

TBA (Technical Bid Analysis) APPOINTMENT OF ARCHITECT FORARCHITECTURAL CONSULTANCY & CONSTRUCTIONSUPERVISION SERVICES FOR UPGRADING THEEXTERIOR FAÇADE OF C&D BLOCKS AT GAILVIHAR, SECTOR-2

Sl. No.	Particulars	Bid No. 3	Bid No. 3	Bid No. 3
	Name of Bidder	M/s Madathara Project Engineering Consultants	M/s Renaissance Arch Consultant Pvt Ltd	M/s Renaissance Arch Consultant Pvt Ltd
1.0	As per Technical evaluation the reason for non-acceptability	1. The Bidder has not submitted the supporting documents clearly specifying the total value of the project against which the subject job was executed. 2. Bidder has not submitted the supporting documents clearly highlighting the façade elements covered under the said project as part of the overall building design and to substantiate the same along with the associated cost. 3. The submitted documents does not pertain to the required experience criteria and value.	1. Bidder has not submitted the supporting documents clearly highlighting the façade elements covered under the said project as part of the overall building design and to substantiate the same along with the associated cost. 2. The bidder has not responded in TQ-2.	1. Bidder has not submitted the supporting documents clearly highlighting the façade elements covered under the said project as part of the overall building design and to substantiate the same along with the associated cost. 2. The bidder has not responded in TQ-2.
2.0	As per Bidders Quality Assessment Schedule BQAS evaluation the total marks obtained is LESS THAN 75 AS METNIONED IN THE ROW	15	60	60

TBA (Technical Bid Analysis) APPOINTMENT OF ARCHITECT FORARCHITECTUR3 NOIDA					
Sl. No.	Particulars	Bid No. 3	Bid No. 4	Bid No. 4	Bid No. 4
	Name of Bidder	M/s Renaissance Arch Consultant Pvt Ltd	M/s Prism Infratech	M/s Prism Infratech	M/s Prism Infratech
1.0	As per Technical evaluation the reason for non-acceptability	1. Bidder has not submitted the supporting documents clearly highlighting the façade elements covered under the said project as part of the overall building design and to substantiate the same along with the associated cost. 2. The bidder has not responded in TQ-2.	1. Bidder has not submitted the supporting documents clearly highlighting the façade elements covered under the said project as part of the overall building design and to substantiate the same along with the associated cost. 2. The bidder has not responded in TQ-2.	1. Bidder has not submitted the supporting documents clearly highlighting the façade elements covered under the said project as part of the overall building design and to substantiate the same along with the associated cost. 2. The bidder has not responded in TQ-2.	1. Bidder has not submitted the supporting documents clearly highlighting the façade elements covered under the said project as part of the overall building design and to substantiate the same along with the associated cost. 2. The bidder has not responded in TQ-2.
2.0	As per Bidders Quality Assessment Schedule BQAS evaluation the total marks obtained is LESS THAN 75 AS METNIONED IN THE ROW	60	30	30	30

TBA (Technical Bid Analysis) APPOINTMENT OF ARCHITECT FORARCHITECTUR		
Sl. No.	Particulars	Bid No. 4
	Name of Bidder	M/s Prism Infratech
1.0	As per Technical evaluation the reason for non-acceptability	1. Bidder has not submitted the supporting documents clearly highlighting the façade elements covered under the said project as part of the overall building design and to substantiate the same along with the associated cost. 2. The bidder has not responded in TQ-2.
2.0	As per Bidders Quality Assessment Schedule BQAS evaluation the total marks obtained is LESS THAN 75 AS METNIONED IN THE ROW	30

TBA (Technical Bid Analysis) APPOINTMENT OF ARCHITECT FORARCHITECTUR				
Sl. No.	Particulars	Bid No. 5	Bid No. 5	Bid No. 5
	Name of Bidder	SJ ARCHITECTS	SJ ARCHITECTS	SJ ARCHITECTS
1.0	As per Technical evaluation the reason for non-acceptability	1. The bidder has not submittedWork Order/ContractAgrrement/LOA for work "Architect work of Ease My Trip's office at H-173, Sector 63, Noida". 2. Bidder has not submitted the supporting documents clearly highlighting the façade elements covered under the said project as part of the overall building design and to substantiate the same along with the associated cost. 3. The bidder has not responded in TQ-2	1. The bidder has not submitted Work Order/ContractAgrrement/LOA for work "Consultancy Services for interior & furnishing design with all the drawing at plot E1, Ligali Ayorinde, Victoria Island, Nigeria." 2. 1. Bidder has not submitted the supporting documents clearly highlighting the façade elements covered under the said project as part of the overall building design and to substantiate the same along with the associated cost. 3. The bidder has not responded in TQ-2	1. The bidder has not submitted completion certificate for work "Furnishing Work of Office Space at World Trade". Centre, 4th floor Nauroji Nagar, New Delhi. 2. 1. Bidder has not submitted the supporting documents clearly highlighting the façade elements covered under the said project as part of the overall building design and to substantiate the same along with the associated cost. 3. The bidder has not responded in TQ-2
2.0	As per Bidders Quality Assessment Schedule BQAS evaluation the total marks obtained is LESS THAN 75 AS METNIONED IN THE ROW	0	0	0

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by SANJAY SINGH
Date: 2026.02.19 16:05:49 IST
Location: eProcurement System for Central PSUs

