

RW/NH-913/Frontier Highway/Tenders/2023-24(Part)
(C. No. 238760)
Government of India
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways
(North East Zone)
Transport Bhawan, 1, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001

Dated the 20th February, 2026

TECHNICAL RESULT

Subject: Construction of road from km 0.000 to km 55.725 of Huri Taliha section of NH-913 (Frontier Highway) to Intermediate Lane on EPC mode in the State of Arunachal Pradesh" (Tender ID: 2025_MoRTH_868241_1)

In reference to the bid invited by Regional Officer, Itanagar, MoRTH for subject work, the bids have been examined by the Evaluation Committee in the Ministry and the final result of Technical Evaluation, as per conditions stipulated in RFP, is as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Result	Remark
1	ABCI Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	Responsive	-
2	Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
3	CEIGALL INDIA LIMITED -Sushee Infra Mining Limited (JV)	Responsive	-
4	Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
5	Dineshchandra R.Agrawal Infracon Pvt.Ltd	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
6	Gammon Engineers and Contractors Pvt Ltd- Kram Infracon Pvt Ltd (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
7	Gawar Construction Limited	Responsive	-
8	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited – T and T Infra Limited (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
9	Ircon International Limited - LNA Infraprojects Pvt Ltd (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
10	NKC PROJECTS PVT. LTD	Responsive	-
11	Prasad & company (Project works) Pvt Ltd- Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers Private Limited (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
12	RMN INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
13	S&P-SIEPL JV -TTC Infra India (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
14	SPS Construction India Pvt. Ltd -J Infratech Ltd (JV)	Responsive	-

2. The technically non-responsive bidders are hereby given seven days' time to represent, if any, against their technical non-responsiveness.

3. The technically responsive bidders are requested to submit their updated bid capacity in accordance with Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP by 27.02.2026 to email id: 'zonevmorth@gmail.com' and 'regionalofficer123@gmail.com'.



4. The date of opening of the financial bids shall be intimated subsequently.



(Vivek Anand Sharma)
Executive Engineer (North East)

To:

All the bidders as per Annexure-I

Annexure-I

List of Bidders				
S. No.	Name of Bidder	Address	Email	Contact
1.	ABCI Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	M/s ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd. Address: - 1/3, McDonald Tower, 1 st Floor, GS Road, Bhangagarh, Guwahati -781007	silchar@abcinfra.com	9435070876 9957738001
2.	Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd	M/s Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd. Address: - 9, PPCL Colony, Uday Vihar, Haridwar Bypass, Dehradun, Uttarakhand	corporate@bcipl.in tenders@bcipl.in bcipltenders@gmail.com	0135-2974170, Mob: 9412076934
3.	CEIGALL INDIA LIMITED - Sushee Infra Mining Limited (JV)	M/s Ceigall India Limited Address: - Plot No. 452, Udyog Vihar, Phase-5 Near, GPO Gurgaon Behind, Enkay Tower, Gurugram-122016, Haryana	tenders@ceigall.com	91-9958123803 , 01244206978
		M/s Sushee Infra & Mining Ltd Address: - Flat No. 306, 3rd Floor, Green Blossoms, Golden Myle Road, Kokapet, Rajendranagar, Rangareddy, Hyderabad - 500075, Telangana	jaipal@susheeginfra.com	040-44337879
4.	Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt Ltd	M/s Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt. Ltd. Address: - Plot No. '17- DSB, Sector Panchayat Pocket, Bhiwani 127021 (Haryana)	dtw.const@gmail.com	9812004848
5.	Dineshchandra R. Agrawal Infracon Pvt.Ltd	M/s Dineshchandra R. Agrawal Infracon Pvt. Ltd. Address: - 401," The Grand Mall" Opp: State Bank Zonal Office S.M. Road, Ambawadi AHMEDABAD: 380015	cmd@draipl.com drainfra89@gmail.com	079-30021789
6.	Gammon Engineers and Contractors Pvt Ltd-Kram Infracon Pvt Ltd (JV)	M/s. Gammon Engineers and Contractors Pvt Ltd Address: - Gammon House, Veer Savarkar Marg, Prabhadevi, Mumbai 400025	rakesh.chowdhary@gammonengineers.com	+91-22-61153111
		M/s Kram Infracon Private Limited Address: - C1M, Sector-133, Wish Tower, Noida-201304	murali.reddy@kram.co.in	9900897686
7.	Gawar Construction Limited	M/s Gawar Construction Limited Address: - SF-01, JMD Galleria, Sector -48, Sohna Road, Gurgaon 122001 (Haryana)	tender@gawar.in pkalra@gawar.in	+91- 124-4854000
8.	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited – T and T Infra Limited (JV)	M/s Hazoor Multi Projects Limited Address: -C-45, 4th Floor, Plot-210, C Wing, Mittal Tower, Barrister Rajanai Patel Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai - 400021	hmpl.india@gmail.com	022 22000525
		M/s T and T Infra Limited Address: - Office No 1 to 5, A1 Vishnu Vihar, Bibewewadi-kondhwa road, marketyard,	tender@tandtinfra.com	9420495026



