

Contents

1.1	Observations:	3
2	EVALUATION OF OFFERS.....	3
2.1	M/s. RAYNAS INFRA AND GEOMATICS SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED	4
2.1.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	4
2.1.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	4
2.2	M/s. Quantum Integrated Technical Solutions.....	5
2.2.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	5
2.2.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	7
2.3	M/s. Deshpande Patil Consultants.....	9
2.3.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	11
2.4	M/s. PARSAN OVERSEAS PVT LTD.....	12
2.4.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	12
2.4.2	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	12
2.5	M/s. XPLOER Consultancy Services Pvt Ltd.....	14
2.5.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	14
2.5.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	14
2.6	M/s. Gaveshana Geosciences Private Limited.....	14
2.6.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	15
2.6.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	15
2.7	M/s. Om Survey Consultancy	15
2.7.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	15
2.7.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	15
2.8	M/s Stesalit Systems Limited	16
2.8.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	16
2.8.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	16
2.9	M/s. TheoDesh Consultants	17
2.9.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	17
2.9.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	17
2.10	M/s. EPITOME GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED.....	19
2.10.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	19
2.10.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	19
2.11	M/s. Eclat Engineering Consultants	20
2.11.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	20
2.11.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	Error! Bookmark not defined.

2.12	M/s Arc Geodesy Pvt Ltd.....	20
2.12.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	20
2.12.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	21
2.13	M/s. HRP Infra Pvt Ltd.....	21
2.13.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	22
2.13.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	22
2.14	M/s. MNEC Consultants Pvt LTD.....	23
2.14.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	23
2.14.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	23
2.15	M/s. Softa Geotechnical Pvt Ltd.....	24
2.15.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	24
2.15.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	26
2.16	M/s. Josmar Consulting Engineers	27
2.16.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	27
2.16.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	29
2.17	M/s. SWAYIN ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES PVT.LTD	30
2.17.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:.....	30
2.17.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	31
3	BID SUMMARY	32
4	APPROVAL SOUGHT.....	33

Subject: Evaluation of offers received against tender no. EZ11C24002 for “Underground facility survey by Ground penetration radar (GPR) at ONGC Mehsana Asset” for the period of 03 years” post first round of clarification.

BRIEF OF THE CASE:

Job Description	Underground facility survey by Ground penetration radar (GPR) at ONGC Mehsana Asset” for the period of 03 years
PR Value	₹ 1, 87, 62, 000/-
Cost put to tender	₹ 1, 87, 62, 000/-
BDP Clause for purchase	BDP 2015, Clause no. 24.1 (a)

CPA	L-III officer
Tender no.	EZ11C24002
NIT	12.12.2024
Original TBO	02.01.2025
Actual/extended TBO	08.01.2025
Date of seeking first round of clarifications	11.02.2025
Original Cutoff date for submission of replies to first round of clarifications	15.02.2025
Extended Cutoff date for submission of replies to first round of clarifications on request of bidder	19.02.2025
Date of forwarding/receipt of technical comments and Commercial statement	24/02/2025/ 24/02/2025

1.1 Observations:

Commercial CS was duly vetted on 24/02/2025 in finance and Technical comments were endorsed by Technical Member of TC on 24/02/2025.

2 EVALUATION OF OFFERS

As per approval of CPA dated 07.02.2025, first round of clarifications was sought from the following bidders vide communication dated 11.02.2025, with cut-off date for submission of replies being 15.02.2025 that has been further extended till 19.02.2025 on receipt of request from bidder (copy of approval taken from CPA is attached at Annexure-44). All the bidders have submitted their response in CPPP-Gepnic portal within due date of submission i.e. till 19.02.2025.

2.1 M/s. RAYNAS INFRA AND GEOMATICS SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED

2.1.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

The offer of the bidder was evaluated to be technically acceptable as per note dated 03.02.2025.

2.1.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	The bidder has not uploaded bidder's response sheet. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bidder's response sheet duly filled in, with suitable response against each item (refer BEC clause C.1).	<i>Bidder has submitted bidder's response sheet. (Refer page no. 1-2 of annexure -26)</i>	Complied
2	The bidder has not uploaded appendices 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, BP1, BP2, BP3, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19. Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, BP1, BP2, BP3, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 (appendix BP1, BP2, BP3, 17, 18, 19 to be printed in company's letter head), with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma (refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2.	<i>Bidder has submitted appendix 1,2,3,5,6,7,8,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18, 19, BP1, BP2, BP3 (Refer page no. 3- 16 & 20-36 of annexure -26)</i>	Complied
3	The bidder has uploaded integrity pact, not bearing witness signature & name of firm. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed by witnesses (refer BEC clause C.4 (h))	<i>Bidder has submitted integrity pact duly signed on all pages by the PoA. (Refer page no 40-45 of Annexure -26)</i>	Complied
4	The bidder has not uploaded power of attorney.	<i>Bidder has submitted POA dated 15.12.2024, wherein POA issued</i>	Complied

	Accordingly, the bidder is to upload "Power of Attorney" in form of board resolution, or any other document consisting of adequate proof of the ability of NASEEM AHAMAD to bind the bidder (refer BEC clause C.4 (m)/ ITB clause 9.1).	As per MCA website, there are two directors in this company, one director has issued POA in favor of another director, Mr. NASEEM AHAMAD. (Refer page no 46 of Annexure –26)	
5	The Bidder has not submitted Audited annual accounts not submitted for FY 2023-24 Accordingly, the bidder is to upload audited annual accounts of for FY 2023-24 (refer BEC clause D.1.1 (B)).	Bidder has submitted audited annual accounts of for FY 2023-24 (Refer page no 59-60 of Annexure –26)	Complied
6	The Bidder has not submitted appendix-16 duly filled with GeM seller ID. In case the bidder is already in possession of GeM seller ID, the bidder is asked to provide their GeM seller ID in Appendix 16. Else, the bidder may submit an undertaking that they shall provide the GeM seller ID within 15 days of placement of NOA (refer ITB clause 46.	Bidder has mentioned Gem seller ID in Appendix 16. (Refer page no 30 of Annexure – 26)	Complied

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.2 M/s. Quantum Integrated Technical Solutions

2.2.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all technical criteria is as under:

BEC Clause	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
B 2.1 (a)-I (i)	Note for bidders: (The actual Tender Bid Opening Date is 08.01.2025. However, evaluation against BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I (i) & (ii) is to be done from the period prior to the originally scheduled date of opening of the techno-commercial bid i.e.	Bidder has submitted copy of PO No:4200008158-0 dated 30.08.2021 for the work of GPR based underground utilities survey to locate all	From the submitted document, bidder is meeting the Bec clause no B 2.1 (a)-I (i).

