

Subject: Consultancy services for Authority's Engineer for supervision of Rehabilitation & Upgradation of NH 7538 from Nandurbar to Taloda (Km. 49+050 to Km. 78+270) to Four lane with Paved Shoulder in the States of Maharashtra/Gujarat on EPC mode. (Length=29.220 Km).

Reference: - Technical bid opened on 30.06.2025

With respect to the bid for subject work, report of technical evaluation is mentioned as under.

2. Financial bid will be opened on 12.02.2026 at 3:00 PM

Sr No	Name of Bidders	Marks	Responsive/ Non-Responsive
1	Almondz Global Infra-Consultant Limited in JV with EKAM Venture in Association with Insignia Infratech	90.09	Responsive
2	Armnege Engineering Pvt Ltd in JV with Infra Support Engineering Consultant Pvt. Ltd in Association with Shree Mahamai Infra Consultant Pvt. Ltd	85.15	Responsive
3	Artefact Projects Limited in Association with Renaissance IOT LLP	85.15	Responsive

Sr No	Name of Bidders	Marks	Responsive/ Non-Responsive
4	Ayolezza Consultant Pvt. Ltd in JV with Bari India Pvt. Ltd. in Association with Maps Global Civiltech Pvt Ltd	Bidder is not Meeting the Following Criteria: • As Per Clause 13 (B) of Section-1 of RFP. lead partner must fulfill atleast 50% of requirements at 1(a) of table in para 13(A). However, the lead partner (Ayolezza Consultants Private Limited) is not meeting the above-mentioned criteria of experience in Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6**-laning/Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category. • As per Clause 13(B) of Section-1 of the RFP, the other JV partner is required to meet at least 30% of the eligibility criteria specified at Point 1(a) of the table under Clause 13(A). Accordingly, the minimum annual turnover requirement for the other partner is ₹5.34 crore. However, in the documents submitted by the bidder, the financial statement of the other partner, i.e., Bari India Private Limited, for FY 2023–24 has not been provided. Consequently, the annual turnover requirement for the other JV partner has not been fulfilled. • As per Clause 13(B) of Section-1 of the RFP, the other JV partner is required to fulfill at least 30% of the eligibility criteria specified at Item 1(a) of the table under Clause 13(A). However, the JV member, Bari India Pvt. Ltd., does not meet the stipulated eligibility criteria with respect to experience in Detail Project Report (DPR) / Feasibility Study-cum-Preliminary Design Report for 2/4/6**-laning/bridge projects of aggregate length equal to two times or more of the similar category, nor does it meet the experience requirement for Project Supervision / Independent Engineer / Consultant for 2/4/6**-laning/bridge projects of aggregate length equal to three times or more of the similar category. Hence the Bidder is Considered as non-responsive as per clause 13(A) & 13(B) of the RFP.	Non-Responsive
5	Bloom Companies LLC in Association with Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics India Pvt. Ltd.	95.58	Responsive
6	Casta Engineers Pvt Ltd in JV with Hexa Co. in Association with Prithivig Infra Consultants Pvt.Ltd.	87.63	Responsive
7	GEO DESIGNS AND RESEARCH PRIVATE LIMITED	90.11	Responsive

