Director Post Equivalent to Executive Engineer or higher. COPY ENCLSOED.	done certificate duly signed by Project Director. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
2.	Appendix II Bank Guarantee for Bid Security	Point 15 is missing in the submitted Bank Guarantee. Bidder to clarify why the standard format given in the RFP has not been followed.	This is clerical mistake by Bank, However, Amended Bank Guarantee is attached for your reference with this letter. COPY ENCLSOED.	The bidder has now submitted the amendment to the Bank Guarantee. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
3.	General	The company has been transferred from Proprietary firm Balaji Construction Co. to the SCONS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd. for the same Form URC-I has not been found.	In this regard CA Certificate attached for your reference. COPY ENCLSOED.	The fact given in CA certificate is not correct as Form URC-1 is mandatory for converting a proprietorship into a Private Limited Company (PLC) because it is the statutory filing required to register an existing, unregistered business entity under Part I of Chapter XXI of the Companies Act, 2013.
4.		Bidder to clarify Certificate from the Statutory Auditor confirming that all the assets and Liabilities has been transferred	Certificate is attached in technical bid file named SCONSBID on page no. 82,	



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

13. SCONS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		from the Proprietary firm Balaji Construction Co. to the SCONS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd. prior to the bid due date 14.11.2025 has not been found. Bidder to clarify	However, we have attached the certificate issued by auditor with this letter. COPY ENCLSOED.	It facilitates the transition of a proprietorship into a corporate structure, allowing the business to shift from unlimited liability to limited liability. However, the bidder has submitted the certificate from its Statutory Auditors that: 1. <i>M/s Balaji Construction & Co. completely take over by M/s Scons Infrastructure Pvt Ltd as on 1st June 2022 in accordance with BTA (Business Transfer Agreement) dt. 21st May, 2022 and MOA of M/s Scons Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.</i> 2. <i>All assets and liabilities of M/s Balaji Construction & Co. were duly transferred to M/s Scons Infrastructure Pvt Ltd as on 1st June 2022.</i> 3. <i>M/s Balaji Construction & Co. permanently ceased to exist as of 1st June 2022.</i> The same may be considered.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

13. SCONS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
				Hence, considered and complied.

14. Sant Satramdas Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex-III Financial Capacity	The bidder has submitted the Independent Auditor's report for FY 2024-2025 in which the Auditors have a qualified opinion. Bidder to clarify whether this qualification affects the Net Worth of the bidder through Statutory Auditors' Certificate.	This is to clarify that there is no any qualification affects on Net worth on the date of submission i.e. on 12-11-2025	The bidder has submitted the reply confirming that there is no any qualification affects on Net worth on the date of submission i.e. on 12-11-2025. The same is required to be certified by Statutory Auditors. Hence, the Net Worth of the bidder required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (i) of the RFP document cannot be assessed. Hence, the bidder may be treated as Non-responsive.
2.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 07.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025.	The Value of -B Certified by the statutory Auditor submitted on 07-11-2025 is same as on 14-11-2025 i.e., on the date of submission. As in the period due to Diwali Festival	The reply given by the bidder may be considered that the value of B is same on 14/11/2025 as was given in the bid. The same may be considered.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

14. Sant Satramdas Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.	Balance Work is Same as on 07-11-2025. Please Consider the same on date of submission i.e. on 14-11-2025	Hence, considered and complied.
3.	Annex VIII (Detail of ongoing works)	Annex VIII is dated 20.08.25 whereas the NIT date of the project is 15.09.2025, i.e., prior to the date of NIT. Bidder is required to provide the required undertaking after NIT date and after the date of getting the powers to do so (i.e., 10.11.25) but before Bid Due Date. Bidder to clarify.	Annex VIII is Dated- 20-08-2025. This is to State that as on date of submission i.e. on 12-11-2025, submitted but by mistake date is pasted-20-08-2025. Please Consider that date is 12-11-2025 instead of 20-08-2025. as the undertaking information is same. Please Consider our request to rectify that date as 12-11-2025	The reply given by the bidder may be considered that the date of submission of Annex VIII may be considered as 12/11/2025. Since Annex VIII is nil, the same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
4.	Appendix II Bank Guarantee for Bid Security	Point 15 is missing in the submitted Bank Guarantee. Bidder to clarify why the standard format given in the RFP has not been followed.	Point 15 is not missing, Bank at the time of issue of Bank Guarantee, there system not provide tabular form, now Bank issue letter for the same, and enclosed herewith, Please consider the same	The bidder has now submitted the data in the tabular form. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
5.	Clause 2.2.1(d) Land Border	Undertaking required as per clause 2.2.1(d) of the RFP Document is dated 20.08.25 whereas the NIT date of the project is 15.09.2025, i.e., prior to the date of NIT. Bidder is required to	Undertaking required as per Clause 2.2.1(d) of RFP Document is on the date of Submission i.e., on 12-11-2025. but by mistake date is pasted-20-08-2025. Please Consider the	The reply given by the bidder may be considered that the date of submission of Undertaking required as per Clause 2.2.1(d) may be considered as 12/11/2025. The



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

14. Sant Satramdas Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		provide the required undertaking after NIT date and after the date of getting the powers to do so (i.e., 10.11.25) but before Bid Due Date. Bidder to clarify.	date-12-11-2025 i.e. the date of submission.	same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.

15. Seerat Construction Pvt. Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex I	Table after point 7(b) is not as per the format prescribed in the RFP. Bidder to clarify.	The table attached might be taken from some other RFP format. The corrected Annex-I is attached here with for your further reference. It is requested to consider the attached Annex-I.	The bidder has now submitted the balance points of the Annex I and the same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
2.	Annex-III	Form 3CB for the FY 22-23 has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	The same was left unattached by mistake. The Form 3CB for the FY- 2022-23 is attached herewith for your further reference.	The bidder has now submitted the Form 3CB for the FY 22-23. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
3.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 13.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.	The Value of B is certified by Statutory Auditor just 1 day before bid due date. It is also certified that there is no any new tender allotted or being L1 in Financial Bid opening of any work up to Bid due date i.e. 14.11.2025.	The reply given by the bidder may be considered that the value of B is same on 14/11/2025 as was given in the bid. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

15. Seerat Construction Pvt. Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			So, I am requesting you to consider the same Value of B as submitted with my Technical Bid.	
4.	Appendix-III Power of Attorney	In Power of Attorney. last line name of Directors has been mentioned instead of name of Bidder. Bidder to clarify.	POA with detail of bidder name in last line is attached herewith for your reference.	The bidder has now submitted the POA with detail of bidder name in last line and the same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
5.		Charter Document confirming the Power of Mr. Om Prakash to issue a Power of Attorney in favour of Mr. Narender Kumar has not been found. Bidder to clarify.	Board Resolution for Power of Attorney is attached herewith for your reference.	The bidder has now submitted Board Resolution for Power of Attorney. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
6.	Clause 2.2.1(d) Land Border	The words, " <u>as defined in Public Procurement Order no. F.no.6/18/2019- PPD dated 23rd July 2020</u> " are missing in undertaking submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	Undertaking for Land Border is attached on page no. 35 of my submitted file named as Techbidseerat. The same is attached herewith for your further need.	The bidder has now submitted the Undertaking for Land Border with the words missing earlier. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
7.	General	Bharat Kosh payment has been made by Narender Kumar instead of by the Bidder. Bidder to clarify why the payment has not	Bharatkosh Payment has been made by Narender Kumar on the basis of Power of Attorney executed as per Board Resolution.	The reply given by the bidder may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

