

Tender No. EC52C24004

~ 1 ~

NAME OF WORK: - “Repair and Renovation of Mandhali Transit Accommodation ONGC Nagar, Palavasana Mehsana.”

1.0 SUBJECT: Evaluation of Bids after First Clarification documents from the bidders for the work “**Repair and Renovation of Mandhali Transit Accommodation ONGC Nagar, Palavasana Mehsana.**”

2.0 BACK GROUND OF THE CASE:-

1.	Subject	“Repair and Renovation of Mandhali Transit Accommodation ONGC Nagar, Palavasana Mehsana.”
	AA & ES	Sanction for ₹ 2,00,80,392.00 on 20.06.2024
2.	PR No.	2090061354
3.	e-Tender No.	EC52C24004
4.	Date of NIT and Website	NIT – 26/07/2024, Website – 26/07/2024
5.	Date of TBO	16/08/2024
6.	Bid Validity	14/11/2024
7.	Tender Value	₹. 1,95,77,826/-
8.	Indenter	HR - ER
9.	Type of tender	Open Tender (National Competitive Bidding)
10.	Type of Bidding	Two Bid System through C-PPP mode.
11.	CPA	L- III Level as per clause 24.1 a) of BDP

3.0 OBJERVATIONS:-

3.1 As per approval of CPA vide noting dated 13.09.2024, the bids of the following bidders were techno commercially acceptable.

Bid	Name of bidder
3/5	M/s Shivam Construction Co.

Tender No. EC52C24004

~ 2 ~

4/5	M/s Radhika Construction
5/5	M/s Himanshu J. Gupta

3.2 As per approval of CPA vide noting dated 13.09.2024, the clarifications were sought from the following 02 bidders on 17.09.2024 through <https://etender.gov.in> website, and requested to submit the replies latest by 23.09.2024:

Bid	Name of bidder
1/5	M/s S. P. Chaudhari
2/5	M/s Reliable Designer

3.2 The Post Bid Conference (PBC) was kept on 20.09.2024 in order to clear the Bidders' doubts, if any, regarding the Clarifications sought. But, No bidder attended the PBC.

3.3 Out of 2 bidders from whom clarifications were sought, only One Bidder i.e. **(1/5) M/s S. P. Chaudhari** has not submitted the reply, 1 bidders have not submitted the documents as per requirement. Thus it appears that they don't have the requisite documents to qualify in the bid, thus it is not prudent to enter second round of clarification declaring present situation as exceptional circumstance. As per **IMMM para 13.4.4** cases where post bid conference is held, normally second round of clarification shall not be required.

3.4 Further, based on deliberations hereunder, 4 Bidders out of 5 bidders are getting techno-commercially qualified, resulting into aggregate TA-CA bidders, thus it is not prudent to enter to second round of clarifications.

3.5 The Comparative statement based on the deficient documents received from the Bidders during Clarification is prepared and duly vetted by finance officer on 17.09.2024.

Deliberations:

4.1 The bidder wise deliberations as per BEC based on the documents received during clarifications are given below:

4.2 Commercial Criteria:

(1/5) M/s S. P. Chaudhari:-

Technical Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
--------------------------------	------------------	------------

Tender No. EC52C24004

~ 3 ~

The Following Deficient/missing documents to be submitted by bidder : a) Re-submit BG with Claim Expiry Date	Requisite documents not submitted by the bidder	As per CS vetted by finance officer on 26.09.2024, the bidder is meeting all the requirements of Commercial BEC. - In view of the above, the bid of (1/5) M/s S. P. Chaudhari is Commercially NOT Acceptable
--	--	---

(2/5) M/s Reliable Designer:-

Technical Clarification Sought	Bidders Response	Evaluation
The Following Deficient/missing documents to be submitted by bidder : a) Acceptance that they comply with the provisions with regard to “Guidelines for eligibility of a ‘Bidder from a Country which shares a land border With India’ ” as detailed at Annexure-I “Instructions to Bidders”. Bidder should also submit the requisite certificate as mentioned. (on Company letterhead as per Appendix-17)	Requisite documents submitted by the bidder	As per CS vetted by finance officer on 26.09.2024, the bidder is meeting all the requirements of Commercial BEC. - In view of the above, the bid of (2/5) M/s Reliable Designer. is Commercially Acceptable

4.3 Final consolidated Status Summary of bids after 1st round clarification

Bid	Name of bidder	Technical Criteria	Commercial Criteria	Final bid Status
1/5	M/s S. P. Chaudhari	Technically Acceptable	Commercially NOT Acceptable	REJECT

Tender No. EC52C24004

~ 4 ~

2/5	M/s Reliable Designer	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable n	Techno Commercially Acceptable
3/5	M/s Shivam Construction Co.	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
4/5	M/s Radhika Construction	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable
5/5	M/s Himanshu J. Gupta	Technically Acceptable	Commercially Acceptable	Techno Commercially Acceptable

5. 0 RECOMMENDATIONS: -

In view of above deliberations, it is recommended

- (i) To accept the bids of the following bidders techno commercially.

Bid	Name of bidder
2/5	M/s Reliable Designer

- (ii) To open the price bids of the following Four techno-commercially qualified bidders :

2/5	M/s Reliable Designer
3/5	M/s Shivam Construction Co.
4/5	M/s Radhika Construction
5/5	M/s Himanshu J. Gupta

- (iii) To reject the following bids due to insufficient documents and release of EMD of the same :

1/5	M/s S. P. Chaudhari
-----	---------------------

As per clause no. 10.1.2.3 of IMMM,

Quote:

Tender No. **EC52C24004**

~ 5 ~

"For various stages between opening of Techno-commercial bid and opening of Price Bid, approval of competent authority shall be obtained through vertical note, without routing through concerned Nodal Technical Agency (for cases under CPD)/ concerned Indenting Section (for other cases) or the Finance Department."

Unquote:

In this case CPA is L-III Level Executive as per clause 24.1 (a) of BDP

Competent Purchase Authority may please approve the recommendations as proposed above.