

RW/NH-913/Frontier Highway/Tenders/2023-24(Part)
(C. No. 238760)
Government of India
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways
(North East Zone)
Transport Bhawan, 1, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001

Dated the ^{20th} February, 2026

TECHNICAL RESULT

Subject: Construction of road from km 0.000 to km 78.38 of Sarli Huri section of NH-913 (Frontier Highway) to Intermediate Lane on EPC mode in the State of Arunachal Pradesh" (Tender ID: 2025_MoRTH_868225_1)

In reference to the bid invited by Regional Officer, Itanagar, MoRTH for subject work, the bids have been examined by the Evaluation Committee in the Ministry and the final result of Technical Evaluation, as per conditions stipulated in RFP, is as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Result	Remark
1	ABCI Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	Responsive	-
2	Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
3	C S CONSTRUCTION COMPANY PVT. LTD	Responsive	-
4	CEIGALL INDIA LIMITED-Sushee Infra Mining Limited (JV)	Responsive	-
5	Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
6	Dineshchandra R.Agrawal Infracon Pvt.Ltd	Responsive	-
7	Gammon Engineers and Contractors Pvt Ltd-Kram Infracon Pvt Ltd (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
8	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
9	J INFRATECH LIMITED	Responsive	-
10	JOINT STOCK COMPANY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION VOZROZHDENIE	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
11	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	Responsive	-
12	NKC PROJECTS PVT. LTD	Responsive	-
13	RMN INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED	Responsive	-
14	S&P-SIEPL JV - TTC Infra India (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
15	SHIVALAYA CONSTRUCTION LIMITED	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II

2. The technically non-responsive bidders are hereby given seven days' time to represent, if any, against their technical non-responsiveness.

3. The technically responsive bidders are requested to submit their updated bid capacity in accordance with Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP by 27.02.2026 to email id: 'zonevmorth@gmail.com' and 'regionalofficer123@gmail.com'.



4. The date of opening of the financial bids shall be intimated subsequently.



(Vivek Anand Sharma)
Executive Engineer (North East)

To:

All the bidders as per Annexure-I

List of Bidders				
S. No.	Name of Bidder	Address	Email	Contact
1.	ABC Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	Mr. Budhmal Baid, MD 1/3, Mc Donald Tower, 1 st floor, GS Road, BHangagarh, Guwahati-781007 204, South Delhi House, Kailash Colony, New Dehi 110048	silchar@abciinfra.com	9435070876, 9957738001
2.	Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd	Mr. Rajeev Garg, Director, 9, PPCL Colony, Uday Vihar, Haridwar Bypass, Dehradun, Uttarakhand	corporate@bcipl.in , tenders@bcipl.in , bcipltenders@gmail.com	0135-2974170, 9412076934
3.	C S CONSTRUCTION COMPANY PVT. LTD	Mr. Amogha Kumar Sharma, Plot no. 2, Local Shopping Complex, Sector C-9, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi-110070	cscons@gmail.com	011-47169900 (O) +91 9643963715
4.	CEIGALL INDIA LIMITED-Sushee Infra Mining Limited (JV)	Mr. Chitwon Wason, WTD Corporate office: Plot No. 452, Udyog Vihar, Phase-5 Near, GPO Gurgaon, Behind, Enkay Tower, Gurugram- 122016, Haryana.	tenders@ceigall.com	9958123803, 01244206978
5.	Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt Ltd	Mr. Rajbir Singh, MD, Plot No. 17- DSB, Sector Panchayat Pocket, Bhiwani 127021	dtw.const@gmail.com	9812004848, 01664-250848
6.	Dineshchandra R.Agrawal Infracon Pvt.Ltd	Mr. Dineshchandra R. Agrawal, Registered Office: 401, The Grand Mall, Opp: State Bank Zonal Office, S.M. Road, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad: 380015	cmd@draipl.com , drainfra89@gmail.com	07930021789
7.	Gammon Engineers and Contractors Pvt Ltd-Kram Infracon Pvt Ltd (JV)	Lead Member: Mr. Rakesh Chowdhary, Authorized Signatory Gammon House, Veer Savarkar Marg, Prabhadevi, Mumbai 400025	rakesh.chowdhary@gammonengineers.com	91-22-6115 3111
8.	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited	Mr. Jalal Mustfa Tamboli, C-45, 4 th Floor, Plot-210, C Wing, Mittal Tower, Barrister Rajanai Patel Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai-400021.	hmpl.india@gmail.com	022 22000525
9.	J INFRATECH LIMITED	Mr. Mohit Jandu, Director Registered Office: J house, B-103, South City-1, Sector-30, Gurugram, Haryana-122001	tender@jinfratech.com , infojcipl@gmail.com	9397000005/ 7838489455
10.	JOINT STOCK COMPANY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION VOZROZHDENIE	Mr. Hoshiyar Singh Dahiya, Authorized Signatory A-11, Ground Floor, Gulmohar Park, New Delhi-110049, India	mgmt@vozindia.com	9910481011
11.	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	Mr. Shivraj Kundu, Director Corp Office: 8 th Floor, Tower -A,	tender@kccbuidcon.co.in	0124-4338000 ,9205590997