15. Seerat Construction Pvt. Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		been made by the bidder itself.		

16. Sundar Madhav Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex-III	Form 3CB and notes to account for all the five Financial Years have not been found. Bidder to clarify.	The copy of Five Financial Years has been attached with the letter Please refer Attachment - 1.	The bidder has now submitted Form 3CB for all the five Financial Years the same may be considered.
2.	Annex-IV Detail of Eligible Projects	The bidder has claimed the following projects under similar projects category 3, however the same has includes only the work of Strengthening. "Project Code 'a': Name of Work: Strengthening to khetia Shahada Prakasha Nandurbar Vishwasdi Sakri Satana Deola Chandwad Manmad Shirdi Road, NH-752G In Km 50/00 to 56/00, 62/00 to 70/00 & 74/00 to 80/00 (Section Visarwadi To Nandurbar Section Kolde to Kandbara - 20.0 Km Length)." "Project Code 'b': Name of Work: Strengthening to Khetia Shahada Prakasha Nandurbar	As per the raised query, the Project Code -a, b, c are all strengthening work. In the clause 2.2.2.5 - II - "Maintenance works are not considered as eligible project for evaluation as per Instruction No. 6 to Annex-IV. As such works with nomenclature like PR, OR, FDR, SR, site/ micro grading, surface renewal, resurfacing work, Tarring, B.T. surface work, temporary restoration, urgent works, periodic maintenance, repair & rehabilitation, one time maintenance, permanent protection work of bank, external pre stressing, repair of central hinge, short	As discussed with Authority, strengthening works may be considered as construction works. Accordingly, the bidder is now meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of cl. 2.2.2.2 (i) and (ii) of the RFP documents.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

16. Sundar Madhav Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		<i>Visarwadi Sakri Satana Deola Chandwas Manmad Shirdi Road NH752G in km 144/00 to 162/300 (Part Sakri To Samode 18.30 km Length)."</i> Project Code 'c': <i>Strengthening to Shewali Nizampur Chadwel Nandurbar Taloda Akkalkuwa to Gujrat State Border Road, NH 753B in, Km 57/00 to 58/500 (section C.B. Petrol Pump to Nandurbar Municipal limits-1.5 Km four lane length) and Km 69/700 to Km 74/600 (section Wakachar-Anturli to Hatoda- 4.9 Km two lane length) District Nandurbar in the State of Maharashtra on EPC Basis.</i> Bidder to clarify the claimed categories of the project.	term OMT contract of NHA, any type of work related to border fencing, work of earthwork alone, construction of buildings/ hostels/ hospitals, etc, or not specified, shall not be considered. However, such maintenance works shall be considered as eligible projects in case of Maintenance works to be taken up on EPC mode" Clause 2.2.2.5-II included the categories of work exempted to be consider under similar type of work for highway projects. The work category "Strengthening" is not included in the clause. We would urge to accept the Project code-a, b, c under the work category of clause 2.2.2.5-iii (a). Under Attachment-2, we have attached additional work completion certificates for consideration.	



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

16. Sundar Madhav Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
3.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 13.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.	The final tender submission of the said work was on 14.11.2025. To avoid any unforeseen reasons, we have submitted tender 1 day prior. Considering the explanation is valid, we would urge to consider the Auditor certificate dated 13.11.2025 i.e., only 1 day prior the submission date.	The reply given by the bidder may be considered that the value of B is same on 14/11/2025 as was given in the bid. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
4.		No. of years above the table of Value of B has not been mentioned. Bidder to clarify.	The value of B has been mentioned in Annex-VI of submitted tender. Please refer Attachment-3.	No. of years above the table of Value of B has still not mentioned. As discussed with the Authority, the Authority may ask the bidder to submit the duly filled Statutory Auditors' Certificate at the time of updated bid capacity to be submitted as on the day of opening of Financial Bid.
		The bidder is required to submit the signed copy of the work done certificate project claimed at no. 1 of table of value of B.	The project claimed at no. 1 is work under progress. At the time of tender submission, the amount of completion of the work was 50.56 Cr. The signed certificate from department is	The bidder has submitted the copy of Running Account bill however, the same is not signed by the Client and hence may not be considered. which may confirm the considering the full value of work, the



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

16. Sundar Madhav Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			not received due to unavailability of Project Director - Jalgaon-NHAI. The payment release note and the bill of copy has been attached under Attachment -4.	bidder is not meeting the minimum bid capacity criteria as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP Document.
5.	Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	Bidder has claimed various Projects in Annex-II and has submitted the certificates in support of the same in Annex-IV, from the available documents, none of the eligible Projects from <u>Category-1 or 3</u> and does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP which states as follows: <i>"Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost [Rs. 10.44 crore (Rs. Ten Crore Forty Four Lakhs Only)] shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more</i>	In the clause 2.2.2.5 - II - "Maintenance works are not considered as eligible project for evaluation as per Instruction No. 6 to Annex-IV. As such works with nomenclature like PR, OR, FDR, SR, site/ micro grading, surface renewal, resurfacing work, Tarring, B.T. surface work, temporary restoration, urgent works, periodic maintenance, repair & rehabilitation, one time maintenance, permanent protection work of bank, external pre stressing, repair of central hinge, short term OMT contract of NHAI, any type of work related to border fencing, work of earthwork alone, construction of buildings/ hostels/ hospitals, etc, or not	As discussed with Authority, strengthening works may be considered as construction works. Accordingly, the bidder is now meeting the minimum eligibility criteria of cl. 2.2.2.2 (i) and (ii) of the RFP documents.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

16. Sundar Madhav Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		<i>than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost."</i> Please clarify, how the bidder is meeting the said eligibility criteria through some supporting documents such as Client's certificate w.r.t. projects submitted in the Technical Bid.	specified, shall not be considered. However, such maintenance works shall be considered as eligible projects in case of Maintenance works to be taken up on EPC mode" Clause 2.2.2.5-II included the categories of work exempted to be consider under similar type of work for highway projects. The work category "Strengthening "is not included in the clause. We would urge to accept the Strengthening Project under category of 1 or 3. We would request to consider work - "Strengthening to Khetia Shahada Prakasha Nandurbar Visarwadi, NH- 752G in Km 50/00 to 56/00. 62/00 to 70/00 and 74/00 to 80/00 (Section Visarwadi to Nandurbar Section Kolde to Kandbara - 20 km length") costing 13.47 Cr as a similar type of work.	



