

RW/NH-913/FrontierHighway/Tenders/2023-24(Part)
(C. No. 238760)
Government of India
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways
(North East Zone)
Transport Bhawan, 1, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001

Dated the 28th February, 2026

TECHNICAL RESULT

Subject: Construction of road from km 70.000 to km 113.000 of Bomdila Nafra Lada section of NH-913 (Frontier Highway) to Intermediate Lane on EPC mode in the State of Arunachal Pradesh" (Tender ID: 2025_MoRTH_868055_1)

In reference to the bid invited by Regional Officer, Itanagar, MoRTH for subject work, the bids have been examined by the Evaluation Committee in the Ministry and the final result of Technical Evaluation, as per conditions stipulated in RFP, is as under:

Sl. No.	Name of Bidder	Result	Remark
1	ABCI Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	Responsive	-
2	Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
3	Dhariwal Buildtech Limited - Altis-Holding Corporation (JV)	Responsive	-
4	Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
5	Dineshchandra R.Agrawal Infracon Pvt.Ltd	Responsive	-
6	Gawar Construction Limited	Responsive	-
7	Ircon International Limited - Badri Rai & company (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
8	JOINT STOCK COMPANY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION VOZROZHDENIE	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
9	JSP Projects Pvt. Ltd - Shree Girrajee Infra Heights Pvt Ltd (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
10	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	Responsive	-
11	NKC PROJECTS PVT. LTD	Responsive	-
12	Power Mech Projects Limited	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
13	Prasad & company (Project works) Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
14	RMN INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
15	S&P Infrastructure Developers Pvt Ltd.- Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd.(JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
16	SHIVALAYA CONSTRUCTION LIMITED	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II
17	SUSHEE INFRA & MINING LIMITED	Responsive	-
18	TTC Infra India - Bharat Vanijya Eastern Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Non-Responsive	Details as per Annexure-II

(Signature)

2. The technically non-responsive bidders are hereby given seven days' time to represent, if any, against their technical non-responsiveness.
3. The technically responsive bidders are requested to submit their updated bid capacity in accordance with Clause 2.2.2.1 of RFP by 27.02.2026 to email id: 'zonevmorth@gmail.com' and 'regionalofficer123@gmail.com'.
4. The date of opening of the financial bids shall be intimated subsequently.



(Vivek Anand Sharma)
Executive Engineer (North East)

To:

All the bidders as per Annexure-I

List of Bidders				
S. No.	Name of Bidder	Address	Email	Contact
1	ABCI Infrastructures Pvt Ltd	1/3, Mc Donaid Tower, 1st Floor, GS Road, Bhangagarh, Guwahati-781007	silchar@abciinfra.com	Mr. Budhmal Baid (Managing Director) 9435070876, 9957738001
2	Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd	9, PPCL Colony Vihar, Haridwar Bypass, Dehradun, Uttarakhand	corporate@bcipl.in, tenders@bcipl.in, bcipltenders@gmail.com	Mr. Rajeev Garg (Director) 0135-2974170, 9412076934
3	Dhariwal Buildtech Limited - Altis-Holding Corporation (JV)	DSS-72P, Sector-15AP, Hisar-125001, Haryana. (Old #DSS-68, Sector-15A, Hisar-125001, Haryana.)	tenders@dhariwalbuildtech.com, dbl.hisar@rediffmail.com	Mr. Chet Ram Dhariwal (Managing Director) 9813533000
4	Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt Ltd	Plot No. 17-DSB, Sector Panchayat Pocket, Bhiwani-127021 (Haryana)	dtw.const@gmail.com	Mr. Rajbir Singh (Managing Director) 9812004848
5	Dineshchandra R.Agrawal Infracon Pvt.Ltd	Registered Office: 401, "The Grand Mali" Opp: State Bank Zonal Office S.M. Road Ambawadi Ahmedabad: 380015	cmd@draipl.com , drainfra89@gmail.com	Mr. Sameer Modh {Manager (Business Development)} 079-3002-1789
6	Gawar Construction Limited	SF-01, JMD Galleria, Sector-48, Sohna Road, Gurgaon 122001 (Haryana)	pkalra@gawar.in	Mr. Ravinder Kumar (Director) +91-124-4854000, +91-93117-51000
7	Ircon International Limited - Badri Rai & company (JV)	C-4, District Centre, Saket, New Delhi-110017	arun.vernekar@ircon.org brcdjn@gmail.com	Mr. Arun V. Vernekar (Chief General Manager/ Business Development) 011-26530467
8	JOINT STOCK COMPANY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION VOZROZHDENIE	Regd. Office: Mikhailovskiystr, 4a, Saint-Petersburg, 198095, Russian Fedration	mgmt@vozindia.com	Mr. Hoshiar Singh Dahiya (Authorised Signatory) +91-9910481011
9	JSP Projects Pvt. Ltd - Shree Girrajee Infra Heights Pvt Ltd (JV)	Head/Corp. Office - Flat No-5, IInd Floor, IIIrd B-2, Nehru Nagar Ghaziabad-201001	info@jspprojects.com, agarwalmanoj135@gmail.com shreegroup555@gmail.com	Mr. Manoj Agarwal (Director) 0120-2792705, 9910093325
10	KCC Buildcon Private Limited	Registered Office: BU-5, SFS Flats, Outer Ring Road Pitampura, Delhi-110034 Corp. Office: 8th Floor, Tower-A, M3M Urbana Business Park, Sector-67, Gurugram, Haryana-122102	tender@kccbuildcon.co.in	Mr. Shivraj Kundu (Director) 0124-4338000, +91-9205590997

