

RW/NH-913/FrontierHighway/Tenders/2023-24(Part)
(C. No. 238760)
Government of India
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways
(North East Zone)
Transport Bhawan,1, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001

Dated the 23rd June, 2026

TECHNICAL RESULT

Subject: Construction of road from km 43.65 to km 85.60 of Lada Sarli section of NH-913 (Frontier Highway) to Intermediate Lane on EPC mode in the State of Arunachal Pradesh" (Tender ID: 2025_MoRTH_868161_1)

In reference to the bid invited by Regional Officer, Itanagar, MoRT&H for subject work, the bids have been examined by the Evaluation Committee in the Ministry and the final result of Technical Evaluation, as per conditions stipulated in RFP, is as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Result	Remark
1	ABCI Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	Responsive	-
2	Anusha Projects Private Limited	Responsive	-
3	C S CONSTRUCTION COMPANY PVT. LTD	Responsive	-
4	Dhariwal Buildtech Limited	Responsive	-
5	Dineshchandra R.Agrawal Infracon Pvt.Ltd	Responsive	-
6	DMR Builders Pvt. Ltd	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
7	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
8	J INFRATECH LIMITED	Responsive	-
9	JAYSHREE INTERNATIONAL	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
10	JOINT STOCK COMPANY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION VOZROZHDENIE	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
11	Kram Infracon Private Limited	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
12	MS R and C INFRAENGINEERS PVT LTD- APS Hydro Pvt Ltd (JV)	Responsive	-
13	NKC PROJECTS PVT. LTD	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
14	NSPR CONSTRUCTIONS (INDIA) PVT LTD	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
15	PRL Projects & Infrastructure Ltd - Nabam Tullon LLP (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
16	R. K. Chavan Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
17	RKCPL LIMITED	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
18	RMN INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED	Responsive	-
19	S&P Infrastructure Developers Pvt Ltd.- Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd.(JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
20	SARASWATI BUILDCON	Non-	Details as per



Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Result	Remark
		Responsive	Annexure-II
21	Bekem Infra Projects Private LIMITED-Sudhakar Infratech Pvt Ltd (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II

2. The technically non-responsive bidders are hereby given seven days' time to represent, if any, against their technical non-responsiveness.

3. The technically responsive bidders are requested to submit their updated bid capacity in accordance with Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP by 30.06.2026 to email id: 'zonevmorth@gmail.com' and 'regionalofficer123@gmail.com'.

4. The date of opening of the financial bids shall be intimated subsequently.

(Vivek Anand Sharma)
Executive Engineer (North East)

To:

All the bidders as per Annexure-I

List of Bidders				
S. No.	Name of Bidder	Address	Email	Contact
1	ABCI Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	1/3, Mc Donald Tower, 1 st Floor, GS Road, Bhangagarh, Guwahati-781007.	silchar@abcinfra.co.in	9435070876, 9957738001
2	Anusha Projects Private Limited	H. No. 8-3-833/199, Plot No 119, Phase -I, Kamalapuri Colony, Srinagar Colony, Hyderabad - 500 073, Telangana 040 - 23601166	anushaprojects@gmail.com	040 - 23601160
3	C S CONSTRUCTION COMPANY PVT. LTD	Plot No. 2, Local Shopping Complex, Sector C-9, Vasant Kung, New Delhi-110070	cscons@gmail.com	011-47169900 (o) +91 9643963715
4	Dhariwal Buildtech Limited	H.N. 508, Sector-15A, Hisar-125001, Haryana	tenders@dhariwalbuildtech.com	9813533000
5	Dineshchandra R.Agrawal Infracon Pvt.Ltd	401, "The Grand Mall" Opp: State Bank Zonal Office, S.M. Road, Ambawadi, AHMEDABAD: 380015	Cmd@draipl.com, Drainfra89@gmail.com	079-3002 1789
6	DMR Builders Pvt. Ltd	SCF-126, Opp. Sukhraj Cinema, Rose Garden Complex, G.T. Road, Bathinda-151001 (Pb.)	dmrbuilder@yahoo.in	0164 - 5009898
7	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited	C-45, 4 th Floor, Plot-210, C Wing, Mittal Tower, Barrister Rajanai Patel Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai- 400021	Hmpl.india@gmail.com	+022-22000525
8	J INFRATECH LIMITED	J-House, B-103, South City-I, Sector-30, Gurugram, Haryana-122001	tender@jinfratech.com , infojcipl@gmail.com	9397000005 / 7838489455
9	JAYSHREE INTERNATIONAL	Jayshree, 19 Park Lane, Church Road, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi-110070	jayshreegrouppltd@gmail.com	+91 9999 97 8257
10	JOINT STOCK COMPANY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION VOZROZHDENIE	A-11, Ground Floor, Gulmohar Park. New Delhi- 110049, India	mgmt@vozindia.com	+91 - 9910481011
11	Kram Infracon Private Limited	C 1M, Sector 133, Wish Town, Noida - 201304	Murali.reddv@kram.co.in	+91 9900897686
12	MS R and C INFRAENGINEERS PVT LTD- APS Hydro Pvt Ltd (JV)	1/13/16 G, Khaparadeeh Kothi Campus, Civil Lines, Ayodha, Uttar Pradesh -224001	randcinfraengineers@gmail.com	9415454348, 7800911000
13	NKC PROJECTS PVT. LTD	Plot No. 872, Udyog Vihar, Phase-V, Gurgaon-122016 (HARYANA)	rohit@nkcproject.com , tender@nkcproject.com , info@nkcproject.com	+91-124-6902630, +91-9268579831
14	NSPR CONSTRUCTIONS (INDIA) PVT LTD	S. No. 91/2, Concrete Corporate, 3rd Floor, Behind Care Hospital, Adjacent Lane, Jayabheri Pine Valley, Gachibowli, Hyderabad - 500032, Telangana State, India.	tenders@nspr.in	040-29390039 / 9849286171