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

16. Sundar Madhav Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			We are submitting another completion certificate of highway projects as below – 1. Construction of Bitumen Concrete, Asphalt road, CC road, gutter in 33 Various places of Prabhag No. 2,4,5 in the Dhule City. Municipal costing worth - 23.21 Cr 2.Strengthening of existing 2 lane road and widening of 4 lane divided highway for kms 265.00 to Km 380.00 of NH-3 Section Dhule - Pimpalgaon in the state of MH. - 77.40 Cr The completion certificate for said work has been attached under Attachment- 5	
6.	Clause 2.2.2.8 (ii)	In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and therefore the Bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give an undertaking to this effect and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. In the instant case, the said undertaking duly certified by Statutory Auditor confirming	Please refer Attachment -6.	The bidder has submitted the self-undertaking instead of Statutory auditor's undertaking for unaudited Financial Statements for FY 2024-25. The same may not be considered.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

16. Sundar Madhav Construction				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		the status has not been found. Bidder to provide the same as on bid due date.		

17. Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex I	Table after 7b has been replied as NA instead of Nil or mentioning any detail. Bidder to clarify.	Revised Annex-I is submitted herewith. Kindly consider the same.	The bidder has now submitted the balance points of the Annex I and the same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
2.	Annex-III	Notes to Account and Independent Audit report for the FY 23-24 has not been found. Bidder to clarify	Copy of Balance Sheet along with Notes to Accounts and Auditor's Report for FY 2023-24 is attached herewith for kind consideration	The bidder has submitted the Complete Annual Reports for FY 2023-24, however, there is a qualified opinion in the submitted Independent Audit report which seems to impact the turnover & Net Worth of the bidder for that respective year. Hence, the turnover for that specific year has not been considered. The bidder is still meeting the minimum Turnover criteria. But the Net Worth can not be



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

17. Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
				considered without the confirmation undertaking of the Statutory Auditor, that the qualified opinion in the submitted Independent Audit report does not impact the turnover & Net Worth of the bidder for that respective year. Further, the Financial Statements for the FY 24-25 has not been found. Hence, Turnover & Net Worth of the bidder cannot be assessed. Hence, the bidder may be treated as Non responsive as per clause 2.2.2.3 (i) of the RFP document.
3.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 12.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.	Revised Bid Capacity Certificate showing the value of "B" as on 14.11.2025 (bid due date) duly certified by the Statutory Auditor is attached. Supporting certificates for projects considered in value of "B", duly countersigned by the concerned Client /	The value of B is same on 14/11/2025 as was given in the bid. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

17. Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		The bidder is not meeting the minimum Bid Capacity criteria required as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP Document. The certificates required as per clause 2.2.2.1 for the projects claimed in the table of value of B has not been found the same are required as per note 1 of clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP document. <i>"The Statement showing the value of all existing commitments, works for which the contractor has emerged as the winner of the bid as given by bidder and ongoing works as well as the stipulated period of completion remaining for each of the works listed should be countersigned by the Client or its Engineer-in-charge not below the rank of Executive Engineer or equivalent in respect of EPC Projects or Concessionaire / Authorised Signatory</i>	Engineer-in-Charge and verified by Statutory Auditor, are also enclosed for compliance.	Since, the balance Sheet and Profit and loss Account is not available and with the available data, the bidder is not meeting the minimum Bid Capacity criteria. Further, still the bidder has not submitted the certificates signed by the client, hence the same may not be considered. Hence, the bidder may be treated as Non responsive as per clause 2.2.2.1 of the RFP document.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

17. Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		<i>of SPV in respect of BOT Projects and verified by Statutory Auditor."</i> Bidder to clarify.		
4.	Annex-VIII Details of ongoing works	Annex-VIII, Details of ongoing works has been replied as NA instead of Nil or mentioning any detail. Bidder to clarify.	Revised Annex-VIII is attached herewith. Kindly consider the same.	The bidder has now submitted the annex VIII as NIL. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
5.	Clause 2.2.2.8 (ii)	In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and therefore the Bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give an undertaking to this effect and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. In the instant case, the said undertaking duly certified by Statutory Auditor confirming the status has not been found. Bidder to provide the same as on bid due date.	Audited Balance Sheet for the latest financial year is attached herewith. Hence, the said undertaking is not applicable.	Audited Annual Reports submitted by the bidder do not contain Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss A/c and hence are incomplete.
6.	Local Content undertaking	Statutory Auditor certificate w.r.t local content has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder.	Statutory Auditor certified Local Content Certificate is attached herewith for kind consideration.	The bidder has now submitted the Statutory Auditor certificate w.r.t local content. The same may be considered.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

17. Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt Ltd.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		Bidder to clarify		Hence, considered and complied.

18. Varun Chandra Development and Construction LLP- No reply received				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex II	Point no. 6 of the table after point 7(b) is missing in the table. Bidder to clarify.		No reply received
2.	Annex-IV Detail of Eligible project	The bidder has claimed the project code "a" under similar category and submitted the client certificate wherein the Date of completion and the amount of work done as on date of completion by the Sub Contractor is not clear. Bidder to clarify the same with client certified documentary evidence.		No reply received
3.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 29.08.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025.		No reply received



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

18. Varun Chandra Development and Construction LLP- No reply received				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date.		
4.	Cost of the Document	Rs. 10,000 submitted by the bidder not under bharatkosh instead submitted via challan, receipt of the same submitted. Bidder to clarify why the payment has not been made through Bharatkosh.		No reply received
5.	Appendix-II Bank Guarantee	In the point 14 the branch has been mentioned of Chandigarh instead of Mumbai. Bidder to clarify.		No reply received
6.	Clause 2.2.1(d) Land Border	Undertaking required as per clause 2.2.1(d) of the RFP Document has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.		No reply received
7.	Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	Bidder has claimed various Projects in Annex-II and has submitted the certificates in support of the same in Annex-IV, from the available documents, none of the eligible Projects from <u>Category-1 or 3</u> and does not meet the minimum eligibility criteria specified in Clause		No reply received



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

18. Varun Chandra Development and Construction LLP- No reply received				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP which states as follows: <i>“Provided that at least one similar work of 20% of Estimated Project Cost [Rs. 10.44 crore (Rs. Ten Crore Forty Four Lakhs Only)] shall have been completed from the Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 specified in Clause 2.2.2.5. For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed and such completed value of work is equal to or more than 20% of the estimated project cost.”</i> Please clarify, how the bidder is meeting the said eligibility criteria through some supporting documents such as Client's certificate w.r.t. projects submitted in the Technical Bid.		
8.	Local Content undertaking	Self -Undertaking as well as Statutory Auditor certificate w.r.t local content has not been found in the		No reply received



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

18. Varun Chandra Development and Construction LLP- No reply received				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify		

