

Contents

1.1	Observations:	3
2	EVALUATION OF OFFERS	3
2.1	M/s. RAYNAS INFRA AND GEOMATICS SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED	3
2.1.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	3
2.1.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	3
2.2	M/s. Quantum Integrated Technical Solutions	4
2.2.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	4
2.2.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	6
2.3	M/s. Deshpande Patil Consultants	7
2.3.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	9
2.4	M/s. PARSAN OVERSEAS PVT LTD	10
2.4.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	10
2.4.2	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	10
2.5	M/s. XPLOER Consultancy Services Pvt Ltd	11
2.5.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	11
2.5.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	11
2.6	M/s. Gaveshana Geosciences Private Limited	12
2.6.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	12
2.6.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	12
2.7	M/s. Om Survey Consultancy.....	12
2.7.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	13
2.7.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	13
2.8	M/s Stesalit Systems Limited.....	13
2.8.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	13
2.8.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	13
2.9	M/s. TheoDesh Consultants	14
2.9.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	14
2.9.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	15
2.10	M/s. EPITOME GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED	15
2.10.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	15
2.10.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	16
2.11	M/s. Eclat Engineering Consultants	17
2.11.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	17
2.11.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	20

2.12	M/s Arc Geodesy Pvt Ltd	20
2.12.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	20
2.12.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	20
2.13	M/s. HRP Infra Pvt Ltd	21
2.13.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	21
2.13.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	22
2.14	M/s. MNEC Consultants Pvt LTD	22
2.14.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	22
2.14.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	23
2.15	M/s. Softa Geotechnical Pvt Ltd	23
2.15.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	23
2.15.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	25
2.16	M/s. Josmar Consulting Engineers	26
2.16.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	26
2.16.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	27
2.17	M/s. SWAYIN ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES PVT.LTD	28
2.17.1	COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:	28
2.17.2	COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:	29
3	BID SUMMARY	31
4	APPROVAL SOUGHT	32

Subject: Techno-commercial evaluation of offers received against tender no. EZ11C24002for Underground facility survey by Ground penetration radar (GPR) at ONGC Mehsana Asset" for the period of 03 years

BRIEF OF THE CASE:

Job Description	Underground facility survey by Ground penetration radar (GPR) at ONGC Mehsana Asset" for the period of 03 years
PR Value	₹ 1, 87, 62, 000/-

Cost put to tender	₹ 1, 87, 62, 000/-
BDP Clause for purchase	BDP 2015, Clause no. 24.1 (a)
CPA	L-III officer
Tender no.	EZ11C24002
NIT	12.12.2024
Original TBO	02.01.2025
Actual/extended TBO	08.01.2025

1.1 Observations:

Techno-commercial bids against the subject tender were opened on 08.01.2025. Total 17 offers have been received. It is noted that the Commercial CS was duly vetted on 06/02/2025 in finance and Technical comments were endorsed by Technical Member of TC on 03/02/2025.

2 EVALUATION OF OFFERS

As per the offer received, the technical comments thereof as well as duly vetted CS, the status of the bids is as under:

2.1 M/s. RAYNAS INFRA AND GEOMATICS SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED

2.1.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [3].

Conclusion: Bid is technically acceptable.

2.1.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under

1. The bidder has not uploaded bidder's response sheet.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bidder's response sheet duly filled in, with suitable response against each item (refer BEC clause C.1).

2. The bidder has not uploaded appendices 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, BP1, BP2, BP3, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19.

Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, BP1, BP2, BP3, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 (appendix BP1, BP2, BP3, 17, 18, 19 to be printed in company's letter head), with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma (refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2).

3. The bidder has uploaded integrity pact, not bearing witness signature & name of firm.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed by witnesses (refer BEC clause C.4 (h))

4. The bidder has not uploaded power of attorney.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload "Power of Attorney" in form of board resolution, or any other document consisting of adequate proof of the ability of NASEEM AHAMAD to bind the bidder (refer BEC clause C.4 (m)/ ITB clause 9.1).

5. The Bidder has not submitted Audited annual accounts not submitted for FY 2023-24

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload audited annual accounts of for FY 2023-24 (refer BEC clause D.1.1(B)).

6. The Bidder has not submitted appendix-16 duly filled with GeM seller ID.

In case the bidder is already in possession of GeM seller ID, the bidder is asked to provide their GeM seller ID in Appendix 16. Else, the bidder may submit an undertaking that they shall provide the GeM seller ID within 15 days of placement of NOA (refer ITB clause 46).