	02.01.2025. Following cut-off dates have been considered for evaluation of technical BEC: • Cut-off date for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I (i) is on or before 03.01.2022. • Cut-off duration for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I(ii) is between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025.) As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (i), Bidder should have minimum three years of experience in providing (similar)*services. It is to be noted that Bidder has not submitted any experience documents (i.e. NOA/LOI/LOA/PO copy and documentary evidence for satisfactory execution of same) for similar services which is awarded on or before 03.01.2022. Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload following document to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(i): 1. Copy of NOA/LOI/LOA/PO for 'similar services' which is awarded on or before 03.01.2022. 2. Copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence through which it can substantiate that bidder has executed 'similar services' as defined in BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory completion such as –(i) Satisfactory completion / performance report (OR) (ii) proof of release of Performance Security after completion of the contract (OR) (iii) proof of settlement / release of final payment against the contract (OR) (iv) any other documentary evidence that can substantiate the satisfactory execution of each of the contracts cited above.	power cables to avoid cable damages during the excavation jobs issued by Mangalore refinery and petrochemical limited. (refer page no 73 of annexure-27) Bidder has also submitted it's work completion certificate dated 03.03.2022. (refer page no 76 of annexure-27)	Bec clause no B 2.1 (a)-I (i) complied
B 2.1 (a)-I (ii)	As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (i), Bidder should have executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature in the last 5	Bidder has submitted GEM contract copy for GEM contract No GEM/2022/B/2718080 dated	From the submitted document, it is established that Underground facility

(five) years It is to be noted that with respect to submitted PO No 4200008933-0 Dated 03.01.2023 it cannot be established that Underground facility survey has been carried out by 'Ground penetration radar (GPR)' technology as defined in (similar)*works definition in BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I. Also bidder has not submitted any document evidence for satisfactory completion of work. Accordingly, to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(ii), the bidder is asked to upload following document : Either, against submitted PO No 4200008933-0 Dated 03.01.2023 1. copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence of this PO through which it can be substantiated that bidder has carried out underground utilities survey by 'Ground penetration radar (GPR)' technology 2. Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory execution/completion of this PO. Or, Any other PO/contract/Work order from which it could be ascertained that bidder has successfully executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature which awarded in between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025 to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I (ii).	03.01.2023 along with copy of scope of work against PO No 4200008933-0 Dated 03.01.2023 (refer page no 1 to 9 of annexure- 27) Bidder has also submitted it's work completion certificate dated 27.06.2024. (refer page no 10 of annexure-27)	survey has been carried out by 'Ground penetration radar (GPR)' technology & successfully executed the work, thus bidder is meeting the BEC clause no B 2.1 (a)-I (ii). BEC clause no B 2.1 (a)-I (i) complied BEC clause no B 2.1 (a)-I (ii) complied BEC clause no B 2.1 (a)-I complied Bidder i.e. M/s Quantum Integrated Technical Solutions (02/17) is technically acceptable.
---	---	---

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.2.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	The bidder has not uploaded appendices 3,5,6,7,8,10, BP3, 12 Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 3,5,6,7,8,10, BP3, 12, (appendix BP3 to be printed in company's letter head), with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma as stipulated in the tender (refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2).	Bidder has submitted appendices BP3, 3, 5,6,7,8,10,12 (Refer page no 115-125 & 128 of Annexure – 27)	Complied
2	The bidder has not uploaded integrity pact. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed on all pages by the PoA holder [Nitin Sikarbar] of the firm above with signature of witnesses (refer BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1(f))	Bidder has submitted integrity pact duly signed on all pages by the PoA. (Refer page no 129-134 of Annexure –27)	Complied
3	The Bidder has not submitted Audited annual accounts not submitted for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload audited annual accounts of for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24 (refer BEC clause D.1.1(B)).	Bidder has submitted audited annual accounts of for FY 2022-23 & 2023-24. (Refer page no 135-140 of Annexure –27)	Complied
4	The bidder has not mentioned Location of value addition in undertaking. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload Appendix 19 duly filled the 'location of value addition'.	Bidder has submitted appendix-19 Percentage of LC - 50 % Location of value addition – All Over India (Refer page no 126 of Annexure –27)	Complied
5	Bidder has not mentioned GeM seller ID in Appendix-16.	Bidder has mentioned Gem seller ID in Appendix 16.	Complied

	In case the bidder is already in possession of GeM seller ID, the bidder is asked to provide their GeM seller ID in Appendix-16. Else, the bidder may submit an undertaking that they shall provide the GeM seller ID within 15 days of placement of NOA (refer ITB clause 46.	(Refer page no 127 of Annexure – 27)	
--	--	--------------------------------------	--

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.3 M/s. Deshpande Patil Consultants

2.3.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all technical criteria is as under:

	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
B 2.1 (a)- I (i)	Note for bidders: (The actual Tender Bid Opening Date is 08.01.2025. However, evaluation against BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I (i) & (ii) is to be done from the period prior to the originally scheduled date of opening of the techno-commercial bid i.e. 02.01.2025. Following cut-off dates have been considered for evaluation of technical BEC: - Cut-off date for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I (i) is on or before 03.01.2022. - Cut-off duration for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I (ii) is between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025) As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (i), Bidder should have minimum three years of experience in providing (similar)*services. It is to be noted that Bidder has not submitted any experience documents (i.e. NOA/LOI/LOA/PO copy and documentary evidence for satisfactory execution/completion of same) for similar services which is awarded on or before 03.01.2022.	1. Bidder has submitted LOI/ work order copy having ref no: IGGL/C&P/DPC/AMENDMENT/12-20 dated 18.12.2020 issued by Indradhanush Gas Grid Limited. Bidder has also attached copy of SOR that include survey work by GPR in part-A (refer page no 179 to 185 of annexure-28) Bidder has also submitted it's work completion/execution certificate (refer page no 186-187 of annexure-28) Additionally, Bidder has submitted other work orders/ LOA copy to meet experience criteria(refer page no 118 to 178 of Annexure –28)	From the submitted document at SI no 1, bidder is meeting the Bec clause no B 2.1 (a)-I (i).

	Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload following document to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(i): 1. Copy of NOA/LOI/LOA/PO for 'similar services' which is awarded on or before 03.01.2022. 2. Copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence through which it can substantiate that bidder has executed 'similar services' as defined in BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory execution such as –(i) Satisfactory completion / performance report (OR) (ii) proof of release of Performance Security after completion of the contract (OR) (iii) proof of settlement / release of final payment against the contract (OR) (iv) any other documentary evidence that can substantiate the satisfactory execution of each of the contracts cited above.		
B 2.1 (a)- I (ii)	As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (ii), Bidder should have executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature in the last 5 (five) years It is to be noted that with respect to submitted work order no GS8/230175328 dated 01/03/2024 and GS8/230139012 dated 26/09/2022, it cannot established that these work order includes "Underground facility survey by Ground penetration radar (GPR) technology" as defined in (similar)*works definition in BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I. Also with respect to submitted document against PO no. 601 dated 21/05/2024, bidder has not submitted any document evidence for satisfactory completion of work. Accordingly, to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(ii), the bidder is asked to upload following document:	Bidder has submitted scope of work copy of work order no GS8/230175328 dated 01/03/2024, wherein survey by Ground penetration radar (GPR) technology" is defined. (refer page no 9 & 192 of Annexure –28)	From the submitted Scope of work, it is established that work order no GS8/230175328 dated 01/03/2024 includes "Underground facility survey by Ground penetration radar (GPR). BEC clause no B 2.1 (a)-I (i) complied BEC clause no B 2.1 (a)-I (ii) complied BEC clause no B 2.1 (a)-I complied

<i>Either, against submitted work order no GS8/230175328 dated 01/03/2024:</i> <i>copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence of this work order no GS8/230175328 dated 01/03/2024 through which it can be substantiated that bidder has carried out underground utilities survey by 'Ground penetration radar (GPR)' technology</i> <i>Or, against submitted work order no GS8/230139012 dated 26/09/2022:</i> <i>copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence of this work order no GS8/230139012 dated 26/09/2022 through which it can be substantiated that bidder has carried out underground utilities survey by 'Ground penetration radar (GPR)' technology</i> <i>Or, against submitted PO no. 601 dated 21/05/2024:</i> <i>Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory completion of this PO no. 601 dated 21/05/2024.</i> <i>Or,</i> <i>Any other document as per BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I from which it could be ascertained that bidder has executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature which awarded in between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025 to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I (ii).</i>		Bidder i.e. M/s Deshpande Patil Consultants (03/17) is technically acceptable.
---	--	---

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.3.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	It is noted that the bidder has mentioned 'not applicable' against BEC clause C.4 (d), in bid matrix. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bid matrix duly filled 'confirm' against BEC clause C.4 (d),	<i>Bidder has confirmed</i> against BEC clause C.4 (d), in bid matrix. (Refer page no. 89 of annexure -28)	Complied
2	Bidder has not submitted Audited annual accounts not submitted for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload audited annual accounts of for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24 (refer BEC clause D.1.1 (B)).	<i>Bidder has submitted</i> audited annual accounts of for FY 2022-23 (Refer page no. 37 - 74 of annexure -28) <i>Bidder has submitted</i> audited annual accounts of for FY 2023-24. (Refer page no. 76 - 113 of annexure -28)	Complied
3	The bidder has not uploaded appendix BP3. In view of the same, the bidder is asked to upload appendices BP3 (to be printed in company's letter head), with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma (refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2).	<i>Bidder has submitted</i> appendix BP3. (Refer page no. 114 of annexure -28)	Complied

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.4 M/s. PARSAN OVERSEAS PVT LTD

2.4.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

The offer of the bidder was evaluated to be technically acceptable as per note dated 03.02.2025.

2.4.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	It is noted that the bidder has submitted appendix-5 & 12 which does not contain all pages of ONGC proforma. Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 5 & 12 with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma as per requirement of BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2.	<i>Bidder has submitted appendix-5 & 12.</i> <i>Refer page no 1 to 8 of Annexure – 29)</i>	Complied
2	Bidder has submitted POA in name of VIVEK SHARMA. Although bid is digitally signed by SANJAY RANA. POA issuing authority is SANJAY RANA, however, the authority of SANJAY RANA to issue the POA & to digitally sign the bid, cannot be ascertained. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload following: "Power of Attorney" in form of board's resolution is to be submitted which should consists of adequate proof of the ability of SANJAY RANA to sign the bid document and to delegate his power to any other individual. Further it is to be noted that date of issuance of board's resolution copy should be on and before the date of bid submitted by bidder in GePNIC-CPPP.	<i>Bidder has submitted board resolution 22.03.2024.</i> As per MCA website, there are two directors in this company, one director has issued POA in favor of another director, Mr. SANJAY RANA. SANJAY RANA has further authorised VIVEK SHARMA to sign the tender document, these documents have been further digitally signed by Director SANJAY RANA using his DSC. <i>(Refer page no 9 of Annexure – 29)</i>	Complied
3	The bidder has not mentioned Location of value addition in Appendix 19. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload Appendix 19 duly filled the 'location of value addition'.	Bidder has submitted appendix-19 <i>Percentage of LC - 100 %</i> <i>Location of value addition – New Delhi</i> <i>(Refer page no 10 of Annexure –29)</i>	Complied

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.5 M/s. XPLOER Consultancy Services Pvt Ltd

2.5.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

The offer of the bidder was evaluated to be technically acceptable as per note dated 03.02.2025.

2.5.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	The bidder has not uploaded appendix 7 with the requisite information as per proforma stipulated for the same in the tender. Also, in submitted Appendix 18, there is alteration to ONGC's proforma. In view of the same, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 7, 18 with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma as stipulated in the tender (refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2).	<i>Bidder has submitted appendix 7 & 18. (Refer page no. 6-7 of annexure -30)</i>	Complied
2	The bidder has uploaded bid matrix wherein bidder has filled 'Not applicable' against BEC clause Nos C.4 (d), (e), (f), (g) & (h). Accordingly, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' duly filled-in confirming unconditional acceptance of BEC clause Nos C.4 (d), (e), (f), (g) & (h). (refer ITB clause 8.2).	<i>Bidder has confirmed against BEC clause C.4 (d), (e), (f), (g) & (h) in bid matrix. (Refer page no. 13 of annexure -30)</i>	Complied

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.6 M/s. Gaveshana Geosciences Private Limited

2.6.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

The offer of the bidder was evaluated to be technically acceptable as per note dated 03.02.2025.

2.6.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	The bidder has uploaded integrity pact, it bears witness signature of only one person. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact duly signed by second witness (refer BEC clause C.4 (h))	<i>Bidder has submitted integrity pact duly signed by second witness.</i> (Refer page no. 1-6 of annexure -31)	Complied

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.7 M/s. Om Survey Consultancy

2.7.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

The offer of the bidder was evaluated to be technically acceptable as per note dated 03.02.2025.

2.7.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	Bidder has not provided their GeM seller ID in Appendix-16. In case the bidder is already in possession of GeM seller ID, the bidder is asked to provide their GeM seller ID in Appendix-16. Else, the bidder may submit an undertaking that they shall provide the GeM seller ID within 15 days of placement of NOA as per requirements as stipulated in ITB clause 46.	<i>Bidder has mentioned Gem seller ID in Appendix 16.</i> (Refer page no 1 of Annexure – 32)	Complied

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.8 M/s Stesalit Systems Limited

2.8.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

The offer of the bidder was evaluated to be technically acceptable as per note dated 03.02.2025.