19. Yewale Constructions Co.				
S. No.	Clauses/ References	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
1.	Annex III	Financial Statements submitted for the FY 2024-25 are unsigned. Bidder to clarify.	We are attaching the duly signed copy for your reference.	The bidder has now submitted the signed copies of Financial Statements submitted for the FY 2024-25. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
2.	Annex IV	The bidder has claimed the following project under similar category and submitted the client certificate wherein the Date of completion and the amount of work done as on date of completed by the Sub Contractor is not clear. Bidder to clarify the same with client certified documentary evidence.	At the time of Starting the firm was operating under the name M/s Yewale and Sangale Infra JV . Subsequently all work done by Yewale & Sangale Infra JV not by any subcontractor. So, there is no question for subcontractor The firm's name has been changed to M/s Yewale Constructions Company . The change is only in the name, the firm continues to operate with the same PAN and GST registration, Certificate . In support of the above, the following	The bidder has now clarified that firm name has been changed from Yewale and Sangale Infra JV to Yewale Constructions Company through supporting partnership deed and SA Certificate. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

19. Yewale Constructions Co.				
S. No.	Clauses/ Reference s	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
			documents are enclosed: Chartered Accountant's Certificate confirming the change of firm name & both are same firm. Partnership Deed evidencing continuity of the firm (old deed and new deed).	
3.	Annex VI Bid Capacity	The value of B certified by the Statutory Auditor seems to be submitted as on 06.11.2025 whereas the same is required upto the bid due date i.e., 14.11.2025. Bidder is required to provide the value of B as on bid due date. The no. of years to be mentioned in the first line of the Statutory Auditors Certificate for value of "B" is missing in the Certificate submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	Certificate Attached	No such attachment has been found, hence, As discussed with the Authority, the Authority may ask the bidder to submit the same mentioning last Para while submitting updated bid capacity prior to opening of financial bid.
4.	Appendix-II Bank Guarantee	In point no. "8", the words <u>"when duly received and acknowledged by the Bank at its counter."</u> has been mentioned extra. Bidder is required to amend the bank	Amend Bank Guarantee Attached.	No such amendment has been found in the submission made by the bidder. Hence rejected



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

19. Yewale Constructions Co.				
S. No.	Clauses/ Reference s	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
		guarantee and remove the said words.		
5.	Cl. 2.2.2.8 (ii)	As per cl. 2.2.2.8 (ii) of the RFP Documents, <i>"In case the annual accounts for the latest financial year are not audited and therefore the Bidder cannot make it available, the Bidder shall give and upload an undertaking to this effect on BIMS Portal and the statutory auditor shall certify the same. In such a case, the Bidder shall provide the Audited Annual Reports for 5 (five) years preceding the year for which the Audited Annual Report is not being provided."</i> In the instant case, the said undertaking has been found in the submission made by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	Latest Financial Year Audited report Attached as per your requirement.	The bidder has now submitted the signed copies of Financial Statements submitted for the FY 2024-25. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
6.	Clause 2.2.1(d) Land Border	Undertaking required as per clause 2.2.1(d) of the RFP Document has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify.	1) Attached Land Border certificate by Chartered Accountant 2) Attached Land Border certificate by self-declaration	The bidder has now submitted the Undertaking required as per clause 2.2.1(d) of the RFP Document and the same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Remarks on the replies received for clarifications sought from the bidders

19. Yewale Constructions Co.				
S. No.	Clauses/ Reference s	Clarifications to be sought from the bidder	Replies received from the bidder	Remarks of FC
7.	Local Content undertaki ng	Self -Undertaking as well as Statutory Auditor certificate w.r.t local content has not been found in the bid submitted by the bidder. Bidder to clarify	1) Attached Local Content certificate by Chartered Accountant 2) Attached Local Content certificate by self-declaration	The bidder has now submitted Self - Undertaking as well as Statutory Auditor certificate w.r.t local content and the same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.
8.	General	The bidder has submitted the certificate from its Statutory Auditors stating that M/s Yewale & Sangale Infra (JV) has changed name to Yewale Construction Company. Bidder to confirm if there is any fresh Partnership Deed/ registration certificate in this regard.	Please note that our firm name has been changed from Yewale and Sangale Infra JV to Yewale Constructions Company . In this regard, we have already attached the Statutory Auditor's Certificate along with the Fresh Partnership Deed & Old Partnership Deed .	The bidder has now clarified that firm name has been changed from Yewale and Sangale Infra JV to Yewale Constructions Company through supporting partnership deed and SA Certificate. The same may be considered. Hence, considered and complied.



Construction Of Service Road In The Intermittent Stretches From Km 180/470 To Km 205/780 On The Sinnar To Nashik Section Of NH-60 In The State Of Maharashtra On EPC Mode (Total Length – 12.780 Including Both Sides).

Tentative Summary Sheet								
Estimated Project Cost		Rs 52.18 Cr.	Amount in INR Cr.					
App No.	Name of Applicant	Single/ JV	Technical Capacity		Span Length	Financial Capacity		Remarks (if any)
			Cat 1,2,3,4	Cat 1&3		Net Worth	Turnover	
		Required	Rs 39.13 Cr.	Rs 10.44 Cr.	NA	Rs 2.61 Cr.	Rs 7.83 Cr.	Rs 52.18 Cr.
1	Aakar Constructions		43.90	17.41		31.35	69.24	62.19
2	Anand Construwell Pvt Ltd.		45.94	25.78		75.11	132.58	80.88
3	B. T. Kadlag Construction Pvt Ltd.		55.70	71.04		54.02	101.40	422.81
4	Deokar Earthmovers		40.00	18.30		10.32	27.02	58.33
5	Dream Construction		110.62	189.24		179.52	265.36	78.23
6	Forcon Infra Pvt Ltd.		45.73	0.00		83.02	160.26	408.87
7	Kumar Infratech		43.85	12.71		8.02	39.11	136.36
8	Mahalaxmi Construction		113.51	112.19		5.99	17.99	0.00
9	N. K. Gade		42.27	11.76		10.85	26.63	60.08
10	Om Construction		35.63	26.65		3.18	17.53	50.38
11	P. D. Infraproject Pvt Ltd.		67.27	65.57		39.77	129.05	337.52
12	Saket Infraprojects Pvt Ltd.		60.37	75.70		215.58	260.42	77.10
13	SCONS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.		102.65	99.41		45.81	88.98	85.26
14	Sant Satramdas Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.		88.67	85.88		0	111.14	385.06
15	Seerat Construction Pvt Ltd.		45.92	40.57		9.19	51.74	120.67
16	Sundar Madhav Construction		67.28	11.12		14.6	41.83	23.53
17	Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt Ltd.		184.66	246.56		0.00	88.74	-102.24
18	Varunchandra Development and Construction		75.7	0.00		10.56	19.98	0.00
19	Yewale Constructions Co.		40.09	26.76		9.57	15.96	53.46



Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered		Required	Claimed	Assessed	
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (ii))	Rs 39.13 Crore	127.87	43.90
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 2 Year 1	2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	17.41
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA



Aakar Constructions

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	31.35	31.35	31.35
2	AAT	69.73	69.24	69.24

Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
Milind Ajay & Co.