2.2 M/s. Quantum Integrated Technical Solutions

2.2.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [4]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

BEC clause	Clarifications
B 2.1 (a)-I (i)	<i>Note for bidders:</i> <i>(The actual Tender Bid Opening Date is 08.01.2025. However, evaluation against BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I (i) & (ii) is to be done from the period prior to the originally scheduled date of opening of the techno-commercial bid i.e. 02.01.2025. Following cut-off dates have been considered for evaluation of technical BEC:</i> <i>• Cut-off date for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I (i) is on or before 03.01.2022.</i> <i>• Cut-off duration for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I(ii) is between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025.)</i> <i>As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (i), Bidder should have minimum three years of experience in providing (similar)*services.</i> <i>It is to be noted that Bidder has not submitted any experience documents (i.e. NOA/LOI/LOA/PO copy and documentary evidence for satisfactory execution of same) for similar services which is awarded on or before 03.01.2022.</i> <i>Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload following document to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(i):</i> <i>1. Copy of NOA/LOI/LOA/PO for 'similar services' which is awarded on or before 03.01.2022.</i> <i>2. Copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence through which it can substantiate that bidder has executed 'similar services' as defined in BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I</i> <i>3. Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory completion such as –(i) Satisfactory completion / performance report (OR) (ii) proof of release of Performance Security after completion of the contract (OR) (iii) proof of settlement / release of final payment against the contract (OR) (iv) any other documentary evidence that can substantiate the satisfactory execution of each of the contracts cited above.</i>
B 2.1 (a)-I (ii)	<i>As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (i), Bidder should have executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature in the last 5 (five) years</i> <i>It is to be noted that with respect to submitted PO No 4200008933-0 Dated 03.01.2023 it cannot be established that</i>

	Underground facility survey has been carried out by 'Ground penetration radar (GPR)' technology as defined in (similar)*works definition in BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I. Also bidder has not submitted any document evidence for satisfactory completion of work. Accordingly, to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(ii), the bidder is asked to upload following document : Either, against submitted PO No 4200008933-0 Dated 03.01.2023 1. copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence of this PO through which it can be substantiated that bidder has carried out underground utilities survey by 'Ground penetration radar (GPR)' technology 2. Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory execution/completion of this PO. Or, 1. Any other PO/contract/Work order from which it could be ascertained that bidder has successfully executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature which awarded in between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025 to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I (ii).
--	--

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is **technically deficit**.

2.2.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. The bidder has not uploaded appendices 3,5,6,7,8,10, BP3, 12
Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 3,5,6,7,8,10, BP3, 12, (appendix BP3 to be printed in company's letter head), with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma as stipulated in the tender (refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2).

2. The bidder has not uploaded integrity pact.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed on all pages by the PoA holder [Nitin Sikarbar] of the firm above with signature of witnesses (refer BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1 (f))

3. The Bidder has not submitted Audited annual accounts not submitted for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload audited annual accounts of for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24 (refer BEC clause D.1.1 (B)).

4. The bidder has not mentioned Location of value addition in undertaking.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload Appendix 19 duly filled the 'location of value addition'.

5. Bidder has not mentioned GeM seller ID in Appendix-16.

In case the bidder is already in possession of GeM seller ID, the bidder is asked to provide their GeM seller ID in Appendix-16. Else, the bidder may submit an undertaking that they shall provide the GeM seller ID within 15 days of placement of NOA (refer ITB clause 46.

2.3 M/s. Deshpande Patil Consultants

2.3.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [5]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

BEC clause	Clarifications
------------	----------------

B 2.1 (a)-I (i)	Note for bidders: (The actual Tender Bid Opening Date is 08.01.2025. However, evaluation against BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I (i) & (ii) is to be done from the period prior to the originally scheduled date of opening of the techno-commercial bid i.e. 02.01.2025. Following cut-off dates have been considered for evaluation of technical BEC: • Cut-off date for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I (i) is on or before 03.01.2022. • Cut-off duration for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I (ii) is between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025.) As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (i), Bidder should have minimum three years of experience in providing (similar)*services. It is to be noted that Bidder has not submitted any experience documents (i.e. NOA/LOI/LOA/PO copy and documentary evidence for satisfactory execution/completion of same) for similar services which is awarded on or before 03.01.2022. Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload following document to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(i): 1. Copy of NOA/LOI/LOA/PO for 'similar services' which is awarded on or before 03.01.2022. 2. Copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence through which it can substantiate that bidder has executed 'similar services' as defined in BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory execution such as –(i) Satisfactory completion / performance report (OR) (ii) proof of release of Performance Security after completion of the contract (OR) (iii) proof of settlement / release of final payment against the contract (OR) (iv) any other documentary evidence that can substantiate the satisfactory execution of each of the contracts cited above.
B 2.1 (a)-I (ii)	As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (ii), Bidder should have executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature in the last 5 (five) years It is to be noted that with respect to submitted work order no GS8/230175328 dated 01/03/2024 and GS8/230139012 dated 26/09/2022, it cannot established that these work order includes "Underground facility survey by Ground penetration radar (GPR) technology" as defined in (similar)*works definition in BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I. Also with respect to submitted document against PO no. 601 dated 21/05/2024, bidder has not submitted any document evidence for satisfactory completion of work.