List of Bidders				
S. No.	Name of Bidder	Address	Email	Contact
		M3M Urbana Business Park, Sector 67, Gurugram, Haryana, 122012		
12.	NKC PROJECTS PVT. LTD	Mr. Rohit Kumar Gupta, WTD Plot No. 872, Udyog Vihar, Phase-V, Gurgaon-122016 (Haryana)	rohit@nkcproject.com , tender@nkcproject.com , info@nkcproject.com	0124-6902630, 9268579831
13.	RMN INFRASTRUCTURE S LIMITED	Mr. R. Maheswara Naidu, MD, H. No. 8-2-684/J/3/A, RMN House, 3 rd floor, Bhavani Nagar, Road No. 12, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad-500034	tenders@rmninfra.com	040- 23322146
14.	S&P-SIEPL JV - TTC Infra India (JV)	Lead Member: Mr. Shyam Sevak Mittal, Director, 907 New Delhi House, 27Barakhamba Road, New Delhi-110001	info@spinfra.in Shyam@spinfra.in	011-23753726
15.	SHIVALAYA CONSTRUCTION LIMITED	Mr. Sumit Nandal, Director Plot No. 137, Avtar Enclave, Paschim Vihar, New Delhi-110063	tenders@sccgroup.co.i n, info@sccgroup.co.in	9205193348

Vaib

Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
2	Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd	Bidder claimed that the conditions of RFP w.r.t. similar work i.e. 5 km slope protection work to be done in the same work(s) with 35% or 25% works cost, as the case may be is unjustified and shall be considered separately. Bidder claimed that the two works i.e. Reconstruction works on NH-94 and Upgradation on NH-58 (New NH-07) shall be accepted against the criteria of hill slope protection works and other works claimed in bid shall be accepted against the cost criteria of similar work. However, as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, the same work shall satisfy the cost criteria as well as shall have more than 5 km of hill slope protection work. Hence, the bidder didn't meet the criteria as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP and was considered as technically non-responsive .
5	Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt Ltd	Bidder has not extended its bid validity beyond 20.01.2026 even upon the same was requested vide letter no. NH-12014/110/2024/FH/NH-913/Ar.P/Zone-V dated 08.01.2026, as required as per clause 2.17 of RFP, which implies that its bid is not valid and leads to disqualification of the bidder .
7	Gammon Engineers and Contractors Pvt Ltd-Kram Infracon Pvt Ltd (JV)	The Committee observed that there are qualified opinions in the balance sheet of the Lead Member for year 2022-23 & 2023-24 regarding: a) Interest Waiver of Rs 361.60 Cr. during year 2023-24 and Rs 253.47 Cr. during year 2022-23 b) Material uncertainty related to Going Concern. Clarification was sought for the bidder for the same. Bidder has not provided the requisite clarifications w.r.t the effect of above opinions on Net Worth & Turnover of the firm through Statutory Auditor. Hence, the bidder is found technically non-responsive as fulfilling of requirement as per clause 2.2.2.3 (i) & (ii) RFP is not ascertained.
8	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited	Only project code "c" i.e. Maharashtra Samruddhi Expressway (Packag-13) with completed value of Rs 113.27 cr is being considered against similar work with 5 km hill slope protection work. Bidder has claimed that projects codes 'a' and 'b' are also project in hilly terrain, however, no supporting documents have been provided in this regard. Hence, these projects were not considered as similar works as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. W.r.t its sub-contract in Project Code 'a' bidder has submitted that initial sub-contract for the work was for Rs 303.14 cr but due to its satisfactory performance, client nominated it for all balance work purposes. However, the claim of the bidder is not substantiated by any supplementary agreement or any other document of Authority and its technical capacity was assessed considering its receipts based on the total value of work as Rs 303.14 cr instead of Rs 806.88 cr and reducing year wise receipts on pro-rata basis. Thus, the technical capacity assessment doesn't meet the requirement of threshold technical capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2



Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
		(i) & clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP.
10	JOINT STOCK COMPANY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION VOZROZHDE NIE	Bidder has submitted apostiled documents dated 09.10.2025 i.e. of date after the bid due date during its clarification. In this regard, following Clauses of RFP are applicable: <i>"2.10.1 The Bidder shall provide all the information sought under this RFP. The Authority will evaluate only those BIDs that are received online in the required formats and complete in all respects."</i> <i>"2.11.1 The Bidder shall first upload all the project details, net worth details, turnover details, bridge and tunnel details and all other details required in this RFP for technical qualification. The Bidder shall ensure that all the details are updated as <u>on the due date of submission of this bid</u>."</i> <i>(n) Copy of originals of experience certificates apostille at foreign origin, if any"</i> <i>"3.1.6.2 The Authority reserves the right to reject any Technical BID which is non-responsive and no request for alteration, modification, substitution or withdrawal shall be entertained by the Authority in respect of such BID."</i> The non-submission of apostilled documents as on bid due date leads to incomplete bid and is not liable for evaluation. Further, the submission of Apostiled Documents of a date later than the bid due date as part of clarification was considered as alteration/substitution of documents by the committee and it was decided to declare the bid as invalid.
14	S&P-SIEPL JV - TTC Infra India (JV)	Bidder has claimed that S&P-SIEPL(JV) is lead member of the Bidder and is registered as single entity while M/s TTC Infra is another member of the JV. This JV as single entity has claimed works on Kargil Zanskar Road which shall be considered as similar work for S&P-SIEPL (JV) combined. However, the committee decided that both S&P and SIEPL shall be treated as separate entity and the Bidder shall be treated as JV of three members. Thus, both technical and financial requirements as per RFP shall be met by each member separately. M/s TTC Infra India claimed that work of "Hanley-Chumar Road" executed at NHSL specification under BRO for an amount of Rs 216 cr shall be considered against similar work criteria. However, as per projects defined as eligible projects in clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, the same was not considered as eligible project by the Committee. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) & 2.2.2.4(ii) by any member of the bidder.
15	SHIVALAYA CONSTRUCTION LIMITED	The Committee found the bidder to be a deemed non-performing party as per clause 2.1.14 of RFP for "Occurrence of major failure of structures/highway due to construction defect wherein no casualties are reported" in the ongoing work of "Kollam Bypass-Kadambattukonam, km 486.00 to km 517.250 of NH-66 in the State of Kerala' and thus its bid has not been considered as admissible.