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	31.35
2	all reserves created out of the profits	
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		31.35

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	63.38	62.62	69.48	49.36	71.93	69.73	
Calculated Turnover	63.38	60.31	69.48	49.36	71.93	69.24	
Assessed Turnover	63.38	60.31	69.48	49.36	71.93	69.24	



Aakar Constructions

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	86.32	115.69	0.00	100.11
Assessed Value	86.32	151.53	0.00	64.26

n 1



Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Rs. 10.44 Cr.	Yes
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr.	Yes, Refer Project Code c above

Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr.	Yes. Refer Project Code c above
--	---------------------------------



Anand Construwell Pvt Ltd.

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	75.87	75.11	75.11
2	AAT	141.24	132.58	132.58

**Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
S.S. Mutha & Co.**

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	2.50
2	all reserves created out of the profits	73.37
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
	Qualified Opinion Impact	0.758
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		75.11

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	145.02	107.88	126.38	140.79	122.50	141.24	
Calculated Turnover	138.39	97.31	115.93	134.99	116.30	132.58	
Assessed Turnover	138.39	97.31	115.93	134.99	116.30	132.58	



Anand Construwell Pvt Ltd.

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	145.02	79.47	0.00	283.08
Assessed Value	138.39	265.09	0.00	80.88

n 1



B. T. Kadlag Construction Pvt Ltd.

Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021 2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	232.47	55.70
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	71.04
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

Sr. No.	Name of the Project claimed	Project Code	Category		Experience during Financial Years						1		2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		Remarks
			Claimed	Assessed	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Total Payments	Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Bidder)	Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)	Experience Score (Rejected by FC)	% age of work completed (for cat 1& 3 projects)	Whether completed in the last 5 years	Year of Commencement /Completion	Factor for Single Work	EPC of the Project	Updated EPC of the Project				
											Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4												Value of self construction in Eligible Procts in category 1 & 2			
											1.00	1.05												1.10	1.15	
1	HAM Improvement SH-27	a	3	3				25.50	21.98	47.48	55.70	0.00	72.80	1.00	55.70	17.10	100%	yes as on	2023	1.1	64.58	71.04				
2		b	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	26.95	0.00	0.00	26.95										
3		c	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	38.51	0.00	0.00	38.51										
4		d	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	26.15	0.00	0.00	26.15										
5		e	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	18.22	0.00	0.00	18.22										
6		f	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	14.63	0.00	0.00	14.63										
7		g	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	11.57	0.00	0.00	11.57										
8		h	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	10.18	0.00	0.00	10.18										
9		i	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	6.79	0.00	0.00	6.79										
10		i	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	6.67	0.00	0.00	6.67										
11										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
12										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
13										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
14										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
15										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
16										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00										
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00</											

	GRAND TOTAL	232.47	55.76	176.71
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Rs. Cr. Yes				
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr. Yes, Refer Project Code a above				



B. T. Kadlag Construction Pvt Ltd.

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	54.02	54.02	54.02
2	AAT	117.69	101.40	101.40

**Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
Anil Kolhe & Co.**

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	0.25
2	all reserves created out of the profits	53.77
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		54.02

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	182.82	106.00	90.80	84.60	80.96	117.69	
Calculated Turnover	182.82	106.00	16.77	84.60	80.96	101.40	
Assessed Turnover	182.82	106.00	16.77	84.60	80.96	101.40	



B. T. Kadlag Construction Pvt Ltd.

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	182.82	34.24	0.00	422.81
Assessed Value	182.82	34.24	0.00	422.81

n 1



Deokar Earthmovers

Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019-20 2020-21/2021-22 2021-22/2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	61.46	40.00
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	18.36
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

Sr. No.	Name of the Project claimed	Project Code	Category		Experience during Financial Years					Total Payments	Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Bidder)	Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)	Experience Score (Rejected by FC)	% age of work completed (for cat 1& 3 projects)	Whether completed in the last 5 years	Year of Commencement /Completion	Factor for Single Work	EPC of the Project	Updated EPC of the Project	Remarks
			Claimed	Assessed	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020		Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2											
1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20																			
1		a	3						0.00	0.00	0.00	5.13	0.00	0.00	5.13								ODR
2	MDR 52	b	3		4.49				4.49	0.00	0.00	4.49	0.00	0.00	4.49								MDRrep 5.46
3		c	3						0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	0.00	0.00	4.73								Industrial work
4	NH 761	d	3	3			15.91		15.91	18.30	0.00	18.30	1.00	18.30	0.00	100.00%	yes on 25.05.2021	2022	1.15	15.91	18.30	18.30	Strengthening
5	NH-65	e	3	3			4.47		4.47	5.14	0.00	5.14	1.00	5.14	0.00								Strengthening & Widening cost 6.47
6	ODR 133	f	3	4				3.39	3.39	4.07	0.00	4.07	0.75	3.05	1.02								odr
7	Road Biji	g	3	4	2.90				2.90	2.90	0.00	2.90	0.75	2.18	0.73								Sdist. Road
8		h	3						0.00	0.00	0.00	5.36	0.00	0.00	5.36								Industrial work
9	NH-61	i	3	3	11.34				11.34	11.34	0.00	11.34	1.00	11.34	0.00								ongoning
10									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
11									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
12									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
13									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
14									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
15									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
16									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00								
									0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.								

		GRAND TOTAL	51.46	
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Rs. Cr.				Yes

Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr.	Yes. Refer Project Code d above
--	---------------------------------



Deokar Earthmovers

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	10.32	10.32	10.32
2	AAT	27.02	27.02	27.02

**Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
SPAK & Co.**

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	10.32
2	all reserves created out of the profits	
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		10.32

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	39.23	11.51	22.71	20.80	29.09	27.02	
Calculated Turnover	39.23	11.51	22.71	20.80	29.09	27.02	
Assessed Turnover	39.23	11.51	22.71	20.80	29.09	27.02	



Deokar Earthmovers

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	39.23	16.58	0.00	81.50
Assessed Value	39.23	39.75	0.00	58.33

n 1



Dream Construction

Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 2018-19/2019 Year 4 2019-20/2020 Year 3 2020-21/2021 Year 2 2021-22/2022 Year 1 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))		Rs 39.13 Crore	625.52	110.62
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61		Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))		Rs 10.44 Crore	--	189.24
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61		Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)		Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61		Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)		Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

[illegible]

		GRAND TOTAL	629.52		
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Rs. Cr.					Yes

Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr.	Yes. Refer Project Code b above
--	---------------------------------



Dream Construction

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	179.54	179.52	179.52
2	AAT	265.36	265.37	265.36

Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
JJ Agrawal & Co

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	179.52
2	all reserves created out of the profits	
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		179.52

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	183.23	353.08	331.92	170.93	175.97	265.36	
Calculated Turnover	183.23	353.08	331.92	170.93	176.00	265.37	
Assessed Turnover	183.23	353.08	331.92	170.93	175.97	265.36	