2.8.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	Bidder has submitted POA in name of SUBRATA SENGUPTA. POA issued by only one director, HEMANT KHEMKA) Also copy of board meeting is submitted wherein signature of only one director, HEMANT KHEMKA is present. However, as verified from website of Ministry of Corporate Affairs, total nos. of director are 5 Nos. Thus authority of HEMANT KHEMKA to issue the POA, cannot be ascertained. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload following: "Power of Attorney" in form of board's resolution is to be submitted which should consists of adequate proof of the ability of HEMANT KHEMKA to issue the POA. Further it is to be noted that date of issuance of board's resolution copy should be on and before the date of bid submitted by bidder in GePNIC-CPPP.	<i>Bidder has submitted two POA copies in the form of board resolution.</i> <i>As per website of Ministry of Corporate Affairs, total nos. of director are 4 Nos+1 company secretary.</i> <i>In first board resolution dated 23.12.24, Two directors viz. Subodh Menon & Sudhir Menon have authorized Mr. SUBRATA SENGUPTA. (refer Page no 3 of annexure-33)</i> <i>In second board resolution dated 23.12.24, another director, Perumangode Neelkandhan Ramaswamy has authorized Mr. SUBRATA SENGUPTA. (refer Page no 4 of annexure-33)</i> <i>In original Bid, bidder had submitted POA, wherein One director, Hemant Khemka has authorized Mr. SUBRATA SENGUPTA. (refer Page no 25 of annexure-10)</i> <i>Thus, all directors of company have authorised SUBRATA SENGUPTA.</i>	Complied

2	Bidder has not submitted Audited annual accounts not submitted for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload audited annual accounts of for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24 (refer BEC clause D.1.1 (B)).	<i>Bidder has submitted annual accounts of for FY 2022-23.</i> <i>(Refer page no 6-35 of Annexure –33)</i> <i>Bidder has submitted annual accounts of for FY 2023-24.</i> <i>(Refer page no 36-63 of Annexure –33)</i>	
---	---	--	--

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.9 M/s. TheoDesh Consultants

2.9.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all technical criteria is as under:

Sl no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	As per requirement of ITB clause 8.2, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' (as enclosed with the bid document) duly filled-in, so as to re-confirm compliance with each of the requirements of BEC and other important conditions of the tender. <i>It is noted that the bidder has not uploaded bid matrix as per requirement of the tender.</i> <i>Accordingly, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' duly filled-in confirming unconditional acceptance of all relevant provisions as per requirement of ITB clause 8.2</i>	<i>Bidder has submitted duly filled bid matrix (refer Page No of 12-21 of annexure-34).</i>	Bidder has confirmed BEC clause no A.1 to B.2.2 & mentioned not applicable in B.2.3 in bid matrix. Complied Bidder i.e. M/s TheoDesh Consultants (09/17) is technically acceptable.

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.9.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	The bidder has not uploaded the bidder's response sheet. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bidder's response sheet duly filled in, with suitable response against each provision therein as per requirement of BEC clause C.1	Bidder has submitted bidder's response sheet duly filled. (Refer page no 6-7 of Annexure –34)	Complied
2	It is noted that the bidder has mentioned 'not applicable' against BEC clause C.4 (d), in bid matrix. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bid matrix duly filled 'confirm' against BEC clause C.4 (d),	<i>Bidder has confirmed</i> against BEC clause C.4 (d) in bid matrix. (Refer page no. 16 of annexure -34)	Complied
3	The bidder has not uploaded appendices 7, BP1, BP2, BP3, with the requisite information as per proforma stipulated for the same in the tender. Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload appendices, 7, BP1, BP2, BP3, with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma as per requirement of BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2.	Bidder has submitted Appendix-7, BP1, BP2, BP3. (Refer page no. 8-10 & 22 of annexure -34)	Complied
4	It is to be noted that bidder has not mentioned Location of value addition in undertaking. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload Appendix 19 duly filled the 'location of value addition'	<i>Bidder has submitted Appendix 19.</i> <i>Percentage of LC - 100 %</i> <i>Location of value addition – Vadodara</i> (Refer page no 11 of Annexure –34)	Complied
5	The bidder has not uploaded integrity pact. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed on all pages by the PoA holder [DHIRENDRA SHIVSINH	Bidder has submitted integrity pact duly signed on all pages by the PoA. (Refer page no 24-29 of Annexure –34)	Complied

	CHHASATIYA] of the firm above with signature of witnesses as per requirement of BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1 (f)		
--	--	--	--

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.10 M/s. EPITOME GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED

2.10.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all technical criteria is as under:

Sl no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	As per requirement of ITB clause 8.2, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' (as enclosed with the bid document) duly filled-in, so as to re-confirm compliance with each of the requirements of BEC and other important conditions of the tender. It is noted that the bidder has not uploaded bid matrix as per requirement of the tender. Accordingly, the bidder is to <u>upload 'Bid Matrix'</u> duly filled-in confirming unconditional acceptance of all relevant provisions as per requirement of ITB clause 8.2.	<i>Bidder has duly filled bid matrix. (refer page no 6 & 15 of Annexure -35)</i>	Bidder has confirmed BEC clause no A.1 to B.2.1 (b) & mentioned not applicable in B.2.2 & B.2.3 in bid matrix. Bidder i.e. M/s EPITOME GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED (10/17) is technically acceptable.

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.10.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	The bidder has not uploaded bid matrix. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' duly filled-in confirming	<i>Bidder has submitted Bid Matrix' duly filled (Refer page no. 6-15 of annexure -35)</i>	Complied

	unconditional acceptance of all relevant provisions (refer ITB clause 8.2).		
2	Bidder has not uploaded bidder's response sheet. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bidder's response sheet duly filled in, with suitable response against each provision (refer of BEC clause C.1)	<i>Bidder has submitted bidder's response sheet.</i> (Refer page no. 16-17 of annexure -35)	Complied
3	Bidder has uploaded Appendix-5, however, all points of checklist have not been ticked/ responded in Appendix-5. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload Appendix-5 duly filled in, with suitable response against all points.	<i>Bidder has submitted Appendix-5 duly filled</i> (Refer page no. 18-22 of annexure -35)	Complied
4	The bidder has uploaded integrity pact. However, Name of firm is not filled in first page, witness signature is missing. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly filled with name of firm & signed by witnesses (refer of BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1 (f)).	<i>Bidder has submitted integrity pact duly filled with name of firm and signed with witness signature</i> (Refer page no. 23-28 of annexure -35)	Complied

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.11 M/s. Eclat Engineering Consultants

2.11.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL AND COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The offer of the bidder was evaluated to be Techno-commercially not acceptable as per note dated 07.02.2025.

2.12 M/s Arc Geodesy Pvt Ltd

2.12.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

The offer of the bidder was evaluated to be technically acceptable as per note dated 03.02.2025.