List of Bidders				
S. No.	Name of Bidder	Address	Email	Contact
11	NKC PROJECTS PVT. LTD	154, First Floor, Vardhman Crown Mall Plot No. 2, Sector-19, Dwarka, New Delhi-75	rohit@nkcproject.com/ tender@nkcproject.com / info@nkcproject.com	Mr. Rohit Kumar Gupta (Whole-time Director) +91-124-6902630, +91-9268579831
12	Power Mech Projects Limited	Plot No. 77, Jubilee Enclave, Opp Hitex, Madhapur, Hyderabad - 500081, Telangana, India	csxcivil@powermech.net, muralikrishna@powermech.net	Mr. R. Murali Krishna {AVP (Comml., SCT)} +91 -40-3044 4433
13	Prasad & company (Project works) Pvt Ltd	6-3-871/3, Snehalata, Greenland's Road Begumpet, Hyderabad - 500016, Telangana	tech@prasadandco.com, prasadandcompany@gmail.com	Mr. P. Srinivasu General Manager – Tech 9246369866
14	RMN INFRASTRUCTURES LIMITED	H. No. 8-2-684/J/3/A, RMN House, 3rd Floor, Bhavani Nagar, Road No. 1, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad - 500034	tenders@rmninfra.com	Mr. R. Maheswara Naidu (Managing Director) 040-23322146
15	S&P Infrastructure Developers Pvt Ltd.- Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd.(JV)	907, New Delhi House, 27 Barakhamba Road, New Delhi - 110001	info@spinfra.in, Shyam@Spinfra.in	Mr. Shyam Sevak Mittal (Director) 011-23753726
16	SHIVALAYA CONSTRUCTION LIMITED	Regd.Off. :- Plot No. 137, Avatar Enclave, Paschim Vihar, New Delhi-110063	tenders@sccgroup.co.in,	Mr. Sumit Nandal (Director) 9205193348
17	SUSHEE INFRA & MINING LIMITED	Ground Floor, Sri Nillaya Cyber Spazio H.no 8-2, 269/A/2/1 tp 6, Road No. 2 Banjara Hills, Hyderabad, Telangana 500034 Ph.No: 040-44337879	jaipal@susheefra.com	Mr. Adla Jaipal Reddy (Director) 040-44337879
18	TTC Infra India - Bharat Vanijya Eastern Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	Regd. Office: Bazar Line Bomdila. P.O. & P.S.- Bomdila, District- West Kameng, Arunachal Pradesh, Pin- 790001. Communication Office: TTC Apartment, Salagaon, P.O.- Salonibari, & P.S.- Goroimari, District - Sonitpur, Assam, Pin - 784105	tcii.tzp@gmail.com contact@bvepl.com, debdeep.bhadra@bvepl.com	Mr. Tenzing Wangdi (Project Director) +91-7576849549

