

RW/NH-913/FrontierHighway/Tenders/2023-24(Part)
(C. No. 238760)
Government of India
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways
(North East Zone)
Transport Bhawan, 1, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001

Dated the 20th February, 2026

TECHNICAL RESULT

Subject: Construction of road from km 160.75 to km 209.90 of Huri Taliha section of NH-913 (Frontier Highway) to Intermediate Lane on EPC mode in the State of Arunachal Pradesh" (Tender ID: 2025_MoRTH_868268_1)

In reference to the bid invited by Regional Officer, Itanagar, MoRTH for subject work, the bids have been examined by the Evaluation Committee in the Ministry and the final result of Technical Evaluation, as per conditions stipulated in RFP, is as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Result	Remark
1	ABCI Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	Responsive	-
2	Airef Engineers Pvt. Ltd - Altis-Holding Corporation (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
3	Anusha Projects Private Limited - Prasad & company (Project works) Pvt Ltd (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
4	C S CONSTRUCTION COMPANY PVT. LTD	Responsive	-
5	CEIGALL INDIA LIMITED- Sushee Infra Mining Limited (JV)	Responsive	-
6	Gawar Construction Limited	Responsive	-
7	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
8	Ircon International Limited - Sri Avantika contractors (I) Ltd (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
9	J INFRATECH LIMITED	Responsive	-
10	JOINT STOCK COMPANY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION VOZROZHDENIE	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
11	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	Responsive	-
12	NKC PROJECTS PVT. LTD	Responsive	-
13	RMN INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED	Responsive	-
14	S&P-SIEPL (JV) -TTC (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
15	VELJI RATNA SORATHIA INFRA PVT. LTD - Puna Hinda (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II

2. The technically non-responsive bidders are hereby given seven days' time to represent, if any, against their technical non-responsiveness.

3. The technically responsive bidders are requested to submit their updated bid capacity in accordance with Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP by 27.02.2026 to email id: 'zonevmorth@gmail.com' and 'regionalofficer123@gmail.com'.



4. The date of opening of the financial bids shall be intimated subsequently.



(Vivek Anand Sharma)
Executive Engineer (North East)

To:

All the bidders as per Annexure-I

Annexure-I

Details of Bidders				
S. No.	Name of Bidder	Address	Email	Contact Number
1.	ABCI Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	1/3, Mc Donald Tower, 1st Floor, GS Road, Bhangagarh, Guwahati - 781007	silchar@abciinfra.com	9435070876, 9957738001
2.	Airef Engineers Pvt. Ltd - Altis-Holding Corporation (JV)	Airef House, B-23, Infocity, Sector-34, Gurgaon - 122001	gagan.gupta@airefengineers.com	01244669600, 9310357020
3.	Anusha Projects Private Limited - Prasad & company (Project works) Pvt Ltd (JV)	H.No. 8-3-833/119, Plot no. 119, Phase-I, Kamalapuri Colony, Srinagar Colony, Hyderabad - 500073, Telangana	anushaprojects@gmail.com	040-23556933, 9246369866
4.	C S CONSTRUCTION COMPANY PVT. LTD	Plot no. 2, Local Shopping Complex, Sector C-9, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi - 110070	cscons@gmail.com	011-47169900, 9643963715
5.	CEIGALL INDIA LIMITED- Sushee Infra Mining Limited (JV)	Plot No. 452, Udyog Vihar, Phase-5, Near GPO Gurgaon Behind Enkay Tower, Gurugram - 122016, Haryana	tenders@ceigall.com	9958123803, 01244206978
6.	Gawar Construction Limited	SF-01, JMD Galleria, Sector-48, Sohna Road, Gurgaon 122001 (Haryana)	tender@gawar.in , pkalra@gawar.in	0124-4854000, 9582807004, 9311751000
7.	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited	C-45, 4 th Floor, Plot-210, C Wing, Mittal Tower, Barrister Rajanai Patel Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai - 400021	hmpl.india@gmail.com	+022 22000525
8.	Ircon International Limited - Sri Avantika contractors (I) Ltd (JV)	C-4, District Centre, Saket, New Delhi - 110017	arun.vernekar@ircon.org , cqmbd@ircon.org	011-26530467, 9560595223
9.	J INFRATECH LIMITED	J-House, B-103, South City-I, Sector-30, Gurugram, Haryana - 122001	tender@jinfratech.com , infojcipl@gmail.com	9397000005, 7838489455
10.	JOINT STOCK COMPANY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION VOZROZHDENIE	A-11, Ground Floor, Gulmohar Park, New Delhi - 110049, India	mgmt@vozindia.com	9910481011
11.	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	Corp Office: 8 th Floor, Tower-A, M3M Urbana Business Park, Sector-67, Gurugram, Haryana - 122102	tender@kccbuildcon.co.in	0124-4338000, 9205590997
12.	NKC PROJECTS PVT. LTD	Plot No. 872, Udyog Vihar, Phase-V, Gurgaon - 122016 (Haryana)	rohit@nkcproject.com , tender@nkcproject.com , info@nkcproject.com	0124-6902630, 9268579831
13.	RMN INFRASTRUCTURE	H.No. 8-2-684/J/3/A, RMN House, 3 rd floor, Bhavani Nagar,	tenders@rmninfra.com	040-23322146, 8023611296