2.12.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	Bidder has not uploaded bidder's response sheet. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bidder's response sheet duly filled in, with suitable response against each provision (refer of BEC clause C.1).	Bidder has submitted bidder's response sheet. <i>(Refer page no 2-3 of Annexure –36)</i>	Complied
2	Bidder has not been submitted appendix-17,18,19 in bidder's company's letter head, Accordingly, the bidder is to upload appendix-17, 18 &19 on company's letter head.	Bidder has submitted appendix-17,18,19 <i>Percentage of LC - 100 % Location of value addition – ONGC Mehsana (Refer page no 4-6 of Annexure –36)</i>	Complied
3	The bidder has uploaded integrity pact, not bearing signature on all pages of integrity pact. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact duly signed on all pages (refer BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1(f)).	<i>Bidder has submitted Integrity pact signed on all pages. (Refer page no 7-12 of Annexure –36)</i>	Complied
4	The bidder has not uploaded POA in form of board's resolution for PRADEEP KUMAR Accordingly, the bidder is to upload "Power of Attorney" or authorization, or any other document consisting of adequate proof of the ability of PRADEEP KUMAR to bind the bidder (refer BEC clause C.4 (m)/ ITB clause 9.1).	Bidder has submitted board resolution dated 30.09,2024. As per MCA website, there are two directors in company. In submitted board resolution, second director has issued POA in name Mr. Pradeep kumar, director. <i>(Refer page no 1 & 13-14 of Annexure – 36)</i>	Complied

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.13 M/s. HRP Infra Pvt Ltd

2.13.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

The offer of the bidder was evaluated to be technically acceptable as per note dated 03.02.2025.

2.13.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	Bidder has not uploaded appendices 5. In view above, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 5 (Refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2.)	<i>Bidder has submitted appendices 5.</i> Refer page no. 11-14 of annexure -37)	Complied
2	The bidder has uploaded integrity pact. Name of firm is not filled in first page, witness signature is missing. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly filled with name of firm & signed by witnesses (refer of BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1 (f)).	<i>Bidder has submitted integrity pact duly filled and signed.</i> (Refer page no. 15-20 of annexure -37)	Complied
3	Bidder has submitted copy of POA in the form of board resolution with authorization of VIVEK P SHIYANI (Refer page no 62-65) and signed by only one no of director. However, as verified from website of Ministry of Corporate Affairs, total nos. of director are 2 Nos. Also bid is digitally signed by HIREN RATILAL PATEL. Thus, authority of HIREN RATILAL PATEL to digitally sign the bid document and to issue the POA, cannot be ascertained. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload "Power of Attorney" in form of board's	Bidder has submitted POA in the form of board resolution dated 15.09.2021. (Refer page no. 5-10 of annexure -37) As per MCA website, there are two directors in company. In submitted board resolution, one director has authorized another director, Mr. HIREN RATILAL PATEL. HIREN RATILAL PATEL has further authorised VIVEK P SHIYANI to sign the tender document, these documents have been	Complied

	resolution which should consists of adequate proof of the ability of HIREN RATILAL PATEL to digitally sign the bid document and to issue the POA or to delegate his power to any other individual (Refer BEC clause C.4 (m)/ ITB clause 9.1.). Further it is to be noted that date of issuance of board's resolution copy should be on and before the date of bid submitted by bidder in GePNIC-CPPP.	further digitally signed by Director HIREN RATILAL PATEL using his DSC.	
--	---	---	--

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.14 M/s. MNEC Consultants Pvt LTD

2.14.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

The offer of the bidder was evaluated to be technically acceptable as per note dated 03.02.2025.

2.14.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	Bidder has submitted appendix 3, however, sign & date not mentioned. In view above, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 3 (Refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2.)	<i>Bidder has submitted</i> appendix 3. (Refer page no. 1 of annexure -38)	Complied
2	Bidder has submitted integrity pact; however it's first page is not signed and only one witness signature is present without name. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document	<i>Bidder has submitted</i> integrity pact. (Refer page no. 2-7 of annexure -38)	Complied

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.15 M/s. Softa Geotechnical Pvt Ltd

2.15.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all technical criteria is as under:

BEC clause	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
A.1 & B.1 & B.1.1	As per requirement of ITB clause 8.2, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' (as enclosed with the bid document) duly filled-in, so as to re-confirm compliance with each of the requirements of BEC and other important conditions of the tender. It is noted that the bidder has not uploaded bid matrix as per requirement of the tender. Accordingly, the bidder is to <u>upload 'Bid Matrix'</u> duly filled-in confirming unconditional acceptance of all relevant provisions as per requirement of ITB clause 8.2	<i>Bidder has submitted duly filled bid matrix (refer Page No 11-20 of annexure-39).</i>	Bidder has confirmed BEC clause no A.1 to B.2.1 (a) & mentioned not applicable in B.2.1 (b), B.2.2 & B.2.3 in bid matrix. Complied
B 2.1 (a)-I (ii)	As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (ii), Bidder should have executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature in the last 5 (five) years It is to be noted that with respect to submitted document for work order no. Rites/UI/RCED/E RLY/Dum Dum ROR/Topo & Utility survey /2023 dated 29.08.2023 it cannot established that these work order includes "Underground facility survey by Ground penetration radar (GPR)" as defined in	<i>Bidder has submitted new WO order No 26464341 dated 23.06.2020 for work of "UNDERGROUND UTILITY SURVEY FOR PROPOSED 1.2MMTPA PX-PTA PROJECT OF INDIAN OIL CORPORATION LIMITED AT PARADIP, ODISHA" issued by Indian Oil Corporation limited. (refer page no 28-31 of Annexure-39)</i>	From the submitted document from bidder and confirmation mail from M/s IOCL, bidder is meeting the BEC clause no B 2.1 (a)-I (ii). Bec clause no B 2.1 (a)-I (ii) complied Bec clause no B 2.1 (a)-I complied

(similar)*works definition in BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I. It is also to be noted that with respect to submitted copy of PO no. Sopan/AHM/21002/IOCL-PARADIP/PO-oo1 dated 17.05.2021, bidder has not submitted copy of completion certificate against this PO. Accordingly, to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(ii), the bidder is asked to upload following document: Either, against submitted work order no Rites/UI/RCED/E RLY/Dum Dum ROR/Topo & Utility survey /2023 dated 29.08.2023: 3. copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence of this work order no Rites/UI/RCED/E RLY/Dum Dum ROR/Topo & Utility survey /2023 dated 29.08.2 through which it can be substantiated that bidder has carried out underground utilities survey by 'Ground penetration radar (GPR)' technology Or, against PO no. Sopan/AHM/21002/IOCL-PARADIP/PO-oo1 dated 17.05.2021: 1. Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory completion of this PO no. Sopan/AHM/21002/IOCL-PARADIP/PO-oo1 dated 17.05.2021 Or, Any other document as per BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I from which it could be ascertained that bidder has executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature which awarded in between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025 to	Bidder has submitted payment advice copy for release of security deposit. (refer page no 33 of Annexure-39) Further to verify the satisfactory completion of this work and survey carried out by ground penetrating radar technology, a confirmation has been obtained M/s INDIAN OIL CORPORATION LIMITED through mail. (copy of mail received by M/s IOCL is attached at Annexure-43)	Bidder i.e. M/s Softa Geotechnical Pvt Ltd (15/17) is technically acceptable.
---	--	--

	meet BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I (ii).		
--	-------------------------------------	--	--