	Accordingly, to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(ii), the bidder is asked to upload following document: Either, against submitted work order no GS8/230175328 dated 01/03/2024: 1. copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence of this work order no GS8/230175328 dated 01/03/2024 through which it can be substantiated that bidder has carried out underground utilities survey by 'Ground penetration radar (GPR)' technology Or, against submitted work order no GS8/230139012 dated 26/09/2022: 1. copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence of this work order no GS8/230139012 dated 26/09/2022 through which it can be substantiated that bidder has carried out underground utilities survey by 'Ground penetration radar (GPR)' technology Or, against submitted PO no. 601 dated 21/05/2024: 1. Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory completion of this PO no. 601 dated 21/05/2024. Or, 1. Any other document as per BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I from which it could be ascertained that bidder has executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature which awarded in between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025 to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I (ii).
--	---

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically deficit.

2.3.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under

1. It is noted that the bidder has mentioned 'not applicable' against BEC clause C.4 (d), in bid matrix.
Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bid matrix duly filled 'confirm' against BEC clause C.4 (d),
2. Bidder has not submitted Audited annual accounts not submitted for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24.
Accordingly, the bidder is to upload audited annual accounts of for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24 (refer BEC clause D.1.1 (B)).
3. The bidder has not uploaded appendix BP3.
In view of the same, the bidder is asked to upload appendices BP3 (to be printed in company's letter head), with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma (refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2).

2.4 M/s. PARSAN OVERSEAS PVT LTD

2.4.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [06].

Conclusion: Bid is technically acceptable.

2.4.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under

1. It is noted that the bidder has submitted appendix-5 & 12 which does not contain all pages of ONGC proforma.
Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 5 & 12 with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma as per requirement of BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2.

2. Bidder has submitted POA in name of VIVEK SHARMA. Although bid is digitally signed by SANJAY RANA. POA issuing authority is SANJAY RANA, however, the authority of SANJAY RANA to issue the POA & to digitally sign the bid, cannot be ascertained.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload following:

"Power of Attorney" in form of board's resolution is to be submitted which should consists of adequate proof of the ability of SANJAY RANA to sign the bid document and to delegate his power to any other individual. Further it is to be noted that date of issuance of board's resolution copy should be on and before the date of bid submitted by bidder in GePNIC-CPPP.

3. The bidder has not mentioned Location of value addition in Appendix 19.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload Appendix 19 duly filled the 'location of value addition'.

2.5 M/s. XPLOER Consultancy Services Pvt Ltd

2.5.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [07].

Conclusion: Bid is technically acceptable.

2.5.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. The bidder has not uploaded appendix 7 with the requisite information as per proforma stipulated for the same in the tender. Also, in submitted Appendix 18, there is alteration to ONGC's proforma.

In view of the same, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 7, 18 with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma as stipulated in the tender (refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2)..

2. The bidder has uploaded bid matrix wherein bidder has filled 'Not applicable' against BEC clause Nos C.4 (d), (e), (f), (g) & (h). Accordingly, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' duly filled-in confirming unconditional acceptance of BEC clause Nos C.4 (d), (e), (f), (g) & (h). (refer ITB clause 8.2)..

2.6 M/s. Gaveshana Geosciences Private Limited

2.6.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [08].

Conclusion: Bid is technically acceptable.

2.6.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [24]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. The bidder has uploaded integrity pact, it bears witness signature of only one person.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact duly signed by second witness (refer BEC clause C.4 (h))

2.7 M/s. Om Survey Consultancy

2.7.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [09].

Conclusion: Bid is technically acceptable.

2.7.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. Bidder has not provided their GeM seller ID in Appendix-16.

In case the bidder is already in possession of GeM seller ID, the bidder is asked to provide their GeM seller ID in Appendix-16. Else, the bidder may submit an undertaking that they shall provide the GeM seller ID within 15 days of placement of NOA as per requirements as stipulated in ITB clause 46.

2.8 M/s Stesalit Systems Limited

2.8.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [10].

Conclusion: Bid is technically acceptable.

2.8.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. Bidder has submitted POA in name of SUBRATA SENGUPTA. POA issued by only one director, HEMANT KHEMKA) Also copy of board meeting is submitted wherein signature of only one director, HEMANT KHEMKA is present. However, as verified from website of Ministry of Corporate Affairs, total nos. of director are 5 Nos. Thus authority of HEMANT KHEMKA to issue the POA, cannot be ascertained.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload following:

"Power of Attorney" in form of board's resolution is to be submitted which should consists of adequate proof of the ability of HEMANT KHEMKA to issue the POA. Further it is to be noted that date of issuance of board's resolution copy should be on and before the date of bid submitted by bidder in GePNIC-CPPP.