Sr No	Name of Bidders	Marks	Responsive/ Non-Responsive
8	Indian Technocrat Limited in JV with Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd. in Association with Indian Infratech Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder is not Meeting the Following Criteria: • As per Clause 13(B) of Section-1 of the RFP, the Lead Partner is required to fulfill at least 50% of the eligibility criteria specified under Item 1(a) of the table in Clause 13(A). Accordingly, the minimum annual turnover requirement for the Lead Partner is ₹8.90 crore. However, the average annual turnover of the Lead Partner for the last three financial years is ₹8.68 crore, which does not meet the stipulated 50% requirement. In addition, the bidder has not fulfilled the 50% requirement related to experience in Project Supervision/Independent Engineer /Consultant services for 2/4/6-laning or bridge projects of an aggregate length equal to three times or more of the similar category. • As per Clause 13(B) of Section-1 of the RFP, the other JV partner is required to fulfill at least 30% of the eligibility criteria specified at Item 1(a) of the table under Clause 13(A). However, the JV member, Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd., does not meet the stipulated eligibility criteria with respect to experience in Detail Project Report (DPR) / Feasibility Study-cum-Preliminary Design Report for 2/4/6**-laning/bridge projects of aggregate length equal to two times or more of the similar category. Hence the Bidder is Considered as non-responsive as per clause 13(A) & 13(B) of the RFP.	Non-Responsive
9	JC Technocrats Private Limited in JV with DN Consultant in Association with Ekamra Technocats (OPC) Private Limited	Bidder is not Meeting the Following Criteria: • As per Clause 13(B) of Section-1 of the RFP, the Lead Partner is required to fulfill at least 50% of the eligibility criteria specified under Item 1(a) of the table in Clause 13(A). However, the Lead member, JC Technocrats Pvt. Ltd., does not meet the stipulated eligibility criteria with respect to experience in Detail Project Report (DPR) / Feasibility Study-cum-Preliminary Design Report for 2/4/6**-laning/bridge projects of aggregate length equal to two times or more of the similar category, nor does it meet the experience requirement for Project Supervision / Independent Engineer / Consultant for 2/4/6**-laning/bridge projects of aggregate length equal to three times or more of the similar category and Lead Partner also not fulfilled the Criteria 1(b) of Clause 13(A) of the RFP. • As per Clause 13(B) of Section-1 of the RFP, the other JV partner is required to fulfill at least 30% of the eligibility criteria specified at Item 1(a) of the table under Clause 13(A). However, the JV member, Ekamra Technocats (OPC) Private Limited., does not meet the stipulated eligibility criteria with respect to experience in Detail Project Report (DPR) / Feasibility Study-cum-Preliminary Design Report for 2/4/6**-laning/bridge projects of aggregate length equal to two times or more of the similar category. Hence the Bidder is Considered as non-responsive as per clause 13(A) & 13(B) of the RFP.	Non-Responsive

Sr No	Name of Bidders	Marks	Responsive/ Non-Responsive
10	KOBA PROJE DANISMANLIK ANONIM SIRKETI in JV with Indian Engineering Consultants India Private Limited in Association with SWASTIK Prime Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	88.15	Responsive
11	L N Malviya Infra Projects Pvt.Ltd. in Association with Innovative CADD Centre	The Team leader proposed by the bidder is found above the age of 65 years. Hence the team leader does not consider for evaluation and as per RFP Clause 3.4 (x) (e) & (f) the proposal shall not be considered further and considered as Non-Responsive.	Non-Responsive
12	Marc Technocrats Limited	96.09	Responsive
13	Mspark Futuristics & Associates in JV with ISAN Corporation	97.48	Responsive
14	MSV International Inc in Association with Real Strength Infrastructure	The Power of Attorney submitted by the bidder authorizes Mr. Pushkar Malik as the authorized signatory for the bid. However, the Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) used for bid submission is in the name of Mr. Suresh Chandra Budhist. As per Clause 4.3 (ii) of Section-2 of the RFP, the authorized signatory holding Power of Attorney must be the Digital Signatory, failing which the bid shall be treated as non-responsive. Accordingly, the bidder's bid is declared Non-Responsive.	Non-Responsive
15	Planning & Infrastructural Development Consultants Pvt. Ltd in Association with APN Constructions and Developer Pvt. Ltd.	87.87	Responsive
16	Saptagon Asia Private Limited in Association with Highraise Construction and Consultant Private Limited	As Per Clause 13 (A) of Section-1 of RFP the firm should have minimum experience of preparation of detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design Report of 2/4/6**-laning/Bridge project of aggregate length equal to 2 times or more of similar category. However, the bidder does not fulfil the above-mentioned criteria and considered as Non-Responsive as per Clause 13(A) of Section-1 of the RFP.	Non-Responsive
17	Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited in Association with MRM Associates Private Limited	90.54	Responsive
18	TPF Engineering Pvt Ltd in Association with MAV Associates LLP	90.64	Responsive

Sr No	Name of Bidders	Marks	Responsive/ Non-Responsive
19	Upham International Corporation in JV with SA Infrastructure Consultant Private Limited in Association with Infra Design Studio Private Limited	The Team leader proposed by the bidder is found blacklisted on infracon from 24/06/2025 to 22/06/2027 which is before bid due date .Hence the evaluation of the key professional is not considered and bid is considered non-responsive as per Clause 3.4 (x) (e) & (f) of Section-2 of RFP	Non-Responsive