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.15.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	Bidder has not upload bidder's response sheet duly filled In view above, the bidder is to upload bidder's response sheet duly filled all the items (Refer BEC clause C.1.)	Bidder has submitted bidder's response sheet duly filled. (Refer page no 9-10 of Annexure –39)	Complied
2	The bidder has not uploaded bid matrix. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' duly filled-in confirming unconditional acceptance of all relevant provisions (refer ITB clause 8.2).	Bidder has submitted duly filled Bid Matrix' (Refer page no 11-20 of Annexure –39)	Complied
3	Bidder has uploaded Appendix-5, however, all points of checklist have not been ticked/ responded in Appendix-5. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload Appendix-5 duly filled in, with suitable response against all points.	Bidder has submitted Appendix-5 duly filled. (Refer page no 3-7 of Annexure –39)	Complied
4	Bidder has not provided their GeM seller ID in Appendix-16. In case the bidder is already in possession of GeM seller ID, the bidder is asked to provide their GeM seller ID in Appendix-16. Else, the bidder may submit an undertaking that they shall provide the GeM seller ID within 15 days of placement of NOA (Refer ITB clause 46)	Bidder has mentioned Gem seller ID in Appendix 16. (Refer page no 1 of Annexure – 39)	Complied

5	The bidder has not uploaded integrity pact. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed on all pages by the PoA holder of the firm above with signature of witnesses (refer BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1 (f))	Bidder has submitted integrity pact duly signed on all pages by the PoA. <i>(Refer page no 22-27 of Annexure –39)</i>	Complied
6	The bidder has not uploaded power of attorney in the form of board's resolution. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload "Power of Attorney" or authorization, or any other document consisting of adequate proof of the ability of DEBASISH THAKUR to bind the bidder per requirement of (Refer BEC clause C.4 (m)/ ITB clause 9.1.)	<i>Bidder has submitted board resolution dated 24.12.2024.</i> As per MCA website, there are two directors viz. Mr Debasish Kumar and Mrs. Amita Thakur in company, both directors has issued POA in favor of Mr. Bijon Kumar Ghosh. Mr. Bijon Kumar Ghosh has signed tender document and these documents have been further digitally signed by director, Mr Debasish Kumar using his DSC. <i>(Refer page no 21 of Annexure –39)</i>	Complied
7	The bidder has not mentioned Location of value addition in Appendix 19. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload Appendix 19 duly filled the 'location of value addition'	Bidder has submitted appendix-19 <i>Percentage of LC - 50 %</i> <i>Location of value addition – Mehsana, Gujarat</i> <i>(Refer page no 2 of Annexure –39)</i>	Complied

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.16 M/s. Josmar Consulting Engineers

2.16.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all technical criteria is as under:

BEC clause	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
B 2.1 (a)-I (i)	Note for bidders: (The actual Tender Bid Opening Date is 08.01.2025. However, evaluation against BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I (i) & (ii) is to be done from the period prior to the originally scheduled date of opening of the techno-commercial bid i.e. 02.01.2025. Following cut-off dates have been considered for evaluation of technical BEC: • Cut-off date for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I (i) is on or before 03.01.2022. • Cut-off duration for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I (ii) is between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025.) As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (i), Bidder should have minimum three years of experience in providing (similar)*services. It is to be noted that Bidder has not submitted any experience documents (i.e. NOA/LOI/LOA/PO copy and documentary evidence for satisfactory execution/completion of same) for similar services which is awarded on or before 03.01.2022. Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload following document to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(i): 1. Copy of NOA/LOI/LOA/PO for 'similar services' which is awarded on or before 03.01.2022. 2. Copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence through which it can substantiate that bidder has executed 'similar services' as defined in BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I 3. Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory execution such as –(i) Satisfactory completion / performance report (OR) (ii) proof of release of Performance Security after completion of the contract	1. Bidder has submitted Work order no GIC/JOS/GPR/PEN/WO/005 dated 21.06.2021 for carrying out GPR Survey at The Ramco cements Limited Pennadam, Tamilnadu issued by M/s Geotech India Consultants. (refer page no 3-4 of Annexure-40) Bidder has also submitted it's work completion certificate dated 08.07.2021(refer page no 5 of Annexure-40)	From the submitted document at sl no 1, bidder is meeting the Bec clause no B 2.1 (a)-I (i). BEC clause no B 2.1 (a)-I (i) complied BEC clause no B 2.1 (a)-I complied Bidder i.e. M/s Josmar Consulting Engineers (16/17) is technically acceptable.

	(OR) (iii) proof of settlement / release of final payment against the contract (OR) (iv) any other documentary evidence that can substantiate the satisfactory execution of each of the contracts cited above.		
--	--	--	--

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.16.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	Bidder has not submitted Audited annual accounts not submitted for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24 Accordingly, the bidder is to upload audited annual accounts of for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24 (refer BEC clause D.1.1 (B)).	<i>Bidder has submitted Audited annual accounts for FY 2022-23</i> (Refer page no. 8-11 of annexure -40) <i>Bidder has submitted Audited annual accounts for FY 2023-24</i> (Refer page no. 6-7 of annexure -40)	Complied
2	The bidder has not uploaded appendices 3, 10. Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 3 & 10 with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma as per (refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2).	<i>Bidder has submitted appendices 3, 10.</i> (Refer page no. 12-13 of annexure -40)	Complied
3	The bidder has not uploaded integrity pact. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed on all pages by the PoA holder [SILUVAIRAJAN RAVICHANDRAN] of the firm above with signature of witnesses as per	<i>Bidder has submitted integrity pact duly signed on all pages by POA.</i> (Refer page no. 14-19 of annexure -40)	Complied

	requirement of BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1(f)).		
4	It is noted that the bidder has not uploaded Partnership deed. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload "Partnership deed" or any other document consisting of adequate proof of the ability of SILUVAIRAJAN RAVICHANDRAN to bind the bidder (refer BEC clause C.4 (m)/ ITB clause 9.1).	<i>Bidder has submitted Partnership deed</i> (Refer page no. 20-25 of annexure -40)	Complied