Details of Bidders				
S. No.	Name of Bidder	Address	Email	Contact Number
	S LIMITED	Road No. 12, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad - 500034		
14.	S&P-SIEPL (JV) - TTC (JV)	907, New Delhi House, 27 Barakhamba Road, New Delhi - 110001	info@spinfra.in , shyam@spinfra.in	011-23753726, 8130399999
15.	VELJI RATNA SORATHIA INFRA PVT. LTD - Puna Hinda (JV)	702, Smeet Complex, Sarabhai Campus, Vadodara - 390023, Gujarat	mail@vrs.co.in	0265-2320970, 9979333333

X 12

Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
2	Airef Engineers Pvt. Ltd - Altis-Holding Corporation (JV)	Bidder submitted a certificate dated 02.02.2026 stating the physical progress of 'Solan-Kaithlighat section of NH-22 (New NH-105)' as 90.11% and financial progress as 85.11% as on 27.08.2025. As per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP "For this purpose, a project shall be considered to be completed, <u>if more than 90% of the value of work has been completed</u> and such completed value of work is equal to or more than the abovementioned criteria....". As the said clause of RFP the completion of work to the tune of 90% is ascertained based on the value of work completed i.e. financial progress which is still less than 90% based on the value of work completed as stated by Authority in certificate dated 02.02.2026. Hence, the claimed project was not considered completed and bidder was found to be technically non-responsive for not meeting the criteria as per clause 2.2.2.4(ii) of RFP by M/s Airef Engineers Pvt. Ltd.
3	Anusha Projects Private Limited - Prasad & company (Project works) Pvt Ltd (JV)	M/s Anusha Projects Private Limited claimed that its work of "Bodhan-Basar-Bhainsa section in km 38/900 to km 95/300 on NH-161BB in Telangana" shall be considered against similar work with its share of work done as Rs 287.71 cr and also has hill slope protection work. However, it was observed the slope protection work claimed by the bidder in the said work are majorly embankment protection works i.e. toe walls, retaining wall for CD works and RE walls for protection of flyover & ROB Approach and not specifically hill slope protection works. Hence the claim of the bidder was not considered admissible. Further, M/s Prasad & company (Project works) Pvt Ltd claimed that 2 works claimed by them in the original bid against similar work criteria have been done as per specifications of MoRT&H only and are Central Government projects through MHA, hence shall be considered. However, as per projects defined as eligible projects in clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, the same were not considered as eligible projects by the Committee. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) by any member of the bidder and not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.4(ii) by M/s Prasad & company (Project works) Pvt Ltd.
7	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited	Only project code "c" i.e. Maharashtra Samruddhi Expressway (Packag-13) with completed value of Rs 113.27 cr has been considered against similar work with 5 km hill slope protection work. Bidder has claimed that projects codes 'a' and 'b' are also project in hilly terrain, however, no supporting documents have been provided in this regard. Hence, these projects were not considered as similar works as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. W.r.t its sub-contract in Project Code 'a' bidder has submitted that initial sub-contract for the work was for Rs 303.14 cr but due to its satisfactory performance, client nominated it for all balance work purposes. However, the claim of the bidder is not substantiated by any supplementary agreement or any other document of Authority and its technical capacity was assessed considering its receipts based on the total value of work as Rs 303.14 cr instead of Rs 806.88 cr and reducing year wise receipts on pro-rata basis. Thus, the modified technical capacity assessed is less than the requirements of RFP. Hence, the bidder was considered as



Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
		technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (i) & clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP.
8	Ircon International Limited - Sri Avantika contractors (I) Ltd (JV)	Bidder has not extended its bid validity beyond 22.01.2026 even upon the same was requested vide letter no. NH-12014/110/2024/FH/NH-913/Ar.P/Zone-V dated 08.01.2026, as required as per clause 2.17 of RFP, which implies that its bid is not valid and leads to disqualification of the bidder .
10	JOINT STOCK COMPANY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION VOZROZHDENIE	Bidder has submitted apostilled documents dated 09.10.2025 i.e. of date after the bid due date during its clarification. In this regard, following Clauses of RFP are applicable: <i>"2.10.1 The Bidder shall provide all the information sought under this RFP. The Authority will evaluate only those BIDs that are received online in the required formats and complete in all respects."</i> <i>"2.11.1 The Bidder shall first upload all the project details, net worth details, turnover details, bridge and tunnel details and all other details required in this RFP for technical qualification. The Bidder shall ensure that all the details are updated as <u>on the due date of submission of this bid</u>."</i> <i>(n) Copy of originals of experience certificates apostille at foreign origin, if any"</i> <i>"3.1.6.2 The Authority reserves the right to reject any Technical BID which is non-responsive and no request for alteration, modification, substitution or withdrawal shall be entertained by the Authority in respect of such BID."</i> The non-submission of apostilled documents as on bid due date leads to incomplete bid and is not liable for evaluation. Further, the submission of Apostilled Documents of a date later than the bid due date as part of clarification was considered as alteration/substitution of documents by the committee and it was decided to declare the bid as invalid.
14	S&P-SIEPL (JV) - TTC (JV)	Bidder has claimed that S&P-SIEPL(JV) is lead member of the Bidder and is registered as single entity while M/s TTC Infra is another member of the JV. This JV as single entity has claimed works on Kargil Zaskar Road which shall be considered as similar work for S&P-SIEPL (JV) combined. However, the committee decided that both S&P and SIEPL shall be treated as separate entity and the Bidder shall be treated as JV of three members. Thus, both technical and financial requirements as per RFP shall be met by each member separately. M/s TTC Infra India claimed that work of "Hanley-Chumar Road" executed at NHSL specification under BRO for an amount of Rs 216 cr shall be considered against similar work criteria. However, as per projects defined as eligible projects in clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, the same was not considered as eligible project by the Committee. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) by any member of the bidder and not meeting requirement as per clause 2.2.2.4 (ii) by M/s



Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
		SIEPL & M/s TTC.
15	VELJI RATNA SORATHIA INFRA PVT. LTD - Puna Hinda (JV)	M/s Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra Pvt Ltd has claimed that the amount of work done in work of "Up-gradation on Pati-Vokarta-Khetiya (SH-39)" without price adjustment and GST is Rs 198.67 cr as per the final bill, a copy of which was also attached. It was observed that the share of Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra Pvt Ltd in the said work was 80% and hence the eligible amount of work to be considered is Rs 158.38 cr based on the amount of BoQ works mentioned in Final Bill of Rs 197.97 cr. The hill slope protection work was also claimed to have been executed in 6.23 km by the bidder in said work. However, no supporting document was provided by the bidder in support of the same. Accordingly, length of hill slope protection work of 1.996 km as mentioned in the certificate of Authority submitted in the original bid was treated as admissible and project was not considered as similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. Hence, bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.4 (ii) of RFP by M/s Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra Pvt Ltd.

Ar 12