Dream Construction

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	370.73	343.81	0.00	583.03
Assessed Value	370.73	848.61	0.00	78.23

n 1



Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021 2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	306.07	45.73
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	0.00
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

Sr. No.	Name of the Project claimed	Project Code	Category		Experience during Financial Years					Total Payments	Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Bidder)	Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)	Experience Score (Rejected by FC)	% age of work completed (for cat 1 & 3 projects)	Whether completed in the last 5 years	Year of Commencement /Completion	Factor for Single Work	EPC of the Project	Updated EPC of the Project	Remarks
			Claimed	Assessed	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020		Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2											
1	Sewer	a	3	4		8.84	20.23	9.61	15.32	54.00	60.97	0.00	60.96	0.75	45.73	15.23							Sewerage work
2	SH 27	b	3	4				25.50	21.98	47.48	0.00	0.00	72.78	0.00	0.00	72.78	100%	yes as on 14.12.2022	2023	1.1	64.58		
3		c	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	18.54	0.00	0.00	18.54							no highway
4		d	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	32.86	0.00	0.00	32.86							Bus Depot
5		e	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	27.62	0.00	0.00	27.62							no highway
6		f	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	16.09	0.00	0.00	16.09							no highway
7		g	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	29.49	0.00	0.00	29.49							no highway
8		h	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	25.30	0.00	0.00	29.30							Cricket Stdium
9		i	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	18.43	0.00	0.00	18.43							hospital
10										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
11										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
12										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
13										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
14										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
15										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
16										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00							



Forcon Infra Pvt Ltd.

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	83.15	83.02	83.02
2	AAT	187.02	160.26	160.26

Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
Palsule Pangam & Co.

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	1.79
2	all reserves created out of the profits	81.23
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		83.02

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	261.52	204.18	168.27	119.86	113.55	187.02	
Calculated Turnover	230.68	173.05	142.60	99.28	98.25	160.26	
Assessed Turnover	230.68	173.05	142.60	99.28	98.25	160.26	



Forcon Infra Pvt Ltd.

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	261.52	131.27	0.00	522.53
Assessed Value	230.68	167.83	0.00	408.87

n 1



Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021 2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	95.34	43.85
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	12.71
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

[illegible]

				GRAND TOTAL	95.34	43.85	51.49
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Rs. Cr. Yes							
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr. Yes, Refer Project Code c above							



Kumar Infratech

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	8.02	8.03	8.02
2	AAT	40.69	39.11	39.11

**Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
Ashok Darshan &Co.**

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	8.03
2	all reserves created out of the profits	
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		8.03

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	29.07	28.70	53.72	37.00	35.51	40.69	
Calculated Turnover	29.07	25.32	51.37	36.09	34.89	39.11	
Assessed Turnover	29.07	25.32	51.37	36.09	34.89	39.11	



Kumar Infratech

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	59.09	4.91	0.00	142.82
Assessed Value	56.51	4.91	0.00	136.36

n 1



Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021 2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	113.51	113.51
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	112.19
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

Sr. No.	Name of the Project claimed	Project Code	Category		Experience during Financial Years					Total Payments	1		2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Remarks
			Claimed	Assessed	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020		Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Bidder)												
											Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2													
														1.00											
1	Expresway	a	3	3	37.30	52.89	17.77	4.24		112.20	117.26	0.00	113.51	1.00	113.51	0.00	100%	yes on	2025	1	112.19	112.19			
2		b								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
3		c								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
4		d								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
5		e								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
6		f								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
7		g								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
8		h								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
9		i								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
10		j								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
11		k								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
12		l								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
13		m								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
14		n								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
15		o								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
16		p								0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
										0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00									
								</																	

	GRAND TOTAL	13.51	13.51	0.00
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Rs. Cr. Yes				
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr. Yes, Refer Project Code a above				



Mahalaxmi Construction

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	5.99	5.99	5.99
2	AAT	19.89	17.99	17.99

Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
PAP & Associate

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2024
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	5.99
2	all reserves created out of the profits	
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		5.99

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	0.00	51.43	18.53	13.52	7.93	19.89	
Calculated Turnover	0.00	51.43	18.53	13.52	0.00	17.99	
Assessed Turnover	0.00	51.43	18.53	13.52	0.00	17.99	



Mahalaxmi Construction

Calculation of Bid Capacity

Particulars	Value of A	Value of B	Value of C	Bid Capacity
Claimed Value	54.00	3.05	0.00	131.95
Assessed Value	54.00	#VALUE!	0.00	#VALUE!

n 1



Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021 2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	72.39	42.27
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	11.76
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

Sr. No.	Name of the Project claimed	Project Code	Category		Experience during Financial Years					Total Payments	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		Remarks		
											Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Bidder)		Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)		Experience Score (Rejected by FC)		% age of work completed (for cat 1& 3 projects)		Whether completed in the last 5 years		Year of Commencement /Completion		Factor for Single Work		EPC of the Project		Updated EPC of the Project								
			2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020		Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4		Value of self construction in Eligible Procts in category 1 & 2																										
			1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20																														
1	imp sh 50	a	3	4	2.44	2.54	10.72	3.56		19.26	20.99	0.00	20.64	0.75		15.74	4.90																	STBT			
2	Nh160 D	b	3	3				2.87	7.76	10.64	12.62	0.00	12.54	1.00		12.54	0.00			100%	yes as on	2023						102.25	20.64	0.00			Strengthening				
3	Sh-50 M	c	3	4	8.46	1.75				10.21	10.30	0.00	10.62	0.75		7.72	2.90																	4 packages and two are not			
4	sh 65& MDR	d	3	4		7.95				7.95	8.35	0.00	8.23	0.75		6.26	1.97																	cost 8.23 yr 2024			
5		e	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	2.39	0.00		0.00	2.39																	cost 2.39 STBT work			
6		f	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	1.81	0.00		0.00	1.81																	1.81 cr			
7		g	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	4.27	0.00		0.00	4.27																	4.27			
8		h	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	0.00		0.00	4.69																	ongoing			
9		i	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	2.85	0.00		0.00	2.85																	ongoing			
10										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	4.35																	ongoing			
11										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																	ongoing			
12										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
13										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
14										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
15										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
16										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00																				
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00																					



N. K. Gade

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	10.85	11.28	10.85
2	AAT	26.63	26.63	26.63

**Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
Patel Kalantri & Assoc**

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	11.28
2	all reserves created out of the profits	
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		11.28

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	24.51	22.10	30.47	26.21	18.15	26.63	
Calculated Turnover	24.51	22.10	30.47	26.21	18.15	26.63	
Assessed Turnover	24.51	22.10	30.47	26.21	18.15	26.63	



N. K. Gade

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	33.52	22.30	0.00	61.49
Assessed Value	33.52	23.72	0.00	60.08

n 1



Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	86.56	35.63
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 2 Year 1	2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	26.65
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA



Om Construction

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	12.82	3.18	3.18
2	AAT	17.54	17.53	17.53

Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
Jawandhiya Bhattad & Co.

