

Subject: - Services of Independent Engineer for Rehabilitation and Up-gradation of NH-63 section from Udgir to Degloor & Aadampur phata to Sagroli Phata (km 175/881 to 233/238 & km 248/538 to 269/894) to two lane with paved shoulder configuration in the State of Maharashtra on HAM mode.

Attention to the Financial Bid Opening

Reference: - SECTION 2, Clause No 5 PROPOSAL EVALUATION

It shall be noted that as per the evaluation carried out applying the evaluation criteria as per the RFP with respect to the bid for the above subjected work, your proposals are shortlisted among the 5 (five) top firms & accordingly your financial proposals are considered for opening.

The financial bids of these following 5 (five) top bidders on the basis of their technical score will be opened on 19/01/2026 1100 Hrs. in the Office of the Regional office, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Konkan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai.

Sr No	Name of the Bidders	Technical Score	Eligibility
1	M/s Marc Technocrats Limited	96.43	Responsive and Qualified
2	M/s. Geo Designs & Research (P) Ltd.,	92.54	Responsive and Qualified
3	M/s FP Projects Management Formerly Frischman Prabhu (India) Private Limited. in association with M/s Indian Engineering Consultants India Pvt. Ltd.	92.30	Responsive and Qualified
4	M/s L.N. Malviya Infra Projects Pvt. Ltd.	92.24	Responsive and Qualified
5	M/s. Bloom Companies LLC in Association with M/s Bloom Infrastructure & Logistics (I) Pvt. Ltd.	91.94	Responsive and Qualified

Notice

Subject: - Services of Independent Engineer for Rehabilitation and Up-gradation of NH-63 section from Udgir to Degloor & Aadampur phata to Sagroli Phata (km 175/881 to 233/238 & km 248/538 to 269/894) to two lane with paved shoulder configuration in the State of Maharashtra on HAM mode.

Reference: - SECTION 2, Clause No 5 PROPOSAL EVALUATION

It shall be noted that as per the evaluation carried out applying the evaluation criteria as per the RFP with respect to the bid for the above subjected work, your proposals are not shortlisted among the 5 (five) top firms on the basis of your technical scores and hence your financial proposals are not considered for opening as per conditions of RFP.

Sr No	Name of Bidders	Technical Score
1	M/s MSV International Inc. in Association with (As Sub Consultant) M/s MAV Associates LLP	91.58
2	M/s Almondz Global Infra Consultant Limited in JV with M/s Ekam Venture and in Association with M/s Kaius Consulting Private Limited	91.29
3	M/s TPF Engineering Pvt. Ltd. (Formerly a part of S.N. BHOBE & Associates Pvt. Ltd.) in Association With M/s. Shrikhande Consultants Limited	77.98
4	M/s Dhruv Consultancy Services Ltd. In Associate With M/s Spectrum Techno Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	Bidder has been debar for 2 years and prohibit it from participating in future bids, Vide letter no NHA/11021/16/PIU-K'giri/2023/834/33/ (243693) Dated 11.03.2025.
5	M/s Global Infra Solutions in Association With M/s MS Consultant	Bidder has been debar for 2 years and prohibit it from participating in future bids, Vide letter no NHA/11021/16/PIU-K'giri/2023/834/33/ (243693) Dated 11.03.2025.
6	M/s Technocrats Advisory Services Private Limited	Bidder has been debarred vide NHIDCL letter no NHIDCL/Tripura/BM-BRT /TASPL/2017 /1896 dated 02.01.2025
7	M/s Aicons Engineering Pvt.Ltd. in Joint Venture With M/s Pioneer Infra Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and in Association With M/s Sri Infotech	The Lead partner of JV (i.e., M/s AICON Engineering Pvt. Ltd.) has been declared a non-performer for a period of two (02) years, in accordance with NHIDCL Letter No. NHIDCL/Nagaland/AE/NH-202K/Pkg-1,2 & 3/2022/6080 dated 11.11.2025
8	M/s Armenge Engineering Private Limited in JV With M/s Institute. Gipstroy most and In Association with M/s Shree Mahamai Infra Consultant Private Limited	As per the requirements of the RFP, submission of the Memorandum of Understanding between the JV partners is mandatory. However, the bidder has not submitted the MoU with the JV partner (M/s Institute Gipstroy most). In view of this non-compliance with the RFP provisions, the bidder's proposal is considered non-responsive.
9	M/s Ayoleeza Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In JV with M/s Agnitio Infrastructure Projects Pvt. Ltd and in association with Beshtech Infrastructure Private Limited.	Team leader cum senior Highway Engineer Score is less than 75%, hence as per clause 3.4(x)(e) Section-2 of RFP the proposal consider as non-responsive

Sr No	Name of Bidders	Technical Score
10	M/s Botek Bosphorus Technical Consulting Corp. In Association With M/s SP Infra Solution	The bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement for firm experience regarding Construction Supervision as well as Detailed project report as per Clause 15 (A), Section-1 of RFP. Hence, the bidder is considered as non-responsive.
11	M/s JC Technocrats Pvt. Ltd. (Formerly known as Jindals Consortium in JV with M/s CADD Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd. and In Association with M/s KCS Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	The Lead member of bidder i.e. (M/s JC Technocrats Pvt. Ltd.) does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement for firm experience regarding Detailed project report as per Clause 15 (A) & (B), Section-1 of RFP. Hence, the bidder is considered as non-responsive
12	M/s SA Infrastructure Consultants Pvt. Ltd. In JV with M/s Upham International Corporation.	The other member of JV (i.e., M/s Upham International Corporation.) does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement for firm experience regarding Detailed project report as per Clause 15 (A) & (B), Section-1 of RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as non-responsive
13	M/s Sterling indo tech Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	The bidder does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement for firm experience regarding Detailed project report as per Clause 15 (A), Section-1 of RFP. Hence, the bidder may be considered as non-responsive
14	M/s Yongama Engineering Co. Ltd., In Joint Venture With M/s Real Strength Infrastructure and In Association With M/s CHO & Kim Engineering Pvt. Ltd.	The other member of JV bidder i.e. (M/s Real Strength Infrastructure) does not meet the minimum eligibility requirement for firm experience regarding Construction Supervision as per Clause 15 (A) & (B), Section-1 of RFP. Hence, the bidder is considered as non-responsive.