2. Bidder has not submitted Audited annual accounts not submitted for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload audited annual accounts of for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24 (refer BEC clause D.1.1(B)).

2.9 M/s. TheoDesh Consultants

2.9.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [11]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

BEC clause	Clarifications
	As per requirement of ITB clause 8.2, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' (as enclosed with the bid document) duly filled-in, so as to re-confirm compliance with each of the requirements of BEC and other important conditions of the tender. It is noted that the bidder has not uploaded bid matrix as per requirement of the tender. Accordingly, the bidder is to <u>upload 'Bid Matrix'</u> duly filled-in confirming unconditional acceptance of all relevant provisions as per requirement of ITB clause 8.2

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically deficit.

2.9.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. The bidder has not uploaded the bidder's response sheet.
Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bidder's response sheet duly filled in, with suitable response against each provision therein as per requirement of BEC clause C.1.
2. It is noted that the bidder has mentioned 'not applicable' against BEC clause C.4 (d), in bid matrix.
Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bid matrix duly filled 'confirm' against BEC clause C.4 (d),
3. The bidder has not uploaded appendices 7, BP1, BP2, BP3, with the requisite information as per proforma stipulated for the same in the tender.
Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload appendices, 7, BP1, BP2, BP3, with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma as per requirement of BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2.
4. It is to be noted that bidder has not mentioned Location of value addition in undertaking.
Accordingly, the bidder is to upload Appendix 19 duly filled the 'location of value addition'.
5. The bidder has not uploaded integrity pact.
Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed on all pages by the PoA holder [DHIRENDRA SHIVSINH CHHASATIYA] of the firm above with signature of witnesses as per requirement of BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1(f)

2.10 M/s. EPITOME GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED

2.10.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [12]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

<i>BEC clause</i>	<i>Clarifications</i>
	As per requirement of ITB clause 8.2, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' (as enclosed with the bid document) duly filled-in, so as to re-confirm compliance with each of the requirements of BEC and other important conditions of the tender. It is noted that the bidder has not uploaded bid matrix as per requirement of the tender. Accordingly, the bidder is to <u>upload 'Bid Matrix'</u> duly filled-in confirming unconditional acceptance of all relevant provisions as per requirement of ITB clause 8.2.

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically deficit.

2.10.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. The bidder has not uploaded bid matrix.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' duly filled-in confirming unconditional acceptance of all relevant provisions (refer ITB clause 8.2).

2. Bidder has not uploaded bidder's response sheet.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bidder's response sheet duly filled in, with suitable response against each provision (refer of BEC clause C.1)

3. Bidder has uploaded Appendix-5, however, all points of checklist have not been ticked/ responded in Appendix-5.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload Appendix-5 duly filled in, with suitable response against all points.

4. The bidder has uploaded integrity pact. However, Name of firm is not filled in first page, witness signature is missing.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly filled with name of firm & signed by witnesses (refer of BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1 (f)).

2.11 M/s. Eclat Engineering Consultants

2.11.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [13]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

BEC clause	Clarifications
A.1, B.1 & B.1.1	As per requirement of ITB clause 8.2, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' (as enclosed with the bid document) duly filled-in, so as to re-confirm compliance with each of the requirements of BEC and other important conditions of the tender. It is noted that the bidder has not uploaded bid matrix as per requirement of the tender. Accordingly, the bidder is to <u>upload 'Bid Matrix'</u> duly filled-in confirming unconditional acceptance of all relevant provisions as per requirement of ITB clause 8.2.
B 2.1 (a)-I (i)	<i>Note for bidders:</i> <i>(The actual Tender Bid Opening Date is 08.01.2025. However, evaluation against BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I (i) & (ii) is to be done from the period prior to the originally scheduled date of opening of the techno-commercial bid i.e. 02.01.2025. Following cut-off dates have been considered for evaluation of technical BEC:</i>