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	3.18
2	all reserves created out of the profits	
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		3.18

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	20.03	30.27	15.48	7.12	8.91	17.54	
Calculated Turnover	20.02	30.20	15.48	7.12	8.91	17.53	
Assessed Turnover	20.02	30.20	15.48	7.12	8.91	17.53	



Om Construction

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	31.78	24.48	0.00	54.98
Assessed Value	31.71	28.90	0.00	50.38

n 1



Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021 2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	318.27	67.27
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	65.57
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

Sr. No.	Name of the Project claimed	Project Code	Category		Experience during Financial Years					Total Payments	1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10		11		12		Remarks
			Claimed	Assessed	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020		Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Bidder)	Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)	Experience Score (Rejected by FC)	% age of work completed (for cat 1& 3 projects)	Whether completed in the last 5 years	Year of Commencement /Completion	Factor for Single Work	EPC of the Project	Updated EPC of the Project													
											Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2																							
1		a	4		36.64	23.61	5.32		1.15	1.20	65.57	0.00	0.00	50.62	0.00	0.00	50.62																		
2		b	3								0.00	0.00	0.00	38.24	0.00	0.00	38.24																		
3		b	3								0.00	0.00	0.00	139.58	0.00	0.00	139.58																		
4	NH-965DD rehabilitation	d	3	3	36.63	23.61	5.32				65.56	67.27	0.00	67.28	1.00	67.27	0.01	92.00%	as per feb 2025	2025	1	65.57	65.57												
5		e	3								0.00	0.00	0.00	22.55	0.00	0.00	22.55																		
6											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
7											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
8											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
9											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
10											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
11											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
12											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
13											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
14											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
15											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
16											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		
											0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00																		

					GRAND TOTAL	310.27		
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Rs. Cr.								Yes

Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr.	Yes, Refer Project Code d above
--	---------------------------------



P. D. Infraproject Pvt Ltd.

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	39.77	39.77	39.77
2	AAT	129.05	129.05	129.05

**Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
AT Upadhye & Co.**

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	0.04
2	all reserves created out of the profits	39.74
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		39.77

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	208.30	121.59	76.87	75.83	114.58	129.05	
Calculated Turnover	208.31	121.59	76.87	75.83	114.58	129.05	
Assessed Turnover	208.30	121.59	76.87	75.83	114.58	129.05	



P. D. Infraproject Pvt Ltd.

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	208.30	40.43	0.00	480.32
Assessed Value	208.31	183.25	0.00	337.52

n 1



Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021 2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	670.86	60.37
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	75.70
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

Sr. No.	Name of the Project claimed	Project Code	Category		Experience during Financial Years					Total Payments	1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Remarks
			Claimed	Assessed	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020		Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4	Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2	Experience Score (Claimed by Bidder)	Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)	Experience Score (Rejected by FC)	% age of work completed (for cat 1& 3 projects)	Whether completed in the last 5 years	Year of Commencement /Completion	Factor for Single Work	EPC of the Project	Updated EPC of the Project		
					1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20															
1		a	3						0.00	0.00			160.08	0.00		0.00	160.08							
2		b	4						0.00	0.00			76.36	0.00		0.00	76.36							
3	Mumbai Agra Highway	c	3						0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	256.86	100.00%	yes on	2025	1	0.00	0.00	
4		d	3						0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	86.21							
5	NH361 Rehabilitation	e	3	3	40.17	19.24			59.41	60.37			0.00	60.37	1.00	60.37	0.00	100%	yes as on 20.12.2024	2025	1	75.70	75.70	
6		f	4						0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	30.98							
7		g							0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
8		h							0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
9		i							0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
10		j							0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
11		k							0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
12		l							0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
13		m							0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
14		n							0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
15		o							0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
16		p							0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							
									0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00							

		GRAND TOTAL	970.00		
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Rs. Cr.					Yes

Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr.	Yes, Refer Project Code e above
--	---------------------------------



Saket Infraprojects Pvt Ltd.

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	242.28	215.58	215.58
2	AAT	260.42	298.49	260.42

**Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
VC Shah & Co.**

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	4.86
2	all reserves created out of the profits	210.72
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		215.58

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	370.48	215.40	239.91	216.01	160.94	260.42	
Calculated Turnover	437.17	254.15	277.57	242.04	170.64	298.49	
Assessed Turnover	370.48	215.40	239.91	216.01	160.94	260.42	



Saket Infraprojects Pvt Ltd.

Calculation of Bid Capacity

Particulars	Value of A	Value of B	Value of C	Bid Capacity
Claimed Value	370.48	365.86	0.00	560.34
Assessed Value	437.17	1015.83	0.00	77.10

n 1



Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)	Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed	
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019-20 2020-21/2021-22 2021-22/2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	710.56	88.6%
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	85.88
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

[illegible]

	GRAND TOTAL	710.50	55.97	521.05
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Rs. Cr. Yes				
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr. Yes, Refer Project Code c above				



Sant Satramdas Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	133.77	133.76	
2	AAT	153.44	111.14	111.14

**Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
SS Dhamne & Co.**

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	0.30
2	all reserves created out of the profits	133.46
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	210.58	195.49	72.19	120.01	111.62	153.44	
Calculated Turnover	0.00	195.49	72.19	120.01	110.83	111.14	
Assessed Turnover	0.00	195.49	72.19	120.01	110.83	111.14	



Calculation of Bid Capacity

Particulars	Value of A	Value of B	Value of C	Bid Capacity
Claimed Value	210.58	60.32	0.00	466.13
Assessed Value	205.26	128.10	0.00	385.06

n 1



Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021 2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	358.55	102.65
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	99.41
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

[illegible]

		GRAND TOTAL	336.35		
Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of under clause 2.2.2.2 (i) Rs. Cr.					Yes

Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr.	Yes. Refer Project Code b above
--	---------------------------------



SCONS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	45.81	45.81	45.81
2	AAT	121.83	88.98	88.98

**Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
Ashok Ram & Co.**

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	7.32
2	all reserves created out of the profits	38.49
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		45.81

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	136.46	203.99	99.03	75.45	52.35	121.83	
Calculated Turnover	136.46	203.99	85.67	0.00	0.00	88.98	
Assessed Turnover	136.46	203.99	85.67	0.00	0.00	88.98	



SCONS Infrastructure Pvt Ltd.

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	214.19	298.82	0.00	236.65
Assessed Value	214.19	450.21	0.00	85.26

n 1



Seerat Construction Pvt Ltd.

Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021 2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	211.85	45.92
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	40.57
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

[illegible]

Seerat Construction Pvt Ltd.

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	9.19	9.19	9.19
2	AAT	51.74	51.74	51.74

**Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
Pradeep Rishu & Ass**

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2024
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	9.19
2	all reserves created out of the profits	
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		9.19

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover		102.44	67.75	38.76	26.71	51.74	
Calculated Turnover	0.00	102.44	67.75	38.76	26.71	51.74	
Assessed Turnover	0.00	102.44	67.75	38.76	26.71	51.74	



Seerat Construction Pvt Ltd.