List of Bidders				
S. No.	Name of Bidder	Address	Email	Contact
15	PRL Projects & Infrastructure Ltd - Nabam Tullon LLP (JV)	34/1, Vikas Apartment, East Punjabi Bagh, New Delhi-110026	bdu@prlinfra.com info@prlinfra.com	+ (91) 11-47016093
16	R. K. Chavan Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd	Flat No. 202, Rahul Enclaves, S No. 116/7/1, Plot No. A, Warje, Pune - 411058	tender@rkcipl.com	020-25204294/95
17	RKCPL LIMITED	DLF Corporate Greens, Tower-3A, 4 th Floor, SPR Road Gurugram-122004, Haryana	Info@rkcp.ltd , goyalkrishan01@gmail.com	94665-55566
18	RMN INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED	H. No. 8-2-684/J/3/A, RMN House, 3 rd floor, Bhavani Nagar, Road No.12, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad - 500034	tenders@rmninfra.com	040 - 23322146
19	S&P Infrastructure Developers Pvt Ltd.- Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	907 New Delhi House, 27Barakhamba Road, New Delhi - 110001	info@spinfra.in Shyam@spinfra.in	011-23753726
20	SARASWATI BUILDCON	687/1,Ognaj Gota road, Near Vasantnagar Township, Ognaj, Ahmedabad-380060	tendersbcon@gmail.com	8980036087/ 8980036100
21	Bekem Infra Projects Private LIMITED-Sudhakar Infrotech Pvt Ltd (JV)	PLOT NO.11, SURVEY NO.9, THE WATER MARK BUILDING, WING-B, KONDAPUR, HITECH CITY, HYDERABAD – 500084	sudhakarainfrotech@gmail.com	040 – 223311118