	• Cut-off date for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I (i) is on or before 03.01.2022. • Cut-off duration for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I (ii) is between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025.) As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (i), Bidder should have minimum three years of experience in providing (similar)*services. It is to be noted that, bidder has not submitted any document evidence for satisfactory completion of work against LOA No (01): HPPL/WO/2020-21/042 dated 15/09/2020. Accordingly, to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I (i), the bidder is asked to upload following document: Either, against submitted LOA No (01): HPPL/WO/2020-21/042 dated 15/09/2020: 2. Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory completion of this LOA No (01): HPPL/WO/2020-21/042 dated 15/09/2020. Or, Any other document as per BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I from which it could be ascertained that bidder has executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*services which is more than 3 years old from the originally scheduled date on or before 03.01.2022 to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I (i).
B 2.1 (b)	As bidder has submitted their own experience document to meet BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I, and has not submitted experience document of neither JV nor its partner. Therefore, it is understood that the bidder (i.e. M/s Eclat Engineering Consultant) is bidding as Single bidder but not as Indian Joint Venture company Incorporated. However, following confirmation/documents from bidder is required: a) Whether bidder (i.e. M/s Eclat Engineering Consultant) is participating in this tender as Single Bidder or as an Indian Joint Venture company Incorporated. Confirmation/clarification is to be provided by bidder in this regard.

b) If the bidder is participating as single bidder then bidder has to provide documents as sought above for further evaluation against BEC clause no. B.1.2.1(a)-I(i). Furthermore, from the submitted JV agreement, it appears that bidder has entered into JV agreement to seek only services for some portion of work (i.e consultancy services & GPR equipment support) and not to meet experience criteria of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I as Joint Venture. Hence, if the bidder is participating in tender as single bidder, then as per SCC clause no.16, bidder may sub-contract only portion of the work and it shall be as stated in his tender. Accordingly, bidder may be asked to submit 'A signed copy of the Agreement/Memorandum of Understanding or some similar documents for subcontracting' in lieu of 'JV agreement' in conformity to SCC clause no 16 for subcontracting.

c) If the bidder is participating as Indian Joint Venture Company, then bidder has to provide following documents for further evaluation against BEC clause no.B.1.2.1(a)-I(i) and BEC clause no.B 2.1 (b):

Quote:

In case the bidder is an Incorporated Indian Joint Venture Company, registered in India and incorporated under the Companies Act 1956 and any amendments there under, then the technical experience criteria laid down in the Technical BEC should be met as under:

(i) The Joint Venture Company by itself should meet the experience criteria

or

(ii) Any Partner in the Joint Venture Company having a stake of atleast 26% in the Joint Venture Company should meet the technical experience criteria stipulated in the tender on its own and cannot rely on any other arrangement such as Consortium or Supporting Company of the JV Partner for meeting the technical experience criteria. Documentary evidence in support of the above should be submitted along with the techno-commercial bid.

(iii) In case of (ii) above, an undertaking from the Joint Venture partner, based on whose experience the JV seek qualification, shall be submitted with the techno commercial bid stating they shall maintain minimum 26% shareholding in the JV till the execution of the contract.

Unquote

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically deficit.

2.11.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. Bidder has requested for EMD exemption and uploaded UDYAM registration certificate copy (refer page 102 of Annexure-12) bearing UDYAM number No: UDYAM-TS-09-0010875 in GePNIC-CPPP.

In the uploaded udyam certificate by bidder, which is having print date of 2/11/24, the major activity of the bidder was 'Trading'. This Udyam certificate validated online through MSME website (Annexure-23) having print date of 15/1/25. Major activity is mentioned as Trading [For availing benefits of Priority Sector Lending (PSL) only]. Hence, Udyam is valid for Priority sector lending benefits only. The same has been clarified in FAQ (Annexure-25) given on <https://dcmsme.gov.in/pppm.htm.aspx> as under:

Quote

Q.No.49: Can trader benefits from Public Procurement Policy, for MSEs Order, 2012?

Ans: No, as mentioned in O.M. No. 5/2(2)/2021-E/P & G/Policy dated 02.07.2021, Retail and Wholesale traders can register on Udyam Registration Portal for the purpose of Priority Sector Lending (PSL) only.

Unquote

Hence, bidder is not eligible for EMD exemption as per PPP for MSEs order, 2012. As the bidder has submitted bid without EMD, the offer of the bidder does not comply with the requirement of BEC clause C.4 (a) and thus not considered for further evaluation.

2.12 M/s Arc Geodesy Pvt Ltd

2.12.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [14].

Conclusion: Bid is technically acceptable.

2.12.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated

therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. Bidder has not uploaded bidder's response sheet.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bidder's response sheet duly filled in, with suitable response against each provision (refer of BEC clause C.1).

2. Bidder has not been submitted appendix-17,18,19 in bidder's company's letter head,

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload appendix-17, 18 &19 on company's letter head.

3. The bidder has uploaded integrity pact, not bearing signature on all pages of integrity pact.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact duly signed on all pages (refer BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1(f)).

4. The bidder has not uploaded POA in form of board's resolution for PRADEEP KUMAR

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload "Power of Attorney" or authorization, or any other document consisting of adequate proof of the ability of PRADEEP KUMAR to bind the bidder (refer BEC clause C.4 (m)/ ITB clause 9.1).