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	107.56	90.10	0.00	178.81
Assessed Value	107.56	148.24	0.00	120.67

n 1



Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered		Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021 2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	848.33 184.66
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	-- 246.56
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore	NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore	NA

Sr. No.	Name of the Project claimed	Project Code	Category	Experience during Financial Years							Type of Experience (Rs crores)		Experience Score (Claimed by Bidder)	Factor	Experience Score (Total Assessed by FC)	Experience Score (Rejected by FC)	% age of work completed (for cat 1& 3 projects)	Whether completed in the last 5 years	Year of Commencement /Completion	Factor for Single Work	EPC of the Project	Updated EPC of the Project	Remarks	
				Claimed	Assessed						Total Payments	Payments received for Construction of Eligible Projects in category 3 & 4												Value of self construction in Eligible Projects in category 1 & 2
						2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020														
						1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20														
1	HAM-improvement NH	a	3	3	1.47	2.98	9.31	106.05	64.85	184.66	214.62	0.00	184.66	1.00	184.66	0.00	100%	as on 03.03.2021	2021	1.2	205.47	246.56		
2	Improvement NH -48	b	3			44.61	64.91			109.52	0.00	0.00	109.52	0.00	0.00	109.52	100%		2024	1.05	109.83	115.32		
3	NH 48	c	3		213.22	106.67				319.89	0.00	0.00	319.89	0.00	0.00	319.89	100.00%							
4		d	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	109.95	0.00	0.00	109.95								
5		e	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	30.28	0.00	0.00	30.28								
6		f	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	74.16	0.00	0.00	74.16								
7		g	3							0.00	0.00	0.00	19.87	0.00	0.00	19.87								
8										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
9										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
10										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
11										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
12										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
13										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
14										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
15										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
16										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
										0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								



Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt Ltd.

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	66.28	0.00	0.00
2	AAT	212.17	88.74	88.74

Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	
2	all reserves created out of the profits	
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		0.00

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	384.21	218.46	132.36	148.59	109.00	212.17	
Calculated Turnover	0.00	0.00	131.70	147.34	107.81	88.74	
Assessed Turnover	0.00	0.00	131.70	147.34	107.81	88.74	



Shankar Ramchandra Infra Pvt Ltd.

Calculation of Bid Capacity

Particulars	Value of A	Value of B	Value of C	Bid Capacity
Claimed Value	384.21	277.57	0.00	682.96
Assessed Value	169.44	525.84	0.00	-102.24

n 1



Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)		Years to be considered			Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5 Year 4 Year 3 Year 2 Year 1	2018-19/2019 2019-20/2020 2020-21/2021 2021-22/2022 2022-23/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	123.20	67.28
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61			Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	11.12
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

[illegible]

Sundar Madhav Construction

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	18.31	14.60	14.60
2	AAT	56.42	41.83	41.83

Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
Manoj S. Disa & Co.

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2024
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	14.60
2	all reserves created out of the profits	
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		14.60

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	72.97	33.15	23.29	61.94	64.57	56.42	
Calculated Turnover	0.00	33.15	23.29	61.94	64.57	41.83	
Assessed Turnover	0.00	33.15	23.29	61.94	64.57	41.83	



Sundar Madhav Construction

Calculation of Bid Capacity

Particulars	Value of A	Value of B	Value of C	Bid Capacity
Claimed Value	72.97	115.77	0.00	66.66
Assessed Value	77.48	170.18	0.00	23.53

n 1



Varunchandra Development and Construction LLP

Category	Factor	Limit (in INR Crore)	Years to be considered				Required	Claimed	Assessed
Category 1	1.00	Project experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 5	2020-21/2020	Minimum threshold technical capability (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i))	Rs 39.13 Crore	75.71	75.70
				Year 4	2021-22/2021				
				Year 3	2022-23/2022				
Category 2	0.75	Project experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (i)	2.61	Year 2	2023-24/2023	Minimum threshold technical capability from Category 1 & 3 (Clause- 2.2.2-(ii))	Rs 10.44 Crore	--	0.00
				Year 1	2024-25/2024				
Category 3	1.00	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in highways sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Lead Member Share (at least 60% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 23.48 Crore		NA
Category 4	0.75	Construction experience on Eligible Projects in core sector that qualify under Clause 2.2.2.6 (ii)	2.61			Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold technical capacity)	Rs 7.83 Crore		NA

[illegible]

Varunchandra Development and Construction LLP

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	10.64	10.56	10.56
2	AAT	19.98	19.98	19.98

**Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
Amit K Sharma & Associates**

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	10.56
2	all reserves created out of the profits	
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		10.56

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	32.39	21.19	20.90	7.80	11.10	19.98	
Calculated Turnover	32.39	21.19	20.90	7.80	11.10	19.98	
Assessed Turnover	32.39	21.19	20.90	7.80	11.10	19.98	



Varunchandra Development and Construction LLP

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	32.39	0.00	0.00	80.98
Assessed Value	32.39	0.00	0.00	

n 1



Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr.	Yes. Refer Project Code a above
--	---------------------------------

[illegible]

Whether meeting the Minimum Technical Threshold Criteria of one Single Completed work of at least of Rs. 10.44 Cr.	Yes. Refer Project Code a above
--	---------------------------------



Yewale Constructions Co.

Sno.	Particulars	Claimed	Calculated	Assessed
1	Net Worth	9.76	9.57	9.57
2	AAT	29.10	15.96	15.96

**Name of Statutory Auditors: M/s
Rajendra M Gundecha & Co.**

Net Worth Calculation

Net Worth as on		31-03-2025
Sno.	Particulars	Amount
1	aggregate value of the paid-up share capital	9.57
2	all reserves created out of the profits	
3	securities premium account	
Less:		
4	aggregate value of the accumulated losses	
5	deferred expenditure and miscellaneous expenditure not written off	
6	reserves created out of revaluation of assets	
7	Write back of depreciation and amalgamation	
Net Worth Calculated (1+2+3-4-5-6-7)		9.57

financials unsigned

Average Annual Turnover Calculation

Particulars	2024-25/2024	2023-24/2023	2022-23/2022	2021-22/2021	2020-21/2020	Average Annual Turnover	Remarks
Updation Factor	1	1.05	1.1	1.15	1.2		
Claimed Turnover	28.27	20.61	27.76	27.53	27.83	29.10	
Calculated Turnover	27.86	20.40	27.76	0.00	0.00	15.96	
Assessed Turnover	27.86	20.40	27.76	0.00	0.00	15.96	



Yewale Constructions Co.

Calculation of Bid Capacity

<u>Particulars</u>	<u>Value of A</u>	<u>Value of B</u>	<u>Value of C</u>	<u>Bid Capacity</u>
Claimed Value	28.27	15.12	0.00	55.56
Assessed Value	27.86	16.19	0.00	53.46

n 1

