

RW/NH-913/FrontierHighway/Tenders/2023-24(Part)
(C. No. 238760)
Government of India
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways
(North East Zone)
Transport Bhawan, 1, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001

Dated the 20th February, 2026

TECHNICAL RESULT

Subject: Construction of road from km 55.725 to km 113.25 of Huri Taliha section of NH-913 (Frontier Highway) to Intermediate Lane on EPC mode in the State of Arunachal Pradesh" (Tender ID: 2025_MoRTH_868246_1)

In reference to the bid invited by Regional Officer, Itanagar, MoRT&H for subject work, the bids have been examined by the Evaluation Committee in the Ministry and the final result of Technical Evaluation, as per conditions stipulated in RFP, is as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Result	Remark
1	ABCI Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	Responsive	-
2	CEIGALL INDIA LIMITED – SUSHEE INFRA MINING LIMITED (JV)	Responsive	-
3	Gammon Engineers and Contractors Pvt Ltd - Kram Infracon Pvt Ltd (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
4	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited – T and T Infra Ltd. (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
5	Ircon International Limited - LNA Infraprojects Pvt Ltd (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
6	RMN INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
7	SPS Construction India Pvt. Ltd - J Infratech Ltd (JV)	Responsive	-
8	VELJI RATNA SORATHIA INFRA PVT. LTD - Dineshchandra R Agrawal Infracon Pvt Ltd (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II

2. The technically non-responsive bidders are hereby given seven days' time to represent, if any, against their technical non-responsiveness.
3. The technically responsive bidders are requested to submit their updated bid capacity in accordance with Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP by 27.02.2026 to email id: 'zonevmorth@gmail.com' and 'regionalofficer123@gmail.com'.
4. The date of opening of the financial bids shall be intimated subsequently.


(Vivek Anand Sharma)
Executive Engineer (North East)

To:

All the bidders as per Annexure-I

List of Bidders				
S. No.	Name of Bidder	Address	Email	Contact
1	M/s ABCI Infrastructures (P) Ltd.	Registered Office: Knowledge Hub, DN-23, 2 nd Floor, Sector-V, Salt Lake, Kolkata-700091	silchar@abciinfra.com	9435070876, 9957738001
2	M/s Ceigall India Ltd. (LM)	Registered Office: A-898, Tagore Nagar, Ludhiana-141001 Corporate office: Plot No. 452, Udyog Vihar, Phase-5 Near, GPO Gurgaon, Behind, Enkay Tower, Gurugram- 122016, Haryana.	tenders@ceigall.com	9958123803, 01244206978
	M/s Sushee Infra & Mining Ltd. (OM)	Ground Floor, Sri Nilaya Cyber Spazio H.No. 8-2, 269/A/2/1 to 6, Road No.2, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad, Telangana 500034	jaipal@susheefra.com	040-4433 7879
3	M/s Gammon Engineers and Contractors (P) Ltd. (LM)	Gammon House, Veer Savarkar Marg, Prabhadevi, Mumbai 400025	Rakesh.chowdhary@gammonengineers.com	91-22-6115 3111
	M/s Kram Infracon (P) Ltd. (OM)	Registered Office: C1M, Sector 133, Wish Town, Noida- 201304	Murali.reddy@kram.co.in	9900897686
4	M/s Hazoor Multi Projects Ltd. (LM)	C-45, 4 th Floor, Plot-210, C Wing, Mittal Tower, Barrister Rajanai Patel Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai- 400021.	hmpl.india@gmail.com	022 22000525
	M/s T And T Infra Ltd. (OM)	S. No. 571/572, Shop No. 1, A1, Vishnu Vihar, Bibwewadi, Kondhwa Road, Pune. 411037	chairman@tandtinfra.com	9420495001, 9420495002
5	M/s Ircon International Ltd. (LM)	C-4, District Centre, Saket, New Delhi- 110017	Arun.vernekar@ircon.org , cgmbd@ircon.org	011- 26530467
	M/s LNA Infraprojects (P) Ltd. (OM)	Corporate Office: 1/513, Vidhyadhar Nagar, Jaipur-302039	Lna.jpr@rediffmail.com	0141-2236192/ 9314003794
6	M/s RMN Infrastructures Ltd.	Corporate Office: H. No. 8-2-684/J/3/A, RMN House, 3 rd floor, Bhavani Nagar, Road No. 12, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad- 500034	040- 23322146	tenders@rmninfra.com
7.	M/s SPS Construction India (P) Ltd. (LM)	Corporate Office: 47, Sector-9, Panchkula- 134113, Haryana, Registered Office: 1006-1007, 10 th floor, Pearls Best Height-1, Netaji Subhash Place, Pitampura, New Delhi- 110034	spscpl@gmail.com	0172-2570440, 2571595,25632 09
	M/s J Infratech Ltd. (OM)	Registered Office: J house, B-103, South City-1, Sector-30, Gurugram, Haryana- 122001	tender@jinfratech.com , infojcipl@gmail.com	9397000005/ 7838489455
8	M/s Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra (P). Ltd. (LM)	Reg. Office: 6, Om Complex, Bhuj Bhahcau, Dabda Road, Anjar-Kutch -370110 Gujarat. Office: 701-702, Smeet Complex, Sarabhai Campus, Vadodara-390023, Gujarat.	mail@vrs.co.in	0265 2320970, 9979333333
	M/s Dineshchandra R. Agrawal Infracon (P) Ltd. (OM)	Registered Office: 401, The Grand Mall, Opp: State Bank Zonal Office, S.M. Road, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad: 380015	cmd@draipl.com , drainfra89@gmail.com	079 3002 1789



Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
3	Gammon Engineers and Contractors Pvt Ltd - Kram Infracon Pvt Ltd (JV)	Committee observed that there are qualified opinions in the balance sheet of the Lead Member for year 2022-23 & 2023-24 regarding: a) Interest Waiver of Rs 361.60 Cr. during year 2023-24 and Rs 253.47 Cr. during year 2022-23 b) Material uncertainty related to Going Concern. Clarification was sought for the bidder for the same. Bidder has not provided the requisite clarifications w.r.t the effect of above opinions on Net Worth & Turnover of the firm through Statutory Auditor. Hence, the bidder is found technically non-responsive as fulfilling of requirement as per clause 2.2.2.3 (i) & (ii) of RFP is not ascertained.
4	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited – T and T Infra Ltd. (JV)	Only project code “c” i.e. Maharashtra Samruddhi Expressway (Packag-13) with completed value of Rs 113.27 cr of M/s Hazoor Multiprojects Limited is being considered against similar work with 5 km hill slope protection work. M/s Hazoor Multiprojects Limited has claimed that projects codes ‘a’ and ‘b’ are also project in hilly terrain, however, no supporting documents have been provided in this regard. Hence, these projects were not considered as similar works as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. M/s T&T Infra has claimed that Lead member of the bidder has solely fulfilled all technical and financial criteria of clause 2.2.2.2(ii). For requirement of clause 2.2.2.4 (ii), M/s T&T Infra has submitted that 20% requirement is to be met by “any member of the bidder” which is not the case. As per Corrigendum dated 01.09.2025, the phrase was modified as “each member of the bidder”. Thus, the submission of M/s T&T Infra is factually incorrect. M/s T&T infra again attached their already submitted certificate for work of ‘Balance work of Khed Sinner Section of Pune District in Maharashtra’ without any further clarification, as asked. Hence no work of T&T Infra could be found against similar work criteria as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2(ii) & 2.2.2.4(ii) of RFP by any member of the bidder.
5	Ircon International Limited - LNA Infraprojects Pvt Ltd (JV)	Bidder has not extended its bid validity beyond 21.01.2026 even upon the same was requested vide letter no. NH-12014/110/2024/FH/NH-913/Ar.P/Zone-V dated 08.01.2026, as required as per clause 2.17 of RFP, which implies that its bid is not valid and leads to disqualification of the bidder.
6	RMN INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED	Bidder has claimed experience of completion of a bridge of 1*100 m span in the project of “Construction of 2 lane road of Potin to Pangin Section of NH-13 from km 206.66 to km 247.05 (Package-6) in the State of Arunachal Pradesh” and certificate of Authority i.e. State PWD, Arunachal Pradesh has also been attached in the bid in this regard, hence the bidder was found technically responsive as per requirements of RFP. However, it came to notice



Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
		of the committee, although, the scope of the said work included construction of a bridge of 1*100 m span, the bridge actually constructed at site is of 96 m length with span arrangement of 28m+40m+28 m. The same has also been verified by CE(Highway), State PWD, Arunachal Pradesh vide email dated 03.12.2025. The requirement of the instant work is completion of span of 100 m as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP, which is not being met by the bidder in the said work or any other work claimed in the submitted bid. Hence, bidder is found technically non-responsive as per RFP.
8	VELJI RATNA SORATHIA INFRA PVT. LTD Dineshchandra R Agrawal Infracon Pvt Ltd (JV)	M/s Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra Pvt Ltd has claimed that the amount of work done in work of "Up-gradation on Pati-Vokarta-Khetiya (SH-39)" without price adjustment and GST is Rs 198.67 cr as per the final bill, a copy of which was also attached. It was observed that the share of Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra Pvt Ltd in the said work was 80% and hence the eligible amount of work to be considered is Rs 158.38 cr based on the amount of BoQ works mentioned in Final Bill of Rs 197.97 cr. The hill slope protection work was also claimed to have been executed in 6.23 km by the bidder in said work. However, no supporting document was provided by the bidder in support of the same. Accordingly, length of hill slope protection work of 1.996 km as mentioned in the certificate of Authority submitted in the original bid was treated as admissible and project was not considered as similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. W.r.t. requirement of completion of a bridge with a span of more than 96 m, M/s Dineshchandra R Agrawal Infracon Pvt Ltd has claimed completion of such bridge in work of "Jittandahalli-Dharampuri section of NH-844" certificate of which was attached on p-757 of the Bid. The certificate was perused and it was observed that completion of 5 bridges mentioned as "5 Nos (Span 120 m, 210m, 240m, 180m & 60 m respectively)" was mentioned in the certificate, however, upon verification from Authority's Engineer it was found that all these bridges are with spans of 30 m only i.e. 4*30 m, 7*30 m, 8*30 m, 6*30 m & 2*30 m respectively. It was deliberated in the meeting that there are two separate requirements in the clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP w.r.t. works that contain a bridge with a span of more than 60 m, which is the case with instant work. First requirement is w.r.t completion of a bridge with span of more than 80% of longest span in the work or 100 m whichever is less which works out to be 100 m in this case and other requirement is completion of bridge in total length of 40% of length of bridge in the instant work, which works out to be 60 m. While bidder meets the criteria of completion of a bridge of total length of more than 60 m, it fails to meet criteria of completion of bridge with a span of more than 100 m. Hence, bidder was found to be technically non-responsive as per requirement of clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP w.r.t. completion of a bridge with a span of more than 100 m as mentioned above, by any member of the bidder and not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.4 (ii) of RFP by M/s Velji Ratna Sorathia Infra Pvt Ltd.

Handwritten signature/initials