2.13 M/s. HRP Infra Pvt Ltd

2.13.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [15].

Conclusion: Bid is technically acceptable.

2.13.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. Bidder has not uploaded appendices 5..

In view above, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 5 (Refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2.)

2. The bidder has uploaded integrity pact. Name of firm is not filled in first page, witness signature is missing.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly filled with name of firm & signed by witnesses (refer of BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1 (f)).

3. Bidder has submitted copy of POA in the form of board resolution with authorization of VIVEK P SHIYANI (Refer page no 62-65) and signed by only one no of director. However, as verified from website of Ministry of Corporate Affairs, total nos. of director are 2 Nos. Also bid is digitally signed by HIREN RATILAL PATEL.

Thus, authority of HIREN RATILAL PATEL to digitally sign the bid document and to issue the POA, cannot be ascertained.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload "Power of Attorney" in form of board's resolution which should consists of adequate proof of the ability of HIREN RATILAL PATEL to digitally sign the bid document and to issue the POA or to delegate his power to any other individual (Refer BEC clause C.4 (m)/ ITB clause 9.1.). Further it is to be noted that date of issuance of board's resolution copy should be on and before the date of bid submitted by bidder in GePNIC-CPPP.

2.14 M/s. MNEC Consultants Pvt LTD

2.14.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [16].

Conclusion: Bid is technically acceptable.

2.14.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. Bidder has submitted appendix 3, however, sign & date not mentioned.

In view above, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 3 (Refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2.)

2. Bidder has submitted integrity pact, however it's first page is not signed and only one witness signature is present without name.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed on all pages by the PoA holder of the firm along with signature of witnesses (refer of BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1(f)

2.15 M/s. Softa Geotechnical Pvt Ltd

2.15.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [17]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

BEC clause	Clarifications
A.1 & B.1 & B.1.1	As per requirement of ITB clause 8.2, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' (as enclosed with the bid document) duly filled-in, so as to re-confirm compliance with each of the requirements of BEC and other important conditions of the tender. It is noted that the bidder has not uploaded bid matrix as per requirement of the tender. Accordingly, the bidder is to <u>upload 'Bid Matrix'</u> duly filled-in confirming unconditional acceptance of all relevant provisions

	as per requirement of ITB clause 8.2
B 2.1 (a)-I (ii)	As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (ii), Bidder should have executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature in the last 5 (five) years It is to be noted that with respect to submitted document for work order no. Rites/UI/RCED/E RLY/Dum Dum ROR/Topo & Utility survey /2023 dated 29.08.2023 it cannot established that these work order includes "Underground facility survey by Ground penetration radar (GPR)" as defined in (similar)*works definition in BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I. It is also to be noted that with respect to submitted copy of PO no. Sopan/AHM/21002/IOCL-PARADIP/PO-oo1 dated 17.05.2021, bidder has not submitted copy of completion certificate against this PO. Accordingly, to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(ii), the bidder is asked to upload following document: Either, against submitted work order no Rites/UI/RCED/E RLY/Dum Dum ROR/Topo & Utility survey /2023 dated 29.08.2023: 3. copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence of this work order no Rites/UI/RCED/E RLY/Dum Dum ROR/Topo & Utility survey /2023 dated 29.08.2 through which it can be substantiated that bidder has carried out underground utilities survey by 'Ground penetration radar (GPR)' technology Or, against PO no. Sopan/AHM/21002/IOCL-PARADIP/PO-oo1 dated 17.05.2021: 1. Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory completion of this PO no. Sopan/AHM/21002/IOCL-PARADIP/PO-oo1 dated 17.05.2021 Or, Any other document as per BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I from which it could be ascertained that bidder has executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature which awarded in between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025 to meet BEC clause

	no.B.2.1 (a)-I (ii).
--	----------------------

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically deficit.

2.15.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. Bidder has not upload bidder's response sheet duly filled

In view above, the bidder is to upload bidder's response sheet duly filled all the items (Refer BEC clause C.1.)

2. The bidder has not uploaded bid matrix.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload 'Bid Matrix' duly filled-in confirming unconditional acceptance of all relevant provisions (refer ITB clause 8.2).

3. Bidder has uploaded Appendix-5, however, all points of checklist have not been ticked/ responded in Appendix-5.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload Appendix-5 duly filled in, with suitable response against all points.

4. Bidder has not provided their GeM seller ID in Appendix-16.

In case the bidder is already in possession of GeM seller ID, the bidder is asked to provide their GeM seller ID in Appendix-16. Else, the bidder may submit an undertaking that they shall provide the GeM seller ID within 15 days of placement of NOA (Refer ITB clause 46)

5. The bidder has not uploaded integrity pact.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed on all pages by the PoA holder of the firm above with signature of witnesses (refer BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1(f))

6. The bidder has not uploaded power of attorney in the form of board's resolution.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload "Power of Attorney" or authorization, or any other document consisting of adequate proof of the ability of DEBASISH THAKUR to bind the bidder per requirement of (Refer BEC clause C.4 (m)/ ITB clause 9.1.)