List of Bidders				
S. No.	Name of Bidder	Address	Email	Contact
		Pune-411037		
9.	Ircon International Limited - LNA Infraprojects Pvt Ltd (JV)	M/s Ircon International Limited Address: - C-4, DISTRICT CENTRE, SAKET, NEW DELHI 110017.	arun.vernekar@ircon.org cgmdb@ircon.org	011-26530467
		M/s LNA Infraprojects Pvt. Ltd. Address: - 1/513, Vidhyadhar Nagar, Jaipur 302039	lina.jpr@rediffmail.com	0141-2236192 9314003794
10.	NKC PROJECTS PVT. LTD	M/s NKC PROJECTS PRIVATE LIMITED Address: - Plot No. 872, Udyog Vihar, Phase-V, Gurgaon-122016 (HARYANA)	rohit@nkcproject.com tender@nkcproject.com info@nkcproject.com	+91-124-6902630, +91-9268579831
11.	Prasad & company (Project works) Pvt Ltd- Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers Private Limited (JV)	M/s Prasad & company (Project works) Private Limited Address: - 6-3-871/3, 'Snehalata', Green Lands Road, Begumpet, Hyderabad - 500016, Telangana	tech@prasadandco.com prasadandcompany@gmail.com	9246369866 (040-23404952)
		M/s Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers India Private Limited Address: - D.No.76-8/2-4/SF/301, SAI SURYA TOWERS, MUNNALURISUBBARAYUDU STREET, NEAR SIVALAYAM CENTER, BHAVANIPURAM, Vijayawada (Urban), Krishna, Andhra Pradesh, 520012	lakshmiinfra685@gmail.com tenders.ho@lidipl.com	0866-2477186 91 98483 33373
12.	RMN INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED	M/s RMN Infrastructures Limited Address: - H. NO.8-2-684/J/3/A, RMN House, 3 rd Floor, Bhavani Nagar, Road No.12, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad- 500034	tenders@rmninfra.com	040-23322146
13.	S&P-SIEPL JV - TTC Infra India (JV)	M/s S&P Infrastructure Developers (P) Ltd. Address: - 907 New Delhi House, 27, Barakhamba Road, New Delhi-110001	info@spinfra.in Shyam@spinfra.in	011-23753726
		M/s Skylark Infra Engineers Pvt. Ltd. Address: - Plot No. 814, Udyog Vihar, Phase V, Gurgaon, Haryana-122017	ceo@skylarkworld.com info@skylarkworld.com	91-8130399999
		M/s TTC Infra India Address: - Main Market Bomdila, Arunachal Pradesh	ttcii.tzp@gmail.com	91-7576849549
14.	SPS Construction India Pvt. Ltd -J Infratech Ltd (JV)	M/s SPS Construction India Pvt. Ltd. Address: - 47, Sector 9, Panchkula - 134113 - Haryana	spscpkl@gmail.com	0172-2570440, 2571595, 2563209
		M/s J Infratech Limited Address: - J-House, B-103, South City-I, Sector-30, Gurugram, Haryana-122001	tender@jinfratech.com infojcipl@gmail.com	9397000005 7838489455