X212

Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
6	DMR Builders Pvt. Ltd	The bidder has submitted certificate w.r.t work of "Ranikhor-Baghmara (Pkg-III)" with amount was not explicitly mentioned as hilly was earlier considered as non-responsive for not meeting the criteria of completion of 5 km of hill slope protection in similar work required as per clause 2.2.2.2.(ii) of RFP as protection work of 5.283 km in the work of "Ranikhor-Baghmara (Pkg-III)" with cost of completed work as Rs 195.00 cr (i.e GST and Price Adjustment) and with protection work of 5.283 km, which was not explicitly mentioned as hilly. Authority in the case i.e. PMU Baghmara, NHIDCL, upon request, vide email dated 18.12.2025 has confirmed that slope protection works in 5.283 km have been executed in hilly terrain and the amount of work executed excl GST and Price Adjustment is Rs 149.66 cr. No other work under similar work category was found in the bid. Further, the Technical Capacity claimed by the bidder against clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP is Rs 549.06 cr. In its project code 'D' i.e. "Ranikhor-Baghmara (Pkg-III)", the amount claimed to be received by the bidder in last 5 years is Rs 194.03 cr, however, the amount competed by the bidder in this work is Rs 149.66 cr (excl GST and Price Adjustment) as reported by the Authority. Thus, reducing the amounts received in the same proportion for this work, the technical capacity works out to be Rs 501.56 cr. which is less than the requirement of clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP i.e. Rs 532.25 cr. Clarification was sought from the bidder to demonstrate, how it is meeting the requirement of completion of a similar work of Rs 186.29 cr or 2 similar works of Rs 133.07 cr with 5 km hill slope protection works through certificate of Authority and Technical Capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP." Bidder didn't submit clarification to the above queries. Hence, based on the documents submitted in the original bid, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (i) & clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. Moreover, bidder has also not extended its bid validity beyond 19.04.2026, and validity of Bank Guarantee against bid security beyond 21.03.2026, which also leads to disqualification of the bidder.
7	Hazoor Multiprojects Limited	Only project code "c" i.e. Maharashtra Samruddhi Expressway (Package-13) with completed value of Rs 113.27 cr has been considered against similar work with 5 km hill slope protection work. Bidder has claimed that projects codes 'a' and 'b' are also project in hilly terrain, however, no supporting documents have been provided in this regard. Hence, these projects were not considered as similar works as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. W.r.t its sub-contract in Project Code 'a' bidder has submitted that initial sub-contract for the work was for Rs 303.14 cr but due to its satisfactory performance, client nominated it for all balance work purposes. However, the claim of the bidder is not substantiated by any supplementary agreement or any other document of Authority and its technical capacity was assessed considering its receipts based on the total value of work as Rs 303.14 cr instead of Rs. 806.88 cr and reducing year wise receipts on pro-rata basis. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. Moreover, bidder has also not extended its bid validity beyond 19.04.2026, which also leads to disqualification of the bidder.



Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
9	JAYSHREE INTERNATIONAL	Bidder has not extended its bid validity beyond 19.01.2026 even upon request, as required as per clause 2.17 of RFP. Moreover, validity of Bank Guarantee against bid security has also not been extended by the bidder. Hence, bidder was considered as non-responsive as per RFP.
10	JOINT STOCK COMPANY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION VOZROZHDENIE	Bidder has submitted apostilled documents dated 09.10.2025 i.e. of date after the bid due date during its clarification. In this regard, following Clauses of RFP are applicable: <i>"2.10.1 The Bidder shall provide all the information sought under this RFP. The Authority will evaluate only those BIDs that are received online in the required formats and complete in all respects."</i> <i>"2.11.1 The Bidder shall first upload all the project details, net worth details, turnover details, bridge and tunnel details and all other details required in this RFP for technical qualification. The Bidder shall ensure that all the details are updated as <u>on the due date of submission of this bid</u>."</i> <i>(n) Copy of originals of experience certificates apostille at foreign origin, if any"</i> <i>"3.1.6.2 The Authority reserves the right to reject any Technical BID which is non-responsive and no request for alteration, modification, substitution or withdrawal shall be entertained by the Authority in respect of such BID."</i> The non-submission of apostilled documents as on bid due date leads to incomplete bid and is not liable for evaluation. Further, the submission of Apostilled Documents of a date later than the bid due date as part of clarification was considered as alteration/substitution of documents by the committee and it was decided to declare the bid as invalid.
11	Kram Infracon Private Limited	Bidder has claimed that the total payment received for the project code 'B' is Rs 70.78 cr. However, the said amount is also inclusive of Rs 19.80 cr received in FY 2019-20, which is not to be considered as only the receipts in last 5 years are to be considered for assessing Technical Capacity as per clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP. Thus, the assessment as already done for project code 'B' stands good and receipt of Rs 50.95 cr for the work during last 5 years without updation factor, is less than the eligible receipt as defined in clause 2.2.2.6(ii) of RFP and is not to be considered for assessing technical capacity as per clause 2.2.2.2(i) of RFP. Further, for project codes 'C' and 'D', bidder has submitted that it has claimed gross value received for the projects and contract value of Project Code 'D' is exclusive of GST. It was deliberated that value of work excluding GST is to be considered for assessing technical capacity even if the contract of claimed work was inclusive of GST. Even after considering the contract value of Project Code 'D' exclusive of GST, which was also substantiated by NHIDCL HQ technical division verbally, the Technical Capacity of the bidder works out to be Rs 527.96 cr which is less than the required value of Rs 532.25 cr. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (i) of RFP. Moreover, bidder

Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
		has also not extended it bid validity beyond 19.04.2026 and validity of Bank Guarantee against bid security beyond 21.03.2026 which also leads to disqualification of the bidder.
13	NKC PROJECTS PVT. LTD	Bidder has not extended it bid validity beyond 19.04.2026 and validity of Bank Guarantee against bid security beyond 21.03.2026, and thus has been considered as non-responsive as per RFP.
14	NSPR CONSTRUCTIONS (INDIA) PVT LTD	Bidder has not extended it bid validity beyond 19.04.2026 and validity of Bank Guarantee against bid security beyond 17.04.2026, and thus has been considered as non-responsive as per RFP.
15	PRL Projects & Infrastructure Ltd - Nabam Tullon LLP (JV)	M/s PRL Projects and Infrastructure Ltd. has been declared as Non-performer and debarred for a period of 3 years vide letter no. RW/NH-12014/RJ(4)/2016-S&R(B) dated 06.05.2026 w.r.t defects in the executed work of "Construction of proposed 2-lane RoB and its approaches in lieu of existing level crossing no. 64 at km 172.990 on Jodhpur-Ajmer Road on NH-65 in district Nagaur in the State of Rajasthan". As per Clause 2.1.14 of the RFP, "The Bidder, including an individual or any of its Joint Venture member, should neither be a non-performing party on the date of opening of tender nor on the date of issue of Letter of Acceptance (LoA). In case, any debarred/declared non-performer firm submits the bid, the same will be ignored." Hence, the bid of the bidder was ignored and bidder was declared as non-responsive .
16	R. K. Chavan Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd	Bidder has claimed the work of "Improvement work on SH-373" with cost of Rs 248.66 cr against similar work. W.r.t. said work bidder has claimed that 2.6 km slope protection work has been done by the bidder on both sides of road and thus the total length of hill slope protection is 5.2 km. However, same is not evident from certificate of Authority. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP. Moreover, bidder has also not extended it bid validity beyond 19.04.2026 and validity of Bank Guarantee against bid security beyond 20.03.2026, which also leads to disqualification of the bidder.
17	RKCPL LIMITED	Bidder has not extended the validity of Bank Guarantee against bid security beyond 30.04.2026, which leads to disqualification of the bidder. Hence, the bidder was considered as non-responsive as per RFP.
19	S&P Infrastructure Developers Pvt Ltd.- Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd.(JV)	Bidder has not extended it bid validity beyond 19.04.2026 and validity of Bank Guarantee against bid security beyond 21.03.2026, and thus has been considered as non-responsive as per RFP.
20	SARASWATI BUILDCON	The bidder has submitted Annex-IV & IVA of Appendix-1A of the RFP as password protected file and the same could not be evaluated. Further, the bidder is not meeting the Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3(ii) of RFP. Hence, the bidder is found technically non-responsive as per RFP.



Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
		Moreover, bidder has also not extended its bid validity beyond 09.05.2026 and validity of Bank Guarantee against bid security beyond 20.05.2026, which also leads to disqualification of the bidder.
21	Bekem Infra Projects Private LIMITED-Sudhakar Infratech Pvt Ltd (JV)	M/s Bekem Infra Projects Private Limited has submitted a certificate from Authority dated 02.02.2026 with progress of 90% of Package OD-8 of Raipur-Visakhapatnam Economic Corridor. M/s Bekem Infra Projects Private Limited has claimed that this progress of 90% was achieved by 22.09.2025 i.e. bid due date, however, same could not be verified by the certificate of authority. Thus, the completion of said work claimed by the M/s Bekem Infra Projects Private Limited was not considered as on bid due date by the Committee and thus the work was not considered as an eligible project as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP. M/s Sudhakar Infratech Pvt Ltd has submitted a certificate from the Authority mentioning that M/s Sudhakar Infratech Pvt Ltd has executed 100% of the work of Kohima-Jessami (Pkg-III). Approval of Authority for this 100% execution by M/s Sudhakar Infratech Pvt Ltd was not evident from the certificate of Authority. Moreover, as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, the share of the bidder in the work is to be restricted to JV share in original contract which is 60%. Thus, committee decided to evaluate the bid of M/s Sudhakar Infratech Pvt Ltd considering its share of 60% in the said work. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) by any member of the JV & not meeting clause 2.2.2.4(ii) by M/s Bekem Infra Projects Private Limited.