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.17 M/s. SWAYIN ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES PVT.LTD

2.17.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all technical criteria is as under:

Bec Clause	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
B 2.1 (a)-I (ii)	As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (ii), Bidder should have executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature in the last 5 (five) years It is to be noted that with respect to submitted LOI copy of Contract no.:66-96-40042 dated 07.05.2020, Bidder has not submitted work completion certificate, instead bidder has submitted work completion certificate of different contract no 38020297/SAG dated 15.05.2020. Accordingly, to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(ii), the bidder is asked to upload following document: Either, against submitted Contract no.:66-96-40042 dated 07.05.2020, 1. Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory completion of this Contract no.:66-96-40042 dated 07.05.2020,	Bidder has submitted PO NO: 38020297/SAG dated 15.05.2020 wherein Contract No.: 66-9640042 is mentioned for the work of 'Underground survey and Geo-Technical Investigation works at Indian Oil Corporation Limited for Sulphur Recovery Unit at Paradip, Odisha India' (refer page no 10 to 21 of annexure-41)	From the submitted PO 38020297/SAG dated 15.05.2020 for Contract No.: 66-9640042, It is now confirmed that the originally submitted work completion certificate pertains to LOI copy of contract number:66-96-40042. BEC clause B 2.1 (a)-I (ii) complied. BEC clause B 2.1 (a)-I complied

	Or, Any other document as per BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I from which it could be ascertained that bidder has executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature which awarded in between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025 to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I (ii).		Bidder i.e. M/s SWAYIN ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES PVT.LTD (17/17) is technically acceptable.
--	--	--	--

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically acceptable post first round of clarifications.

2.17.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

Details of compliance of all commercial criteria is as under:

Sr. no	Query sought from bidders in 1 st clarification	Bidders Response	PIMD-ES Comments
1	Bidder has not uploaded bidder's response sheet. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bidder's response sheet duly filled in, with suitable response against each provision (refer of BEC clause C.1).	Bidder has submitted bidder's response sheet. (Refer page no 1-2 of Annexure –41)	Complied
2	The bidder has not uploaded appendices 3. Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 3 with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma as per (refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2).	Bidder has submitted appendix 3. (Refer page no 3 of Annexure – 41)	Complied
3	The bidder has not uploaded integrity pact. Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed on all pages by the PoA holder of the firm above with signature of witnesses (refer BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1 (f)).	Bidder has submitted Integrity pact duly signed on all pages by the PoA holder of the firm above with signature of witnesses (Refer page no 4-9 of Annexure –41)	Complied
4	Bidder has not mentioned GeM seller ID in Appendix-16. In case the bidder is already in possession of GeM seller ID, the bidder is asked	Bidder has mentioned Gem seller ID in Appendix 16. (Refer page no 22 of Annexure – 41)	Complied

	to provide their GeM seller ID in Appendix-16. Else, the bidder may submit an undertaking that they shall provide the GeM seller ID within 15 days of placement of NOA (refer ITB clause 46)		
--	--	--	--

In view of the above, the offer of the bidder is commercially acceptable post first round of clarifications.

3

BID SUMMARY

Bidder	Technical status	Commercial status	Bid validity	Bid bond validity	Whether the bidder is suspended by ONGC?
M/s RAYNAS INFRA AND GEOMATICS SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED (01/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Quantum Integrated Technical Solutions (02/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Deshpande Patil Consultants (03/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s PARSAN OVERSEAS PVT LTD (04/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s XPLOER Consultancy Services Pvt Ltd (05/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/S Gaveshana Geosciences Private Limited (06/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Om Survey Consultancy (07/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/S Stesalit Systems Limited (08/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Theodesh Consultants (09/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No

M/s EPITOME GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED (10/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Eclat Engineering Consultants (11/17)	Techno-commercially not acceptable		08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Arc Geodesy Pvt Ltd (12/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s HRP Infra Pvt Ltd (13/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s MNEC Consultants Pvt LTD (14/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Softa Geotechnical Pvt Ltd (15/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Josmar Consulting Engineers (16/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/S SWAYIN ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES PVT.LTD (17/17)	TA	CA	08.04.2025	NA	No
*TA: Technically acceptable ** CA: Commercially acceptable					

4 APPROVAL SOUGHT

In view of the above, approval is sought for the following:

4.1 To consider the offers of the following firms as techno-commercially acceptable and to shortlist them for price bid opening:

4.1.1 M/s RAYNAS INFRA AND GEOMATICS SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED (01/17)

4.1.2 M/s Quantum Integrated Technical Solutions (02/17)

4.1.3 M/s Deshpande Patil Consultants (03/17)

4.1.4 M/s PARSAN OVERSEAS PVT LTD (04/17)

4.1.5 M/s XPLOERER Consultancy Services Pvt Ltd (05/17)

4.1.6 M/S Gaveshana Geosciences Private Limited (06/17)

- 4.1.7 M/s Om Survey Consultancy (07/17)
- 4.1.8 M/S Stesalit Systems Limited (08/17)
- 4.1.9 M/s Theodesh Consultants (09/17)
- 4.1.10 M/s EPITOME GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED (10/17)
- 4.1.11 M/s Arc Geodesy Pvt Ltd (12/17)
- 4.1.12 M/s HRP Infra Pvt Ltd (13/17)
- 4.1.13 M/s MNEC Consultants Pvt LTD (14/17)
- 4.1.14 M/s Softa Geotechnical Pvt Ltd (15/17)
- 4.1.15 M/s Josmar Consulting Engineers (16/17)
- 4.1.16 M/S SWAYIN ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES PVT.LTD (17/17)

- Mode of Tendering : Indigenous Open Tender under Two bid system
- PR Value : ₹ 1, 87, 62, 000/-
- Cost put to tender : ₹ 1, 87, 62, 000/-
- CPA : L-III officer as per BDP clause 24.1 (a)
- Competent authority for approval of 5.0 : CPA

Submitted for approval please.

Sub: Representations received after intimation of PBO against tender no. EZ11C24002 for “Underground facility survey by Ground penetration radar (GPR) at ONGC Mehsana Asset” for the period of 03 years”.