X612

Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
2	Bharat Constructions (India) Pvt. Ltd	Bidder claimed that the conditions of RFP w.r.t. similar work i.e. 5 km slope protection work to be done in the same work(s) with 35% or 25% works cost, as the case may be is unjustified and shall be considered separately. Bidder claimed that the two works i.e. Reconstruction works on NH-94 and Upgradation on NH-58 (New NH-07) shall be accepted against the criteria of hill slope protection works and other works claimed in bid shall be accepted against the cost criteria of similar work. However, as per clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, the same work shall satisfy the cost criteria as well as shall have more than 5 km of hill slope protection work. Hence, the bidder didn't meet the criteria as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP and was considered as technically non-responsive .
4	Dhatarwal Construction Company Pvt Ltd	The bidder has not extended its bid validity beyond 19.01.2026 even upon the same was requested vide letter no. NH-12014/110/2024/FH/NH-913/Ar.P/Zone-V dated 08.01.2026, as required as per clause 2.17 of RFP, which implies that its bid is not valid and leads to disqualification of the bidder .
7	Ircon International Limited - Badri Rai & company (JV)	The bidder has not extended its bid validity beyond 19.01.2026 even upon the same was requested vide letter no. NH-12014/110/2024/FH/NH-913/Ar.P/Zone-V dated 08.01.2026, as required as per clause 2.17 of RFP, which implies that its bid is not valid and leads to disqualification of the bidder .
8	JOINT STOCK COMPANY INDUSTRIAL ASSOCIATION VOZROZHDENIE	Bidder has submitted apostilled documents dated 09.10.2025 i.e. of date after the bid due date during its clarification. In this regard, following Clauses of RFP are applicable: <i>"2.10.1 The Bidder shall provide all the information sought under this RFP. The Authority will evaluate only those BIDs that are received online in the required formats and complete in all respects."</i> <i>"2.11.1 The Bidder shall first upload all the project details, net worth details, turnover details, bridge and tunnel details and all other details required in this RFP for technical qualification. The Bidder shall ensure that all the details are updated as <u>on the due date of submission of this bid</u>."</i> <i>(n) Copy of originals of experience certificates apostille at foreign origin, if any"</i> <i>"3.1.6.2 The Authority reserves the right to reject any Technical BID which is non-responsive and no request for alteration, modification, substitution or withdrawal shall be entertained by the Authority in respect of such BID."</i> The non-submission of apostilled documents as on bid due date leads to incomplete bid and is not liable for evaluation. Further, the submission of Apostilled Documents of a date later than the bid due date as part of clarification was considered as alteration/substitution of documents by the committee and it was decided to declare



Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
		the bid as invalid.
9	JSP Projects Pvt. Ltd - Shree Girrajee Infra Heights Pvt Ltd (JV)	Bidder submitted is clarification on 11.02.2026, which was of a date later than the due date prescribed in the queries sent to the bidders and the delay has not been noticed due to delay in providing any clarification/document by any Authority. Moreover, the bidder submitted that it has not considered the corrigendum dated 01.09.2025 while submitting the bid which mandated the 5 km slope protection work being done in the similar work(s) considered against the cost criteria of 25%/35%, as the case may be. Bidder also didn't clarify regarding the authenticity of slope protection work done by M/s Shree Girrajee Infra Heights Pvt Ltd w.r.t work of "Akhnoor-Poonch (Pkg-V) as sought in the query. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) by any member of the bidder and not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.4(ii) by M/s Shree Girrajee Infra Heights Pvt Ltd.
12	Power Mech Projects Limited	Bidder has claimed that it has completed several bridges including total bridge spans of 97.50 m at km 1+055 and bridge with total length of 196.8 m in work of 4 laning of Kodad Khammam of NH-365A. The bridge claimed to have been completed by bidder with total bridge spans of 97.50 m at km 1+055 is with 2 spans of 27.5 m and one span of 42.5 m and bridge claimed with length of 196.8 m is 6 spans of 32.80 m as per the certificate attached by the bidder. It was deliberated in the meeting that there are two separate requirements in the clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP w.r.t. works that contain a bridge with a span of more than 60 m, which is the case with instant work. First requirement is w.r.t completion of a bridge with span of more than 80% of longest span in the work or 100 m whichever is less which works out to be 64 m in this case and other requirement is completion of bridge in total length of 40% of length of bridge in the instant work, which works out to be 72 m. While bidder meets the criteria of completion of a bridge of total length of more than 72 m, it fails to meet criteria of completion of bridge with a span of more than 64 m. Hence, bidder as found to be technically non-responsive as per requirement of clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP as mentioned above.
13	Prasad & company (Project works) Pvt Ltd	Bidder claimed that 2 works claimed by them in the original bid against similar work criteria have been done as per specifications of MoRT&H only and are Central Government projects through MHA, hence shall be considered. However, as per projects defined as eligible projects in clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, the same were not considered as eligible projects by the Committee. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) and clause 2.2.2.4(ii) of RFP.
14	RMN INFRASTRUCTURES	Bidder has claimed experience of completion of a bridge of 1*100 m span in the



Bid No.	Name of Bidder	Reason for Non-Responsiveness
	LIMITED	project of "Construction of 2 lane road of Potin to Pangin Section of NH-13 from km 206.66 to km 247.05 (Package-6) in the State of Arunachal Pradesh" and certificate of Authority i.e. State PWD, Arunachal Pradesh has also been attached in the bid in this regard, hence the bidder was found technically responsive as per requirements of RFP. However, it came to notice of the committee, although, the scope of the said work included construction of a bridge of 1*100 m span, the bridge actually constructed at site is of 96 m length with span arrangement of 28m+40m+28 m. The same has also been verified by CE(Highway), State PWD, Arunachal Pradesh vide email dated 03.12.2025. The requirement of the instant work is completion of span of 64 m as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP, which is not being met by the bidder in the said work or any other work claimed in the submitted bid. Hence, bidder is found technically non-responsive as per RFP.
15	S&P Infrastructure Developers Pvt Ltd.- Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd.(JV)	Bidder has claimed that the works claimed by both the members were executed by same JV member with same JV share applicable for this bid, hence, they shall be considered as single entity and combined credentials shall be used for evaluation. However, the committee decided that both member of the JV shall be treated as separate entity as applicable in case of JV as per RFP and both technical and financial requirements as per RFP shall be met by each member separately. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) of RFP by any member of the bidder.
16	SHIVALAYA CONSTRUCTION LIMITED	The Committee found the bidder to be a deemed non-performing party as per clause 2.1.14 of RFP for "Occurrence of major failure of structures/highway due to construction defect wherein no casualties are reported" in the ongoing work of "Kollam Bypass- Kadambattukonam, km 486.00 to km 517.250 of NH-66 in the State of Kerala' and thus its bid has not been considered as admissible.
18	TTC Infra India - Bharat Vanijya Eastern Pvt. Ltd. (JV)	M/s TTC Infra India claimed that amount of works with GST shall be considered against similar work which was not agreed by the committee as the amount put to tender is exclusive of GST. Further, M/s TTC Infra India claimed that work of "Hanley-Chumar Road" executed as NHSL specification under BRO for an amount of Rs 216 cr shall be considered against similar work criteria. However, as per projects defined as eligible projects in clause 2.2.2.2(ii) of RFP, the same was not considered as eligible project by the Committee. Further, M/s Bharat Vanijya Eastern Pvt. Ltd. claimed that the work of "Ranchi-Rargaon Pkg-III" in the bid passes through hilly and mountainous terrain and attached some photographs to support the same. However, no hill slope protection works could be seen in the attached photographs and hence completion of 5 km hill slope protection work by the bidder could not be ascertained. Hence, the bidder was considered as technically non-responsive for not meeting criteria of clause 2.2.2.2 (ii) & clause 2.2.2.4(ii) by any member of the bidder.