7. The bidder has not mentioned Location of value addition in Appendix 19.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload Appendix 19 duly filled the 'location of value addition'

2.16 M/s. Josmar Consulting Engineers

2.16.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [18]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

<i>BEC clause</i>	<i>Clarifications</i>
<i>B 2.1 (a)-I (i)</i>	<i>Note for bidders:</i> <i>(The actual Tender Bid Opening Date is 08.01.2025. However, evaluation against BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I (i) & (ii) is to be done from the period prior to the originally scheduled date of opening of the techno-commercial bid i.e. 02.01.2025. Following cut-off dates have been considered for evaluation of technical BEC:</i> <i>• Cut-off date for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I (i) is on or before 03.01.2022.</i> <i>• Cut-off duration for evaluation against BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I (ii) is between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025.)</i>

	<i>As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (i), Bidder should have minimum three years of experience in providing (similar)*services.</i> <i>It is to be noted that Bidder has not submitted any experience documents (i.e. NOA/LOI/LOA/PO copy and documentary evidence for satisfactory execution/completion of same) for similar services which is awarded on or before 03.01.2022.</i> <i>Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload following document to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(i):</i> <i>1. Copy of NOA/LOI/LOA/PO for 'similar services' which is awarded on or before 03.01.2022.</i> <i>2. Copies of respective contracts or any other documentary evidence through which it can substantiate that bidder has executed 'similar services' as defined in BEC clause B.2.1 (a)-I</i> <i>3. Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory execution such as –(i) Satisfactory completion / performance report (OR) (ii) proof of release of Performance Security after completion of the contract (OR) (iii) proof of settlement / release of final payment against the contract (OR) (iv) any other documentary evidence that can substantiate the satisfactory execution of each of the contracts cited above.</i>
--	---

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically deficit.

2.16.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. Bidder has not submitted Audited annual accounts not submitted for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload audited annual accounts of for FY 2022-23, FY 2023-24 (refer BEC clause D.1.1 (B)).

2. The bidder has not uploaded appendices 3, 10.

Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 3 & 10 with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma as

per (refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2).

3. The bidder has not uploaded integrity pact.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed on all pages by the PoA holder [SILUVAIRAJAN RAVICHANDRAN] of the firm above with signature of witnesses as per requirement of BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1 (f)).

4. It is noted that the bidder has not uploaded Partnership deed.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload "Partnership deed" or any other document consisting of adequate proof of the ability of SILUVAIRAJAN RAVICHANDRAN to bind the bidder (refer BEC clause C.4 (m)/ ITB clause 9.1).

2.17 M/s. SWAYIN ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES PVT.LTD

2.17.1 COMPLIANCE OF TECHNICAL CRITERIA:

Based on technical comments on the offer of the bidder is attached at Annexure [17]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

<i>BEC clause</i>	<i>Clarifications</i>
<i>B 2.1 (a)-I (ii)</i>	<i>As per requirement of BEC clause no B.2.1 (a)-I (ii), Bidder should have executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature in the last 5 (five) years</i> <i>It is to be noted that with respect to submitted LOI copy of Contract no.:66-96-40042 dated 07.05.2020, Bidder has not submitted work completion certificate, instead bidder has submitted work completion certificate of different contract no 38020297/SAG dated 15.05.2020.</i> <i>Accordingly, to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1 (a)-I(ii), the bidder is asked to upload following document:</i>

	<i>Either, against submitted Contract no.:66-96-40042 dated 07.05.2020,</i> <i>1. Documentary evidence in respect of satisfactory completion of this Contract no.:66-96-40042 dated 07.05.2020,</i> <i>Or,</i> <i>1. Any other document as per BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I from which it could be ascertained that bidder has executed at least 1 (one) number of contracts of (similar)*nature which awarded in between 03.01.2020 & 02.01.2025 to meet BEC clause no.B.2.1(a)-I (ii).</i>
--	--

As per technical comments, the offer of the bidder is technically deficit.

2.17.2 COMPLIANCE OF COMMERCIAL CRITERIA:

The comparative statement of the commercial points of the tender required for evaluation is at Annexure [22]. As per the deficiencies indicated therein, the following clarifications to be sought from the bidder, are as under:

1. Bidder has not uploaded bidder's response sheet.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload bidder's response sheet duly filled in, with suitable response against each provision (refer of BEC clause C.1).