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1. Against the subject case, CPA vide note dated 07.02.2025 approved, to not consider the offers of the following firms for further evaluation and to intimate to them the reasons for rejection of their offer:
- i. M/s Eclat Engineering Consultants (11/17)
- 1.2. Approval was accorded by CPA on 25.02.2025 to consider the offers of the following firms as techno-commercially acceptable and to shortlist them for price bid opening
- i. *M/s RAYNAS INFRA AND GEOMATICS SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED (01/17)*
 - ii. *M/s Quantum Integrated Technical Solutions (02/17)*
 - iii. *M/s Deshpande Patil Consultants (03/17)*
 - iv. *M/s PARSAN OVERSEAS PVT LTD (04/17)*
 - v. *M/s XPLOER Consultancy Services Pvt Ltd (05/17)*
 - vi. *M/S Gaveshana Geosciences Private Limited (06/17)*
 - vii. *M/s Om Survey Consultancy (07/17)*
 - viii. *M/S Stesalit Systems Limited (08/17)*
 - ix. *M/s Theodesh Consultants (09/17)*
 - x. *M/s EPITOME GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED (10/17)*
 - xi. *M/s Arc Geodesy Pvt Ltd (12/17)*
 - xii. *M/s HRP Infra Pvt Ltd (13/17)*
 - xiii. *M/s MNEC Consultants Pvt LTD (14/17)*
 - xiv. *M/s Softa Geotechnical Pvt Ltd (15/17)*
 - xv. *M/s Josmar Consulting Engineers (16/17)*
 - xvi. *M/S SWAYIN Engineering Associates Pvt.Ltd (17/17)*

- 1.3. Accordingly, the offers of the bidder M/s Eclat Engineering Consultants was rejected and the reasons of rejection of their offer was intimated to them vide mail dated 25.02.2025 in line with para 9.1.4 of IMMM.
- 1.4. After intimating the reasons for rejection, M/s Eclat Engineering Consultants (11/17) vide mail dated 25.02.2025 has submitted two Udyam Certificate (Annexure 32 and 33) wherein, one is latest MSME certificate (having print date of 25.02.2025) which shows that his major activity is " SERVICES and second MSME certificate (having print date of 03.10.2021) shows that his major activity is " SERVICES".

2. EVALUATION OF REPRESENTATION:

Commercial Reasons of rejection intimated to M/s. Eclat Engineering Consultants	Response from bidder/ Documents submitted in representation (Annexure)	Evaluation/ comments
Offer received from M/s. Eclat Engineering Consultants wherein bidder has requested for EMD exemption and uploaded UDYAM registration certificate copy bearing UDYAM number No: UDYAM-TS-09-0010875 in GePNIC-CPPP. In the uploaded udyam certificate by bidder, the major activity of the bidder was 'Trading'. This Udyam certificate validated online on 15/01/25 through MSME website. Major activity is mentioned as Trading [For availing benefits of Priority Sector Lending (PSL) only]. Hence, Udyam is valid for Priority sector lending benefits only. The same has been clarified in FAQ given on https://dcmsme.gov.in/pppm.htm.aspx is as under: Quote Q.No.49: Can trader benefits from Public Procurement Policy, for MSEs Order, 2012?	Kindly find the attached latest MSME certificate which shows that our sector is under " SERVICES". Hereby we declare that , we are not into TRADING and you requested kindly verify our latest MSME certificate which shows our sector is under SERVICES instead of TRADING. Hence, we humbly request you to consider our bid for further evaluation purposes.	In representation, Bidder has uploaded Udyam Certificate dated 03.10.2021 & 25.02.2025 wherein major activity of bidder as 'services'. However, the udyam registration dated 02/11/2024 submitted by bidder in GePNIC-CPPP before TBO date i.e. 08.01.2025, was having major activity as "Trading" <u>[For availing benefits of Priority Sector Lending(PSL) ONLY]</u>. This Udyam certificate validated online on 15/01/25 through MSME website wherein major activity was mentioned as "Trading" <u>[For availing benefits of Priority Sector Lending(PSL) ONLY]</u>. Hence, Udyam is valid for Priority_sector lending benefits only. The same has been clarified in FAQ given on https://dcmsme.gov.in/pppm.htm.aspx as under : Quote

Ans: No, as mentioned in O.M. No. 5/2(2)/2021-E/P & G/Policy dated 02.07.2021, Retail and Wholesale traders can register on Udyam Registration Portal for the purpose of Priority Sector Lending (PSL) only. Unquote Hence, bidder is not eligible for EMD exemption as per PPP for MSEs order,2012. As the bidder has submitted bid without EMD, the offer of the bidder does not comply with the requirement of BEC clause C.4 (a) and thus not considered for further evaluation.		Q.No.49: Can trader benefits from Public Procurement Policy, for MSEs Order, 2012? Ans. No, as mentioned in O.M. No. 5/2(2)/2021-E/P & G/Policy dated 02.07.2021, Retail and Wholesale traders can register on Udyam Registration Portal for the purpose of Priority Sector Lending (PSL) only. Unquote As per Clause 17.9 of ITB, documents submitted by bidder should be legally operative as on date fixed for opening of bids. Same clause is reproduced as under: QUOTE In accordance with the conditions at para 28.1 below, the bid along with all appendices and copies of documents (except copies of the documents required in physical form) should be submitted through GePNIC-CPPP (https://etenders.gov.in), before the scheduled date and time for the tender closing. Such documents submitted through GePNIC-CPPP should be as per requirements of the tender, valid and legally operative as on the date fixed for opening of bids (techno-commercial bid opening date in case of Two Bid System). However, documents required in physical form should be received at the purchaser's office (as indicated in "Invitation to Bid") on or before the
---	--	--

		closing date and time specified for submission of bid through the GePNIC-CPPP. UNQUOTE On the date of opening of Techno-commercial bid i.e. 08.01.2025, major activity of the bidder was Trading, Hence the bidder was excluded from the purview of MSE policy. Since the major activity of bidder was Trading on the date of TBO, the Udyam Certificate dated 03.10.2021 & 25.02.2025 submitted by the bidder in representation showing major activity as services cannot be ascertained at this stage as per ITB Clause 19.0 and hence bidder is still disqualified on account of non-submission of EMD as per BEC Clause C.4 (a).
Based on the document submitted by the bidder during representation, the offer of the bidder fails to meet the commercial condition of Tender as per ITB Clause 17.9 and BEC Clause C.4 (a)		

As there is no change in the evaluation status of the bidder. Approval of rejection of offer of M/s Eclat Engineering Consultants (11/17) has already been obtained earlier, however, as the documents have been re-evaluated, the proposal for rejection of representation would be tantamount to rejection of offer of M/s Eclat Engineering Consultants which would again require approval of CPA as per 11.1.4 of IMMM.

3. **APPROVAL SOUGHT:**

- 3.1 To reject the representation received from the bidder M/s Eclat Engineering Consultants (11/17) for their rejection against tender No. EZ11C24002 for “Underground facility survey by Ground penetration radar (GPR) at ONGC Mehsana Asset” for the period of 03 years”

- Mode of Tendering : Indigenous Open Tender under Two bid system
- PR Value : ₹ 1, 87, 62, 000/-
- Cost put to tender : ₹ 1, 87, 62, 000/-
- CPA : L-III officer as per BDP clause 24.1 (a)
- Competent authority for approval of 5.0 : CPA

Submitted for approval please.