X.12

Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
2	Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd	Bidder claimed that the conditions of RFP w.r.t. similar work i.e. 5 km slope protection work to be done in the same work(s) with 35% or 25% works cost, as the case may be is unjustified and shall be considered separately. Bidder claimed that the two works i.e. Reconstruction works on NH-94 and Upgradation on NH-58 (New NH-07) shall be accepted against the criteria of hill slope protection works and other works claimed in bid shall be accepted against the cost criteria of similar work. However, as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, the same work shall satisfy the cost criteria as well as shall have more than 5 km of hill slope protection work. Bidder also didn't submit any clarifications w.r.t. requirement of completion of a bridge with span of more than 96 m and thus couldn't demonstrate the said criteria required as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. Hence, the bidder didn't meet the criteria as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RPP and was considered as technically non-responsive .
4	Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt Ltd	Bidder has not extended it's bid validity beyond 21.01.2026 even upon the same was requested vide letter no. NH-12014/110/2024/FH/NH-913/Ar.P/Zone-V dated 08.01.2026, as required as per clause 2.17 of RFP, which implies that its bid is not valid and leads to disqualification of the bidder .
5	Dineshchandra R.Agrawal Infracon Pvt.Ltd	W.r.t. requirement of completion of a bridge with a span of more than 96 m, bidder has claimed completion of such bridge in work of "Jittandahalli-Dharampuri section of NH-844" certificate of which was attached on p-91-94 of the Bid. The certificate was perused and it was observed that completion of 5 bridges mentioned as "5 Nos (Span 120 m, 210m, 240m, 180m & 60 m respectively)" was mentioned in the certificate, however, upon verification from Authority's Engineer it was found that all these bridges are with spans of 30 m only i.e. 4*30 m, 7*30 m, 8*30 m, 6*30 m & 2*30 m respectively. It was deliberated in the meeting that there are two separate requirements in the clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP w.r.t. works that contain a bridge with a span of more than 60 m, which is the case with instant work. First requirement is w.r.t completion of a bridge with span of more than 80% of longest span in the work or 100 m whichever is less which works out to be 96 m in this case and other requirement is completion of bridge in total length of 40% of length of bridge in the instant work, which works out to be 48 m. While bidder meets the criteria of completion of a bridge of total length of more than 48 m, it fails to meet criteria of completion of bridge with a span of more than 96 m. Hence, bidder as found to be technically non-responsive as per requirement of clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP w.r.t. completion of a bridge with a span of more than 96m as mentioned above.



Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
6	Gammon Engineers and Contractors Pvt Ltd- Kram Infracon Pvt Ltd (JV)	The Committee observed that there are qualified opinions in the balance sheet of the Lead Member for year 2022-23 & 2023-24 regarding: a) Interest Waiver of Rs 361.60 Cr. during year 2023-24 and Rs 253.47 Cr. during year 2022-23 b) Material uncertainty related to Going Concern. Clarification was sought for the bidder for the same. Bidder has not provided the requisite clarifications w.r.t the effect of above opinions on Net Worth & Turnover of the firm through Statutory Auditor. Hence, the bidder is found technically non-responsive as fulfilling of requirement as per clause 2.2.2.3 (i) & (ii) RFP is not ascertained.
8	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited – T and T Infra Limited (JV)	Only project code "c" i.e. Maharashtra Samruddhi Expressway (Packag-13) with completed value of Rs 113.27 cr of M/s Hazoor Multiprojects Limited is being considered against similar work with 5 km hill slope protection work. M/s Hazoor Multiprojects Limited has claimed that projects codes 'a' and 'b' are also project in hilly terrain, however, no supporting documents have been provided in this regard. Hence, these projects were not considered as similar works as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. M/s T&T Infra has claimed that Lead member of the bidder has solely fulfilled all technical and financial criteria of clause 2.2.2.2(ii). For requirement of clause 2.2.2.4 (ii), M/s T&T Infra has submitted that 20% requirement is to be met by "any member of the bidder" which is not the case. As per Corrigendum dated 01.09.2025, the phrase was modified as "each member of the bidder". Thus, the submission of M/s T&T Infra is factually incorrect. M/s T&T infra again attached their already submitted certificate for work of 'Balance work of Khed Sinner Section of Pune District in Maharashtra' without any further clarification as asked. Hence no work of T&T Infra could be found against similar work criteria as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2(ii) & 2.2.2.4 (ii) of RFP by any member of the bidder.
9	Ircon International Limited - LNA Infraprojects Pvt Ltd (JV)	Bidder has not extended its bid validity beyond 21.01.2026 even upon the same was requested vide letter no. NH-12014/110/2024/FH/NH-913/Ar.P/Zone-V dated 08.01.2026, as required as per clause 2.17 of RFP, which implies that its bid is not valid and leads to disqualification of the bidder.



Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
11	Prasad & company (Project works) Pvt Ltd- Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers Private Limited (JV)	M/s Prasad & company (Project works) Pvt Ltd claimed that 2 works claimed by them in the original bid against similar work criteria have been done as per specifications of MoRT&H only and are Central Government projects through MHA, hence shall be considered. However, as per projects defined as eligible projects in clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, the same were not considered as eligible projects by the Committee. M/s Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers Private Limited claimed that they have executed 48 km of Geotextile as slope protection work in the work of '6 lane greenfield highway from km 72 to km 97 of Deogarh-Rajasthan/Gujarat Border on NH-754A as a part of Amritsar-Jamnagar Economic Corridor' and shall be considered. However, M/s Lakshmi Infrastructure & Developers Private Limited didn't demonstrated the slope protections work to be in hill stretch, hence, the work was not considered against similar work requirement as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) and clause 2.2.2.4(ii) of RFP by any member of the bidder.
12	RMN INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED	Bidder has claimed experience of completion of a bridge of 1*100 m span in the project of "Construction of 2 lane road of Potin to Pangin Section of NH-13 from km 206.66 to km 247.05 (Package-6) in the State of Arunachal Pradesh" and certificate of Authority i.e. State PWD, Arunachal Pradesh has also been attached in the bid in this regard, hence the bidder was found technically responsive as per requirements of RFP. However, it came to notice of the committee, although, the scope of the said work included construction of a bridge of 1*100 m span, the bridge actually constructed at site is of 96 m length with span arrangement of 28m+40m+28 m. The same has also been verified by CE(Highway), State PWD, Arunachal Pradesh vide email dated 03.12.2025. The requirement of the instant work is completion of a bridge of span of 96 m as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP, which is not being met by the bidder in the said work or any other work claimed in the submitted bid. Hence, bidder is found technically non-responsive as per RFP.
13	S&P-SIEPL JV -TTC Infra India (JV)	Bidder has claimed that S&P-SIEPL(JV) is lead member of the Bidder and is registered as single entity while M/s TTC Infra India is another member of the JV. This JV as single entity has claimed works on Kargil Zaskar Road which shall be considered as similar work for S&P-SIEPL (JV) combined. However, the committee decided that both S&P and SIEPL shall be treated as separate entity and the Bidder shall be treated as JV of three members. Thus, both technical and financial requirements as per RFP shall be met by each member separately. M/s TTC Infra India claimed that work of "Hanley-Chumar Road" executed as NHSL specification under BRO for an amount of Rs 216 cr shall be considered



Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
		against similar work criteria. However, as per projects defined as eligible projects in clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, the same was not considered as eligible project by the Committee. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) & 2.2.2.4 (ii) by any member of the bidder.

Handwritten signature/initials in blue ink.