2. The bidder has not uploaded appendices 3.

Accordingly, the bidder is asked to upload appendices 3 with requisite information without any alteration to ONGC's proforma as per (refer BEC clause (C.1) / ITB clause 7.2).

3. The bidder has not uploaded integrity pact.

Accordingly, the bidder is to upload integrity pact as attached with the tender document, duly signed on all pages by the PoA holder of

the firm above with signature of witnesses (refer BEC clause C.4 (h)/ ITB cl 9.1 (f)).

4. Bidder has not mentioned GeM seller ID in Appendix-16.

In case the bidder is already in possession of GeM seller ID, the bidder is asked to provide their GeM seller ID in Appendix-16. Else, the bidder may submit an undertaking that they shall provide the GeM seller ID within 15 days of placement of NOA (refer ITB clause 46)

3 REASONS FOR REJECTION

As per PMC circular no. 80/2023, the bidders are to be intimated the reasons for rejection of their bid. Further, such bidders can review reasons for rejection and raise a one time representation to challenge rejection for any incorrect disqualification within 2 days of such intimation and work centers will have to respond to all such representation before opening of price bids. Accordingly, the reasons for rejection may be intimated to the bidders as under:

Bidder	Reasons for rejection
M/s. Eclat Engineering Consultants	Offer received from <i>M/s. Eclat Engineering Consultants</i> wherein bidder has requested for EMD exemption and uploaded UDYAM registration certificate copy bearing UDYAM number No: UDYAM-TS-09-0010875 in GePNIC-CPPP. In the uploaded udyam certificate by bidder, the major activity of the bidder was 'Trading'. This Udyam certificate validated online on 15/01/25 through MSME website. Major activity is mentioned as Trading [For availing benefits of Priority Sector Lending (PSL) only]. Hence, Udyam is valid for Priority sector lending benefits only. The same has been clarified in FAQ given on https://dcmsme.gov.in/pppm.htm.aspx is as under: Quote Q.No.49: Can trader benefits from Public Procurement Policy, for MSEs Order, 2012? Ans: No, as mentioned in O.M. No. 5/2(2)/2021-E/P & G/Policy dated 02.07.2021, Retail and Wholesale traders can register on Udyam Registration Portal for the purpose of Priority Sector Lending (PSL) only. Unquote

	Hence, bidder is not eligible for EMD exemption as per PPP for MSEs order,2012. As the bidder has submitted bid without EMD, the offer of the bidder does not comply with the requirement of BEC clause C.4 (a) and thus not considered for further evaluation.
--	---

4

BID SUMMARY

Bidder	Technical status	Commercial status	Bid validity	Bid bond validity	Whether the bidder is suspended by ONGC?
M/s RAYNAS INFRA AND GEOMATICS SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED (01/17)	TA	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Quantum Integrated Technical Solutions (02/17)	Deficient	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Deshpande Patil Consultants (03/17)	Deficient	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s PARSAN OVERSEAS PVT LTD (04/17)	TA	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s XPLOER Consultancy Services Pvt Ltd (05/17)	TA	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/S Gaveshana Geosciences Private Limited (06/17)	TA	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Om Survey Consultancy (07/17)	TA	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/S Stesalit Systems Limited (08/17)	TA	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Theodesh Consultants (09/17)	Deficient	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No

M/s EPITOME GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED (10/17)	Deficient	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Eclat Engineering Consultants (11/17)	Deficient	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Arc Geodesy Pvt Ltd (12/17)	TA	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s HRP Infra Pvt Ltd (13/17)	TA	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s MNEC Consultants Pvt LTD (14/17)	TA	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Softa Geotechnical Pvt Ltd (15/17)	Deficient	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/s Josmar Consulting Engineers (16/17)	Deficient	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No
M/S SWAYIN ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES PVT.LTD (17/17)	Deficient	Deficient	08.04.2025	NA	No

5 APPROVAL SOUGHT

In view of the above, approval is sought for the following:

- 5.1 To not consider the offers of the following firms for further evaluation and to intimate to them the reasons for rejection of their offer as detailed in para 3 above:
 - 5.1.1 M/s Eclat Engineering Consultants as detailed in para 2.11 above
- 5.2 To seek clarifications from the bidders as detailed in paras 2.1 to 2.10 & 2.12 to 2.17 above by giving 05 days' time from date of issue of letter in Gepnic-CPPP and to hold the Post bid conference as per clause 6.5 of ITB. Post bid conference may be held by giving notice of 01 days.

- Mode of Tendering : Indigenous Open Tender under Two bid system
- PR Value : ₹ 1, 87, 62, 000/-
- Cost put to tender : ₹ 1, 87, 62, 000/-
- CPA : L-III officer as per BDP clause 24.1 (a)

- Competent authority for approval of 5.0 : CPA

Submitted for approval please.