

Subject: - Services of Independent Engineer for “Widening, Reconstruction & Up-gradation to 2 lane with Paved Shoulder configuration for the work of Bhimashankar-Taleghar-Wada-Rajgurunagar Road (NH-60 Spur) from Design km 2+928 to km 63+378(Design length = 60.450 km) in the State of Maharashtra on Hybrid Annuity Mode.”.

Reference: - Technical bid opened on 30.06.2025.

With respect to the bid for subject work, report of technical evaluation is mentioned as under.

2. It is requested to submit comments/ clarification within 7 days (i.e. up to 19.02.2026 at 5:00 PM)

Sr No	Name of Bidders	Marks	Responsive/Non-Responsive
1	M/s Almondz Global Infra Consultants Limited in jv with M/s Ayoleeza Consultants Private Limited in Association with Kaius Consultants Private Limited	The bidder i.e. (M/s Almondz Global Infra-Consultant Limited.) does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement for firm experience regarding Construction Supervision as per Clause 15 (A) Section-1 of RFP. Hence, the bidder is considered as non-responsive.	Non-Responsive
2	M/s Artefact Projects Ltd. in Association with M/s Renaissance lot LLP	91.82	Responsive
3	M/s Bloom Companies LLC in Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics India Pvt. Ltd.	94.25	Responsive
4	M/s BOTEK Bosphorus Technical Consulting Corp. In Association with M/s SP Infra Solution	83.62	Responsive
5	M/s EKAM VentureIn JV with M/s Engineering Consultancy Services In Association with M/s. PVS Consultancy and Infratech	The lead member (i.e., M/s EKAM Venture) does not meet the minimum qualification criteria for turnover. In view of the above, the bid has been considered non-responsive in accordance with Clause 15(A) and (B) of Section-I of the RFP and has not been evaluated further.	Non-Responsive
6	M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.,	93.11	Responsive
7	M/s Hexa Private Joint Stock Company in JV with M/s Casta Engineers Pvt Ltd And in association with M/s Resurgent India Ltd	Non-responsive The bidder of the other member, M/s Casta Engineerings Pvt. Ltd., does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement regarding annual turnover. As per Clause 15(A) & 15(B), Section-1 of the RFP. The firm does not satisfy this requirement.	Non-Responsive
8	M/s Isan Corporation in JV with M/s MSPARK Futuristics & Associates	95.72	Responsive
9	M/s L.N. Malviya Projects Pvt. Ltd. In Association with M/s Innovative CADD Centre	93.18	Responsive
10	M/s Lion Engineering Consultants Private Limited in association with M/s MRM Associates Private Limited	90.81	Responsive

Sr No	Name of Bidders	Marks	Responsive/Non-Responsive
11	M/s Manglam Infra & Engineering Limited in Joint Venture with Edmac Engineering Consultant (I) Pvt.Ltd in Association with Birja Construction LLP "	Non-Responsive The Lead Member of the bidder, M/s Manglam Infra & Engineering Limited, does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement related to firm experience in Construction Supervision, as stipulated under Clause 15(A), Section-1 of the RFP. Hence, the bidder is considered non-responsive. Additionally, the bidder's other member, M/s Edmac Engineering Consultant (I) Pvt. Ltd., does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement with respect to annual turnover, as per Clause 15(A) & 15(B), Section-1 of the RFP. The firm does not satisfy the prescribed criteria.	Non-Responsive
12	M/s MARC Technocrats Limited In Joint Venture With M/s Infra Support Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	Non-Responsive The Other Member of the bidder, M/s Infra Support Engineering Consultant Pvt. Ltd., does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement related to firm experience in Construction Supervision, as stipulated under Clause 15(A), Section-1 of the RFP. Hence, the bidder is considered non-responsive.	Non-Responsive
13	M/s MSV International Inc. in Association with M/s Real Strength Infrastructure (RSI)	Non-Responsive The Power of Attorney submitted by the bidder authorizes Mr. Pushkar Malik as the authorized signatory for the bid. However, the Digital Signature Certificate (DSC) used for bid submission is in the name of Mr. Suresh Chandra Budhist. As per Clause 4.3 (ii) of Section-2 of the RFP, the authorized signatory holding Power of Attorney must be the Digital Signatory, failing which the bid shall be treated as non-responsive. Accordingly, the bidder's bid is declared Non-Responsive.	Non-Responsive
14	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In JV with M/s Upham International Corporation & In Association with M/s CDF Infra Con Pvt. Ltd	90.14	Responsive
15	M/s. SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt Ltd in Joint Venture with M/s Technogem Consultants Pvt Ltd in Association with M/s CivilMantra Infracon Private Limited	Non-Responsive The Lead member of bidder i.e. (M/s SPECTRUM Techno Consultants Pvt Ltd) does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement for firm experience regarding Detailed project report and The other member of bidder i.e. (M/sTechnogem Consultants Pvt Ltd.) does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement for firm experience regarding Construction Supervision as per Clause 15 (A),(B)	Non-Responsive

Sr No	Name of Bidders	Marks	Responsive/Non-Responsive
		Section-1 of RFP. Hence, the bidder is considered as non-responsive.	
16	M/s. Sterling Indo Tech Consultants Pvt. Ltd. (SITC), Joint Venture with M/s. AICONS Engineering Pvt Ltd	Non-Responsive The Lead member of bidder i.e. (M/s Sterling Indo Tech Consultant Pvt. Ltd) does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement for firm experience regarding Detailed Project Report/ Feasibility Study cum Preliminary Design as per Clause 15 (A) & (B), Section-1 of RFP. Hence, the bidder is considered as non-responsive.	Non-Responsive
17	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. In association with M/s Shree Mahamai Infra Consultant Pvt. Ltd.	89.37	Responsive
18	M/s TPF ENGINEERING PVT. LTD. IN ASSOCIATION WITH M/s MAV ASSOCIATES LLP	89.06	Responsive