

Minutes of the Meeting of Evaluation Committee held on 8th May at 1400Hrs at the Office of the Chief Engineer PWD(NH)for the work, “Short Term Maintenance Contract to NH-202 (Old-155) from 0.000 Km to 39.000 Km(Length = 39.00 KM)under NH Division-III Tuensang in the state of Nagaland.”

Job No: RW/GHT/NH-202/NG/STMC/2024-25/06

The work under consideration has been approved by the Ministry amounting to Rs. 4.99 Crores vide No. NH-12/7/2024-RO Guwahati (C. No. 242449)/1011 dated 28.03.2025. The estimated amount put to tender is Rs. 460.75 Lakhs.

2. The tender for the above mentioned work amounting to Rs. 460.75 Lakhs (amount put to tender) was invited by the Chief Engineer PWD (NH), Nagaland on 08.04.2025 and opened on 23.04.2025 from 1500 Hrs.

3. The physical form of the bids was to be submitted between 1200 Hrs to 1500 Hrs on 23.04.2025 and till that time, the following bidders had submitted the documents in physical form and the committee proceeded with the online opening of the bid.

Sl No	Name of Bidders
1	M/s Affluent Enterprise & Services
2	M/s Innovative Construction
3	M/s Hi-Tech Construction and Co.
4	M/s G.B.S Tech Enterprise
5	M/s Jemti Ao

4. However, upon opening of the bids online, a total of 6 (Six) bids were received online on www.eprocure.gov.in/eprocure/app and listed below are the following bidders:

Sl No	Name of Bidders
1	M/s Affluent Enterprise & Services
2	M/s Innovative Construction
3	M/s Hi-Tech Construction and Co.
4	M/s G.B.S Tech Enterprise
5	M/s Jemti Ao
6	M/s Chisho Swu & Sons

5. The Physical form of the bidder- M/s Chisho Swu & Sons was not submitted till the date and time mentioned. Accordingly, the bid is considered as non-responsive in accordance with **Clause 26.1** of the SBD.

6. The Committee conducted a comprehensive review of the Qualification Criteria outlined in **Clause 4** of the Standard Bidding Document (SBD) and the Bid Securities submitted by the five bidders in accordance with **Clause 16**. It was confirmed that both the Qualification Criteria and Bid Securities met the prescribed requirements, including the stipulated amount and validity criteria as set forth in **Clause 15.1** of the SBD. Additionally, formal confirmations of the Bid Securities were duly obtained from the respective banks.

7. Accordingly, based on the documents placed before the Bid Evaluation Committee, the committee examined the technical bid of the 5 (Five) bidders (The detailed evaluation sheet is enclosed herewith). Upon evaluation and detailed deliberation of the Bid Evaluation Committee, the bidders are found to be the technically responsive.

8. In view on the above evaluation, the Committee recommends the opening of Financial Bids for the following 5 (Five) technically responsive bidders:

Sl No	Name of Bidders
1	M/s Affluent Enterprise & Services
2	M/s Innovative Construction
3	M/s Hi-Tech Construction and Co.
4	M/s G.B.S Tech Enterprise
5	M/s Jemti Ao

Sd/-
Executive Engineer (D)
PWD (NH)
Member Secretary,
Bid Evaluation Committee

Sd/-
Accounts Officer
Office of Chief Engineer
PWD(NH)
Rep. of State T&A
Member; Bid Evaluation
Committee

Sd/-
Superintending Engineer
PWD(NH), Circle-II
Member,
Bid Evaluation Committee

Sd/-
Chief Engineer PWD(NH)
Chairman
Bid Evaluation Committee